

Name:	Organisation/Group:	If your representation is on behalf of a group, how many people support it?	Names of those in the group.	Part of Local Plan	Meets the legal and procedural requirements?	Met the tests of soundness ?	If not meet tests of soundness, which test?	Why you consider this part of the Plan is not legally compliant or sound/comment	Have you raised this issue during previous consultations on the Local Plan?	What change(s) you consider necessary to make the Plan legally compliant or sound.	Participate in public examination?	Notified of the stages of the Local Plan?
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			OBJ14	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			PUBDM2	Yes	Yes			Yes		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 13 Climate Change	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 14 Water Use and Quality	Yes	Yes			Yes		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 15 Flooding	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 17 Blue and Green Infrastructure	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 19 Heritage and Historic Assets	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 20 Natural Environment	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			PUBDM21	Yes	No	Justified	Feel that there is no justification for onshore wind turbines in the Broads given the local off shore capacity and potential	Yes	Insert clear policy against any wind turbines in the Broads	No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 22 Landscape Character	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 24 Tranquility and Light Pollution	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			PUBSP9	Yes	Yes			No		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 26 Broads Economy	Yes	No	Justified	Have concerns about the Marketing Guides 12 month marketing period requirement	Yes	Reduce marketing requirement to 6 months to reduce the possibility of stagnation	No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 28 Sustainable Tourism	Yes	Yes			Yes		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			PUBSP13 Sub-section 4	Yes	No	Effective	No baseline data provided to clarify what 'adequate water depths' may be	No	Qualify how adequate water depths are assessed	No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			PUBSP15	Yes	Yes			Yes		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			PUBDM46	Yes	No	Justified	The Society still feels that there is a clear difference between 'residential moorings' and 'liveaboards' and there is still concern that the issue of 'liveaboards' is not dealt with adequately. In fact, the Local Plan fails to identify any difference between the two types of residential mooring. Liveaboards by their nature represent a more transient residential use of the waterways (beyond the use of short-term moorings and not as permanent as residential moorings).	Yes	New Policy	No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			Section 31 Design	Yes	No			Yes		No	Yes
Martin Thirkettle	The Broads Society			38 Brundall Riverside	Yes	No	Justified	The Society still has concerns about the potential stagnation and degradation of the area that is likely to occur if the current highway situation is not addressed. The problem of access via Station Road has inhibited the development potential of the area for decades – a situation which Norfolk County Council has failed to address. Given that the carriageway is unlikely to be widened, the Society would encourage the Broads Authority to enter into dialogue with Norfolk County Council to try and put in place a traffic management scheme which would allow more diversification within the estate, subject to other criteria in the plan. Without this, a number of boatyards are likely to fail and the area is likely to gradually degrade. Given the proximity of Brundall railway station and nearby bus routes into the city, the area could be a focus for sustainable tourism opportunities.	Yes	Address the highway issues	No	Yes