

**Financial Scrutiny and Audit
Committee**

9 February 2016

Agenda Item No 11

External Audit
Report by Head of Finance

Summary: This report appends:

- (i) the Annual Audit Letter for 2014/15
- (ii) the Audit Plan for the 2015/16 audit
- (iii) the Local Government Audit Committee Briefing by Ernst & Young.

Recommendations:

- (i) That the Annual Audit Letter for 2014/15 be noted.
- (ii) That the Audit Plan for the 2015/16 audit be noted.
- (iii) That the briefing, including the key questions for Audit Committees as set out on page 8, be noted.

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Annual Audit letter for 2014/15 summarises the key issues arising from the audit. These key findings are set out on page 6 of appendix 1.
- 1.2 The Audit Plan for the 2015/16 audit by Ernst & Young is appended to this report (appendix 2). The plan sets out the work which the auditors propose to undertake for the audit of the financial statements and the value for money conclusion for 2015/16. It confirms that the proposed audit fee will be £13,943, which represents no change from the fee charged in 2013/14 and 2014/15.
- 1.3 There has been a slight change of contacts at Ernst & Young with the Authority now having a new Audit Director, Kevin Suter and Mark Russell replacing David Riglar. The Audit Director, Kevin Suter, and the Assistant Manager, Mark Russell, will be attending the meeting to introduce the Audit Plan and answer any questions.

2 Identification of Significant Risks

- 2.1 The Audit Plan takes a risk-based approach to audit planning and identifies one significant risk in 2015/16, which relates to management override.
- 2.2 The audit approach to these risks is set out in section two of the Audit Plan.

3 Financial Implications

3.1 Provision for the audit fee is included in the 2015/16 budget and will be charged in the accounts for the year.

4 Briefing Key Issues

4.1 This briefing is presented to Members as a “for information” item.

4.2 The items of relevance to the Authority are:

- The economic and sector issues update, in particular regarding Autumn Forecast (page 2 onwards);
- The Local Plan for New Homes (page 4)
- Finance in the cloud (page 5);
- Value for Money Conclusion guidance (page 6); and
- Regulation news (page 7).

Background papers: None

Author: Emma Krelle
Date of report: 19 January 2015=6

Broads Plan Objectives: None

Appendices: APPENDIX 1 – Ernst & Young Annual Audit Letter 2014/15
APPENDIX 2 – Ernst & Young Audit Plan 2015/16
APPENDIX 3 – Ernst & Young Local Government Audit Committee Briefing (Quarter 4 2015)

Broads Authority

Annual Audit Letter for the year ended 31 March 2015

21 October 2015

Ernst & Young LLP



Members
Broads Authority
Yare House
62-64 Thorpe Road
Norwich
NR1 1RY

21 October 2015

Dear Members

Annual Audit Letter 2014/15

The purpose of this annual audit letter is to communicate the key issues arising from our work to the Members and external stakeholders, including members of the public.

We have already reported the detailed findings from our audit work in our 2014/15 audit results report presented to the 22 September 2015 Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee, representing those charged with governance. We do not repeat those findings here.

The matters reported here are those we consider most significant for the Broads Authority.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank officers for their assistance during the course of our work.

Yours faithfully



Neil Harris
Director
For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP
Enc

Contents

1. Executive summary	1
2. Key findings	3
3. Control themes and observations.....	6
4. Looking ahead	7
5. Fees	8

Relevant parts of the Audit Commission Act 1998 are transitionally saved by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (Commencement No. 7, Transitional Provisions and Savings) Order 2015 for 2014-15 audits.

The Audit Commission's 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies' (Statement of responsibilities). It is available from the accountable officer of each audited body and via the [Audit Commission's website](#).

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between the Audit Commission's appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The Standing Guidance serves as our terms of appointment as auditors appointed by the Audit Commission. The Standing Guidance sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the Code of Audit Practice 2010 (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Annual Audit Letter is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Members of the audited body, and is prepared for their sole use. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

1. Executive summary

Our 2014/15 audit work was undertaken in accordance with our Audit Plan issued on the 10 February 2015 and was conducted in accordance with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and other guidance issued by the Audit Commission.

The Authority is responsible for preparing and publishing its Statement of Accounts, accompanied by an Annual Governance Statement (AGS). In the AGS, the Authority reports publicly each year on how far it complies with its own code of governance, including how it has monitored and evaluated the effectiveness of its governance arrangements in year, and any changes planned in the coming period.

The Authority is also responsible for having proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

As auditors we are responsible for:

- forming an opinion on the financial statements, and on the consistency of other information published with them
- reviewing and reporting by exception on the Authority's AGS
- forming a conclusion on the arrangements the Authority has to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources
- undertaking any other work specified by the Audit Commission and the Code of Audit Practice.

Summarised below are the results of our work across all these areas:

Area of work	Result
Audit of the financial statement of the Broads Authority for the financial year ended 31 March 2015 in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland)	On 25 September 2015 we issued an unqualified audit opinion on the Authority's financial statements
Form a conclusion on the arrangements the Authority has made for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources	On 25 September 2015 we issued an unqualified value for money conclusion
Report to the National Audit Office on the accuracy of the consolidation pack the Authority needs to prepare for the Whole of Government Accounts	The Authority is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million. Therefore we did not perform any audit procedures on the consolidation pack and submitted the required audit assurance statement confirming the threshold position.
Consider the completeness of disclosures on the Authority's AGS, identify any inconsistencies with other information which we know about from our work and consider whether it complies with CIPFA/ SOLACE guidance	No issues to report
Consider whether we should make a report in the public interest on any matter coming to our notice in the course of the audit	No issues to report
Determine whether we need to take any other action in relation to our responsibilities under the Audit Commission Act	No issues to report

As a result of the above we have also:

Issued a report to those charged with governance of the Authority communicating the significant findings from our audit.

Our Audit Results Report was presented to the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee on 22 September 2015.

Issued a certificate that we have completed the audit in accordance with the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998 and the Code of Practice issued by the Audit Commission.

We issued our certificate on 25 September 2015.

2. Key findings

2.1 Financial statement audit

The Authority's Statement of Accounts is an important tool to show both how the Authority has used public money and how it can demonstrate its financial management and financial health.

We audited the Authority's Statement of Accounts in line with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice, International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland) and other guidance issued by the Audit Commission and issued an unqualified audit report on 25 September 2015.

Our detailed findings were reported to the 22 September 2015 Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee.

In our view, the quality of the process for producing the accounts, including the supporting working papers was good.

The main issues identified as part of our audit were:

Significant risk 1: Risk of management override

As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.

Findings:

- ▶ We did not identify any material misstatements, evidence of management bias or significant unusual transactions in our testing
-

We have no other matters to report.

2.2 Value for money conclusion

As part of our work we must also conclude whether the Authority has proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in the use of resources. This is known as our value for money conclusion.

In accordance with guidance issued by the Audit Commission, we carried out the following work for our 2014-15 value for money conclusion:

- ▶ reviewing the annual governance statement;
- ▶ reviewing the results of the work of the Commission and other relevant regulatory bodies or inspectorates, to consider whether there is any impact on the auditor's responsibilities at the audited body; and
- ▶ undertaking other local risk-based work as appropriate, or any work mandated by the Commission.

We issued an unqualified value for money conclusion on 25 September 2015.

Our audit did not identify any significant matters.

Looking ahead, along with other public sector bodies, the Broads Authority is facing significant financial challenges over the next three to four years. The Authority's external funding sources are reducing and are likely to be subject to change and uncertainty in future years.

The Authority's medium term financial strategy is based on a number of assumptions, including an estimate of the future levels of Government funding. Any reduction in Government funding in future years, together with an increased use of reserves if savings and income targets are not achieved represents a risk to achievement of the Authority's future budgets.

2.3 Whole of Government Accounts

We performed the procedures required by the National Audit Office. The Authority is below the specified audit threshold of £350 million and therefore we were not required to audit the accuracy of the consolidation pack prepared by the Authority for Whole of Government Accounts purposes. We made our audit assurance submission in line with the deadline.

2.4 Annual Governance Statement

We are required to consider the completeness of disclosures in the Authority's AGS, identify any inconsistencies with the other information which we know about from our work, and consider whether it complies with relevant guidance.

We completed this work and did not identify any areas of concern.

2.5 Objections received

We did not receive any objections to the 2014/15 financial statements from members of the public.

2.6 Other powers and duties

We did not identify any issues during our audit that required us to use our powers under the Audit Commission Act 1998, including reporting in the public interest.

2.7 Independence

We communicated our assessment of independence to the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee on 22 September 2015. In our professional judgement the firm is independent and the objectivity of the audit engagement director and audit staff has not been compromised within the meaning of regulatory and professional requirements

3. Control themes and observations

As part of our work, we obtained enough understanding of internal control to plan our audit and determine the nature, timing and extent of testing performed. We have not tested the individual system controls of the Authority as we have adopted a fully substantive approach to our audit.

Although our audit was not designed to express an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control, we are required to tell the Authority about any significant deficiencies in internal control we find during our audit.

We did not identify any significant deficiencies in the design of an internal control that might result in a material misstatement in the Authority's financial statements.

4. Looking ahead

There are a number of changes in accounting and auditing requirements that could have a significant impact on the Authority's arrangements for the production of its financial statements. We have outlined what we think are two of the main challenges below.

Description	Impact
<p>Highways Network Asset (formerly Transport Infrastructure Assets):</p> <p>The Invitation to Comment on the Code of Accounting Practice for 2016/17 sets out the requirements to account for Highways Network Assets under Depreciated Replacement Cost. This is a change from the existing requirement to account for these assets under Depreciated Historic Cost. This change is to be effective from 1 April 2016.</p> <p>This requirement is not only applicable to highways authorities, but to any local government bodies that have assets which fall into the definition. This could include, for example, footways and cycle ways, unadopted roads on industrial estates, and street furniture.</p> <p>This may be a material change of accounting policy for the Authority. It could also require changes to existing asset management systems and valuation procedures.</p>	<p>The Authority should consider whether it holds any assets that would be classified as highways network assets and, if so, whether they have the necessary information to implement the changes in accounting for these assets from 1 April 2016.</p> <p>Even though it is not a highways authority, the requirements may still impact if it is responsible for assets such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Footways • Cycleways • Street Furniture <p>If the impact of this change in accounting policy is material, the Authority would also need to restate the balances for these assets as at 1 April 2015.</p>
<p>Earlier deadline for production and audit of the financial statements from 2017/18</p> <p>The Accounts and Audit Regulations 2015 were laid before Parliament in February 2015. A key change in the regulations is that from the 2017/18 financial year the timetable for the preparation and approval of accounts will be brought forward.</p> <p>As a result, the Authority will need to produce draft accounts by 31 May and these accounts will need to be audited by 31 July in 2018.</p>	<p>These changes provide challenges for both the preparers and the auditors of the financial statements.</p> <p>The Authority is aware of this challenge and the need to start planning for the impact of these changes.</p> <p>This will include the need to review the current processes for the production of the accounts and the associated supporting working papers, including areas such as the production of estimates, particularly in relation to pensions and the valuation of assets, and the year-end closure processes.</p>

5. Fees

Our fee for 2014/15 is in line with the scale fee set by the Audit Commission and reported in our Audit Plan.

	Proposed final fee 2014/15	Scale fee 2014/15	Variation comments
	£	£	
Audit Fee: Code work	13,943	13,943	No change proposed

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.
All rights reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

[ey.com](https://www.ey.com)

Broads Authority

Year ending 31 March 2016

Audit Plan

February 2016

Ernst & Young LLP





Ernst & Young LLP
One Cambridge Business Park
Cambridge
CB4 0WZ

Tel: + 44 1223 394400
Fax: + 44 1223 394401
ey.com

The Members
Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee
Broads Authority
Yare House
62-64 Thorpe Road
Norwich
NR1 1RY

18th January 2016

Dear Members

Audit Plan

We are pleased to attach our Audit Plan which sets out how we intend to carry out our responsibilities as auditor. Its purpose is to provide the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee with a basis to review our proposed audit approach and scope for the 2015/16 audit in accordance with the requirements of the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014, the National Audit Office's 2015 Code of Audit Practice, the Statement of Responsibilities issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) Ltd, auditing standards and other professional requirements. It is also to ensure that our audit is aligned with the Committee's service expectations.

This plan summarises our initial assessment of the key risks driving the development of an effective audit for the Authority, and outlines our planned audit strategy in response to those risks.

We welcome the opportunity to discuss this plan with you on 9th February 2016 and to understand whether there are other matters which you consider may influence our audit.

Yours faithfully

Kevin Suter
Executive Director
For and behalf of Ernst & Young LLP
Enc

Contents

1. Overview	1
2. Financial statement risks	3
3. Economy, efficiency and effectiveness	4
4. Our audit process and strategy.....	5
5. Independence.....	9
Appendix A Fees.....	11
Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance	12

In April 2015 Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) issued "Statement of responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies 2015-16". It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body and via the [PSAA website \(www.psa.co.uk\)](http://www.psa.co.uk)

The Statement of responsibilities serves as the formal terms of engagement between appointed auditors and audited bodies. It summarises where the different responsibilities of auditors and audited bodies begin and end, and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas.

The 'Terms of Appointment from 1 April 2015' issued by PSAA sets out additional requirements that auditors must comply with, over and above those set out in the National Audit Office Code of Audit Practice (the Code) and statute, and covers matters of practice and procedure which are of a recurring nature.

This Audit Plan is prepared in the context of the Statement of responsibilities. It is addressed to the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee, and is prepared for the sole use of the audited body. We, as appointed auditor, take no responsibility to any third party.

Our Complaints Procedure – If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service to you could be improved, or if you are dissatisfied with the service you are receiving, you may take the issue up with your usual partner or director contact. If you prefer an alternative route, please contact Steve Varley, our Managing Partner, 1 More London Place, London SE1 2AF. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. Should you remain dissatisfied with any aspect of our service, you may of course take matters up with our professional institute. We can provide further information on how you may contact our professional institute.

1. Overview

1.1 Context for the audit

This Audit Plan covers the work that we plan to perform to provide you with:

- ▶ our audit opinion on whether the financial statements of the Broads Authority give a true and fair view of the financial position as at 31 March 2016 and of the income and expenditure for the year then ended; and
- ▶ our conclusion on the Authority's arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office (NAO), to the extent and in the form required by them, on the Authority's Whole of Government Accounts return.

Our audit will also include the mandatory procedures that we are required to perform in accordance with applicable laws and auditing standards.

When planning the audit we take into account several key inputs:

- ▶ Strategic, operational and financial risks relevant to the financial statements;
- ▶ Developments in financial reporting and auditing standards;
- ▶ The quality of systems and processes;
- ▶ Changes in the business and regulatory environment; and,
- ▶ Management's views on all of the above.

By considering these inputs, our audit is focused on the areas that matter and our feedback is more likely to be relevant to the Authority.

We will provide an update to the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee on the results of our work in these areas in our report to those charged with governance, currently scheduled for delivery in September 2016.

1.2 Our process and strategy

Financial statement audit

We consider materiality in terms of the possible impact of an error or omission on the financial statements and set an overall planning materiality level. We then set a tolerable error to reduce the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds planning materiality to an appropriately low level. We also assess each disclosure and consider qualitative issues affecting materiality as well as quantitative issues.

We will be undertaking a substantive testing approach as this represents the most efficient approach to our audit. To the fullest extent permissible by auditing standards within this approach, we will seek to rely on the work of internal audit wherever possible.

No changes are proposed to the scope of the audit in comparison with prior years.

Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness

We adopt an integrated audit approach, so our work in the financial statement audit feeds into our conclusion of the arrangements in place for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness.

Further detail is included in section 3 of this Audit Plan.

2. Financial statement risks

We outline below our current assessment of the financial statement risks facing the Authority, identified through our knowledge of the Authority's operations and discussion with those charged with governance and officers.

At our meeting, we will seek to validate these with you.

Significant risks (including fraud risks)	Our audit approach
Risk of management override	
<p>As identified in ISA (UK and Ireland) 240, management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records directly or indirectly and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. We identify and respond to this fraud risk on every audit engagement.</p>	<p>Our approach will focus on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Testing the appropriateness of journal entries recorded in the general ledger and other adjustments made in the preparation of the financial statements ▶ Reviewing accounting estimates for evidence of management bias, and ▶ Evaluating the business rationale for significant unusual transactions

Respective responsibilities in relation to fraud and error

We would like to take this opportunity to remind you that management has the primary responsibility to prevent and detect fraud. It is important that management, with the oversight of those charged with governance, has a culture of ethical behaviour and a strong control environment that both deters and prevents fraud.

Our responsibility is to plan and perform audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free of material misstatements whether caused by error or fraud. As auditors, we approach each engagement with a questioning mind that accepts the possibility that a material misstatement due to fraud could occur, and design the appropriate procedures to consider such risk.

Based on the requirements of auditing standards our approach will focus on:

- ▶ Identifying fraud risks during the planning stages;
- ▶ Enquiry of management about risks of fraud and the controls to address those risks;
- ▶ Understanding the oversight given by those charged with governance of management's processes over fraud;
- ▶ Consideration of the effectiveness of management's controls designed to address the risk of fraud;
- ▶ Determining an appropriate strategy to address any identified risks of fraud, and,
- ▶ Performing mandatory procedures regardless of specifically identified risks.

3. Economy, efficiency and effectiveness

We are required to consider whether the Authority has put in place ‘proper arrangements’ to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness on its use of resources. For 2015/16 this is based on the overall evaluation criterion:

“In all significant respects, the audited body had proper arrangements to ensure it took properly informed decisions and deployed resources to achieve planned and sustainable outcomes for taxpayers and local people”

Proper arrangements are defined by statutory guidance issued by the National Audit Office. They comprise your arrangements to:

- Take informed decisions;
- Deploy resources in a sustainable manner; and
- Work with partners and other third parties.

In considering your proper arrangements, we will draw on the requirements of the CIPFA/SOLACE framework for local government to ensure that our assessment is made against a framework that you are already required to have in place and to report on through documents such as your annual governance statement.

We are only required to determine whether there are any risks that we consider significant, which the Code of Audit Practice which defines as:

“A matter is significant if, in the auditor’s professional view, it is reasonable to conclude that the matter would be of interest to the audited body or the wider public”

Our risk assessment supports the planning of sufficient work to enable us to deliver a safe conclusion on arrangements to secure value for money and enables us to determine the nature and extent of further work that may be required. If we do not identify any significant risks there is no requirement to carry out further work.

Our risk assessment has therefore considered both the potential financial impact of the issues we have identified, and also the likelihood that the issue will be of interest to local taxpayers, the Government and other stakeholders. This has resulted in the following significant VFM risks which we view as relevant to our value for money conclusion.

Significant value for money risks	Our audit approach
Medium term financial planning	
<p>The recent grant settlement communicated that national parks would remain with consistent funding to previous years. However, we note the level of uncertainty relating to the specific allocation of the National Parks Grant to the relevant Authorities in 2016/17 and in future years. Management have taken the view that 2016/17 financial planning will involve the use of reserves to support any budget shortfall, with the plan to develop a more detailed medium term response once the funding has become more certain.</p>	<p>We will continue to review the Authority’s arrangements throughout our audit, including achievement of the 2015/16 budget, financial planning for 2016/17 and 2017/18 and the robustness of any savings plans and future projected reserve levels.</p> <p>We will assess the arrangements being put in place to develop the medium term financial plan, and its consistency with the size, shape and direction of the Authority.</p>

4. Our audit process and strategy

4.1 Objective and scope of our audit

Under the Code of Audit Practice our principal objectives are to review and report on the Authority's:

- ▶ Financial statements
- ▶ Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources to the extent required by the relevant legislation and the requirements of the Code.

We issue an audit report that covers:

i **Financial statement audit**

Our objective is to form an opinion on the financial statements under International Standards on Auditing (UK and Ireland).

We will also review and report to the National Audit Office ('NAO'), to the extent and in the form required by them, on your Whole of Government Accounts return.

ii **Arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness**

The Code sets out our responsibility to satisfy ourselves that the Authority has put in place proper arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources.

4.2 Audit process overview

Our audit involves:

- ▶ Evaluating the design and implementation of key internal controls in place at the Authority;
- ▶ Reliance on the work of internal audit where appropriate;
- ▶ Procedures to establish reliance on the work of experts in relation to areas such as pensions and property valuations; and
- ▶ Substantive tests of detail of transactions and amounts.

Processes

We plan to rely on management procedures that operate at the financial statement or transactional level.

Our initial assessment has identified the following key processes that we will test:

- ▶ Clear communication of roles and responsibilities.
- ▶ Authorisation of significant transactions.
- ▶ Procedures to prepare financial statements.
- ▶ Management's review of the entity's financial performance.

Analytics

We will use our computer-based analytics tools to enable us to capture whole populations of your financial data, in particular journal entries. These tools:

- ▶ Help identify specific exceptions and anomalies which can then be subject to more traditional substantive audit tests
- ▶ Give greater likelihood of identifying errors than random sampling techniques.

We will report the findings from our process and analytics work, including any significant weaknesses or inefficiencies identified and recommendations for improvement, to management and the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee.

Internal audit

As in prior years, we will review internal audit plans and the results of their work. We will reflect the findings from these reports, together with reports from any other work completed in the year, in our audit strategy where we identify issues that could have an impact on the year-end financial statements

Use of specialists

When auditing key judgements, we are often required to rely on the input and advice provided by specialists who have qualifications and expertise not possessed by the core audit team. The areas where either EY or third party specialists provide input for the current year audit, whether as management’s experts or auditor’s experts are identified as:

Area	Specialists
PPE valuations	▶ Norfolk Property Services
Pension Liabilities	▶ EY pensions valuations team. ▶ PWC review of Hymans pension fund actuary

In accordance with Auditing Standards, we will evaluate each specialist’s professional competence and objectivity, considering their qualifications, experience and available resources, together with the independence of the individuals performing the work.

We also consider the work performed by the specialist in light of our knowledge of the Authority’s environment and processes and our assessment of audit risk in the particular area. For example, we would typically perform the following procedures:

- ▶ Analyse source data and make inquiries as to the procedures used by the expert to establish whether the source data is relevant and reliable;
- ▶ Assess the reasonableness of the assumptions and methods used;
- ▶ Consider the appropriateness of the timing of when the specialist carried out the work; and
- ▶ Assess whether the substance of the specialist’s findings are properly reflected in the financial statements.

4.3 Mandatory procedures required by auditing standards

As well as the financial statement risks outlined in section three, we must perform other procedures as required by auditing, ethical and independence standards, the Code and other

regulations. We outline below the procedures we will undertake during the course of our audit.

Procedures required by standards

- ▶ Addressing the risk of fraud and error;
- ▶ Significant disclosures included in the financial statements;
- ▶ Entity-wide controls;
- ▶ Reading other information contained in the financial statements and reporting whether it is inconsistent with our understanding and the financial statements;
- ▶ Auditor independence.

Procedures required by the Code

- ▶ Reviewing, and reporting on as appropriate, other information published with the financial statements, including the Annual Governance Statement
- ▶ Reviewing and reporting on the Whole of Government Accounts return, in line with the instructions issued by the NAO

Finally, we are also required to discharge our statutory duties and responsibilities as established by the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014.

4.4 Materiality

For the purposes of determining whether the financial statements are free from material error, we define materiality as the magnitude of an omission or misstatement that, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the users of the financial statements. Our evaluation requires professional judgement and so takes into account qualitative as well as quantitative considerations implied in the definition.

We have initially determined that overall materiality for the financial statements of the Authority is £148k based on 2% of gross expenditure. We will communicate uncorrected audit misstatements greater than £7k to you.

We will communicate any change in our materiality level to you after we have completed our interim procedures and received the draft financial statements.

The amount we consider material at the end of the audit may differ from our initial determination. At this stage, however, it is not feasible to anticipate all the circumstances that might ultimately influence our judgement. At the end of the audit we will form our final opinion by reference to all matters that could be significant to users of the financial statements, including the total effect of any audit misstatements, and our evaluation of materiality at that date.

4.5 Fees

The duty to prescribe fees is a statutory function delegated to Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) by the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. PSAA has published a scale fee for all relevant bodies. This is defined as the fee required by auditors to meet statutory responsibilities under the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 in accordance with the NAO Code. The indicative fee scale for the audit of the Broads Authority is £13,943.

4.6 Your audit team

The engagement team is led by Kevin Suter, who has significant experience within the Local Government sector. Kevin Suter is supported by Mark Russell who is responsible for the day-to-day direction of audit work and is the key point of contact for the Head of Finance.

4.7 Timetable of communication, deliverables and insights

We have set out below a timetable showing the key stages of the audit, including the Value for Money work and the Whole of Government Accounts. The timetable includes the deliverables we have agreed to provide to the Authority through the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee's cycle in 2015/16. These dates are determined to ensure our alignment with PSAA's rolling calendar of deadlines.

From time to time matters may arise that require immediate communication with the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee and we will discuss them with the Chair as appropriate.

Following the conclusion of our audit we will prepare an Annual Audit Letter to communicate the key issues arising from our work to the Authority and external stakeholders, including members of the public.

Audit phase	Timetable	Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee timetable	Deliverables
High level planning	January 2016		
Risk assessment and setting of scopes	January / February 2016	February 2016	Audit Plan
Testing of key management processes	February 2016		
Year-end audit	June – July 2016		
Completion of audit	July 2016	September 2016	Report to those charged with governance Audit report (including our opinion on the financial statements and a conclusion as to whether the Authority has put in place proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources). Audit completion certificate
Conclusion of reporting	October 2016		Annual Audit Letter

In addition to the above formal reporting and deliverables we will seek to provide practical business insights and updates on regulatory matters.

5. Independence

5.1 Introduction

The APB Ethical Standards and ISA (UK and Ireland) 260 'Communication of audit matters with those charged with governance', requires us to communicate with you on a timely basis on all significant facts and matters that bear on our independence and objectivity. The Ethical Standards, as revised in December 2010, require that we do this formally both at the planning stage and at the conclusion of the audit, as well as during the audit if appropriate. The aim of these communications is to ensure full and fair disclosure by us to those charged with your governance on matters in which you have an interest.

Required communications	
Planning stage	Final stage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The principal threats, if any, to objectivity and independence identified by EY including consideration of all relationships between you, your affiliates and directors and us; ▶ The safeguards adopted and the reasons why they are considered to be effective, including any Engagement Quality Review; ▶ The overall assessment of threats and safeguards; ▶ Information about the general policies and process within EY to maintain objectivity and independence. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ A written disclosure of relationships (including the provision of non-audit services) that bear on our objectivity and independence, the threats to our independence that these create, any safeguards that we have put in place and why they address such threats, together with any other information necessary to enable our objectivity and independence to be assessed; ▶ Details of non-audit services provided and the fees charged in relation thereto; ▶ Written confirmation that we are independent; ▶ Details of any inconsistencies between APB Ethical Standards, the PSAA Terms of Appointment and your policy for the supply of non-audit services by EY and any apparent breach of that policy; and ▶ An opportunity to discuss auditor independence issues.

During the course of the audit we must also communicate with you whenever any significant judgements are made about threats to objectivity and independence and the appropriateness of our safeguards, for example when accepting an engagement to provide non-audit services.

We also provide information on any contingent fee arrangements, the amounts of any future contracted services, and details of any written proposal to provide non-audit services;

We ensure that the total amount of fees that EY and our network firms have charged to you and your affiliates for the provision of services during the reporting period are disclosed, analysed in appropriate categories.

5.2 Relationships, services and related threats and safeguards

We highlight the following significant facts and matters that may be reasonably considered to bear upon our objectivity and independence, including any principal threats. However we have adopted the safeguards below to mitigate these threats along with the reasons why they are considered to be effective.

Self-interest threats

A self-interest threat arises when EY has financial or other interests in your entity. Examples include where we have an investment in your entity; where we receive significant fees in respect of non-audit services; where we need to recover long outstanding fees; or where we enter into a business relationship with the Authority.

At the time of writing, there are no long outstanding fees.

We believe that it is appropriate for us to undertake permissible non-audit services, and we will comply with the policies that the Authority has approved and that are in compliance with the PSAA's Term of Appointment.

A self-interest threat may also arise if members of our audit engagement team have objectives or are rewarded in relation to sales of non-audit services to the Authority. We confirm that no member of our audit engagement team, including those from other service lines, is in this position, in compliance with Ethical Standard 4.

There are no other self-interest threats at the date of this report.

Self-review threats

Self-review threats arise when the results of a non-audit service performed by EY or others within the EY network are reflected in the amounts included or disclosed in the financial statements.

There are no other self-review threats at the date of this report.

Management threats

Partners and employees of EY are prohibited from taking decisions on behalf of management of your entity. Management threats may also arise during the provision of a non-audit service where management is required to make judgements or decisions based on that work.

There are no other management threats at the date of this report.

Other threats

Other threats, such as advocacy, familiarity or intimidation, may arise.

There are no other threats at the date of this report.

Overall Assessment

Overall we consider that the adopted safeguards appropriately mitigate the principal threats identified, and we therefore confirm that EY is independent and the objectivity and independence of Kevin Suter, the audit engagement Director and the audit engagement team have not been compromised.

5.3 Other required communications

EY has policies and procedures that instil professional values as part of firm culture and ensure that the highest standards of objectivity, independence and integrity are maintained.

Details of the key policies and processes within EY for maintaining objectivity and independence can be found in our annual Transparency Report, which the firm is required to publish by law. The most recent version of this report is for the year ended June 2015 and can be found here:

[UK 2015 Transparency Report](#)

Appendix A Fees

A breakdown of our agreed fee is shown below.

	Planned Fee 2015/16 £	Outturn fee 2014/15 £
Opinion Audit and VFM Conclusion	13,943	13,943
Total Audit Fee – Code work	13,943	13,943

The agreed fee presented above is based on the following assumptions:

- ▶ Officers meeting the agreed timetable of deliverables;
- ▶ The operating effectiveness of the internal controls for the key processes outlined in section 4.2 above
- ▶ We are able to place reliance, as planned, on the work of internal audit;
- ▶ Our accounts opinion and value for money conclusion being unqualified;
- ▶ Appropriate quality of documentation is provided by the Authority; and
- ▶ The Authority has an effective control environment.

If any of the above assumptions prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation to the agreed fee. This will be discussed with the Authority in advance.

Fees for the auditor's consideration of correspondence from the public and formal objections will be charged in addition to the scale fee.

Appendix B UK required communications with those charged with governance

There are certain communications that we must provide to the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee. These are detailed here:

Required communication	Reference
<p>Planning and audit approach Communication of the planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations.</p>	▶ Audit Plan
<p>Significant findings from the audit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures ▶ Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit ▶ Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management ▶ Written representations that we are seeking ▶ Expected modifications to the audit report ▶ Other matters if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process 	▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>Misstatements</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion ▶ The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods ▶ A request that any uncorrected misstatement be corrected ▶ In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant 	▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>Fraud</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Enquiries of the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee to determine whether they have knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity ▶ Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that a fraud may exist ▶ A discussion of any other matters related to fraud 	▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>Related parties Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity's related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Non-disclosure by management ▶ Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions ▶ Disagreement over disclosures ▶ Non-compliance with laws and regulations ▶ Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity 	▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>External confirmations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Management's refusal for us to request confirmations ▶ Inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures 	▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>Consideration of laws and regulations</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Audit findings regarding non-compliance where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional. This communication is subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off ▶ Enquiry of the Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Audit Committee may be aware of 	▶ Report to those charged with governance

Required communication	Reference
<p>Independence</p> <p>Communication of all significant facts and matters that bear on EY's objectivity and independence</p> <p>Communication of key elements of the audit engagement director's consideration of independence and objectivity such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ The principal threats ▶ Safeguards adopted and their effectiveness ▶ An overall assessment of threats and safeguards ▶ Information about the general policies and process within the firm to maintain objectivity and independence 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Audit Plan ▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>Going concern</p> <p>Events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty ▶ Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements ▶ The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Report to those charged with governance
<p>Fee Information</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Breakdown of fee information at the agreement of the initial audit plan ▶ Breakdown of fee information at the completion of the audit 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Audit Plan ▶ Report to those charged with governance ▶ Annual Audit Letter if considered necessary

Independence

EY | Assurance | Tax | Transactions | Advisory

Ernst & Young LLP

© Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.
All Rights Reserved.

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

ey.com

Local government audit committee briefing

Contents at a glance

Government and economic news

Accounting, auditing and governance

Regulation news

Key questions for the audit committee

Find out more

This sector briefing is one of the ways that we hope to continue to support you and your organisation in an environment that is constantly changing and evolving.

It covers issues which may have an impact on your organisation, the Local government sector and the audits that we undertake.

The public sector audit specialists who transferred from the Audit Commission form part of EY's national Government and Public Sector (GPS) team. Their extensive public sector knowledge is now supported by the rich resource of wider expertise across EY's UK and international

business. This briefing reflects this, bringing together not only technical issues relevant to the local government sector but wider matters of potential interest to you and your organisation.

Links to where you can find out more on any of the articles featured can be found at the end of the briefing, as well as some examples of areas where EY can provide support to Local Authority bodies.

We hope that you find the briefing informative and should this raise any issues that you would like to discuss further please do contact your local audit team.



Government and economic news

EY Item Club Autumn Forecast

The latest EY Item Club forecast (Autumn 2015) predicts tougher times for the UK economy as what it describes as the 'consumer sugar rush' begins to fade.

GDP is forecast to grow by 2.5% this year (compared to 2.9% in 2014) and slow further to 2.4% in 2016 and 2.3% the year after. Consumer Price Inflation is expected to remain below target until 2018. Prospects for exports remain poor, and domestic consumption is likely to be affected by rising inflation and tighter fiscal policy from early 2016. Progress is seen to depend upon productivity gains rather than coming from the commodity price falls that are supporting demand this year. Businesses will need to work hard on overseas markets as opposed to relying on consumer-led domestic markets.

The forecast highlights that the last decade has seen a strong increase in the supply of labour which has depressed real wages and, arguably, productivity, but that we are now seeing a more normal recovery. This is characterised by an increase in the demand for labour, which boosts real wages and productivity. Wage inflation is highlighted as being strong. This is expected to be boosted further in April 2016 by the National Living Wage, the effects of which could be very significant for some sectors and regions.

Provided that increased productivity matches wage inflation, the expectation is that the Monetary Policy Committee will keep base rates on hold until next autumn.

For details of the EY Item Club's latest forecast, see <http://www.ey.com/UK/en/Issues/Business-environment/Financial-markets-and-economy/ITEM---Forecast-headlines-and-projections>

Housing Associations Right to Buy

The Chartered Institute of Public Financial Accountants (CIPFA) has produced a briefing following the Government's announcement in October that it intends to extend its Right to Buy scheme to Housing Associations. The briefing seeks to explore the potential impact of these plans on Local Authorities.

Local authority housing is intended to be self-financing, based on 30 year business plans established in 2012 with the HRA self-financing regime, with Council housing for each council financed from its own rental income. This principle was reflected in the 30 year business plans, but CIPFA suggests that these business plans do not reflect recent changes contained within the budget. These changes include amendments to the rent policies as well as the proposed sale of high value local authority housing stock in order to compensate housing associations for the shortfall in income caused by the new Right to Buy scheme.

According to CIPFA, research has shown properties sold under the existing Right to Buy scheme have in many instances returned to the rental market at a higher level of rent than council levels. They have cited the example of Barking and Dagenham where it is said that 41% of properties purchased under the Right to Buy scheme are now let privately.

CIPFA warns 'Any legislation that leads to a negative impact on the housing business plan models of local authorities could seriously undermine the very basis of self-financing which promised autonomy for local authorities in the delivery of housing in their areas.'



Government and economic news

However, Communities Secretary Greg Clark said:

“We’re determined to ensure that home ownership is seen as a reasonable aspiration for working people.

Right to Buy is a key part of this, offering a helping hand to millions of people who would have no hope of buying their own home without it.

Today’s historic agreement with housing associations and the National Housing Federation will extend that offer even more widely, whilst at the same time delivering thousands of new affordable homes across the country.”

The Government agreement with housing associations and the National Housing Federation will see housing association tenants able to buy their homes from 2016.

CIPFA’s briefing document can be downloaded from <http://www.cipfa.org/cipfa-thinks/briefings>, and further information from the government is available at <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/historic-agreement-will-extend-right-to-buy-to-13-million-more-tenants>

Consultation: improving efficiency on Council Tax Collection

Council tax collection rates have been relatively high in recent years: 97% across England in both 2014/15 and 2013/14. However, the Government is looking at ways to enable local authorities to further improve collection rates.

To this end, the Government has issued a consultation on its proposals to improve the collection and enforcement process for council tax. The government’s stated intention is to help local authorities to keep council tax rates low, and so the proposals are aimed at ensuring that everyone contributes fairly.

The consultation follows a trial by Manchester City Council, Salford City Council, HMRC and the Cabinet office under the ‘Better Business Compliance Cabinet programme’, and reflects consideration of the findings from this trial.

An example of this is the Government’s proposal to extend the data-sharing gateway which currently exists between HMRC and local authorities. This would enable HMRC to share employment information with councils where council tax debtors have not voluntarily shared the information within 14 days of receiving a liability order. Manchester estimates, based on its pilot with HMRC, that this would recover £2.5mn of debt in its area alone.

The consultation also asks for other suggestions to improve council tax collection.

Responses are requested by 18 November 2015.

For more information on the consultation and details on how to respond, please see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/466386/150930_Improving_Efficiency_of_Council_Tax_collection_Consultation_Doc.pdf



Government and economic news

Local Plans for New Homes

In October, the Government announced that councils will be required to produce local plans for new homes by 2017. Where councils fail to do so, the Government will consult with local people to ensure that plans are produced for them.

In 2012, the National Planning Policy Framework was introduced to provide guidance for local planning authorities and decision-takers, both in drawing up plans and making decisions about planning applications. This framework reinforced the role of local plans. It required the plans to include an annual trajectory over a period of around 15 years of how many homes they plan to build in their area, and it required local authorities to review this plan approximately every 5 years. Councils were also encouraged to give local people more say on where new developments would be located and what they would look like.

The Government have said that the response to this has been mixed:

- ▶ 82% of councils have published local plans which state how many homes they intend to build over a given period
- ▶ 65% have fully adopted these plans
- ▶ Nearly 20% of councils do not have an up to date plan

If councils fail to produce and bring into force an up to date plan for new homes by 2017, the Government intends to work with local people to ensure one is created.

Read the government press release at <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/prime-minister-councils-must-deliver-local-plans-for-new-homes-by-2017>



Accounting, auditing and governance

Proposals for further emergency services collaboration announced

The Government has launched a consultation which is looking into how the three core emergency services of Police, Fire and Rescue and the Ambulance service could potentially work together in a more efficient and effective manner. Key features of the consultation include:

- ▶ Enabling Police and Crime Commissioners (PCCs) to take on the duties and responsibilities of Fire and Rescue Authorities where a local case was made for this to happen
- ▶ Where a case is made by a local PCC to take on such a role, there would also be the possibility for them to take on the role of a single employer and in doing so enable the sharing of back office support functions
- ▶ Improving joint working between PCCs and local NHS Ambulance Foundation Trusts by encouraging them to allow PCCs to sit on their Council of Governors

The Government also intends to introduce a new statutory duty for the three emergency services to collaborate with one another; and sees this as not being a burden, but is about seeking efficiencies.

However, a key legal distinction would remain under the new proposals, in that a member of a police force will not be permitted under law to become a firefighter, and firefighters will not be given the power of arrest. In order to maintain transparency for local taxpayers, funding from central government will remain separate for police and fire organisations, as will council tax precepts.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/news/proposals-for-further-emergency-services-collaboration-announced>

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/459986/Consultation_-_Enabling_closer_working_between_the_Emergency_Services__w__2_.pdf

Finance in the Cloud?

Cloud computing allows users to rent access to a variety of virtual computing options, conveniently, ranging from network-accessible data storage and software development environments to fully featured applications. As such, the data and applications are not required to be stored on local servers or 'on-premise'; rather, they are hosted and managed by third-party cloud service providers (CSPs).

Enterprises essentially outsource varying levels of IT functionality to CSPs, and users only need an internet connection to access the data and applications via virtual servers. By moving into the cloud, organisations have the potential to reduce greatly, or even eliminate, the total cost of ownership (TCO) of the IT function, thereby forever altering their business model.

The benefits of cloud adoption are highly touted. However, over a decade ago, on-premise enterprise resource planning (ERP) solutions made similar promises. Although the trigger for rushed ERP implementations in the 1990s was the much-fretted Year 2000 (or Y2K) calamity, Y2K concerns turned out to be largely unfounded, and many finance executives would now argue that they have yet to reap genuine, tangible benefits from investing in costly ERP systems.



Accounting, auditing and governance

Although a company's financial management system is critical to success, EY is finding that many organisations have systems averaging from 10 to 15 years old, with upgrade cycles ranging from 5 to 10 years. Despite aging legacy systems, many finance decision-makers are hazy on how cloud solutions are really any different from the ERP solutions hyped in the previous decade.

Organisations that truly understand cloud technology, as well as the associated challenges and risks, are better placed to manage the impact of cloud computing on the finance function. Moreover, they must engage an agile innovation strategy focused on deploying the right operating model in order to realize fully the benefits of cloud computing.

In EY's experience, organisations that fail to make a robust cloud risk assessment often need to make subsequent, costly changes to the cloud model, thereby negating any savings gained from cloud migration. EY recommends that organisations develop a clear, attainable cloud strategy, including an appropriate operating model accompanied with a cloud risk management approach to mitigate risks and avoid a premature move to the cloud.

EY has a proven framework for cloud models, along with risk assessments and broad-based diagnostics to evaluate and optimise a cloud strategy that enables minimal disruption whilst accelerating an organisation's evolution. For more information on this, please talk to a member of your engagement team or read the EY publication at http://performance.ey.com/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2015/10/EY-Performance-Finance-in-the-cloud_Final.pdf

Value for Money Conclusion guidance

The NAO have recently released a consultation document (<http://www.nao.org.uk/keep-in-touch/wp-content/uploads/sites/11/2015/08/Vfm-arrangements-auditor-guidance-consultation-document.pdf>) a consultation document for auditors on their review of arrangements to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in their use of resources. This is also referred to as the three E's or the Value for Money (VfM) conclusion. The guidance covers the VfM work for 2015/16.

Based on the responses received to a similar consultation in 2014 the new draft guidance seeks to:

- ▶ Take forward existing guidance and reflect changing circumstance for public sector organisations such as finding savings and maintain financial stability over the medium and long term
- ▶ Update the definition of 'proper arrangements'
- ▶ Strengthen guidance on the identification and work around significant risks whilst maintaining a risk based approach
- ▶ Update and clarify the range of reporting opinions available to auditors and expectations at key stages of the audit
- ▶ Maintain sector specific guidance that will sit outside of the statutory guidance but can provide up-to-date information on sector specific risks

The consultation closed on 30 September and the NAO will communicate a summary of the responses once they have reviewed them. Further information can be found at <https://www.nao.org.uk/keep-in-touch/our-surveys/consultation-auditors-work-on-value-for-money-arrangements/>.



Regulation news

Consultation on 2016/17 proposed fee scales

Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA) is currently consulting on both the work programme and scale of fees for 2016/17 audits. The consultation describes the work that auditors will undertake at principal audited bodies for 2016/17 and their associated scales of fees.

There are no planned changes to the overall work programme for 2016/17 and their proposal is to set scale audit fees at the same level as the scale fees for 2015/16 which already reflect a reduction of 25% in addition to the reduction of up to 40% made from 2012/13.

A change in accounting requirements in 2016/17 relating to highways infrastructure assets will require additional audit work at some authorities. As the amount will differ between authorities, the fee variation process will apply in 2016/17 for this additional work.

The consultation closes on Friday 15th January 2016, and the final work programme will be published following this in March 2016.

For details of the consultation, please refer to the PSAA website at <http://www.psaa.co.uk/audit-and-certification-fees/consultation-on-201617-proposed-fee-scales/>

NAO Case Study: Managing reductions in local authority government funding

The National Audit Office (NAO) has made available more than 30 case studies which give examples of how organisations have used their recommendations or analysis to support the achievement of financial savings.

One of these case studies follows the production of its 2014 report 'Financial Sustainability of Local Services'

The NAO case study states that following their report, the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) has acknowledged that its processes for estimating local authority spending requirements and assessment the potential impacts of spending reductions need to be improved.

They also note use of their report in the sector, citing the following examples:

- ▶ Leeds City Council and Birmingham City Council have drawn on the work in their debates with central government over devolution
- ▶ Wolverhampton City Council and Oldham Council have used the work to inform discussion and decision-making in cabinet meetings and audit and scrutiny meetings
- ▶ The Local Government Association and treasurers' societies have used the analysis from the report to inform their thinking

Find out more about the impact made by NAO reports in their interactive pdf at <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/Impacts-case-studies-2014.pdf>



Find out more

EY Item Club Autumn Forecast

For details of the EY Item Club's latest forecast, see <http://www.ey.com/UK/en/Issues/Business-environment/Financial-markets-and-economy/ITEM---Forecast-headlines-and-projections>

Housing Associations Right to Buy

For further information, please see the government press release at <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/historic-agreement-will-extend-right-to-buy-to-13-million-more-tenants> and access the CIPFA report at <http://www.cipfa.org/cipfa-thinks/briefings>

Consultation: Improving Efficiency on Council Tax Collection

For more information on the consultation and details on how to respond, please see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/466386/150930_Improving_Efficiency_of_Council_Tax_collection_Consultation_Doc.pdf

Local Plans for New Homes

Read the government press release at <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/prime-minister-councils-must-deliver-local-plans-for-new-homes-by-2017>

Proposals for further emergency services collaboration announced

For more information on the Government's proposals, please see <https://www.gov.uk/government/news/proposals-for-further-emergency-services-collaboration-announced>, and for a copy of the consultation document please see https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/459986/Consultation_-_Enabling_closer_working_between_the_Emergency_Services__w__2_.pdf

Finance in the Cloud?

To find out more about Cloud Computing and how EY can support you, please ask a member of your engagement team or read the EY publication at http://performance.ey.com/wp-content/uploads/downloads/2015/10/EY-Performance-Finance-in-the-cloud_Final.pdf

Value for Money Conclusion guidance

Further information can be found at <https://www.nao.org.uk/keep-in-touch/our-surveys/consultation-auditors-work-on-value-for-money-arrangements/>, and a copy of the NAO's consultation document is available at <http://www.nao.org.uk/keep-in-touch/wp-content/uploads/sites/11/2015/08/Vfm-arrangements-auditor-guidance-consultation-document.pdf>

Consultation on 2016/17 proposed fee scales

For further details on the consultation and how to respond to it, please visit:

<http://www.psa.co.uk/audit-and-certification-fees/consultation-on-201617-proposed-fee-scales/>

NAO Case Study: Managing reductions in local authority government funding

Find out more about the impact made by NAO reports in their interactive pdf at <https://www.nao.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2015/10/Impacts-case-studies-2014.pdf>

About EY

EY is a global leader in assurance, tax, transaction and advisory services. The insights and quality services we deliver help build trust and confidence in the capital markets and in economies the world over. We develop outstanding leaders who team to deliver on our promises to all of our stakeholders. In so doing, we play a critical role in building a better working world for our people, for our clients and for our communities.

EY refers to the global organization, and may refer to one or more, of the member firms of Ernst & Young Global Limited, each of which is a separate legal entity. Ernst & Young Global Limited, a UK company limited by guarantee, does not provide services to clients. For more information about our organization, please visit ey.com.

Ernst & Young LLP

The UK firm Ernst & Young LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC300001 and is a member firm of Ernst & Young Global Limited.

Ernst & Young LLP, 1 More London Place, London, SE1 2AF.

© 2015 Ernst & Young LLP. Published in the UK.
All Rights Reserved.

ED None

37350.indd (UK) 11/15. Artwork by Creative Services Group Design.



In line with EY's commitment to minimise its impact on the environment, this document has been printed on paper with a high recycled content.

Information in this publication is intended to provide only a general outline of the subjects covered. It should neither be regarded as comprehensive nor sufficient for making decisions, nor should it be used in place of professional advice. Ernst & Young LLP accepts no responsibility for any loss arising from any action taken or not taken by anyone using this material.

ey.com/uk