

From: [Natalie Beal](#)
To: [PlanningPolicy](#)
Subject: FW: Policy PUBDM26 Chedgrave Area 2019 Broads Local Plan Objection
Date: 26 September 2025 13:27:05

From: Fiona Husband [REDACTED]
Sent: 26 September 2025 12:47
To: Planning <Planning@broads-authority.gov.uk>; Natalie Beal <Natalie.Beal@broads-authority.gov.uk>
Subject: Policy PUBDM26 Chedgrave Area 2019 Broads Local Plan Objection

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION - External Email: This email originated from outside the Broads Authority's email system.

Do not click links or open attachments if you do not recognise the sender. If unsure, contact IT.

OBJECTION

IT IS NOT NECESSARY TO LABEL THIS SECTION OF LAND HERE IN CHEDGRAVE AS SETTLEMENT FRINGE.

WE HAVE PLENTY OF LABELS & DON'T NEED AN ADDITIONAL LABEL

AS FAR AS LANDSCAPE GOES, ANY GREEN AREA HAS TREES PLANTED BY THE LANDOWNERS – MOST OF WHICH ARE NOT NATIVE SPECIES.

I WOULD HAVE THOUGHT YOU SHOULD BE CONCERNED WITH THE AREAS THAT ARE NOT PART OF THE SETTLEMENT.

THE DISTANCE FROM BRIDGE STREET IN LODDON IS NOT RELEVANT. OUR SITES HAVE BEEN HERE A LOT LONGER THAN MOST OF THE CLOSER SITES TO BRIDGE STREET INCLUDING LODDON QUAY.

WE ARE NOT ON THE FRINGE OF CHEDGRAVE, WE ARE WELL AND TRULY CHEDGRAVE AND AGAIN BEEN HERE BEFORE LONGER THAN MUCH OF THE DEVELOPMENT IN CHEDGRAVE.

The character of the land nominated does not meet with the character definition of what the policy is seeking to protect (pages attached). Much of the area designated is already fully developed and there is no formal specific site justification for the site designation. The remaining undeveloped sections are already designated as Priority Habitat: Deciduous Woodland and Lowland Fen and all lie within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Therefore, it is not necessary to have yet another designation.

This is all private land and most trees have been planted over the years by the landowners. It is and has been for many years complete settlement. It is part of the settlement. The gardens and land are already have restrictions though I believe our government would be most happy for development here – in fill I believe it's called.

The boatyards have always used the land for storage of boats, general storage and customer cars. These commercial businesses have been very important to the local economy.

We would suggest that a site visit rather than an aerial photograph to establish landscape designation to be the way forward.

This area should not be designated as Settlement Fringe and is not Settlement Fringe as outlined within the character definition of the policy.

Settlement Fringe in this area should be the marshes.

I strongly feel that the Broads Authority are unnecessarily adding an extra label to our land. It is not comparable to Acle Recreation Centre and such.

It was purely by chance that I learned of this landscape designation which just isn't good enough.

You have caused us to spend a lot of time and money on this and over the years, in particular, with the Local Green Space objection. This needs to stop.

The online form is way too difficult to complete and not clear at all.

This section of Chedgrave under Settlement Fringe is not necessary.

Regards

Fiona Husband

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.