

**Thorpe St Andrew  
Neighbourhood Plan  
Consultation Statement  
March 2023**

To accompany the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan for Examination

## Contents

---

1. Introduction	3
2. Designation of the Neighbourhood Area	5
3. Community Engagement Stages	6
4. Communication	13
5. Conclusion	14
 <b>Appendices:</b>	
<b>Appendix A</b> Neighbourhood Plan Area Designation and Map	17
<b>Appendix B</b> Thorpe St Andrew Spring Newsletter 2017	18
<b>Appendix C</b> –Neighbourhood Plan Working Group Minutes June 2017	20
<b>Appendix D</b> – Results of 2017 Survey	21
<b>Appendix E</b> – Results of 2018 Survey	79
<b>Appendix F</b> – Regulation 14 Response Table	92

# Chapter 1: Introduction

---

- 1.1 The Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Development Plan is a community-led document for guiding the future development of the parish. It is the first of its kind for Thorpe St Andrew and a part of the Government's current approach to planning. It has been undertaken with extensive community engagement, consultation and communication.
- 1.2 The Consultation Statement is designed to meet the requirements set out in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 for Consultation Statements. This document sets out the consultation process employed in the production of the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Development Plan. It also demonstrates how the requirements of Regulation 14 and 15 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012 have been satisfied.
- 1.3 The Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Working Group (TSA NPWG) have endeavoured to ensure that the Neighbourhood Plan reflects the desires of the local community and key stakeholders, which have been engaged with from the outset of developing the Plan.
- 1.4 This consultation statement has been prepared to fulfil the legal obligations of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.
- 1.5 Section 15(2) of Part 5 of the Regulations sets out what a consultation statement should contain:
  - a) Details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.
  - b) Explains how they were consulted.
  - c) Summarises the main issues and concerns that were raised by the persons consulted.
  - d) Describes how these issues and concerns have been considered and where relevant, addressed in the proposed Neighbourhood Plan.
- 1.6 This consultation statement will also demonstrate that the process undertaken to produce the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Development Plan has complied with Section 14 of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012. This sets out that before submitting a Neighbourhood Plan to the Local Planning Authority (in this case Broadland District Council and the Broads Authority) a qualifying body (in this case the Town Council) must:
  - i. Publicise, in a manner that it is likely to bring it to the attention of people who live or work within Thorpe St Andrew civil parish,
  - ii. Provide details of the proposals within the Neighbourhood Plan.
  - iii. Provide details of where, how and when the proposals within the Plan can be inspected.
  - iv. Set out how representations may be made; and

- v. Set out the date for when those representations must be received, being not less than 6 weeks from the date from when the draft proposals are first publicised.
- vi. Consult any consultation body referred to in Para 1 of Schedule 1 whose interests the qualifying body may be affected by the proposals for a Neighbourhood Plan.
- vii Send a copy of the Neighbourhood Plan to the Local Planning Authority.

1.7 Furthermore the Planning Practice Guidance (PPG) at paragraph 15, requires that the qualifying body should be inclusive and open in the preparation of its Neighbourhood Plan and to ensure that the wider community:

- is kept fully informed of what is being proposed,
- can make their views known throughout the process,
- has opportunities to be actively involved in shaping the emerging Neighbourhood Plan.
- Is made aware of how their views have informed the draft Neighbourhood Plan or Order

## 2. Designation of the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Development Plan Area

---

- 2.1 The idea of producing a Neighbourhood Plan for Thorpe St Andrew formally began in April 2017 when Neighbourhood Area was designated by Broadland District Council. The Neighbourhood Area covers the entire civil parish of Thorpe St Andrew. The Thorpe St Andrew NDP Neighbourhood Area Map can be found on Broadland District Council's website:  
<https://www.southnorfolkandbroadland.gov.uk/downloads/file/88/thorpe-st-andrew-neighbourhood-area-map>

The Neighbourhood Plan area Map can be found in **Appendix A**.

- 2.2 A Neighbourhood Plan Working Group was established by the Town Council who have acted as the Qualifying Body for the production of the Neighbourhood Plan. The Working Group comprised four Town Councillors and three local residents, one of whom was a local business owner, one was a member of the Thorpe St Andrew History Group and one was a chartered Town Planner acting in a voluntary capacity. The Working Group was assisted by the Town Clerk and in later stages by an Independent Planning Consultant. The preparation of this NDP has been informed throughout by a comprehensive programme of consultation which took place over a number of years, although it should be remembered that this included the period of the COVID-19 pandemic which presented some issues around community consultation.
- 2.3 A key driver for the Neighbourhood Plan was to give residents a voice in the sustainable development of the Parish, by developing a Plan that is inclusive, innovative and bespoke to the needs of the parish. The Plan is based on evidence from local people, preserving unique and positive features that residents value. It promotes community cohesion and develops a framework for economic, social, and environmental sustainability.
- 2.4 To spread the word about the emerging Neighbourhood Plan, the Working Group agreed engagement needed to be effective throughout the process if it were to result in a well-informed plan and a sense of local ownership. Communication is dealt with in **Section 4** of this report.

### 3. Community Engagement Stages

---

- 3.1 The Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Working Group led on the preparation of the Neighbourhood Plan, and it is hoped that the document reflects the community's vision and aspirations for the future of the parish. In order, to create a Plan that represents the needs and aspirations of residents, the Working Group and Town Council have drawn upon a number of sources including evidence gathered through the various stages and as a result of stakeholder and community input.
- 3.2 The Town Council's website contains details of the progress of the Neighbourhood Plan, together with copies of the consultation materials and feedback from those events. It was suggested to create a dedicated site; however the Town Council website is widely known in the local area, and therefore would be widely recognised and remembered by residents wanting more information on the NDP. The Town Council website has been updated regularly to provide information to residents about the process and as well as advance notice of any consultations or events and any write ups from those events. The Town Council has maintained an extensive mailing list of people who have indicated they wish to be kept informed of plan progress and this has been used to regularly update on plan progress and inform of specific consultation events.
- 3.4 Details of all consultation events and regular updates on Neighbourhood Plan progress were also published in the Thorpe St Andrew Parish newsletter. Posters, banners and flyers were used to publicise events. Feedback from the consultation events indicated that the parish magazine was the most effective form of communicating and promoting the Neighbourhood Plan events. An update for the Town Council on Neighbourhood Plan progress was presented at every relevant meeting.
- 3.5 Key stages in community engagement and communication can be summarised as follows:
- Newsletters, published at least twice a year to all households in Thorpe St Andrew
  - Posters; across 15 locations
  - Events: attendance at well attended local events, such as each St George's Day, Church Fetes, Summer Fetes and Christmas Events
  - Facebook and Twitter posts
  - Public Meetings; arranged and widely publicised.
  - Embedded 'Neighbourhood Plan' promotional pop-ups on the Town Council website.
  - Initial Evidence gathering event in April 2017
  - Policy ideas consultation event in July 2018
  - Formal public consultation on the Regulation 14 draft Neighbourhood Plan between June and August 2021.

### **Evidence Gathering, and initial ideas – 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2017**

- 3.6 The Neighbourhood Plan was promoted at the Thorpe St Andrew annual St George's Day event and had been publicised in the Spring 2017 Town Council Newsletter, which is delivered to every household (**Appendix B**). Over 2000 people attended the event as a whole. The Neighbourhood Plan Working Group sought to gain some early feedback on initial key themes and ideas from members of the public and had a stall promoting the Neighbourhood Plan and explaining the background. Attendees were asked to identify some priorities for the town by placing 'pom poms' in relevant glass jars. Members of the public were also encouraged to write their ideas down and annotate a map of the parish with areas for protection, areas for improvement, areas where development should take place and areas where development should not take place. The results of the event were reported to the Working Party at its June meeting (**Appendix C**). The key issues that emerged related to the protection of green spaces, the location of new housing development, community facilities, and traffic and parking issues.




Fig X: St George's Day April 2017

### **Survey – August to December 2017**

- 3.7 Following consideration of the feedback from the St George's Day event, the Working Party produced a survey questionnaire which sought to explore those issues in more detail. The Survey was originally launched in August 2017 and the period for responding was later extended to December 2017. The survey was publicised using social media and the survey data was captured using Survey Monkey. Later in 2017, a survey was undertaken which built on the results of the initial launch day. The survey was extended into the Autumn and promoted in the Autumn parish newsletter. 186 forms were returned.






3.8 Following the closing date, the results were analysed by the Working Group and the full results published in the Spring 2018 newsletter. **(See Appendix D).**

### **Policy Ideas- 21<sup>st</sup> July 2018**

3.9 The survey results were used to formulate some draft policy ideas which in turn were the subject of further public consultation beginning in July 2018, which extended in the Autumn of 2018. The Policy Ideas consultation was launched with a specific event on 21<sup>st</sup> July that invited attendees to comment upon the draft policies covering a range of issues such as the protection of specific green spaces, car parking, residential moorings, protection of the historic environment, employment land, design and residential amenity. Hard copies of the survey forms were available at the launch and the survey was then available on the website via Survey Monkey. The survey was then repeatedly promoted using social media. Responses from 89 individuals were received.







- 3.10 The results of the survey on Policy Ideas were analysed during early 2019 and a summary of the results was published in the Spring 2019 Newsletter. The full results were available on the Town Council website and are reproduced in **Appendix E**.

**Neighbourhood Plan 'News'**

In January 2019, the Panel looked at the latest results from the most recent public consultation. The number of responses received was 89, compared to 50 at the previous meeting held in October 2018. After discussing the level of consultation with Broadland District Council in early 2018, it was felt that the Town Council was able to demonstrate strong evidence of public feedback. The Panel had proposed some interim changes in October and these were confirmed at the January 2019 meeting; after reading the feedback from those who responded. Although no significant changes or amendments had been put forward, a number of changes were made to ensure the policies were clear and well balanced. The proposed Whitlingham Lane car park was removed and areas for new residential mooring were suggested. All the proposed policies had the majority of support from those who undertook the survey. The Panel agreed to send the draft policies to Broadland District Council and the Broads Authority for feedback. There will be further chances to have your say and the decision to adopt the plan will be taken by you!

- 3.11 Following analysis of the result of the Policy ideas consultation, work began on drafting the Neighbourhood Plan. Whilst good progress was made on the draft,

progress was interrupted by the Covid-19 pandemic in March 2020. The pandemic itself made the potential to undertake further community engagement on the plan very difficult but also it meant that the Town Council was engaged in other priorities whilst it supported its community through the pandemic.

**Regulation 14 Consultation – 28<sup>th</sup> June 2021 to 10<sup>th</sup> August 2021.**

3.12 In June 2021, formal consultation began on the Regulation 14 – Pre-Submission draft of the Neighbourhood Plan. Consultation on the Neighbourhood Plan was launched via a programme of seven community engagement drop-in style events held at the Town Hall, where members of the Working Group and the Town Council were available to answer questions on the Plan and to encourage local residents to comment on the draft plan in writing. Hard copies of the Neighbourhood Plan were available to view at the Town Council offices.



The events were promoted using social media, the mailing list and the newsletter.



**Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan - we want to hear from you!**

As the Neighbourhood Plan nears completion we want to hear from you. Since 2017, Thorpe St Andrew Town Councillors and residents together have been preparing the draft Neighbourhood Plan for Thorpe St Andrew. Now, following some Covid-19 related delay, we are ready to undertake our final consultation before submission to Broadland District Council and the Broads Authority.

The six-week consultation will start on Monday 28th June 2021 and we will seek your views on the policies, which have been written following previous consultations and the results of Neighbourhood Plan surveys at events such as St Georges Days and the Summer Fete at Thorpe St Andrew Church.

Once passed, the community will have a document which must be referred to by planners when deciding applications in our town. It will also mean the community gets a greater proportion of developer contributions, meaning greater improvements can be made in the community.

This will not be the end of the road for the Neighbourhood Plan, as updates and changes will then be possible. So, if you are interested in getting involved in working with us to inform future changes, do get in touch and register your support by emailing [office@thorpeandrew-tc.gov.uk](mailto:office@thorpeandrew-tc.gov.uk).

Take our survey by scanning the QR code or logon to: [www.surveymonkey.co.uk/t/TSAneighbourhoodplan](http://www.surveymonkey.co.uk/t/TSAneighbourhoodplan)

3.13 In addition to the community engagement, the Neighbourhood Plan was also available on the Town Council website with an accompanying Survey Monkey form which could be filled in to submit comments. The list of statutory consultees notified is as follows:

- Broadland District Council

- The Broads Authority
- Norwich City Council
- Chief Executive Norfolk Chamber of Commerce
- Conservation Officer RSPB
- County Cllrs
- District Cllrs
- Clive Lewis MP for Norwich South
- Chloe Smith MP for Norwich North
- Jerome Mayhew MP for Broadland
- Parish Clerk for Rackheath
- Parish Clerk to Old Catton
- Parish Clerk for Gt and Lt Plumstead
- Parish Clerk for Hemblington Parish Council
- Town Clerk for Sprowston Town Council
- DIO Assistant Safeguarding Manager Defence Infrastructure Organisation
- Diocese of Norwich
- East of England Office Historic England
- East of England Office National Trust
- Broads Internal Drainage Board
- Norfolk Rivers IDB
- Anglian Water Services Ltd
- Water Management Alliance
- Ecology and Planning Advisor Norfolk Wildlife Trust
- Essex & Suffolk Water
- Norfolk CPRE
- National Grid
- Essex, Norfolk & Suffolk Sustainable Places Team Environment Agency
- Norfolk County Council
- Highways England
- Land Use Operations Natural England
- National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups
- Norfolk & Suffolk Gypsy Roma & Traveller Service
- Senior Growing Places Fund Co-ordinator New Anglia LEP
- Senior Planning Manager Sport England (East)
- Spatial Planning Advisor Anglian Water
- Stakeholder Engagement Team UK Power Networks
- Stakeholders & Networks Officer Marine Management Organisation
- Strategy Manager New Anglia LEP
- Norfolk Constabulary
- Norfolk Preservation Society
- Theatres Trust
- Three
- Town Planning Team Network Rail Infrastructure Limited
- Transco - National Grid
- Vodafone and O2 - EMF Enquiries
  - Anglian Water Services Ltd

- British Telecommunications plc.
- Homes England
- NHS England
- Norfolk Constabulary
- Norfolk Constabulary - Estates Department (HQ)
- Norfolk Geodiversity Partnership
- NCC Historic Environment Service
- Norfolk Wildlife Trust
- Norfolk & Waveney Clinical Commissioning Group
- North Norfolk Clinical Commissioning Group
- Norwich Clinical Commissioning Group
- Norwich International Airport Ltd.
- Hutchison 3G UK Limited
- Mobile Operators Association
- National Grid
- Network Rail
- Npower Limited
- O2 (UK) Limited
- UK Power Networks
- Vodafone Limited
- Virgin Media
- City Fibre
- SSE Telecom
- Zayo
- ITS Technology
- Hyperoptic
- CTIL
- Landowners and Agents (including owners of green spaces)

3.14 Statutory consultees received details of the consultation dates and how to make comments well as a range of local consultees and interested groups and organisations.

3.15 Responses to the Regulation 14 consultation were received from :

- Broad Authority
- Natural England
- Norfolk County Council
- Broadland District Council
- Historic England
- National Grid
- Norfolk Constabulary
- Barton Wilmore
- Sport England
- Broads Drainage Board
- 35 individuals

3.16 All comments received during the consultation are shown in the table in **Appendix F**.

Following the end of the consultation period, all representations were analysed and a number of amendments to the Plan were agreed as a result of issues raised during the consultation period. The main changes to the Neighbourhood Plan are summarised as follows:

### **General**

- Updates to reflect the 2021 NPPF and other national guidance references.
- Updates to reflect GNLP latest.
- Updates to reflect Broads LP position.
- General factual updating.
- Clarification of general text and process.

### **Policies**

**Policy 1:** Requires review to refer to those spaces worthy of LGS designation and those that require other protection e.g. biodiversity.

- Broadened to become more of a natural environment policy with specific protection for specific sites.
- General tightening up of wording and justification of supporting text in some areas.

**Policy 2:** Recast to make it a specific design policy and include more detail on materials, layout, density, design, landscaping, eco design, secure by design, character etc.

**Policy 3:** Minor clarifications

- Reference to NCC and Broadland parking standards

**Policy 4:** Recast to make solely an amenity policy and relocated design elements to Policy 2

**Policy 5:** Review for conformity with Broads LP policies

**Policy 6:** Minor clarifications

- Tighten wording to be more specific.
- Update in the light of Use Classes Order Revisions
- Mapping

**Policy 7:** Recast to ensure both protection of existing amenities and guidance for the creation of new facilities are covered.

- Minor rewording
- Incorporate reference to the accessibility of user groups.

**Policy 8:** Review to ensure clear guidance provided for designated assets but do not repeat national guidance

### **Implementation and Monitoring**

- Section requires more detail on review period and responsibilities for monitoring.

### **Appendices**

- Review character statement for clarity and for specific guidance
- Review all mapping for clarity and accuracy.

## **REG 16 – Submission**

- 3.17 Following consideration of the revised Neighbourhood Plan documents at the Working Group meetings of November 2022 and January 2023, and approval by Thorpe St Andrew Parish Council on 6<sup>th</sup> February and 15<sup>th</sup> March 2023, the Neighbourhood Plan and its supporting documents were submitted to Broadland District Council.
- 3.18 The documents together with this Consultation Statement and the Basic Conditions Statement can be viewed on Broadland District Council’s Neighbourhood Plan pages of their website:

<https://www.southnorfolkandbroadland.gov.uk/emerging-neighbourhood-plans-broadland/thorpe-st-andrew-neighbourhood-plan>

## **4. Communication**

---

- 4.1 Good communication is key to the local community feeling included and informed about the progress and content of the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan.
- 4.2 The Neighbourhood Plan had a specific page on the Town Council website which was updated regularly during the production of the Neighbourhood Plan and new information included to publicise upcoming consultations as well as the results of the consultation exercises including all exhibition and consultation material, Neighbourhood Plan documents and contact details.
- 4.3 To spread news of the emerging Neighbourhood Plan, the Working Group used:
- Town Council website
  - Newsletters, published at least twice a year to all households in Thorpe St Andrew
  - Posters; across 15 locations
  - Events: attendance at well attended local events, such as each St George’s Day, Church Fetes, Summer Fetes and Christmas Events
  - Facebook and Twitter posts
  - Public Meetings; arranged and widely publicised.
  - Embedded ‘Neighbourhood Plan’ promotional pop-ups on the Town Council website.
  - Event posters which went up throughout the Parish
  - Updates to the Town Council
  - Regular articles and updates in the Town Newsletter
- 4.4 Copies of relevant information for events and consultation documents were placed on the website so that anyone unable to attend the events was able to view the information. The results of each stage of consultation have also been placed on the website to provide an overall picture of comments received.

## 5. Conclusion

---

- 5.1 The programme of community engagement and communications carried out during the production of the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan used a range of mechanisms and sought to reach a wide range of the local population and provided opportunities for many parts of the local community to input and comment on the emerging policies.
- 5.2 The comments received throughout and specifically in response to the surveys and events and the consultation on the REG14 Pre-Submission draft of the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Development Plan have been addressed, in so far as they are practical, and in conformity with the National Planning Policy Framework and the policies in the development plan for Broadland District Council and the Broads Authority.

# APPENDICES

**Appendix A**

Neighbourhood Plan Area Designation Map

**Appendix B**

Spring 2017 Thorpe St Andrew Newsletter

**Appendix C**

Neighbourhood Plan Working Group Minutes June 2017

**Appendix D –**

Survey 2017 Results

**Appendix E**


Survey 2018 Results

**Appendix F**

Regulation 14 Response Table



### Appendix A: Neighbourhood Plan Area Designation Map



Appendix B: Spring 2017 Thorpe St Andrew Newsletter



**THORPE ST ANDREW TOWN COUNCIL**

# NEWS

www.thorpeandrew-tc.gov.uk
SPRING 2017
Tel: 01603 701048

## ST GEORGE BRINGS THE BLACK KNIGHTS TO ST GEORGES DAY

The Town Council are pleased to announce the historical "Black Knights" will be re-enacting St George's Day at the Town Hall, Fitzmaurice Park on Sunday 23rd April 2017. Come along and watch this spectacular show.

This year will be our 10th year celebrating the Patron Saint and this event will be held on the afternoon of Sunday 23rd April from 11.00-16.00. We will have a full programme of entertainment for the whole family to enjoy, and we are pleased to announce the return of the ever popular car boot sale from 11.00am. The Vineyard Church will commence proceedings with their morning service a little later than normal at 12pm. Thorpe St Andrew Town Mayor Ian Mackie will welcome all residents, stall holders and entertainers at 1pm. Councillor Jonathan Emsell said "St George's Day Celebration is the first on our events calendar of 2017 and is a very popular, very traditional English Fete. We hope that it will be a warm sunny



**ST. GEORGE OF ENGLAND**

afternoon and look forward to a fun packed day. Why not pop along to The Town Council Stand and meet your Councillors and catch up on the progress of our 'Made in Thorpe', business support and sponsorship program.

For the younger children there will be several shows from the traditional and ever popular Punch and Judy, with a colouring competition and face painting from the Vineyard Church. Along with the fun fair, we also have displays from the talented Pam Clarke Dancers, who will be using the may pole for their display. South Walsham Dog Obedience Club will be putting on a demonstration and inviting residents to get involved with their own pets. Our own Thorpe Players will be providing entertainment, along with craft and food stalls for everyone to enjoy. Our quality caterers will keep you all from going hungry, and you may wish to try our **very own beer** from The Fat Cat & Tap Brewery. For more information regarding this event contact the Town Council office on (01603) 701048

---

**THORPE ST ANDREW  
NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN**



**SEE INSIDE FOR DETAILS**



CAR BOOT SALE

11.00 AM SUNDAY 23<sup>RD</sup> APRIL 2017  
THE TOWN HALL, FITZMAURICE  
PARK.

IN CONJUNCTION WITH  
ST GEORGE'S DAY CELEBRATIONS  
THORPE ST ANDREW TOWN COUNCIL.  
£8.00 a pitch call 01603 701048 to  
book

1

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

Like many Town and Parish Councils, we are looking at producing a Neighbourhood Development Plan over the coming months. The benefits of this are to give residents, businesses, developers and community organisations the opportunity to have a say, and get involved with long term plans for Thorpe St Andrew. There is also a financial benefit to the town in that we receive an increased proportion of funds through the Community Infrastructure Levy arising from future developments in the area.

A steering group, consisting of local councillors and members of the local community will put the initial plans into motion, with visions and objectives. This plan would create a picture for the future of Thorpe St Andrew. These plans also include collected data, such as characteristics of the town, our assets and buildings, parks and open spaces. Regular updates will be publicised on our website, Facebook and noticeboards.

All plans have to be submitted to Broadland District Council for assessing prior to decisions being taken.

To be kept updated on the progress of the Neighbourhood Plan, please email [office@thorpeandrew-tc.gov.uk](mailto:office@thorpeandrew-tc.gov.uk)



**THORPE ST ANDREW**  
**FARMERS MARKET**  
**LOCAL PRODUCE & SPECIALITY FOODS**  
**LAST FRIDAY OF EVERY MONTH OPEN 9am - 12 NOON FREE TEA & COFFEE**  
**ST. ANDREWS CENTRE THUNDER LANE** SUPPORTED BY **THORPE ST ANDREW TOWN COUNCIL**

### Next phase of £10.3 million Norwich flood alleviation scheme now underway in Thorpe St Andrew

Work is now underway on the next phase of a £10.3 million until now has not been able to drain away rapidly enough

## Appendix C: Neighbourhood Plan Working Party Minutes June 2017

### Thorpe St Andrew Town Council Minutes of the Neighbourhood Plan meeting held on 12 June 2017 at 5.30pm

**1 Present:**

Mr J Fisher (Chairman)  
Mr F Bowe Mr P Clarke Mr S Snelling

**Apologies:** none

**In attendance:**

Mr T Foreman (Town Clerk) Dawn Matthews (Committee Officer)  
1 member of the public

**2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST IN ITEMS ON THE AGENDA**

None

**3 MINUTES**

The Minutes of the meeting held on 10 April 2017 were agreed and signed as a true record.

**4 ANNOUNCEMENTS**

None

**5 OVERVIEW OF CONSULTATION RESULTS**

The map used at the public consultation event at the St Georges day celebration was on display showing the areas the public had marked with stickers on the map as: areas for protection, areas for improvement, areas for development and areas for no development. The main concentration of stickers was around protecting the woodland area to the north of the Town. This correlated to the results of the "pompoms" in jars exercise to identify residents' priorities which had seen the following results:

Protecting open spaces – 30

Improving traffic and transport – 12

Protecting the identity and culture of TSA – 10

Having a say on the types of housing a development needed – 9

Identifying the right sites for development – 9

Impacting on social spaces (community centres and meeting places) – 8

Four questionnaires had also been received but these had not raised any particular issues.


Approximately 2,000 residents had attended the St Georges day event and as a forum for an initial consultation exercise to introduce the concept of the formulation of a neighbourhood plan and get an initial feeling for what resident felt was important, the exercise had been worthwhile and provided a foundation to build on. The area to the north of the Town was seen as the area where most change was likely to occur.

## Appendix D: Survey 2017 Results

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

#### Q1 What type of dwellings do you want to see in Thorpe St Andrew?

Answered: 186 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Large scale Development	10.75%	20
Other (please specify)	32.80%	61
Individual plots for sale	56.45%	105
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>186</b>

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	No more until Pinebanks is developed	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	Controlled brownsite development	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
3	none	10/24/2017 10:19 PM
4	No houses	10/24/2017 9:55 PM
5	Social housing	10/24/2017 12:45 PM
6	Smaller developments that do not require large scale infrastructure	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
7	Small scale development	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
8	Both. Release of individual plots preferable.	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
9	Houses with gardens for families, not crowded together, decent	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
10	Mixture - dependant on location and to fit in with locality.	10/17/2017 10:13 PM
11	None	10/17/2017 3:55 PM
12	No more large developments	9/29/2017 5:57 PM
13	small scale development incl affordable housing	9/29/2017 12:49 PM
14	A mix of house types and tenures for all sections of the community. This question is set with options weighted against most development to skew replies	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
15	None	9/22/2017 5:59 AM
16	None it's full!	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
17	More community spaces	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
18	Individual ones thought through and with character suitable for the area.	9/21/2017 3:07 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

19	Nothink	9/20/2017 8:52 PM
20	Bungalow/chalet suitable for disabled/retirement people/families	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
21	None	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
22	mixture of all types of development from individual, small to larger well managed and serviced development with the relevant infrastructure.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
23	Small scale development	9/19/2017 10:10 AM
24	No development	9/19/2017 9:47 AM
25	Bungalows	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
26	No more building	9/19/2017 9:10 AM
27	Large music and theatre venue for events and community theatre	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
28	none to many all ready	9/18/2017 7:12 PM
29	Areas for walking. Swimming pool	9/18/2017 5:37 PM
30	None! We have too many homes already	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
31	Shops, coffee shops anywhere where anyone can socialise. Smaller businesses to encourage you've people into the area.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
32	Individual plots & alternative housing e.g. Boats.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
33	& Small scale developments	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
34	Retirement complex/ Bungalows	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
35	Small scale affordable housing	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
36	Small scale developments to retain the charm of Thorpe St.Andrew	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
37	Affordable 1st time housing.	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
38	No more thankyou	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
39	None	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
40	Local shop and child friendly pub	8/25/2017 7:55 PM
41	Land would be nice	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
42	Houses with bigger gardens and not so overlooked - more space for growing families	8/22/2017 12:16 PM
43	None, it's nice as it is, don't spoil it	8/22/2017 12:13 PM
44	No more	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
45	Thorpe St Andrew has enough development already.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
46	Boats	8/21/2017 11:12 PM
47	Mixture including self build bar should be high for green credentials and disability adaptations	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
48	Small scale development	8/21/2017 2:46 PM
49	In keeping with existing available, but devil is in the detail, where, etc.	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
50	Low cost housing for people that already live and work in TSA	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
51	No further dwellings	8/21/2017 2:30 PM
52	Small developments	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
53	A mixture of both.	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
54	small scale developments incorporating different design features	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
55	Small developments (6 to 10 houses)	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
56	1 or 2 bed flats to encourage locals to down size	8/14/2017 6:17 PM
57	Some limited building in the area I would not object to. Would be nice to see quality housing as opposed to crammed in rabbit hutches cheaply knocked out that we seem to get nowadays.	8/14/2017 2:38 PM


## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

58	More residential housing for older people - retirement village style homes	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
59	On land next to Girtings Lane or a Waitrose would be good	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
60	No more please.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
61	Small scale development next to existing estates. Preferably on brownfield site.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q2 Will anyone in your family/household need to buy a home in Thorpe St Andrew in the next few years?

Answered: 184 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	35.33%	65
No	64.67%	119
TOTAL		184

#	IF SO, WHAT TYPE OF HOME WILL THEY NEED?	DATE
1	Small family house	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
2	3-4 bed	10/24/2017 6:33 PM
3	A house. Why do you assume people will buy many people can not afford to buy!	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
4	Family	10/23/2017 2:53 PM
5	Detached	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
6	A small family home	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
7	Starter and family	10/17/2017 10:13 PM
8	3 bed Detached bungalow	10/14/2017 1:18 PM
9	starter	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
10	Not sure, 3 beds with a garden	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
11	First time buyer homes with a reasonable cost	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
12	2 bedroom house	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
13	2-3 bedroom house	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
14	bungalow	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
15	Bungalow	9/21/2017 1:05 PM
16	Affordable starter homes. Outright ownership not part rent as still expensive	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
17	3 bed semi	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
18	semi detached or small detached.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
19	Bungalow	9/19/2017 9:31 AM
20	Any type of accommodation for their first home	9/19/2017 9:21 AM




## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

21	Family	9/19/2017 9:17 AM
22	New bungalows	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
23	large bungalow with sufficient land to build annexes for mother and mother-in-law	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
24	Bungalow	9/18/2017 8:53 PM
25	Starter home	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
26	Small home within close distance of the city centre	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
27	First time house	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
28	Small House apartment	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
29	arter Home	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
30	Studi , Flat, Apartment.	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
31	There are always good used homes for sale in TSA. We do not want more estate built homes, where is the land suitable for more dwellings in TSA.	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
32	Family home	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
33	2 bed bungalow	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
34	2 bed bungalow	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
35	Detached	8/25/2017 11:01 PM
36	Starter	8/25/2017 7:55 PM
37	5 bedroom	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
38	2/3 bed with garage	8/23/2017 8:36 PM
39	3 or 4 bed detached	8/23/2017 6:45 PM
40	2-3 bedroom house or bungalow	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
41	Family home	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
42	Adapted bungalow	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
43	3 bed	8/21/2017 2:46 PM
44	Unless we decide to move, in which case it would be a family home	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
45	Bungalow	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
46	Starter home 2 bed with parking	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
47	Large detached house.	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
48	A bungalow adapted for disabled elderly couple. Little provision for older people.	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
49	3 to 4 bedroom with big back garden	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
50	Semi detached	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
51	Possibly first time buying	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
52	Family home with a garden	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
53	Retirement	8/3/2017 12:29 AM

Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

Q3 Does Thorpe St Andrew need more employment opportunities?

Answered: 182 Skipped: 4




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	64.84%	118
No	35.16%	64
TOTAL		182

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q4 Which facilities does Thorpe St Andrew need more of?

Answered: 184 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Shops/Post Office	42.39%	78
Doctor/Dentist	54.35%	100
Community Social Spaces/Places	43.48%	80
Sports facilities	37.50%	69
Better Public Transport	40.76%	75
More footways/cycleways	37.50%	69
Other (please specify)	25.00%	46
Total Respondents: 184		

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Laybys at bus stops that are terminuses where buses park up for 10-15 mins making it dangerous for passing traffic	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
2	Decent restaurants	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
3	Affordable homes	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
4	Dog park	10/21/2017 1:54 AM
5	,alternative cultural activities, cafes	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
6	The children's area is outdated and poor compared to new playgrounds around the city	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
7	Direct transport from Thorpe St Andrew to the hospital would be helpful for people.	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
8	Outdoor entertainment, for example a skatepark or a few ramps like we had before!	10/13/2017 10:48 PM
9	Restaurants	9/24/2017 10:46 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

10	Parking outside existing shops so we all go local.	9/24/2017 10:57 AM
11	Councils that do something about your problems when you complain about parking restrictions outside your house that you have made complaints about since they were put down !!	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
12	bakery	9/21/2017 11:33 PM
13	Improve cycle ways trim hedges and maintain them. Improve children's parks currently a disgrace broken and poorly maintained	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
14	Children's areas need huge improvement compared to nearby areas we are very neglected	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
15	None	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
16	Cafe	9/20/2017 8:56 PM
17	Train halt for the business park.	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
18	Chinese takeaway	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
19	Would love to see train halts adjacent to Dussindale Drive Development and possibly Postwick P&R.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
20	Train station	9/19/2017 9:57 AM
21	A decent pub	9/19/2017 9:42 AM
22	Train station	9/19/2017 9:21 AM
23	Needs to be cleaned more streets swept	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
24	Theatre.	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
25	more meeting places for older residents who may be lonely and need to feel they still belong to a community.	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
26	Some parts of TSA have no evening bus service. Lidl supermarket.	9/18/2017 10:41 PM
27	More gyms as the oasis has closed	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
28	Sadly Thorpe St Andrew is dying. If you compare it to the west side of the city you can clearly see there is nothing here to encourage anyone to come here other than the school for families. With an ageing populous the next few years are going to be very tough for the area unless things change.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
29	An accessible bank, parking at Lloyds is a nightmare. something at Sainsburys would be great.	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
30	No more shops Post Office Doctor or Dentist required. A bigger community hall is required.No other facilities we are well served except for a hall.	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
31	Dog park	8/26/2017 1:30 AM
32	Parking areas	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
33	A local pub -Dussindale	8/25/2017 10:57 AM
34	A railway station would be fabulous.	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
35	Better children's play areas, and more restaurants	8/23/2017 7:17 PM
36	More pubs, there are none in or near dussindale	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
37	youth clubs	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
38	Sainsbury's is too big for small purchases - would be nice to have a drop in shop in centre of dussindale	8/22/2017 12:16 PM
39	None, it's perfectly lovely	8/22/2017 12:13 PM
40	Definitely better bus times. Currently none in evenings or Sundays.	8/22/2017 9:12 AM
41	Local pub within walking distance	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
42	NHS Walk in centre	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
43	More late and early buses. So you can catch the first trains or start work early.	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
44	green spaces. Cycle tracks need to be more user friendly as they are often ignored at the	8/20/2017 8:56 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan


moment.

45	A larger community hall for general use with a library, information centre, archive facility etc all in one place.	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
46	Riverside area needs a shop / small supermarket	8/11/2017 5:30 PM

Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

Q5 Would you like to see these facilities/amenities together in a Hub?

Answered: 177 Skipped: 9



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	49.15%	87
No	50.85%	90
TOTAL		177

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q6 What improvements would you like to see in Thorpe St Andrew?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 48

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Pinebanks site to be started.	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	More cafes	11/14/2017 12:49 AM
3	More local based services - e.g Doctors/Dentists and also a wider retail base.	11/3/2017 12:54 PM
4	Better public transport linking it to Sprowston and other suburbs.	11/2/2017 7:21 PM
5	Improved parking at Sports Ground / High School to stop pound lane being blocked by inconsiderate parking, especially on weekends	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
6	Road improvements aimed at improving smooth flow of traffic at moderate speed, rather than outdated "traffic calming" which creates "stop start" conditions with worse pollution and noise	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
7	Bring back the river boat	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
8	A good cafe that serves breakfast early in the morning. Buses that run later into the evening. Effective cycle lanes.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
9	More cycle ways	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
10	Less close together houses in new developments some nights I can't get up my close due to highway maintenance vehicles done for the night and advertising boards.	10/24/2017 6:33 PM
11	A better deal for people who can not afford to buy.	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
12	Improved parks in Thorpe, climbing frame in Dussindale has been fenced off for a very long time!!	10/23/2017 8:03 PM
13	Train halt Another GP surgery as current facilities are oversubscribed	10/23/2017 4:30 PM
14	A retail park at Broadland Business Park.	10/23/2017 2:53 PM
15	Pine banks development completed - this is a waste of land at present , and a distract the way that it is not looked after allowing youngsters to go there and cause trouble	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
16	Resurfacing of roads, paths and curb raising. Recent drainage improvement works have left the roads paths and verges looking run-down. It use to look smart, now it looks less attractive.	10/21/2017 7:42 PM
17	Speed restrictions on South Hill Road!! School mums doing 50 on a regular basis!	10/21/2017 10:49 AM
18	Support for local business. Affordable housing.	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
19	trees on the streets evening buses in and out of norwich a bridge to whittingham, somewhere to exercise safely and get there safely to all teh leasure facilities there without a polluting drive into town and out again.	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
20	Resurface the roads. They are terrible and more so since the drainage works. Stop assuming plumstead road east and pound lane junction with st Williams grisly can handle large developments traffic increase. These Cala liaisons are not based upon real world use of the roads in Thorpe st Andrew with residents who have to use these.	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
21	Hedges and paths better maintained, so that people, particularly with poor sight, do not either trip or walk into branches etc.	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
22	Less through traffic and more parking. Businesses should not be permitted if they do not have parking for ALL employees.	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
23	Village shop on River Green.	10/16/2017 8:17 PM
24	Stop cars parking on the grass verges, especially along Yarmouth Road.	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
25	Yellow lines obeyed especially around schools. Speeding along Yarmouth road needs to be monitored.	10/14/2017 1:18 PM

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

26	More opportunities for teenagers, there were rumours of plans of a skatepark and parkour facility but they were never followed up! Also the lights on poundlane to be fixed!	10/13/2017 10:48 PM
27	better youth provision	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
28	A pedestrian crossing at the junction with St. Andrews Park & the Business Park.	9/29/2017 5:57 PM
29	cycleways network	9/29/2017 12:49 PM
30	Keep as a village. No more large development.	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
31	Outdoor gym, more public gardens.	9/25/2017 10:53 AM
32	I would like to see more green spaces for families to benefit from not sports facilities just recreational.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
33	More for teens to do.	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
34	Roundabout on Dussindale Drive/Plumstead Road junction. Footpath through woods on Plumstead Road. Better drainage under rail bridge on Green Lane. Public access to High School swimming pool ( if they could keep changing areas cleaner)	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
35	Convenience store near the green (please NOT Tesco), improvement to the congestion on the roundabout near Aldi, food waste recycling.	9/22/2017 5:59 AM
36	Thorpe st andrew is a town and it would be great to see facilities spread across all areas rather than localised in one place.	9/21/2017 11:58 PM
37	cyclepaths on yarmouth road	9/21/2017 11:16 PM
38	Less road works Beware Hedgehogs crossing on dussindale drive. I often see dead ones and as they are in decline it would be great to save some from being killed. It may also slow cars down generally.	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
39	Children's parks properly maintained. CCTV or dummie cameras to protector parks from vandalism and criminal activity. Tennis court that was destroyed to be put back instead of the hideous mess left that was supposed to be made into a picknick area. It looks a total disgrace and is more dangerous trip hazzard than ever. Maintain the walk ways better behind Thorpe st Andrew School I could hardly get through it was so over grown. Open a cafe in the wreck ground why not use the building and faculties I've never seen it being used - missed oportunities.	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
40	The recreation ground aimed at teens. Better roads. Another Dr surgery. NO large developements	9/21/2017 8:11 PM
41	As before better areas for families, play areas and cafes. Nothing but almost derelict kids zones right now. Would also like to see more doctors surgeries being opened and possibly a library in Dussindale.	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
42	Better open spaces, a hub in the centre of TSA, maybe Fitzmaurice park, where people can hire the venue, have a regular bar, nice surroundings. Descent shops with atmosphere, like Unthank Rd.	9/21/2017 4:07 PM
43	Better road management, cycle paths on all major routes (proper ones, not 50cm painted lines that are probably more dangerous than cycling in the middle of the road) and/or also cycle paths that could take in the river/natural areas as alternative routes into and out of the city	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
44	local pub and entertainment areas	9/21/2017 2:42 PM
45	Road improvement outside the coop. The parks made more interesting.	9/21/2017 2:00 PM
46	fine as it is !!!!	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
47	Speed restrictions in residential roads to 20mph and better maintenance of wooded footways.	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
48	Better streetlighting.	9/21/2017 1:05 PM
49	More control of bad parking in residential areas, especially vans and other commercial vehicles.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
50	Something available to teenagers. Sports facility, gym, squash courts.	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
51	More community events	9/20/2017 8:56 PM
52	Just leave it the way it is	9/20/2017 8:52 PM



### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

53	Train station. Fixed parks. Less dog poo Less parking on pavements Repaint signs for cycle lanes Speed bumps dussindale drive	9/19/2017 10:53 PM
54	Protecting established trees and woodland. An appreciation of nature and wildlife.	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
55	Better public transport - a hub, or area that is accessible from all areas	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
56	less litter in the back alley's and more dog poo bins as dog walkers are still letting their dogs poo anywhere and just leave it.	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
57	In recent years the road surfaces have not been up to the high standards of a few years ago, the lower end of Dussindale Drive/Pound Lane is in a poor state.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
58	None	9/19/2017 9:47 AM
59	Fix the play grounds, better child's play areas.	9/19/2017 9:42 AM
60	More paths cleared of overhanging bushes / cars not parked on grass verges , hard to walk & cross roads more litter picking too , Dussindale a disgrace , lived here 22 years , worse each year sad to say , as love living here	9/19/2017 9:31 AM
61	Preferably a train station	9/19/2017 9:21 AM
62	Police over hanging branches cut cars parked night after night in the same spots on grass verge to be towed or least the owner told	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
63	We live on St.Andrews Park, it is a nightmare trying to cross the road at the entrance (Yarmouth Road) to take my children to school / get to the business park - we need a proper crossing - it is dangerous!	9/19/2017 9:01 AM
64	Oasis health club rebuilt.	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
65	Roads are a nightmare. The resurfacing from the drain work is lumpy and gives poor driving. The rental of community spaces takes into no consideration long term use or supporting community groups. They are just ran as business and not as as community hubs	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
66	To be more connected/cohesive...currently there seems to be no centre/core to what is fast becoming a mini township which is a shame as this does not promote a feeling of belonging to an area per se.	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
67	Evening bus service to more parts of TSA Improvements to Heartease roundabout	9/18/2017 10:41 PM
68	Another doctor's surgery, more street lamps.	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
69	More seating in recreation grounds. More family entertainment facilities.	9/18/2017 8:53 PM
70	if its not broke dont fix it	9/18/2017 7:12 PM
71	Corner shops on Laundry Lane cleaning up. They are a disgrace.	9/18/2017 5:37 PM
72	More gyms, local shops and starter homes for young people who already live in Thorpe	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
73	Go ahead with the plans for new sports facilities on the pavilion near Dussindale.	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
74	The general area is a bit of a mess. We have shops closing all over and a delapidated pub. The roads and curbs in places are dangourous at the very least.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
75	Better management of vegetation	9/18/2017 2:15 PM
76	More recreation ground. Definitely a dog walking area which is dedicated to dog walkers! Some of it fenced in for training purposes!	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
77	Something done about the Postwick Hub. This is the worst road improvement I have seen seen removal of slipway for eastbound traffic. This has also now increased road traffic on smaller Plumstead Road as people try to avoid itpeople tr	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
78	street trees	9/15/2017 11:53 AM
79	A shop close to River Green would make a big difference to both residents & visitors.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
80	Improve moorings for holiday boats, improve & tidy up river frontage e.g. Island & boat buildings.	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
81	None feel its fine, I used to live near Brixton, this is paradise.	9/7/2017 4:49 PM
82	Permanently sort out the mess on the island opposite the Rushcutters.	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
83	Facelift to property on the island-urgent	9/7/2017 4:02 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

84	Walking areas managed each year trees & bushes kept in check.	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
85	Would love the return of small shops that used to be here e.g. Butchers & Bakers	9/7/2017 3:25 PM
86	Improvements to recreation areas.	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
87	More equipment for children to play on in the parks	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
88	River Green	9/7/2017 2:44 PM
89	More sport facilities and more poo bins	9/7/2017 2:39 PM
90	More sports facilities & dog poo bins.	9/7/2017 2:35 PM
91	More Public Bins and more facilities for young people.	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
92	None except for a bigger community hall	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
93	Better maintenance of cycle routes, understanding that cycling as a family is a healthy and activity and should be encouraged through infrastructure not discouraged by an all-present focus on cars	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
94	Keep the woods and look after it.	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
95	Cycle lanes Newsagent Bridge from marshes to Whitlingham Boat hire Cheaper mooring for local residents	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
96	Stop people parking on the verges and grassed areas, especially on Yarmouth Road.	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
97	Kids hanging around, police on the beat, more repairs to roads and paths, maintenance on verge/grass cutting and people made to look after the place....	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
98	Pinebanks developed, too much anti social behaviour and an eyesore, train stop.	8/25/2017 11:01 PM
99	Overgrown community gardens into park spaces or gym equipment for kids	8/25/2017 7:55 PM
100	Better maintenance of the footpaths through Dussindale which often become overgrown and difficult to walk along.	8/25/2017 10:57 AM
101	Covered picnic areas Water taps in parks / toilets	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
102	A pub near top end of dussindale! More parks for older children, better parks all round for all children.	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
103	There's an increase in anti-social behaviour by teenagers (especially in Dussindale). More needs to be done to get these kids off the streets - youth centre, sports facilities etc. And more community policing.	8/23/2017 7:17 PM
104	Better medical facilities, it is impossible to get a routine doctors appointment within a week or two.	8/23/2017 6:45 PM
105	Improvements to park areas with more seating for adults to watch their children play.	8/23/2017 4:55 PM
106	I would like to see more pubs and shops as I live in dussindale. I would rather have a non chain pub as my partner and I do not like "the hungry horse" as there are always children everywhere and it's not very scenic being basically in a car park! I go to Yarmouth road pubs a lot but this isn't really walking distance from my house in dussindale	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
107	Cycleways	8/23/2017 12:37 AM
108	Slower traffic. Development of pine banks area.	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
109	Safe walkways along the river, with information points and links to Whitlingham country park. Traffic management around the Broadland business park and the Postwick hub, to reduce the traffic chaos we now have at busy times. Be prepared for the long awaited NDR!	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
110	Clearing of the bushes and weeds on the footpaths on Dussindale. Many trees and bushes are now so large you cannot walk on the footpaths.	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
111	A clamp down on anti social behaviour, better management of pathways and verges.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
112	A convenience shop on dussindale for those who live in the middle	8/22/2017 9:28 AM
113	Bus service to be drastically improved! It's as if we live in the middle of nowhere. No bus service in evenings or on Sundays!	8/22/2017 9:12 AM
114	Proper bus stops, raised curbs for the elderly etc	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
115	Pavement resurfacing. Many of the pavements are very old and have not been resurfaced in	8/22/2017 12:11 AM

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan


years especially on Spinney Road, Booty Road top part of Laundry Lane Co-op side and South Hill road. The pavements are really bringing the look of the whole area down and are very uneven.

116	Leave Thorpe st Andrews Lone	8/21/2017 11:12 PM
117	A retail park.	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
118	Road repairs. Pot holes and lines remarked. Greater police presents in school holidays to deal with the anti social teenagers in recreational places and parks.	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
119	Police permanently based not an outpost of Sprowston. Easier access to doctor and urgent treatment without having to travel to N&N	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
120	More Traffic calming, fewer road works!	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
121	More weight restricted roads	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
122	More jobs, re-open the oasis sports club. More low cost first time housing for locals.	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
123	More policing to prevent youths causing trouble and intimidating dog walkers using the park areas in the evenings	8/21/2017 2:30 PM
124	Although not your responsibility I would like road surfaces improving, better public transport in the evenings, a local public swimming pool.	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
125	Bridge to Whittingham. Pinebanks development pushed forward or CPO'ed. More use of river. Plumstead Road shops tidied up/improved. More cyclepaths. Stop kids hanging around in Pilling Park - with mopeds/smoking cannabis - maybe remove any undercover areas as they congregate under them. Improve junction of Harvey Lane and Gordon Avenue.	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
126	some kind of centre including a pub and new community centre	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
127	any way to combat vandalism and anti social behaviours	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
128	More social places like pubs and restaurants and open park areas	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
129	More sports and doctors	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
130	Lay-bys particularly in Dussendale	8/14/2017 6:17 PM
131	To be honest I think the area is well balanced in its current format. A good selection of shops, green areas and sporting facility's. Choice of pubs for an afternoon beer or lunch is getting very limited now though with only 2 reminding and one of those does not do catering.	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
132	Safe cycle route/pedestrian route along Yarmouth Road and Plumstead Road	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
133	Riverside needs improving, the boats / dwellings are ugly.	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
134	Clean buses with proper upholstered seats that are regularly cleaned. First bus is a disgrace. We also need an evening hourly service and at least two buses on Sundays.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
135	Better neighbour watch Improvement in the area on grass,verge and hedge cutter this will make the Town more accessible	8/6/2017 3:54 PM
136	less traffic	8/3/2017 1:23 PM
137	Improved parking at Rivergreen. Speed restrictions along Yarmouth rd near the green and adjacent to school.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
138	Where pavement parking is a problem and cars currently park on the footpath, reduce width of footpath and widen road. Enforce limits on pavement parking. This will mean getting rid of some of the grass verges, unfortunately.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q7 Do you think new development should be sympathetic to the character of Thorpe St Andrew?

Answered: 181 Skipped: 5



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	82.87%	150
No	17.13%	31
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>181</b>

#	IF SO, HOW?	DATE
1	Only low buildings (no more than 2 storey) should be considered and each should have a decent size garden to reduce environmental impact.	10/28/2017 2:41 PM
2	No large scale home building	10/27/2017 3:22 PM
3	Avoid 3 storey terraced "town houses"	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
4	Yes but the vernacular architecture is very mixed. By the river I would like to see much closer adherence to the Broads Authority architectural guidelines. Away from the river it would helpful to devise some guidelines others we will have more identikit Barrett homes.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
5	Not large scale and not high rise.	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
6	Generous plots	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
7	In scale with the immediate area.	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
8	sympathetic to green areas, more varied architecture would be nice, something stunning, not mock tudor, art	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
9	Don't build more dussingdale style office worker estates!!	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
10	Everything should blend in with the specific area where the development is taking place.	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
11	Character housing	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
12	New development should fit into the locality and support and enhance the existing facilities/houses.	10/17/2017 10:13 PM
13	With gardens and off road parking	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
14	similar visual aspect & housing density	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
15	Different houses. Not one type	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
16	They should be low density	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
17	Housing should be a mix, where are our youngers people who work in the ahops going to	9/24/2017 10:57 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

	live? We need flat that blend in	
18	Leave our woods alone!!	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
19	Quality materials are key here. Not sure there is huge character in some of our existing development	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
20	more green areas	9/21/2017 11:33 PM
21	Nice looking quality homes	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
22	In keeping with older buildings in the area	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
23	Carefully thought through styles, individual builds should have character, larger developments should not be built by big construction companies with off the shelf blocks on winding rabbit warren roads.	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
24	The need for housing is greater than the need to please people who already have houses.	9/21/2017 2:00 PM
25	do not take away the natural areas of Thorpe to put in houses.	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
26	Low scale, small development	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
27	Build less houses.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
28	Maintain the village/small town approach rather than the large corporate inhuman development	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
29	Before long we in TSA will be in the city as they are taking our village of TSA away.	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
30	Avoid the very dense modern housing developments which don't fit with the rest of the area	9/19/2017 12:23 PM
31	Any development built within an established area should if possible be constructed in a similar style to the older properties.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
32	New buildings should compliment TSA	9/19/2017 10:10 AM
33	Keep the green spaces	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
34	Thorpe St Andrew doesn't really have a singular character so any new development could be diverse and still fit in.	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
35	Individual plots with gardens, not high rise flats.	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
36	No development should take place on public green spaces	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
37	Dussingdale was a disaster. Forcing so many people into one area is never good. Houses and houses alone with no other amenities or places of interest is not a good thing.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
38	Visually similar construction, retain green space around housing	9/18/2017 2:15 PM
39	A good mix for first time buyers and families and downsizing!	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
40	Not so much mass developments	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
41	Aesthetically a very modern building would not work	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
42	Low rise buildings.	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
43	Things blend in.	9/7/2017 4:49 PM
44	No glass timber monstrosities in the older part of Town.	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
45	Keep it mixed	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
46	Variety of styles of housing	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
47	Individual styles of houses, green areas avoid any development.	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
48	No way out architecture or high rise	9/7/2017 3:25 PM
49	It should be small scaled and retain the TSA charm	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
50	Local materials	9/7/2017 2:44 PM
51	No highrise	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
52	None needed, no more development	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
53	Houses built with more style rather than bland rabbit hutches	8/29/2017 8:39 PM

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

54	Friendly, inclusive places not huge walls and heavy fences closing off facilities at arbitrary times for no good reason	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
55	Not to many in one place	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
56	In line with design of houses already there, stop letting them build new age house next to a nice 1930s	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
57	Children families and community	8/25/2017 7:55 PM
58	In keeping with the area, allow for roads of similar widths and keep up the great cycle and pathways we have already which are SO very appreciated.	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
59	There are some lovely areas in Yarmouth road that are old and traditional	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
60	sufficient open spaces, safe for walking	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
61	Buildings with character and sympathetic to the surroundings and environment.	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
62	Less development as Thorpe has enough already.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
63	No more estates odd house	8/22/2017 9:28 AM
64	Same brickwork	8/22/2017 9:12 AM
65	We don't want an over populated, congested neighbourhood	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
66	They should be in keeping with the area and our green areas should not be used. I also fed up with seeing new houses built with small garages which cannot fit a modern day car in which results in everyone parking on the roads and blocking them. If houses are to be built then ensure they work for a modern society. I would like to see houses built which utilise the loft space. 3 storey houses are a good idea if the bottom part of the house has a garage which car fit a car in and utilise the loft for maximum space.	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
67	Not large scale developments with limited parking	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
68	Outside of conservation area we should allow more modern builds that take advantage of better materials and design	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
69	Strong emphasis on good quality green infrastructure	8/21/2017 2:46 PM
70	Needs to keep it's character and not just become another part of Norwich	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
71	No ugly blocks of flats	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
72	TSA is saturated. It doesn't need any new development	8/21/2017 2:30 PM
73	Thorpe st andrew has been developed in smaller scale developments and this seems to remain attractive to would be purchasers	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
74	he latest developments around Norwich have shown to be sub standard quality (big name contractor/builders) for too much money, small gardens and space between houses.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
75	We need to modernise and accept change but care to the environment needs to be taken into consideration	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
76	Keep green spaces i.e. Woodlands	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
77	It's an area of larger properties with character i.e. gardens and parking but sadly all we get are max profits for the developers (Cram them in to the max) Look at Woodside and old camping sites.	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
78	Depends on the area in which it is being built.	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
79	Houses using flint.	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
80	We have too much development already and it has ruined the character of Thorpe.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
81	Sympathetic to the new or old?	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
82	Similar scale to current building, no high rise.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q8 How would you like to see Developers community contributions spent?

Answered: 138 Skipped: 48

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Sports facilities, doctors	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	Supporting ALL infrastructure needed for the new homes - e.g Doctors surgeries etc	11/3/2017 12:54 PM
3	More things for young families eg groups etc	11/2/2017 7:21 PM
4	Improve the roads they are a disgrace	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
5	Off road parking	10/27/2017 3:22 PM
6	GP surgeries and school places	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
7	Improvements to the existing schools	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
8	Yes	10/25/2017 11:47 PM
9	On things that will benefit the whole community 20years from now: cycle paths; land acquisition and planning for a metro into Norwich; a high-speed low-wash ferry into the city.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
10	Sport and cycle facilities	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
11	On kids/teenagers	10/24/2017 6:33 PM
12	On affordable housing.	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
13	Parks and green spaces	10/23/2017 8:03 PM
14	Train halt to improve connect-ability Another GP surgery Maintenance of green space An additional primary school as current ones are oversubscribed Upgrades to TSA high school	10/23/2017 4:30 PM
15	Facilities to support their developments.	10/23/2017 2:53 PM
16	Parks, footpaths, community area	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
17	More green spaces and community facilities.	10/21/2017 7:42 PM
18	Community facilities	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
19	Not sure of the rules on this, bridge link to Whittingham? Art engagement wit teh public, street art	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
20	Sort out the roads. The traffic increase will far exceed the planners predictions. Trust us as residents we have to try and get out of drives into these roads in rush hour!! Traffic calming on plumstead road east and thunder lane and pound lane!	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
21	Ensuring that there are schools, health centres etc to cater for the new community, and this to be completed at the same time as the new homes.	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
22	I don't mind but I think attractive green spaces around a development are important.	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
23	As agreed at planning stage and not watering down contributions during development.	10/17/2017 10:13 PM
24	community space for elderly, young and vulnerable. Something which local churches can use together.	10/17/2017 3:20 PM
25	On PCSO's	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
26	Making improvements within the community based on the most asked for requests.	10/13/2017 10:48 PM
27	Community spaces and groups, esp for young people and families	10/10/2017 4:22 PM
28	community / youth facilities	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
29	sports/activity provision & granting woodland/green open space access -i.e Weston Wood, Belmore & Racecourse Plantation. Whatever happened to the plan for a footbridge over the river to Whittingham/Trowse -that would be great!	9/29/2017 12:49 PM

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

30	Preventing parking on pavements and grass verges in River Green by making a public car park on the corner of Wittlingham Lane	9/29/2017 11:40 AM
31	Green space, trees, woods kept. Small shops for the locals	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
32	In making more community recreational areas. Preferably picnic parks with tree lined pathways and a band stand for summer weekend entertainment.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
33	Keep the place Green, trees along avenues is what makes Norwich so beautiful. Other cities do not have this, as we extend our community, making it green and visually attractive is important for all of ours mental well-being	9/24/2017 10:57 AM
34	Making the community a better place.	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
35	As above	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
36	Swings and play area for children along the green.	9/22/2017 5:59 AM
37	New doctors surgery, schools and general infrastructure.	9/21/2017 11:58 PM
38	Not sure what this entails	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
39	Whydevelop. Where? There are no spaces. You need Greenland do not build on every space too many people over crowding spoiling the area and changing the tone.	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
40	Better roads Opportunities for employment Swimming pool sports grounds	9/21/2017 8:11 PM
41	As stated above	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
42	As above	9/21/2017 4:07 PM
43	Road safety, cycling, public transport. Improved parks, play areas for families and facilities that cater for everyone.	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
44	investment in the children recreation/ sports facilities	9/21/2017 2:42 PM
45	Road/school improvement	9/21/2017 2:00 PM
46	schools, dentists, doctors and subsidise public transport!	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
47	Enhanced community facilities	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
48	On community assets	9/21/2017 1:05 PM
49	When they build developments, they need to make the roads wider. Build less.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
50	Recreational grounds	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
51	Community social areas/amenities.	9/20/2017 8:56 PM
52	Leave thorpe st andrews the way it is	9/20/2017 8:52 PM
53	Train station	9/19/2017 10:53 PM
54	No contributions. No blackmail to allow unwanted developments	9/19/2017 10:23 PM
55	On preserving nature, trees and wildlife. Plant more trees to offset the ones chopped down.	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
56	Complete the link road through to Sprowston BEFORE housing development starts	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
57	better roads, too many holes in TSA. More policing in the 20MPH area's of Dussindale.	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
58	On amenities and infrastructure.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
59	Better public facilities. Not on the town council offices	9/19/2017 9:42 AM
60	More pathways not just grassed & trees , park	9/19/2017 9:31 AM
61	Using environmentally friendly building materials install solar panels as standard & considering lowering carbon footprint	9/19/2017 9:17 AM
62	On things which help the parish on not the couch let's pockets	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
63	At the local schools / facilities for children	9/19/2017 9:01 AM
64	Improving park equipment	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
65	Better sports facilities	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
66	Play facilities, cycle ways,	9/19/2017 12:31 AM



## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

67	Schools, doctors, dentists and facilities for young people as with the current development proposals, the existing facilities will not cope. Having seen developers cry poverty in the past and renague on contractual promises of community contributions they make at planning stage, I would like the Council to ensure any developers have to abide by promises they make.	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
68	On essential services such as doctor's, dentist & public transport.	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
69	New Social Club	9/18/2017 8:53 PM
70	traffic lights for heartsease roundabout	9/18/2017 7:12 PM
71	Gym & doctors / doctor drop in centre	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
72	Create more public green space	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
73	Although I respect the Armed Forces I believe that less war memorials and more things to encourage footfall and others to visit. Parks are always good. Again compared to the west of the city their isn't much appealing about Thorpe St Andrew anymore. Imagine if the woodland was controlled (not by housing trusts) and made into somewhere to encourage people to come here and for families to visit. Coffees shops could be built one he footfall has increased helping pay for the upkeep costs of such a project. This would enable he older and maybe lonely geration to mix with everyone else. I think the Demetai Cafe is great but the name alone doesn't really make others feel particularly welcome. Thorpe St Andrew needs to look forward to the future and see that the area is dying	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
74	Youth facilities	9/18/2017 2:15 PM
75	In the correct infrastructure!	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
76	Better roads and facilities	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
77	environmental projects	9/15/2017 11:53 AM
78	Improving access, parking & amenities for all permanent resident.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
79	Landscaping - improvements for flow of traffic- speed restrictions.	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
80	On projects needed but no finance for.	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
81	Community Hub	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
82	Community hub	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
83	To maintain to a decent standard anything that is provided for the use of the community.	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
84	Protection & maintenance of green spaces.	9/7/2017 3:25 PM
85	Funding recreation area	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
86	On more park equipment, Doctors, Dentists & Schools.	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
87	Community Centre & Sports	9/7/2017 2:44 PM
88	Maintenance of public spaces - litter etc.	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
89	It should be abolished as it adds to the cost of houses, but we need a better hall. The Roxley & Dussindale are very poor i.e. to small.	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
90	Another survey like this could have a shortlist of specific suggestions	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
91	Well dussingdale does not have a local shop or hub.	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
92	On things used by all and not niche	8/26/2017 5:31 AM
93	Off-road parking Green space	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
94	More local PCSO's	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
95	On replacing the rubbish high school with a purpose built school on one site -no north/south rubbish that promotes bullying	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
96	Money towards the high school and doctors surgery	8/25/2017 11:01 PM
97	Improved park areas for children	8/25/2017 10:57 AM
98	As above	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
99	On amenities that benefit all members of our community	8/25/2017 1:27 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

100	NHS provision/schools/transport links	8/24/2017 8:46 PM
101	Playareas, doctors & dentists	8/23/2017 7:17 PM
102	Rejuvenation of the facilities at Dussindale, why have the tennis courts been taken down and left to look untidy? New play equipment at the park, the slide has been out of action all summer. New and improved medical centre, if more houses are being built, how will we cope with more people in the area? Also will the schools be able to cope with the increase of people?	8/23/2017 6:45 PM
103	Definitely a family friendly social club needed. Have affordable gym/sports facilities.	8/23/2017 4:55 PM
104	Encouraging community bonding	8/23/2017 4:54 PM
105	Better walking routes	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
106	I wouldn't. What has happened to the promises made by Ocubis regarding the old Pinebanks site?	8/23/2017 12:37 AM
107	Development of walks. Traffic reduction and pedestrian safety. Cctv cameras at busy locations.	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
108	Improve walkways bus development New doctors New dentists New or improved schools and education establishments. Sports facilities	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
109	Build a large village hall - such as villages like Hethersett have. The existing village hall in Dussindale is far too small for any function and the one on Yarmouth road is too far out and has limited parking. Also ensure easy cycle routes out into the countryside for younger families (nb trying to cross the dual carriageway near brewers fayre is incredible difficult and dangerous if trying to cycle to Postwick)	8/22/2017 12:16 PM
110	Better management of the area. The builders put in trees and shrubs but there is little maintenance of them afterwards.	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
111	Better maintenance of the area.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
112	Doctors	8/22/2017 9:28 AM
113	Improving parkland and woodland	8/22/2017 9:12 AM
114	I'm not sure what this is	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
115	We do have a lot of amenities in Thorpe and it would be nice to see some of the older parts of Thorpe renewed such as pavement renewal road surfacing, cycle lanes. New estates automatically get all these things and the older estates are forgotten. I would like to see Thorpe woods protected by developers but opening up a little with cycling paths so cyclists can have safe routes towards their destination away from traffic. Thorpe is a lovely area but parts are being left behind. I would also like to ensure there are enough facilities for the elderly.	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
116	Wouldn't it's ok way it is	8/21/2017 11:12 PM
117	Open spaces. Facilities that would service the size of the developments. A protected green belt around certain parishes to prevent cross development.	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
118	More things for teenagers to do. Skate parks, access to social clubs in school holidays. Reduced prices for teenagers to local sporting facilities e.g. Barnatynes at non peak times on a pay as you go basis.	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
119	Preservation of green spaces including Thorpe Woods. Providing retro-fit of solar, heat pumps and insulation to existing older housing stock.	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
120	Play facilities and cycling/pedestrian network improvements	8/21/2017 2:46 PM
121	Improving facilities for children	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
122	Perhaps something for teenagers, especially during the holidays. Not all like sports.	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
123	New community gym / health centre	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
124	Areas for youths	8/21/2017 2:30 PM
125	Swimming pool, health service & education facilities.	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
126	As above	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
127	some more affordable housing and more green park areas	8/20/2017 8:56 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

128	Better/bigger schools and community facilities.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
129	New Cycle ways and better road infrastructure, Train Station	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
130	Sports ,cycle tracks	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
131	Schools and roads	8/14/2017 5:22 PM
132	Maintaining the parks and green areas, some of the roads could do with a resurface as well in places.	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
133	Facilities for the public in Thorpe St Andrew	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
134	Depends on the amount in question.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
135	More sympathetic to what is needed, not over crowding the area	8/6/2017 3:54 PM
136	doing more for old people recreation, a place to come together coffee mornings activity etc	8/3/2017 1:23 PM
137	Better/more rubbish/dog poo bins and more regular collections. Covered bus stops. Improved public consultation of council plans.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
138	Sorting out Postwick hub.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

### Q9 With any new developments, do you have any suggestions for minimising the impact on the environment?

Answered: 122 Skipped: 64

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Hands off Thorpe Woods	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	Yes, removing barriers to renewable energy that exist for existing properties, and ensuring ALL new properties MUST have solar panels or wind/generators as standard.	11/3/2017 12:54 PM
3	Lots of trees	11/2/2017 7:21 PM
4	Retain woodlands. No new development should reduce these at all.	10/28/2017 2:41 PM
5	build to a high standard. Solar panels mandatory on all buildings.	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
6	Leave the tree/woods alone. We need more trees not less	10/27/2017 3:22 PM
7	All new homes should have high speed broadband (fewer car journeys with good internet shopping), All new homes should be built to "Eco Home" standard. All new homes should have electric car charging points (and local electricity sub stations to support this)	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
8	Don't build them on woodland	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
9	Leave areas in nature state ie not man made footpaths, areas for wildlife, keep woodlands in natural state, put up owl boxes/plant more trees/ don't put so many houses in one area let's have some space!	10/25/2017 11:47 PM
10	We are on the fringe of a National Park so it is really important we provide habitats for local species (green roofs, swift nesting boxes, small ponds, reed beds and so) and we should minimise impact on the Broads - two areas of current impact are light pollution which is far too high in this area and run-off water (every time it rains I can see oil, human waste and other rubbish washed into the river. Yuck)	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
11	Better road infrastructure more trees planted	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
12	Leave green areas and trees	10/24/2017 6:33 PM
13	High environmental credentials like passiv has or similar.	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
14	Keep natural drainage and improve drainage around green lane which floods	10/23/2017 4:30 PM
15	Having buffer zones around developments and surrounding villages therefore not merging into one.	10/23/2017 2:53 PM
16	Solar panels on roofs	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
17	Less housing. Greened developments. Connected green spaces. Good green infrastructure.	10/21/2017 7:42 PM
18	N/A	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
19	larger gardens, less tarmac, trees to absorb rain, dont pack houses os close togther, no mock tudor.	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
20	Don't build big dussingdale style developments!	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
21	Not allowing the development to be overcrowded, resulting in trees and wildlife suffering.	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
22	No, I leave that to the professionals	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
23	More use of solar panels on all buildings.	10/17/2017 10:13 PM
24	No	10/17/2017 3:20 PM
25	Easy access for transport so traffic pollution is increased with stationary vehicles. Lots of trees.	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
26	More green spaces but not for playgrounds.	10/14/2017 1:18 PM
27	Use natural materials and build away from woods. Could also use solar panels rather than harmful fuels for power and electricity.	10/13/2017 10:48 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

28	Encouraging more walking/biking, keeping all areas well connected for bikes and pedestrians	10/10/2017 4:22 PM
29	solar power, ground source or community heating	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
30	protect our woodland -as above	9/29/2017 12:49 PM
31	Making any new living of a reasonable size instead of trying to get more units the size of Rabbit Hutches	9/29/2017 11:40 AM
32	Stop building?	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
33	Any new developments should be low density.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
34	Open areas need to have wildlife sections. Thos keeps kids in touch with animals that live in harmony with us.	9/24/2017 10:57 AM
35	Give people enough space to park cars so they are not on roads	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
36	Planting and bunds, integrated footpath links, matching materials. Wildlife areas not just token planting but major native species planting	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
37	Neutral impact housing, ie solar, etc	9/21/2017 11:58 PM
38	Use eco friendly products and solar	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
39	No to development.	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
40	No more housing the area doesn't need it.	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
41	Solar panels, ground source heat pump, triple glazing	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
42	Yes, if developer thinks they can get 10 houses on, allow 5. Green space, trees and don't mess with any areas that are currently green, attractive and used by people.	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
43	keep it low rise with lots of trees and parks	9/21/2017 2:42 PM
44	small developments only.	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
45	SUDS	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
46	Proper drainage, proper layout and not on greenfield sites	9/21/2017 1:05 PM
47	Smaller developements.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
48	Make consideration for traffic	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
49	Leave womens toilets as womens only	9/20/2017 8:52 PM
50	Green area with housing	9/19/2017 10:53 PM
51	More wood land planted	9/19/2017 8:24 PM
52	Preserve established hedgerows and trees where possible. Ensure a meadow or wildlife area remains.	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
53	Maintain parkland areas	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
54	Simple answer, don't build any new houses at, in, or near TSA	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
55	Tree planting and noise reduction schemes are great.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
56	Yes, you don't do any development	9/19/2017 9:47 AM
57	See above	9/19/2017 9:17 AM
58	The road infrastructure is not sufficient for more houses - Yarmouth Road is already a nightmare and the mini roundabout at Sainsbury's causes traffic buildup from the city	9/19/2017 9:01 AM
59	Eco homes	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
60	Nope	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
61	Prioritise green infrastructure and work development into that structure so it takes on the character of the established part of Thorpe St Andrew	9/19/2017 12:31 AM
62	I would assume the Council would ensure all due diligence is followed during the planning, construction and snagging stages to ensure all legal requirements are met in relation to protecting the environment.	9/19/2017 12:03 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

63	Individual plots for houses - no flats & car & parking restrictions put in place.	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
64	less immigration	9/18/2017 7:12 PM
65	Environmental homes. With use of solar and heat pumps, triple glazed etc	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
66	Only build on empty sites, not grassy areas or fields	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
67	Managing the woodland. Growing new trees and plants. Winter ring opportunities for the locals . Projects with the schools to help maintain and encourage growth.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
68	Community heating, solar PV	9/18/2017 2:15 PM
69	Build ecological and low emission houses	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
70	Only allow development where houses built have sufficient car parking space for at least 2 cars as people are just parking in roads causing traffic flow problems	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
71	Encourage more cycling & public transport use with dedicated safe cycle/pedestrian paths & regular buses.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
72	Consultation knowledge of development road closures etc.	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
73	I have no interest in it what so ever.	9/7/2017 4:49 PM
74	Only internal items not solar panels.	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
75	Protect or grow green spaces	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
76	Maintaining green spaces, Electric car charging points, More trees please	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
77	Switch off unnecessary lights at night. ban bonfires, Solar panels on every roof of new homes.	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
78	All new builds should have to have solar panels	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
79	Make sure we use as many eco schemes as possible.	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
80	More insulation and south facing windows	9/7/2017 2:44 PM
81	Solar panels	9/7/2017 2:39 PM
82	Solar panels.	9/7/2017 2:35 PM
83	ECO friendly homes	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
84	Where is the proof that climate change is taking place? Building regulations will tell us what to do or provide.	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
85	Sustainable efficient building techniques	9/3/2017 3:18 PM
86	More space, specifically green spaces, don't cut down trees - work around what's there!	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
87	Build else where. Thorpe hearse and spowston already becoming one place. Need separate identity.	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
88	Don't build on greenfield sites	8/26/2017 5:31 AM
89	Parking Cycle lanes Speed restrictions reduced	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
90	Zero carbon.	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
91	Yes, make them all have solar power and solar water.....minimise the in street parking i.e. Don't allow it or give them enough space, same as bins, don't them them store all out the front (look at little plumstead for the mess they have created)	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
92	Reduce the smell from tarmac plant, keep more green space. We're. Developments, stop felling woodland	8/25/2017 11:01 PM
93	Ensure drainage is adequate, ensure rubbish collection adequate and Street cleaning is too (everything which is already more than adequate) and ensure our cycle paths and park areas are accessible and plentiful.	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
94	No.	8/23/2017 4:55 PM
95	Paths to get people to walk more	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
96	Listen to local residents opinions. Broadland Council ignored our concerns in favour of Ocubis. Now look at the Anti-Social behaviour issues on the Pinebanks site and the	8/23/2017 12:37 AM


## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

	eyesore it has created.	
97	Access and egress for traffic and awareness of traffic congestion and pedestrian safety.	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
98	Careful planning and an awareness of traffic, taking account of the impact of the NDR.	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
99	Build less!	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
100	Protect ancient woodlands - don't over congest the infrastructure	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
101	Maximise the use of a house by utilising the loft space and ensuring adequate off road parking and garages that fit modern cars. If cars are not parked on roadsides the trees can be planted along road verges again and protected. Ensure that any old derelict sites are used first for housing.	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
102	No	8/21/2017 11:12 PM
103	Larger plots allowing parking required for the properties.	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
104	Low level buildings made of natural materials	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
105	Stop feeding fever more traffic into already full roads, to pollute plumstead road, st Williams way, Yarmouth Road & Salhouse road. Have railway halt on business park. Use of solar panels (PV & hot water) , heat pumps fir heating and wind turbines, plus community heat projects, and super insulating new builds.	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
106	Renewable energy focus, habitat reconstruction.	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
107	Make garages big enough to park a car in!	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
108	Make them all be green properties. With new technologies.	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
109	Appropriate planting to provide noise reduction, improve visual impact & provide. places for wildlife.	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
110	Better transportation.	8/21/2017 1:41 PM
111	Good cycle links. Water pressure improved. Traffic management considered. Junctions improved (Harvey/Gordon).	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
112	Development of tree belts and the retention of hedgerows for wildlife corridors	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
113	Big parks and woods planned inside the development; Mandatory solar panels on all houses or even passive house standards.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
114	no	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
115	Do not cut down trees	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
116	2 story	8/14/2017 6:17 PM
117	Yes sensible planning, quality spacious housing. When I have seen the proposed plans for the Oasis site in the paper I laughed with the proposed volume of units they wished to wedge in with another sports centre on that limited size site you will be able to shake your neighbours hand whilst sitting on your respective toilets. And they will no doubt want top dollar for them for the privilege (Sorry I forgot 200k is considered affordable housing now days)	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
118	Ideally all new developments should meet high environmental standards with low energy use, high insulation and use of modern technologies such as solar panels, air source heat pumps etc.	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
119	There is no way of minimising the impact.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
120	dont allow new developments	8/3/2017 1:23 PM
121	Develop brownfield sites first.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
122	Use of sustainable drainage systems to deal with rainwater on site. Maintenance and long term arrangements for green spaces.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q10 Are there specific areas of Thorpe St Andrew which need protecting?

Answered: 169 Skipped: 17



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	82.84%	140
No	17.16%	29
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>169</b>

#	IF SO, WHERE?	DATE
1	Thorpe Woods	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	All	11/14/2017 12:49 AM
3	River Green	11/3/2017 8:09 PM
4	Weston Wood/	11/3/2017 12:54 PM
5	Woods	11/2/2017 7:21 PM
6	All the woodlands	10/28/2017 2:41 PM
7	All woodland and green field sites	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
8	Parks and woods	10/27/2017 3:22 PM
9	Woodlands off South Hill Road	10/25/2017 11:47 PM
10	Broads National Park and adjacent lands.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
11	all of it	10/24/2017 10:19 PM
12	Woods	10/24/2017 9:55 PM
13	All woodland areas especially for walking	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
14	The woods	10/24/2017 6:33 PM
15	Woods between TSA and Thorpe End	10/23/2017 2:53 PM
16	Thorpe St Andrew woodlands and green spaces.	10/21/2017 7:42 PM
17	Belmore woods	10/21/2017 10:49 AM
18	Thorpe Marshes, Thorpe Island, Woodland	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
19	woodland, parks, plantations, marshes, wild areas	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
20	The plantations and the open spaces like the rec and the school fields. These can get built	10/18/2017 9:57 PM



## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

on as is seen in the city

21	The obvious one - the woods off Salhouse Road.	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
22	River Green between the Rivergarden and up to the corner of whittingham lane	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
23	Not sure, but there are probably some.	10/17/2017 10:13 PM
24	Woodland areas	10/17/2017 3:55 PM
25	River Green	10/16/2017 8:17 PM
26	Along the river frontage	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
27	Thorpe Marshes	10/14/2017 1:18 PM
28	Thorpe woods and Thorpe Marshes	10/13/2017 10:48 PM
29	Existing conservation areas adequate	10/10/2017 4:22 PM
30	woodlands	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
31	woodlands as above	9/29/2017 12:49 PM
32	All the designated Conservation areas not just the 100 yards of River Green that is always the first to be thought of by the Town Council	9/29/2017 11:40 AM
33	The wood on south hill road, and plumstead road leading to Thorpe end. To keep separate.	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
34	Thorpe woods	9/25/2017 10:53 AM
35	Any green spaces we currently have should stay exactly that green.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
36	Woods	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
37	The green	9/22/2017 5:59 AM
38	woodland and recreational areas.	9/21/2017 11:58 PM
39	Wooded areas and marshland	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
40	Everywhere	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
41	All the green areas	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
42	Green spaces, areas of wildlife importance	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
43	All of the various woodland areas and public areas scattered throughout and the river area.	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
44	land at top of dussindale drive the forest area	9/21/2017 2:42 PM
45	woodlands and natural areas.	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
46	Woodland walks	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
47	River Green and the wonderful houseboats on the opposite bank	9/21/2017 1:05 PM
48	Thorpe End. Already destroyed by the NDR.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
49	Woods	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
50	Woods	9/20/2017 8:56 PM
51	All old Thorpe	9/19/2017 10:23 PM
52	Woodland	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
53	need to ensure pound lane woods are protected!	9/19/2017 8:24 PM
54	Woodlands, hedgerows and trees.	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
55	All of it especially the woods area near Rackheath.	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
56	The recreation ground	9/19/2017 12:23 PM
57	All of it.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
58	The river	9/19/2017 10:10 AM
59	Woodland area around oasis	9/19/2017 9:57 AM
60	Any woods or farm land	9/19/2017 9:47 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

61	River green	9/19/2017 9:42 AM
62	Woods	9/19/2017 9:39 AM
63	Dussindale driving to fast in Dussindale , don't indicate on roundabouts	9/19/2017 9:31 AM
64	Woodland	9/19/2017 9:17 AM
65	Dussindale	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
66	All park land	9/19/2017 9:10 AM
67	All of it!	9/19/2017 9:01 AM
68	Woods	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
69	The establishe'd areas around a thunder lane and spinney road. Article 4 Directions should be serred and TPOS on the beech trees	9/19/2017 12:31 AM
70	the green by the river where the unsightly houseboat are - this area should be revamped	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
71	Woodland areas Green space /Parks	9/18/2017 10:41 PM
72	all of it	9/18/2017 7:12 PM
73	Thorpe woods	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
74	Woodland near plumstead road, Dussindale pavilion, recreation ground	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
75	The woodlands. They should be seen as an opportunity and if managed and cared for could become something very speacial that will bring nothing but good things to the area. Allowing the owners just to capitalise on the area with no regard for anything other than their bank balance is never a good thing	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
76	Woodland off southill / plumstead road	9/18/2017 2:15 PM
77	The woodlands and parks!	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
78	Thorpe Green/ Thorpe Woodland and main roads into city	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
79	Thorpe woodlands	9/16/2017 2:46 PM
80	green spaces	9/15/2017 11:53 AM
81	The River Green it should be left as it is now, permanent mooring on the Green side of the river will render half the area unsuitable for visitors, Fishermen duck feeding etc.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
82	careys Meadow & River frontage Island	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
83	Thorpe Woods all green community spaces	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
84	Parks, woods & playing fields	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
85	Restriction on more building on Business park.	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
86	All open spaces that are not protected by covenant	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
87	All pockets of Woodland	9/7/2017 3:25 PM
88	Woodland areas & recreation areas.	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
89	Belmore Plantation Racecourse & Woodland by the Oasis	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
90	The Island	9/7/2017 2:44 PM
91	School	9/7/2017 2:35 PM
92	Leave well alone already too much petty government.	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
93	All along the broad & Carys meadow	8/29/2017 8:39 PM
94	There must be enough space to only build on new ground or redevelop current areas, no need to destroy anything in use or well liked!	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
95	Park and the woods	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
96	Woodland on plumstead road	8/26/2017 5:31 AM
97	River	8/26/2017 1:30 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

98	River Green, marshes	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
99	Alongside the river, especially River Green	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
100	Woodland	8/25/2017 11:01 PM
101	All woods	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
102	By the river?	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
103	River green	8/23/2017 8:36 PM
104	Woods	8/23/2017 6:45 PM
105	The green spaces	8/23/2017 4:54 PM
106	Yarmouth road, whitlingham area	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
107	The woods on Plumstead Road East.	8/23/2017 12:37 AM
108	woodland and parks	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
109	The river and its banks, woodland and green areas.	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
110	Woodland trails near Oasis	8/22/2017 12:16 PM
111	The green open spaces, don't build it up	8/22/2017 12:13 PM
112	Woodlands and green areas	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
113	Woodlands and green spaces.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
114	The woods should not be touchex	8/22/2017 9:28 AM
115	Belmore plantation	8/22/2017 9:12 AM
116	Belmore & racecourse woods	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
117	Thorpe woods both sides of Plumsted Road. These should be considered an extension of Mousehold and protected. This should be utilised as an additional green space for everyone to enjoy. The woods are beautiful and should be protected at all cost. They are an oasis of peace in what is becoming a very busy city	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
118	Doesn't matter	8/21/2017 11:12 PM
119	The prevention from joining it to Thorpe End.	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
120	Woods & river green	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
121	The recreation ground	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
122	Caley's Meadow and any other open spaces which make the area so enjoyable to live in	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
123	The woods need protecting	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
124	Dog walking areas and recreation facilities	8/21/2017 2:30 PM
125	Parks public open spaces.	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
126	River areas	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
127	Thorpe Woods	8/21/2017 1:22 PM
128	thegreen spaces and existing hedgerows.	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
129	All the riverside and broads.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
130	Woodland areas	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
131	River Green and existing open spaces	8/14/2017 6:17 PM
132	Wooded areas (South hill road Plumsted, Woodside road) Some time back I read a story about the council fining a chap in Thorpe thousands of pounds end for cutting down some of his trees, but hey let's cut down 100's of trees to make way for some housing if it generated some council tax cash, who cares about the environment if the cash is flowing.	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
133	River Green	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
134	All walls, character houses	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
135	All open spaces and Woodland in Thorpe need protecting.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM


## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

136	Pathways, alleys where you can walk your dogs safely	8/6/2017 3:54 PM
137	woods	8/3/2017 1:23 PM
138	Existing woodland and park areas.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
139	The wooded areas which provide a refuge from development and the river sides.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q11 Do you think the boundary of Thorpe St Andrew should be changed?

Answered: 169 Skipped: 17



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	8.28%	14
No	91.72%	155
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>169</b>

#	IF SO, WHERE?	DATE
1	Yes it is bit awkward at the moment since the council has two audiences - the rather environment focussed people by the river and the commuters up the hill.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
2	All of it.	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
3	don't know	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
4	I'm actually unsure of the boundary	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
5	lets incorporate Heartsease (ha -gotcha, only joking!)	9/29/2017 12:49 PM
6	Everywhere possible and make Yarmouth Road from the junction of Harvey Lane to Thunder Lane cycle and limited car access only	9/29/2017 11:40 AM
7	Just clean up the current ones with better signs	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
8	Thorpe dussindale separated	9/19/2017 10:53 PM
9	Does TSA include Dussindale?	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
10	Towards Thorpe End	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
11	Unless proposed development necessitates.	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
12	Maybe made wider to include Broadland business park area	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
13	It can't. If it expands and excepts more houses then there will not be enough amenities, shops etc to support the move. Unless adopting more real estate would help give further finances to help develop the run-down area.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
14	Made wider out past Broadland biz park	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
15	Maybe bigger to incorporate new housing developments around Broadland Business Park Area.	8/23/2017 4:55 PM
16	But maybe make Dussindale a separate district as it's so different to the older part.	8/22/2017 12:16 PM
17	Should include Thorpe End and all Business park	8/21/2017 6:46 PM


## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

18	Why? Is there a reason it needs changing?	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
19	needs to be expanded to the east.	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
20	Have the boundary with the junction of Harvey Lane and Yarmouth Road.	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
21	The boundary should not include the business park.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
22	I see no particular reason to do this.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q12 Would you like to see more cycleways in Thorpe St Andrew?

Answered: 168 Skipped: 18



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	54.76%	92
No	45.24%	76
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>168</b>

#	IF SO, WHERE WOULD YOU LIKE THEM TO BE?	DATE
1	Yarmouth Rd	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	Alongside major routes - NOT a narrow lane on the road itself.	11/3/2017 12:54 PM
3	Not on the roads.	10/27/2017 3:22 PM
4	Continuous, safe route into city. If cars are allowed to park on a "cycle lane", it's not a real cycle lane!	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
5	Yarmouth Road needs a cycle lane	10/25/2017 11:47 PM
6	Broadland business park to the City - ie down Yarmouth Rd.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
7	Original part of TSA Laundry Lane etc	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
8	Along all main route	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
9	All ovet	10/23/2017 8:03 PM
10	Place to prevent cyclists being on main roads e.g. Yarmouth road	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
11	Leading to the countryside making wide roads safe, a link to whittlingham	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
12	I. The older part of Thorpe so we can connect to the cycle paths in dussingdale. About the only decent thing in worker land.	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
13	Yarmouth Rd	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
14	Yarmouth Road, (Thorpe Road)	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
15	Anywhere feasible but not lanes marked in road - dangerous as motorist take no notice	10/14/2017 1:18 PM
16	More bikeable cut throughs, eg footpath between Gordon Avenue and Le Tunder Close (I think?) is very narrow and forbidding	10/10/2017 4:22 PM
17	main thoroughfares	9/29/2017 12:49 PM
18	I would like to see direct cycle pathway connecting Thorpe St Andrew to the city and more cycle paths leading to the country side allowing families to cycle out of the city safely.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
19	Yarmouth road from the city to Thunder lane.	9/22/2017 5:59 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

20	into norwich along yarmouth road	9/21/2017 11:16 PM
21	Yarmouth Rd	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
22	On main roads such as Yarmouth Road	9/21/2017 4:25 PM
23	Linking public spaces, easy access for kids at High School.	9/21/2017 4:07 PM
24	Along Yarmouth Road and the ring road mainly	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
25	Proper cycle paths - St Andrews area, Ring Road, Thorpe and Yarmouth Road, Thunder Lane, Harvey Lane.	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
26	to be included in any new build areas	9/21/2017 2:42 PM
27	Towards the city centre, near the laundry lane park.	9/21/2017 2:00 PM
28	Certainly not, far too much emphasis on cyclists already.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
29	Towards the city, towards west Thorpe.	9/20/2017 8:56 PM
30	My problem is cyclists appear not to use them	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
31	I would love there to be a car free route from Dussindale to the city e.g. A safe route over to Whitlingham (not along the A47) at the east end of TSA	9/19/2017 12:23 PM
32	a cycleway to access Wittlingham Country Park directly, would be wonderful.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
33	To the schools	9/19/2017 10:10 AM
34	Yarmouth road. It's only going to be a matter of time till a cyclist is seriously injured on the area down from broadland business park to river green	9/19/2017 9:42 AM
35	Marking out off cycle lanes in dussindale after 20 years they are not there anymore	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
36	I have answers no but my view may change depending on the nature of proposed developments (I have not been made aware of proposals for the area yet)	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
37	The is no room.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
38	pedestrian/cycleway connection to whitlingham via a bridge	9/15/2017 11:53 AM
39	Along Yarmouth Road or adjacent to, can be extremely unsafe for cyclists.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
40	Not if it impedes through traffic. Is there space?	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
41	Dedication cycle path along river, even a bridge linking Trowse	8/29/2017 8:39 PM
42	Every single road should be pedestrian first, cycle second, then motors.	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
43	Yarmouth to Thorpe Road	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
44	Everywhere and linking up to Trowse and Whitlingham would be amazing!	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
45	Roads into the city.	8/23/2017 12:37 AM
46	aside main roads	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
47	Into the city to encourage commuting by bicycle.	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
48	Certainly not! Far too much priority given to this minority group.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
49	Plumstead road east - separate cycle lanes separate from the road	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
50	Through the sides of woods where possible without having a detrimental affect in the environment. This would help the every day cyclists on the work journey and provide routes for leisure cyclists. These could be linked around Norwich to provide a massive network of cycle routes for cycling allowing families with small children to feel safe and enjoy longer routes	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
51	They are not used when provided	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
52	Around the schools	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
53	Wherever space can be made	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
54	To get out of the City, into countryside.	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
55	Along side pound lane and along Plumstead road to the heartsease	8/20/2017 8:56 PM



## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

56	thorpe road/yarmouth road	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
57	Green Lane, Plumstead Rd East	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
58	Would be great if the one on St Williams way around the thunder lane junction to heartsease roundabout was usable, currently it's full of parked cars every day.	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
59	Yarmouth Road, Plumstead Road	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
60	We have cycleways along St Williams Way but cars are parked on them all the time. The only answer is to allow people to cycle on the pavement.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
61	Providing access across the major transport systems so that it becomes more practical and safer to walk/cycle.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

18	Bikes on the path are a constant problem because cyclist don't want to be on the busy road. I have nearly been hit by cyclist when exiting my property. Parking is a disaster, two businesses namely Chaplin Farrant and RMP cause all of the parking issues. Residents cannot park and cars on the grass look awful, it's spoiling the feel of the river green and the church.	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
19	Congestion at rush hour.	10/17/2017 10:13 PM
20	Unnecessary buses.	10/16/2017 8:17 PM
21	School run - parents ignor yellow lines	10/14/2017 1:18 PM
22	Parking on bike lanes, esp St William's Way (grr)	10/10/2017 4:22 PM
23	excessive driving school traffic	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
24	Difficult to cross the road, because of fas tmoving traffic on the roundabout close to junction with St. Andrews Park	9/29/2017 5:57 PM
25	But the ring does for my daughter.	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
26	Parents parking during the school run can cause endless frustration. When an accident should happen. In the A47 Thorpe St Andrew can become gridlocked. Very frustrating	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
27	Makes me late.	9/22/2017 5:59 AM
28	Too many road works over the last year. Awful question and delays going to work and coming back. Why why why? Has it taken so long. Disgusting.	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
29	Spinney Rd is particularly busy now with main route to high school.as well as bus route and parents dropping children off to school and cyclists.	9/21/2017 8:11 PM
30	Noise pollution from traffic	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
31	walking with children and cycling can be slightly risky at times on certain roads	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
32	Speeders concern me especially on laundry lane , school times in pert	9/21/2017 2:00 PM
33	Speeding in residential areas and careless parking by visitors	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
34	Speeding on Dussindale, boy racers with overly noisy exhaust systems.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
35	Dangerous driving. Too much parking on corners which is dangerous and inconsiderate. Traffic at the high school causes a blockage.	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
36	Speeding, dangerous driving in dussindale	9/19/2017 10:53 PM
37	To few busses	9/19/2017 10:23 PM
38	The rat run from plumstead road East through green lane and under the train bridge with its flooding	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
39	We have a lot of problems with late night and weekend unsociable vehicular activity, generally motorbikes performing high speed manoeuvres and screeching of tyres, on Dussindale Drive and the Business Parks.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
40	Delays	9/19/2017 9:39 AM
41	Traffic jams contribute to polution	9/19/2017 9:17 AM
42	Speeding	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
43	Commuting and say to day. It's has built up significantly over the years	9/19/2017 9:10 AM
44	Too much traffic coming off A47 heading towards Sainsbury's - don't think the Northern bypass will make any difference!	9/19/2017 9:01 AM
45	Live on dussindale drive, lots of cars speeding	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
46	TSA school 3pm. NIGHTMARE.	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
47	Incessant roadworjs	9/19/2017 12:31 AM
48	Queues at peak times outside my house on Yarmouth Rd due to mini roundabout at one end & school parents parking nearer to School avenue (Hillside School).	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
49	I live on a main road. It needs more safe crossing points	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
50	Queues of driving school cars on relatively small roads	9/18/2017 2:15 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

51	Poor parking as people do not park on their property and in the road instead	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
52	But only on home match days	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
53	More the road works	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
54	Continual parking outside my house by people too lazy to drive and park in the provided carpark when dropping off their children or walking their dogs.	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
55	96 buses on Spinney Road per day & school buses	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
56	Speeding	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
57	More walkers and cyclists makes every journey less busy and nicer	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
58	Noise from motorbikes with their silencers removed	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
59	Speeding, using cut through, hopefully will be better once ndr completed	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
60	Roadworks! Taking half a year diggin up,same road in separate sections	8/25/2017 7:55 PM
61	Queues along the Yarmouth road towards Carrow Park are long in the mornings.	8/25/2017 10:57 AM
62	Bad for health and horrible running up ring road	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
63	It's very low here in my opinion	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
64	only roadworks!	8/24/2017 8:46 PM
65	Way too congested by the railway bridge roundabout on yarmouth road.	8/23/2017 4:55 PM
66	roadworks are constant. regular delays	8/23/2017 4:54 PM
67	It's a nightmare getting to and from work everyday, traffic really builds up NO SPEED BUMPS PLEASE!	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
68	TSA can't cope now let alone with the increase from the new Pinebanks proposed development	8/23/2017 12:37 AM
69	walking past or through busy roads feels unsafe. showing traffic in particular motor bikes noise levels at night	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
70	Not yet!	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
71	Speeding motorists on Dussindale. Noisy exhausts on boy racer cars. Motorbikes roaring around the business park at night can be heard on Dussindale.	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
72	Speeding and boy racer activity on Dussindale.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
73	A pedestrian refuge is needed inbetween thunder lane and heartsease roundabout	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
74	Cars speeding in areas especially at night. Speeding is worse late at night than it is during the day. I would prefer not to see speed bumps put in as people actually drive fairly safely during the day. The noise made by speeding vehicles at night can wake people easily as Thorpe is a very quite area.	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
75	Too many cars parking in places that are dangerous.	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
76	Congestion, and amount of poorly planned and lack of coordination of roadworks means spending 2 to 4 times times getting to/from work. Mini roundabouts sees idiots behaving appallingly to get ahead in over congested traffic.	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
77	Speed along the Yarmouth road won't stop for pedestrians	8/21/2017 3:41 PM
78	Too many parked cars and main road noisy from co-op down to Sainsburys, not sure what can be done to minimise usage.	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
79	Road works everywhere!	8/21/2017 2:30 PM
80	Speeding traffic cutting through Dussingdale drive at speed during the evening.	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
81	access to the A47 cut down on my commute time.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
82	Yes I'm concerned at the difficulty getting out of Dussindale at peak times and when the NDR is completed the Plumstead Rd East entrance to Dussindale Drive will become a bigger problem	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
83	Plumstead rd is very busy and a hey keep shutting off roads constantly	8/14/2017 7:56 PM


### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

84	Postwick Hub the original slip road reinstated	8/14/2017 6:17 PM
85	There has been a lot of heavy traffic, HGV's etc on Dussindale Drive recently perhaps because of the NDR development. It is having an impact on the road surface. I can't say it really affects my quality of life!	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
86	Roads fast on Yarmouth Rd	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
87	Journey times are taking longer because too many people have cars; another reason for stopping further development.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
88	The volume of traffic speeding through Furze Road, Gorse Road and Broom Avenue.	8/6/2017 3:54 PM
89	Unnecessary empty busses every few minutes.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
90	Improved parking arrangements.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q14 Which of the following could be improved?

Answered: 168 Skipped: 18




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Traffic Calming	11.90%	20
Tackle Speeding	23.81%	40
Improve Cyclist/Pedestrian Safety	22.02%	37
Restrict HGV	23.21%	39
More Parking	19.05%	32
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>168</b>

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q15 Should new dwellings include greater offroad parking provision?

Answered: 165 Skipped: 21




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	96.36%	159
No	3.64%	6
TOTAL		165

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q16 Do you think there should be more parking restrictions in Thorpe St Andrew?

Answered: 176 Skipped: 10



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	35.23%	62
No	64.77%	114
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>176</b>

#	IF SO, WHERE?	DATE
1	Yarmouth Road	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	Yarmouth Road. People leaving their cars to take the bus	11/3/2017 8:09 PM
3	everywhere	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
4	Vane close Dussindale school	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
5	West end of Yarmouth road and feeder roads could be double-yellowed to prevent the football parking.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
6	Laundry Lane	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
7	Yarmouth road mead church. Outside schools, people actually getting fined!	10/23/2017 8:03 PM
8	On verges and at junctions!	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
9	How can businesses with over 30 employees yet parking provisions for less than five cars be permitted in a conservation area?	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
10	South hill road; Booty Road	10/17/2017 3:55 PM
11	Opposite Oaklands Hotel.	10/16/2017 8:17 PM
12	Yarmouth Road, the road is too narrow in places.	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
13	River Green to Harvey Lane	9/29/2017 11:40 AM
14	Outside all schools.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
15	Only road that should be restricted are ones outside schools	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
16	Towards the stadium and along South Avenue	9/22/2017 5:59 AM
17	Near the schools. Especially how parents park when raining dropping children off at school near hillside	9/21/2017 8:11 PM
18	Thorpe Green needs proper off road parking for residents and especially visitors.	9/21/2017 1:52 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

19	Generally on grass verges, which are being eroded	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
20	Pavement parking stopped on Dussindale.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
21	Thorpe High School	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
22	Have to be realistic about car ownership	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
23	one car per family. I live in a close with lots of houses and there is me and a couple of neighbours in my close that actually use their garage. There is a family of 4 who lives near me and guess what, there are 4 cars parked all over the close that belong to that one family. Fire engines, ambulance or police vans would not be able to reach me if I needed them as too many cars half on road and half on pavement on both sides of the street.	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
24	Round the schools and actually enforce the restrictions that are in place	9/19/2017 9:42 AM
25	Dussindale esrate. Emergency vehicles would not get through on some roads.	9/19/2017 9:10 AM
26	See previous comment on school	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
27	On Yarmouth Rd between primrose crescent & Thunder Lane.	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
28	Yarmouth Road especially down near River Green	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
29	Thorpe Hall Close, Yarmouth Road. Football days its terrible.	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
30	River green up to River Garden pub has a lot of cars parked on roadside adding to congestion. Some drivers are inconsiderate & obstruct the pavement. A traffic warden should make regular visits to issue penalty notices where appropriate.	8/29/2017 8:39 PM
31	Everywhere! As above, pedestrians first, cycles serving, motors third. Make everything more pleasant for all	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
32	Pound lane by school and fields	8/26/2017 1:30 AM
33	office workers parking on grass verges and in front of residents' properties, blocking access to garages, parking areas	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
34	Yarmouth Road	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
35	Along Dussindale Drive	8/25/2017 10:57 AM
36	Opposite Dussindale primary	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
37	Residential streets	8/23/2017 8:36 PM
38	Dussindale Drive and around Dussindale school	8/23/2017 7:17 PM
39	Where homes do not have designated parking areas	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
40	Stop pavement and verge parking on Dussindale. Ruining the verges and impossible to walk along the pavement in places.	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
41	Pavement parking and verge parking on Dussindale. Parking on Yarmouth Rd. along past St Williams Way junction.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
42	A lot of older properties actually have large front gardens and more and more people are using there gardens as driveways. I think this should be encouraged and the council could make it more cost effective to help change people's access to their gardens	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
43	It's difficult enough to park outside your own house	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
44	Around schools (all) start and end of school day should be policed if permit parking 24/7 can be introduced	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
45	People park around Gordon avenue then get on the bus to work.	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
46	Stop parents parking across all the paths at Dussindale primary as pedestrians are having to walk on the roads	8/21/2017 2:30 PM
47	Along Yarmouth Road	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
48	Everywhere, I've never known an area to have such inconsiderate motorists when it comes to parking!	8/21/2017 1:22 PM
49	Along the close areas where vehicles often choke the close areas and park on the pavements so disabled and parents with pushchairs have difficulty passing them and remain sfe on the pavement.	8/20/2017 8:56 PM




## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

50	Most houses and roads don't have parking spaces and people end up parking on the sidewalks.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
51	Along Yarmouth Road	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
52	stop parking on green verges/plumstead rd east	8/3/2017 1:23 PM
53	See above re parking on footpaths	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q17 Would you like to see the introduction of parking permits?

Answered: 177 Skipped: 9




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	19.21%	34
No	80.79%	143
TOTAL		177

Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

Q18 How many Children live at your address?

Answered: 184 Skipped: 2




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
0	51.09%	94
1	14.67%	27
2	25.54%	47
3	5.98%	11
4+	2.72%	5
TOTAL		184

Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

Q19 Will a child in your household need to start at Primary School within the next 5 years?

Answered: 173 Skipped: 13



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	17.34%	30
No	82.66%	143
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>173</b>

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q20 What do you like most about Thorpe St Andrew?

Answered: 156 Skipped: 30

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Unique identity as a town and not part of Norwich	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	Location	11/3/2017 8:09 PM
3	The local services we do have which are so good; schools/GP.s/library etc....	11/3/2017 12:54 PM
4	Quite	11/2/2017 7:21 PM
5	The generally quiet nature of it.	10/28/2017 2:41 PM
6	Normally quiet	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
7	It is near Norwich and was no room for more housing	10/27/2017 3:22 PM
8	Quiet (where I live)	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
9	River green	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
10	Relaxed, older environment, woodland areas, local amenities.	10/25/2017 11:47 PM
11	I like the river very much and I think the local council is surprisingly active for a commuter area.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
12	Peaceful	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
13	The green open spaces	10/24/2017 6:33 PM
14	Low crime and lots of open space	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
15	Location to city centre	10/23/2017 8:03 PM
16	Community is being built by the people who live there despite lack of facilities	10/23/2017 4:30 PM
17	The schools. The free flow of traffic. The layout of properties.	10/23/2017 2:53 PM
18	The river and pubs, shops	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
19	The amount of accessible green space and the tree lined roads.	10/21/2017 7:42 PM
20	Trees everywhere, community spirit, general feeling of safeness	10/21/2017 10:49 AM
21	It's diversity and location	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
22	low crime access to Norwich table tennis club frindley dog walkers	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
23	It's not dussingdale...	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
24	It is largely unspoilt, it is quiet, peaceful and safe.	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
25	The river green, the pubs and the cafe. The village feel.	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
26	Everything	10/17/2017 3:55 PM
27	sense of community	10/17/2017 3:20 PM
28	Location.	10/16/2017 8:17 PM
29	Access to the countryside on one side and the City on the other	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
30	Close to city, and bypass	10/14/2017 1:18 PM
31	I feel safe living here and there is a close community! I love the idea of Facebook groups for the community! These are incredibly useful and keep the town close! I also absolutely love the field where the community café was held, the field is perfect for stargazing!!	10/13/2017 10:48 PM
32	Near to city but fairly quiet	10/10/2017 4:22 PM
33	character, neighbourliness	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
34	character, close to city centre, rail station and southern bypass	9/29/2017 12:49 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

35	The Rural feel	9/29/2017 11:40 AM
36	It's near Norwich but not in the city	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
37	Friendly family atmosphere, parks and natural beauty	9/25/2017 10:53 AM
38	I love the uniqueness of Thorpe St Andrew. It Clean and it's green. We have lots of little hidden treasures. We have a beautiful River Green and beautiful church. Wonderful pubs and just few restaurants, we would benefit from just another couple. There is a good community spirit.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
39	Good housing in a great location. Close to the city with good bus routes.	9/24/2017 10:57 AM
40	Relatively peaceful	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
41	Close to Norwich	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
42	Relaxed atmosphere, relativity safe, ducks at the green.	9/22/2017 5:59 AM
43	Location to city and country	9/21/2017 11:58 PM
44	Close to city and to countryside Good choice of shops and pubs and food establishments n	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
45	Convenience if Sainsburys. Generally quiet area with little crime.	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
46	Being a superb	9/21/2017 8:11 PM
47	I like the family friendly feel of the place which is prevalent in Dussindale especially but the facilities don't reflect this	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
48	Close to the city but still feels like you are in a large village. The river walk and green spaces.	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
49	It's natural beauty, proximity to city and countryside and the general nice feel (and people) that live here.	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
50	lovely community	9/21/2017 2:42 PM
51	Location	9/21/2017 2:00 PM
52	Everything is close we need.	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
53	Generally peaceful, well served by transport and other services.	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
54	River Green and the boats	9/21/2017 1:05 PM
55	The ease of access to the area, Norwich, the coast and the Broads.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
56	Park areas.	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
57	Proximity to Norwich, river area, community.	9/20/2017 8:56 PM
58	The boats on thorpe river green	9/20/2017 8:52 PM
59	Close to city & a47	9/19/2017 10:53 PM
60	People And peace to	9/19/2017 10:23 PM
61	Nice established area	9/19/2017 8:56 PM
62	The open spaces and Community	9/19/2017 8:24 PM
63	The community. The nature and wildlife and it feels like the countryside.	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
64	It has managed to keep the small town aspect to the area despite the encroachment of Norwich	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
65	Being near the country side and no hassle and bustle, use of close by supermarket, doctors and dentists. I love my village in TSA.	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
66	Quiet, not too urban, very quick commute into the city along reasonably clear roads, minimising time in car and traffic jams and therefore environmental impact. Services we need (doctor, dentist, school, nursery, supermarket, activities for kids) all in the area and quick to get to.	9/19/2017 12:23 PM
67	Great place to live.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
68	The fact there are woods to walk in and a farm behind my house which had a forest part before it was cut down	9/19/2017 9:47 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

69	Good community	9/19/2017 9:21 AM
70	It's in the country yet close to the city	9/19/2017 9:17 AM
71	Walks	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
72	That it remains rural whilst being part of a city	9/19/2017 9:10 AM
73	It has a community feel	9/19/2017 9:01 AM
74	10 mins to city a but a rural feel	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
75	People.	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
76	A safe environment to bring up children	9/19/2017 12:31 AM
77	As an area, Thorpe St Andrew has potential to be developed to flagship standard. This is heightened when considering the ease of access to A47, the NDR and the city centre.	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
78	Community feel Having various facilities - libraries, butchers, PO, etc in vicinity	9/18/2017 10:41 PM
79	Quiet, green open spaces but with easy access to the City and the coast.	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
80	like england used to be	9/18/2017 7:12 PM
81	Quietness.	9/18/2017 5:37 PM
82	It's community feel.	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
83	The lack of "affordable" housing!	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
84	Not much.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
85	Community Privacy Sense of quiet	9/18/2017 2:15 PM
86	Local spirit	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
87	Was easy to get into city but is becoming more difficult with no stop building out into country	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
88	Good shops and other facilities	9/16/2017 2:46 PM
89	it's quite quiet and the air is fairly clean	9/15/2017 11:53 AM
90	The strong community spirit, the Buck Inn is an asset not being a chain establishment.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
91	Rural area, River environment & eating establishments.	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
92	Environment	9/7/2017 4:55 PM
93	Peace	9/7/2017 4:49 PM
94	Its unspoilt character more rural than urban feel.	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
95	Its diversity of space & buildings	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
96	Closeness to the city & major roads	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
97	The peaceful surroundings, green spaces, individual designs of the houses.	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
98	A pleasant convenient and safe place to live.	9/7/2017 3:25 PM
99	Pleasant, quite low crime & a sense of community	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
100	The fact that it has more of a village vibe & it is so close to the countryside	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
101	The community spirit	9/7/2017 2:44 PM
102	Its position.	9/7/2017 2:18 PM
103	It is very nice as it is, leave it as it is please.	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
104	There's a good mix of families & age groups. It's pretty, especially near River Green, with good pubs. Also the frequent fairs on special occasions or Christmas time.	8/29/2017 8:39 PM
105	Relative calm, happy people, pretty places, decent (if not exceptional) amenities	8/28/2017 1:11 PM
106	It's quite. And no one can build near us	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
107	Proximity to city, river	8/26/2017 5:31 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

108	Beauty River Green Historical buildings Nice pubs	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
109	Easy access to the city and easy access to A47 and soon easy access to NDR	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
110	The houses	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
111	Community spirit and access to main roads	8/25/2017 11:01 PM
112	Local schools, community	8/25/2017 7:55 PM
113	Easy access to the city, The green spaces.	8/25/2017 10:57 AM
114	The green spaces and woods so close to city	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
115	The community	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
116	friendly people, open spaces	8/24/2017 8:46 PM
117	It feels like a community, we love the St. George's day, fireworks and Christmas celebrations, they are the highlight of our year.	8/23/2017 6:45 PM
118	Love that its so close to A47. Love River Green. Love the green spaces but parks need updating and expanding so families can make more of a day of it like Eaton Park.	8/23/2017 4:55 PM
119	The safety	8/23/2017 4:54 PM
120	Close to the city, good bus routes, good school	8/23/2017 1:26 PM
121	It's proximity to the city with it still being quiet	8/23/2017 12:37 AM
122	Proximity to the city and bypass. Schools, food shopping, sport facilities	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
123	Green spaces, the river, independent shops, cafes and restaurants. Easy to reach the city and also out of Thorpe St Andrew and into the countryside, the broads and the coast.	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
124	River Green area - but it's difficult to get to it from Dussindale with younger children	8/22/2017 12:16 PM
125	Green open spaces and rural feel	8/22/2017 12:13 PM
126	Near to the city hut close to the countryside and coast. Reasonable safe and quiet area	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
127	A pleasant area to live, not far to travel into the city and close the the Broads and Coastal areas.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
128	Quiet	8/22/2017 9:28 AM
129	Schooling, nice environment, quality of housing, access to woodland, pub nearby.	8/22/2017 9:12 AM
130	It is a nice, reasonably safe , quiet area blessed with beautiful woodlands	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
131	The green spaces and facilities are very good in Thorpe and it is a very enjoyable friendly place to live. I really like the fact that there are also lots of different bins to encourage people to dispose of litter (except around the high school)	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
132	Seeing the boats that are moored up	8/21/2017 11:12 PM
133	The schools and community.	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
134	Community spirit,	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
135	Green spaces, mix of housing, facilities	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
136	Love my house and the Rivergreen	8/21/2017 3:41 PM
137	Good quality environment to bring up children, safe	8/21/2017 2:46 PM
138	How close it is to Norwich but how relatively quiet it is.	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
139	Easy access to the city by bus. The park and recreation ground.	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
140	Beautiful mix and easy to reach shops and transport	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
141	Peace, tranquility & friendliness	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
142	Close to City, but still feels like you are out of the City.	8/21/2017 1:40 PM
143	It is fairly quiet and safe	8/21/2017 1:22 PM
144	Its a very pleasant area where the closes generate sociable neighbours and its generally felt to be safe neighbourhood	8/20/2017 8:56 PM



### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

145	Lots of parks and green areas. Older population, low crime rates. Neighborhood feel.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
146	Easy access to A47	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
147	Woodland areas	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
148	I agree with the Sunday Times	8/14/2017 6:17 PM
149	It's a peaceful neighbourhood with excellent community spirit, good transport links and bus service (Apart from most roads being closed over the past 9 months but necessary I suppose for improvements to the drains). More than sufficient shops are available to service the area. Plenty of nice green spaces to visit with my child including play areas (well at least for now no doubt this will dwindle as more of the area is concreted over). All in all I like living in the area I largely grew up in.	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
150	Close to the city centre, but with good amenities and lovely green spaces and woodland. Unusual for an area only 3 miles from a major city.	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
151	Quiet	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
152	The river green area.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
153	It's a close knit friendly mainly quiet community	8/6/2017 3:54 PM
154	recreation areas plus woods	8/3/2017 1:23 PM
155	A pleasant place to live with easy access to norwich City and the countryside.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
156	Cleanliness. Relatively easy access to the city and beyond, despite the Postwick hub which has made things more difficult.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

## Q21 What do you like least about Thorpe St Andrew?

Answered: 145 Skipped: 41

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Parking outside church and river green	11/16/2017 10:26 PM
2	Too many ugly bungalows	11/3/2017 8:09 PM
3	Nothing - a great place to be with real quality services.	11/3/2017 12:54 PM
4	Cost of buying a house	11/2/2017 7:21 PM
5	That damned alarm that goes off at night (I think it's the Thorpe St Andrew School north site) and takes ages for anyone to do anything about it. It is noise pollution (especially if it hasn't been caused by a break-in) and should be investigated to see if there is a better way (such as a silent alarm raised to the police) for it to be handled.	10/28/2017 2:41 PM
6	Public Transport is terrible	10/27/2017 4:26 PM
7	The grass verge being parked on. Mud tracks on south hill road.	10/27/2017 3:22 PM
8	Road layout, bottlenecks	10/27/2017 3:00 PM
9	Poor pubs	10/26/2017 11:09 PM
10	Children hanging around near school.	10/25/2017 11:47 PM
11	Traffic, lack of local shops, Poor communal and civic spaces.	10/25/2017 7:10 PM
12	Dussindale	10/24/2017 7:10 PM
13	The buildings on top of each otjer	10/24/2017 6:33 PM
14	The lack of housing options for people on low and modest incomes.	10/23/2017 10:14 PM
15	Youths hanging around with nothing to do. Makes it unsafe to walk alone	10/23/2017 8:03 PM
16	Lack of facilities and low numbers of buses in the evenings	10/23/2017 4:30 PM
17	Lack of Retail Park to the east of Norwich	10/23/2017 2:53 PM
18	Derelict pinebanks	10/22/2017 11:11 PM
19	Poor road surfaces.	10/21/2017 7:42 PM
20	Lack of community facilities	10/20/2017 1:37 PM
21	ugly with no trees on teh streets boring architecture little to do	10/20/2017 1:28 PM
22	Poor roads and naff rec play area.	10/18/2017 9:57 PM
23	Having to negotiate the new Postwick Hub to either get onto or off the bypass, it is ridiculous! Whoever dreamed this one up?	10/18/2017 3:59 PM
24	The through traffic, large offices with no parking.	10/18/2017 10:59 AM
25	Empty drives and vehicles parked on roads	10/17/2017 3:55 PM
26	problems with parking	10/17/2017 3:20 PM
27	Bungalows	10/16/2017 8:17 PM
28	Noise from the pubs and restaurants who have their smoking areas at the front of their premises ..WHY??	10/16/2017 12:57 PM
29	Groups of teenagers being involved with illegal substances and the crime these certain groups commit.	10/13/2017 10:48 PM
30	increasing urbanisation	10/3/2017 12:13 AM
31	lack of public sports facilities	9/29/2017 12:49 PM
32	Lack of community shops and parking on grass verges and pavements	9/29/2017 11:40 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

33	Building like the oasis plot. And old Norwich union site. All the traffic can't go through hillcrest road. We don't need it but we get it anyway.	9/25/2017 1:49 PM
34	Overfilled dog waste bins, rubbish left in parks and woodland	9/25/2017 10:53 AM
35	Parts of Thorpe which has been granted planning permission and stand abandon and derelict at the moment. Also the boats moored opposite the river green are a total mess and eye sore.	9/24/2017 10:46 PM
36	Lack of joined up planning. Road works on many arterial roads at once causes chaos. There are many NIMBY's here who do not accept the place needs to evolve or it will dies and become a retirement destination.	9/24/2017 10:57 AM
37	Drugs being sold by teens and just teens being in big groups outside shops being a nuisance.( Being a mother of teens I find it frustrating that certain groups spoils it for everyone)	9/22/2017 3:41 PM
38	Learner drivers!	9/22/2017 1:42 PM
39	Lack of facilities such as doctors, deminishing public transport and sports facilities concentrated at Laundry Lane.	9/21/2017 11:58 PM
40	No late bus service	9/21/2017 11:06 PM
41	Constant road works. No pub. No cafe. Children's areas a mess and poorly maintained.	9/21/2017 9:59 PM
42	The amount of traffic	9/21/2017 8:11 PM
43	The lack of places for families, sparhawk Park is much better than Dussindale	9/21/2017 4:31 PM
44	Traffic noise	9/21/2017 3:18 PM
45	The bus not stopping on Harvey Lane anymore...	9/21/2017 3:07 PM
46	needs more facilities	9/21/2017 2:42 PM
47	the high cost of public transport to go into Norwich.	9/21/2017 1:52 PM
48	Speeding in residential areas and poor condition of some pavements. Fly-tipping within some of wooded footways from Pound Lane to Thunder Lane.	9/21/2017 1:44 PM
49	Increasing unsocial behaviour by youths in the area.	9/21/2017 12:37 PM
50	Children's play parks are not a good standard	9/20/2017 10:08 PM
51	Lack of cafes and places to visit at weekend. Needs park areas for disabled children.	9/20/2017 8:56 PM
52	Cars speeding	9/20/2017 8:52 PM
53	No train station	9/19/2017 10:53 PM
54	To many new buildings threaten trees and space	9/19/2017 10:23 PM
55	Roadworks on roads where the roads are dug up repeatedly in the same area. It would be more efficient for the services doing the roadworks to collaborate and do their thing at the same time.	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
56	Poor public transport facilities	9/19/2017 8:16 PM
57	The thought of building new houses which would then impact on amenities.	9/19/2017 7:45 PM
58	Lack of the kind of larger housing we hope to move up to soon (c.£450k), or at least it isn't often for sale.	9/19/2017 12:23 PM
59	Anti-social motorists.	9/19/2017 12:14 PM
60	Yarmouth Road is very busy	9/19/2017 10:10 AM
61	Any new development that is happening when buildings should be improved	9/19/2017 9:47 AM
62	Drug issues	9/19/2017 9:21 AM
63	Youths drinking & littering the parks	9/19/2017 9:17 AM
64	Dussindale not being treated the same as the others i.e. Thorpe end and river green seems all money spent on these areas. Dogs mess and Genral rubbish where streets are not cleaned lack off dog bins in dussindale	9/19/2017 9:14 AM
65	Traffic. Public transport. Postwick junction.	9/19/2017 9:10 AM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

66	The amount of traffic coming off the a47 and along the Yarmouth road	9/19/2017 9:01 AM
67	Too many houses being built	9/19/2017 8:45 AM
68	The smell from the Water works	9/19/2017 12:32 AM
69	Need to drive to get anywhere	9/19/2017 12:31 AM
70	There is no particular area that could be identified as the 'hub' where people of all ages can meet, shop and socialise	9/19/2017 12:03 AM
71	No extra facilities like Drs etc despite new housing being built - result e.g. being referred to medical walk in centre Rouen Road as cannot manage extra pressure / no appts available	9/18/2017 10:41 PM
72	Poor street lighting, lack of gritting in winter.	9/18/2017 9:18 PM
73	heartsease roundabout	9/18/2017 7:12 PM
74	People parking up on grass verges causing grass to be mud baths and ruined.	9/18/2017 5:37 PM
75	Heavy traffic and lack of parking at houses	9/18/2017 4:34 PM
76	That it could change, thanks to greedy developers. It's wonderful as it is.	9/18/2017 3:49 PM
77	There is no police. The area is run-down and doesn't encourage anyone new to the area at all. There is barely anything here and sadly has almost become an old people home. If more people are not encouraged into the area there's going to be a few problems. Building further small properties on a small area around Thorpe St Andrew and nothing other than house will turn Thorpe' into a rat run of which it has started to become. The area has so much potential.	9/18/2017 3:27 PM
78	loss of local shops	9/18/2017 2:15 PM
79	Public transport is unreliable! Not enough housing for people who want to downsize! Not enough bungalows being built!	9/18/2017 12:44 PM
80	New developments being built on mass and featureless buildings. The heart being ripped out of the place	9/17/2017 12:42 PM
81	Lack of bus services in the evenings after 7pm.	9/16/2017 2:46 PM
82	Lack of alternative culture, lack of stuff going on i.e. evening classes/clubs/community events, lack of small shops and cafes.	9/15/2017 11:53 AM
83	The Town Council is not representative of the population of TSA. Gathering local opinion is an improvement however.	9/7/2017 5:39 PM
84	Speed of traffic, people parking in residents roads for those going into the city, shopping football etc.	9/7/2017 5:17 PM
85	Rubbish shewn about	9/7/2017 4:55 PM
86	Nothing	9/7/2017 4:49 PM
87	Business Park sprawl and road network at Postwick Hub	9/7/2017 4:39 PM
88	Needs a community hub and clear up the Island - urgent	9/7/2017 4:14 PM
89	The state of the buildings on the island, I think its a disgrace	9/7/2017 4:02 PM
90	Broken promises areas to be cleared & maintained are not.	9/7/2017 3:49 PM
91	Dussindale	9/7/2017 3:25 PM
92	People can be reserved	9/7/2017 3:14 PM
93	New developments	9/7/2017 2:58 PM
94	The recent developments on the island	9/7/2017 2:44 PM
95	Too many Town Councillors 6 would be more than enough.	9/7/2017 2:09 PM
96	Public transport in the evening especially. Compared to similar sized areas of Norwich, Thorpe has always had a poor bus service in evening & weekend. Unfortunately the reliability of some services has been poor resulting in low usage leading to service being reduced or axed. People are reluctant to trust bus companies.	8/29/2017 8:39 PM
97	Cycle routes that are present where it's quiet and stop where the roads get busy! Seems back to front to me	8/28/2017 1:11 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan

98	It's loosing its identity	8/27/2017 9:38 PM
99	Business park and thoughtless developments	8/26/2017 5:31 AM
100	Haven't felt safe since recent alleged rape. More CCTV needed. Parking is an issue. Constantly have people blocking entrance to my garage, from pub overspill.	8/26/2017 1:13 AM
101	What's not to like.	8/26/2017 1:11 AM
102	People, recently thorpe has got worse, rapes, school buildings attack, cars atacked, more kids hanging around	8/26/2017 12:03 AM
103	Too many housing developments in the pipeline	8/25/2017 11:01 PM
104	Oversubscribed school, no parking to drop off children at Dussindale, local pub and corner shop	8/25/2017 7:55 PM
105	Poorly maintained shrubs along the paths through Dussindale. No pub in Dussindale.	8/25/2017 10:57 AM
106	LAck of communal space / pub in Dussindale	8/25/2017 8:46 AM
107	Lack of some amenities	8/25/2017 1:27 AM
108	The medical services, despite Thorpe wood being rated as outstanding, it is extremely difficult to get a routine appointment and waiting times are usually long.	8/23/2017 6:45 PM
109	Nothing that is not already mentioned.	8/23/2017 4:55 PM
110	The roadworks	8/23/2017 4:54 PM
111	The agreement to develop the Pinebanks site for housing. The underhand way Broadland Council bought and sold the land to bypass a covenant on land to access the site. The impact the development will have on local roads that can't cope now	8/23/2017 12:37 AM
112	Traffic	8/22/2017 11:48 PM
113	HGV's Unsafe pavements	8/22/2017 2:38 PM
114	How cramped and overlooked all the properties are - and no affordable bigger properties to move on to.	8/22/2017 12:16 PM
115	Dog excrement along footpath adjacent to railway line	8/22/2017 12:13 PM
116	Speeding boy racers with popping and noisy exhausts. Increased anti social behaviour. Lack of police presence. Lack of gardening maintenance by the council.	8/22/2017 10:57 AM
117	Growing problems with anti social behaviour by youngsters.	8/22/2017 10:21 AM
118	No shop to walk to if you run out of odd item	8/22/2017 9:28 AM
119	Bus service!!	8/22/2017 9:12 AM
120	Lack of cycle paths on plumstead road east	8/22/2017 12:32 AM
121	Litter around the high school. Poor street lighting in winter. Pavements are in a very poor state in some areas.	8/22/2017 12:11 AM
122	Drugs	8/21/2017 11:12 PM
123	Lack of parking in certain areas.	8/21/2017 7:46 PM
124	Rowdy,antisocial teenagers making life difficult for other teenagers and children who live in the area. Drugs and empty alcohol bottles/cans are regularly found in the recreation areas. Tougher sanctions for those who are anti social.	8/21/2017 6:53 PM
125	Pavements are in a nightmare condition for wheelchair users, not enough dropped curbs, cyclists not using their provisions lanes, paths etc, lots of older inefficient housing	8/21/2017 6:46 PM
126	Restrictions on parking outside my house	8/21/2017 3:41 PM
127	Having to drive to get to facilities	8/21/2017 2:46 PM
128	The business park!	8/21/2017 2:43 PM
129	Too much HGV traffic on Dussindale Litter	8/21/2017 2:42 PM
130	Lack of gym and fitness	8/21/2017 2:41 PM
131	Becoming unpleasant with so many youths making trouble and becoming to built up	8/21/2017 2:30 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan


132	Lack of evening public transport	8/21/2017 2:03 PM
133	The large amount of dog mess I encounter whenever I walk my daughter anywhere.	8/21/2017 1:22 PM
134	people who allow their hedges to overgrow pathways and parking on the pavement restricting safe passage on the pavement.	8/20/2017 8:56 PM
135	Vandalism and anti social behaviour.	8/20/2017 6:16 PM
136	Not enough social areas and entertainment businesses like pubs, restaurants to create better community interaction in Dussindale.	8/17/2017 1:29 PM
137	Constant road closures	8/14/2017 7:56 PM
138	Have you tried getting a doctor's appointment at thorpewood surgery lately, good luck with that. Loss of several local pubs over recent years now shops and housing, this dilutes places the community get together for social events. Or though we still have several yearly events it seems like these are also dwindling over time like the car show on the recreation ground for example (Guess funding cutbacks?) Finally I realise with a growing population you need new housing but some of the builds I have seen recently wedged into the smallest local plots are laughable, think before you approve I would recommend (Would you want to live in it?).	8/14/2017 2:38 PM
139	Lack of a larger central facility which could act as a hub to bring people together and provide more of a focus for the whole community.	8/14/2017 1:44 PM
140	The poor look of Riverside. The poor state of flats opposite The Town House Pub.	8/11/2017 5:30 PM
141	Not being able to park off road near river green.	8/10/2017 4:14 PM
142	People walking there dogs and not picking up after there dog. Litter that is thrown or left in bags.	8/6/2017 3:54 PM
143	new developments	8/3/2017 1:23 PM
144	Poor state of the roads and patchwork quilt of repairs.	8/3/2017 12:29 AM
145	The Postwick up. Opening of Laundry Lane Rec toilets only 9-4 Monday-Friday. The lack of shelters and the hidden seating at Laundry Lane Rec.	8/2/2017 5:28 PM

## Appendix E: 2018 Survey results

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

#### Q1 Do you agree with this policy?

Answered: 87 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	89.66%	78
No	10.34%	9
TOTAL		87

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Harm should be avoidable	11/10/2018 4:42 PM
2	'minimise disruption' is a weak statement. What does minimise mean? The policy should just state that 'development should conserve and enhance'. Allowing for replacement 'where harm is unavoidable' also potentially undermines the whole policy.	11/5/2018 12:54 PM
3	However, it would be good to clarify different types of sites, including County Wildlife Site and County Geodiversity Sites (there is a CGS within the CWS at Pinebanks). NWT happy to input in more detail as the policy evolves. Also the new NPPF promotes Netgain for biodiversity and it would be good to include this in a policy	10/31/2018 2:38 PM
4	Harm is avoidable, there should be no development or change of use on these sites.	10/14/2018 11:55 PM
5	Overkill on the Rivergreen please leave this area alone	10/5/2018 12:05 AM
6	We need houses and avoidable	10/3/2018 11:21 PM
7	Shame Pinebanks and buildings weren't treated with the same respect....	10/3/2018 10:59 PM
8	more money being spent on thorpe green really.what next gold kerb stones	8/29/2018 8:47 PM
9	Thorpe Marshes	8/29/2018 3:16 PM
10	It would be nice if you followed it in respect of river green and Thorpe island	8/28/2018 4:13 PM
11	Thorpe st Andrew is fast becoming an absolutely beautiful place to live. Large open and unconfsted roads, gorgeous park areas that the Community and Council are keen to develop for the everyone. We must ensure that this isn't ruined by the desperate requirement to maintain the money in the bank.	8/28/2018 3:06 PM
12	On the proviso that there is no undue impact on current residents and no further increase in council tax elements to pay for cosmetic changes to existing features for example the additions to Thorpe Green.	8/28/2018 2:45 PM
13	Harm should be unavoidable at all times, there has been enough change already , especially on River Green, removing tree's and replacing it with a expensive large mound of dirt with a large marble on top,	8/28/2018 2:29 PM
14	Thorpe St Andrew is special because of our woods and open spaces to walk. These must	8/28/2018 2:08 PM

Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

be retained.


15	Needs to be made more solid to protect these areas of nature. Minimise disruption is not the same as protect.	8/28/2018 1:48 PM
16	Most of these are already controlled heavily by the norfolk wildlife trust and broads authority. No need to TSATC interference.	8/28/2018 1:47 PM
17	Very Ambiguous!! Where harm is unavoidable, it will provide for appropriate mitigation or replacement with the objective of achieving a long-term maintenance or enhancement of the local biodiversity baseline!	8/28/2018 1:29 PM



## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

## Q2 Do you agree with this policy?

Answered: 74 Skipped: 15



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	86.49%	64
No	13.51%	10
TOTAL		74

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	All new buildings should generate energy i.e. solar with energy storage such as power walls. Developments of multiple buildings should additionally have collective energy generating capacity such as ground source heat pumps. Outputs/inputs from the building for electric vehicles should also be fitted	11/10/2018 4:59 PM
2	What does 'fit in with mean'? The policy could refer to ensuring development respects the special character of Thorpe St Andrew and then go on to define what features positively contribute to that special character. There appear to be a lot of different issues in this policy. What does 'treatment of the area as a gateway mean' mean? Does this apply to the whole of Thorpe St Andrew part just part of it? 'The need to have rear on-plot parking before front on-plot parking where possible in order to orientate the front of housing onto green spaces utilised by pedestrian routes to encourage walking to local services' – this statement could result in some very awkward layouts that are out of character with the current layouts in Thorpe St Andrew.	11/5/2018 1:00 PM
3	But good to have wording to stress importance of connectivity and maintaining and improving green infrastructure within the town	10/31/2018 2:39 PM
4	Though quite how you expect this to happen when there isn't the infrastructure to support it I don't know. Given that current roads ad pavements, road drainage etc aren't maintained how will you seek to achieve this?	10/14/2018 11:59 PM
5	My area of Thorpe St Andrew is left out of any improvement( NR7 OES) I pay part of my council Tax to the Thorpe St Andrew council and I get nothing. Cars are constantly parked on verges or pavements. Most of the area is Residents parking only, except my estate. So we get all the football parking as well as city shoppers. Most are 2/3 bed houses/flats with no off street parking.	10/11/2018 11:56 AM
6	In respect of car parking, policies should discourage private car ownership. The proposed approach does not. Policy should require developers to make positive financial contributions to improved bus services (and limit cost of bus travel from the parish to the City centre) plus promote more sustainable approaches to essential car use such as a requirement for car club provision and car club spaces in larger developments.	10/8/2018 10:30 PM
7	Yes everywhere needs more parking	10/5/2018 12:06 AM
8	We need adequate/better public transport too. No buses run near us after 1800	10/4/2018 4:18 PM


### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

9	I agree with all but 2 points: 1 bed 2 parking spaces, not consistent with other levels and unfair as this will likely increase price of property for first time buyers, more land = higher cost. I understand the logic about a couple living together but if single it's discriminatory. Perhaps have some "guest/second resident plots" available as required for additional fees?	10/4/2018 2:46 PM
10	However this isn't being implemented with new builds in the area not meeting these standards. Too many planning requests have been accepted that are contrary to these statements so what's going to be different? Are you going to get your old framework of planning permission back?	10/4/2018 9:59 AM
11	Cyclists are getting cycle paths in Norwich, Wymondham, Hethersett etc and they do not use them.	10/3/2018 11:22 PM
12	There's no point designing cycle friendly streets if they're not adhered to. Witness the Ring Road cycle way usually blocked by parked cars. Families also need rear gardens to play	10/3/2018 10:00 PM
13	Mostly...except for the parking spaces for houses. No more than two regardless of bedrooms. Encourage folk to cycle more.	8/28/2018 6:34 PM
14	I think careful consideration needs to happen before we start building on woodlands and other beautiful areas of Thorpe St Andrew. It seems Eaton and the west side of the city have managed to maintain and keep their parks so surely a plan to create income and revenue would be a better option than just allowing more poorly constructed houses made into a rat run.	8/28/2018 3:08 PM
15	There should be plenty of parking allocated to the residents on the island and also other houses along Yarmouth road, even property behind The Buck need parking spaces, unless an agreement can be made with the new owners	8/28/2018 3:00 PM
16	Tentatively. Although pedestrians and cyclists must take responsibility for their actions and be held accountable for their actions. Do not penalise cars for the sake of trendy thinking, some people are dependent upon vehicle mobility due to physical limitations. Planners must stick to local requirements and not cave into money grabbing profiteers. Oasis is a simple example. There has been no attempt to address the issue of access other than Pound Lane to the new development.	8/28/2018 2:49 PM
17	Yes more parking the better. As other parts of Norwich have been destroyed by a lack of parking. This is really a danger as emergency vehicles can not gain access.	8/28/2018 2:10 PM
18	It is better to create quiet family green areas at the back of a house/home than car parking. All this will create is on street parking and pavement obstacles. Moving parking to the back doesn't take into account human nature.	8/28/2018 1:50 PM
19	There is a need to promote more public transport in the area to reduce the proliferation of cars already present on our streets	8/28/2018 1:44 PM
20	I am a guide dog owner (registered severely sight impaired.) Pavement parking is a dangerous hazard to myself and my guide dog as it can force us to have to go into the road, where we risk being hit by traffic. This is true for parents with push chairs, wheelchair users and other people with restricted mobility. I very much agree that off street parking for new properties that would lessen pavement parking is extremely important.	8/28/2018 1:44 PM
21	Partially agree. Rear-on parking has been shown to promote more on-street parking in front of properties in areas where this design has been implemented.	8/14/2018 4:21 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

## Q3 Do you agree with this policy?

Answered: 68 Skipped: 21



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	77.94%	53
No	22.06%	15
TOTAL		68

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Must it be assumed that each boat owner is a car owner?	11/10/2018 5:01 PM
2	The design criteria you are seeking to apply to the rest of development should also apply here. Such as respecting the special character of Thorpe St Andrew and the other criteria to be listed in the previous policy.	11/5/2018 1:03 PM
3	N/A to NWT	10/31/2018 2:40 PM
4	Depends on where the car parking spaces will be	10/29/2018 8:56 PM
5	Though why does a one berth only require 1 space when a one bed property requires 2?	10/15/2018 12:00 AM
6	Also remove the boats that are in disrepair or enforce improvement notices then build parking spaces	10/11/2018 11:57 AM
7	Policy unnecessary as Broads Authority policy provision already fully addresses this Thorpe Island issue	10/8/2018 10:32 PM
8	I would disagree that boat moorings need car spaces provided. Look at river green, there's cars parked all over from people on thorpe island. It is made worse by yellow lines up thorpe avenue outside certain properties and by closing the car park that was on river green. This area is a hub for families and needs to have appropriate parking made available for visitors.	10/8/2018 10:28 PM
9	Any permanent moorings should not be near key public spaces - eg. River Green. Becomes an eyesore - as is currently.	10/6/2018 12:08 PM
10	The people that live on the Rivergreen don't get parking so why should they	10/5/2018 12:07 AM
11	Some of the area opposite Rushcutters is becoming unsightly and some anti-social behaviour- not good for the area.	10/4/2018 2:47 PM
12	Where is this reference to? There needs to be more residential moorings but the current regulations create clusters of unsightly mooring and antisocial behaviour. Create safe areas where families can consider living on boats as they do in other parts of the country.	10/4/2018 10:04 AM
13	Where are the parking spaces going to be? Outside m6 house I presume?	10/3/2018 11:23 PM
14	I generally agree but for instance at River Green I can't see where parking would be created for the residential boats.	10/3/2018 10:02 PM


Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

15	Something needs to be done about the rundown state of the river pikeys boats, they really are a blot on the landscape all along the river. Their waste presumably also goes straight in the river as they dont have onboard toilets.	8/30/2018 1:13 PM
16	if these properties have garages and don't use them for cars and park on/off road should be fined	8/29/2018 8:49 PM
17	Car parking provision seem excessive	8/28/2018 10:15 PM
18	Yes as long as we agree that the "natural environment" is one where you might expect the see lived on boats.	8/28/2018 6:37 PM
19	car parking is largely irrelevant to residential boat owners - only 9 from 26 boat owners have a car	8/28/2018 3:24 PM
20	The water front needs to have more controls as at present it looks like a waste disposal site for boats.	8/28/2018 3:09 PM
21	I love to see the boats moored over on the island but i totally object to boats being moored on the road side , its a complete eyesore, much prefer to see the fisherman there !!!	8/28/2018 3:02 PM
22	Unclear as to what you are trying to achieve other than cause distress to the islanders to please the new homeowners who knew there were island residents before they moved into their property.	8/28/2018 2:52 PM
23	I agree that the environment needs to be protected but residential moorings are essential as they create a cost effective alternative to brick and mortar housing.	8/28/2018 1:52 PM
24	Boat dwellers rarely have cars. Has a survey been carried put to determin how many do? There is already lack of parking in TSA and this is a blatent attempt to push out boat dwellers.	8/28/2018 1:50 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

## Q4 Do you agree with this policy?

Answered: 67 Skipped: 22




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	97.01%	65
No	2.99%	2
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>67</b>

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	As long as development and growth does not impact on the environment	11/10/2018 5:03 PM
2	What are the 'aspirational needs of the community'? This policy could also promote the improvement in the appearance of some of these areas. (Currently hardstanding and boundary treatments in some places are particularly poorly maintained.)	11/5/2018 1:06 PM
3	I believe in local people not having to commute but aren't the business parks big enough? What about all the empty office space in the city to be occupied before more building occurs?	10/31/2018 6:51 PM
4	N/A	10/31/2018 2:40 PM
5	Specifically broadland business park but there needs to be more entertainmet venues built here, like cinema, bowling or even a large music venue. Norwich needs it. No more shopping centres!	10/8/2018 10:30 PM
6	And perhaps an easier promotion of jobs locally for residents	10/4/2018 2:48 PM
7	Need to attract more jobs and allow development	10/3/2018 11:24 PM
8	Belmire road seems to be missing from the list of current business areas. Support for home based working should also be part of this policy	8/28/2018 10:34 PM
9	No mention of Harvey lane!!!	8/28/2018 3:44 PM
10	Absolutely. Maintain what is in place and increase the capacity for small business to grow. This will in turn create the much needed revenue instead of selling the sole of TSA to greedy developers. More cafes etc will also help the community to interact especially those which will and may have lost loved ones. It will also help to connect the younger generation with the older generation which isn't just needed in TSA but around the country as we are all so divided.	8/28/2018 3:11 PM
11	No more fast food outlets	8/28/2018 2:53 PM
12	Existing units should be filled before new ones are built as at present	8/28/2018 1:45 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

## Q5 Do you agree with this policy?

Answered: 68 Skipped: 21




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	91.18%	62
No	8.82%	6
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>68</b>

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	The grammar in the first sentence needs improving to make it easier to read. 'Suitable alternative provision will be delivered by new development' – this needs some sort of guarantee of being delivered before the existing facility is lost. The new needs to be provided before the old is shut. This policy should also cover the locational aspect of the new provision, it needs to be equally or more accessible than what is being lost.	11/5/2018 1:10 PM
2	More facilities are needed for teen-agers. I can't see anything for them at The Dussindale Centre or Roxley Hall. We definitely need more GPs	10/31/2018 6:53 PM
3	N/A	10/31/2018 2:41 PM
4	And manipulation of "unsustainable" not tolerated eg The Oasis, a much loved and used resource that intentionally ruined its own business to seek profitable development opportunities.	10/4/2018 2:50 PM
5	Pinebanks is an example, left with very expensive barnatynes for recreational services, nonsense. School not engaged with hiring out their facilities and they should	10/3/2018 11:25 PM
6	Sorry but this is a joke. Going back to Pinebanks again and the wonderful community sports facility we lost. Not to mention the upset and disruption this has all caused. And as for the housing development - where the hell is it!?!? How many years have we waited for our new sports and social facility? Come on wake up and tell us what's going on. Many locals have been patient and quiet but times running out!	10/3/2018 11:05 PM
7	Good idea.	8/30/2018 1:14 PM
8	NO!!!!!! do not want any more developments any where around or on the river green	8/28/2018 3:04 PM
9	Only if the construction cost of "alternative green" facilities does not exceed cost of standard methods. This includes ongoing maintenance.	8/28/2018 2:55 PM
10	We have not got a replacement for the Oasis. We need more health and fitness in our community.	8/28/2018 2:12 PM
11	This policy seems to lean towards The Oasis not being redeveloped as a sports site.	8/28/2018 1:53 PM
12	Accesoble community spaces are very important to me.	8/28/2018 1:46 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

## Q6 Do you agree with this policy?

Answered: 66 Skipped: 23




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	90.91%	60
No	9.09%	6
TOTAL		66

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	'Undertaking repair and conservation work to the asset' – the policy needs to refer to the required standard of this work. Also this may not be appropriate to all proposals within one of the areas listed.	11/5/2018 1:12 PM
2	Our history must be cherished. Old buildings are built better than modern ones but many beautiful places have been destroyed (some by fire)	10/31/2018 6:55 PM
3	N/A	10/31/2018 2:41 PM
4	To some extent but who makes the decisions on this? Cost could be a significant factor if its a private home, There could be some funding made available to support private developments made to enhance a local area.	10/19/2018 8:25 PM
5	Last criteria unnecessary and not reasonable capable of delivery	10/8/2018 10:35 PM
6	Don't care, doesn't matter cos developers will just have an arson attacks conveniently of course ie the folly at pinebanks	10/3/2018 11:26 PM
7	But this must be good in reality and not just in principal.	8/28/2018 3:12 PM
8	Am not sure what and where you are talking about, but i feel what has been done already like the mound of dirt is a total waste of money !!! Lamp posts are ok , bunting totally rubbish	8/28/2018 3:06 PM
9	Why retain an old asset at significant extra cost to a rebuild? If English Heritage want to retain then English Heritage pay for the upkeep. Natural woodland however must be preserved and correctly managed at all times.	8/28/2018 2:57 PM
10	All work to make a building disabled accessible should be passed. If the Vatican and the Coliseum in Rome can fit wheelchair lifts there are no valid excuses in modern day Britain for listed buildings not to be wheelchair accessible.	8/28/2018 1:55 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

## Q7 Do you agree with this policy?

Answered: 64 Skipped: 25



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	71.88%	46
No	28.13%	18
TOTAL		64

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	The meadow land off Whittingham Lane proposal would urbanise the area and be contrary to the what is stated in the last two sentences of the second paragraph of the policy. Such a proposal would not appear to comply with the previous policy regarding conservation areas.	11/5/2018 1:18 PM
2	I agree with the Highways Depot land being used but not the others. Who would the carparks be for? That hasn't been explained.	10/31/2018 7:01 PM
3	NWT seek to promote Thorpe Mashies as nature reserve for use by local community and do not wish to encourage access by car. However, limited parking in the vicinity would be useful and ability to use parking spaces at the proposed community centre in Griffin Lane could be useful in this respect	10/31/2018 2:51 PM
4	It is not acceptable to turn Meadowland into carparking	10/15/2018 12:08 AM
5	No justification advanced for car parking and any car park will harm the appearance and setting of the Conservation Area (heritage asset). It also appears at odds with the aspiration of the earlier policies advanced.	10/8/2018 10:45 PM
6	However the council needs to do more to make thorpe marshes "good". After the tragic accident, the broad was fenced up. Whilst i get this, it ruins the whole area. Conservation and practical work needs to be done to make it a place people want to come and park their car, otherwise pointless	10/8/2018 10:33 PM
7	Needs tidy up though!	10/6/2018 12:10 PM
8	Great news! I noticed the plot was recently cleared and suspected another block of flats was going to emerge! I wouldn't object to low level development in keeping with the area.	10/4/2018 2:53 PM
9	These areas need to be retained! Car parking? Who is benefiting from this proposal? The fact one of the sites has just been cleared suggests talks are already being had? Keep our Thorpe st Andrew green please!	10/4/2018 10:13 AM
10	Why do we need this parking, hardly convenient and for what purpose?	10/3/2018 11:30 PM
11	The development of what has been open land for car parking would not be an acceptable use because: The natural landscape would by definition be changed to a constructed surface. I notice the natural plant growth next to Whittingham Lane has recently been scraped away, destroying a large area of natural habitat. There is potential impact on the	10/3/2018 10:13 PM



### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

local residents who already suffer from noise and disturbance from customers of the Rushcutters and Oaklands Hotel. There could be a significant impact on access to and through Whittingham Lane which is an access to the marsh natural area on the other side of the railway line.

12	Meadowland should not be developed for car parking.	8/28/2018 10:38 PM
13	Meadow land better preserved as a natural landscape for wildlife and the character of the area.	8/28/2018 8:25 PM
14	Where's the impact statement and how will the cost be recovered?	8/28/2018 3:00 PM
15	If it is unsuitable for development it is unsuitable for car parking.	8/28/2018 1:57 PM
16	Car parking would be advantageous at the entrance to Thorpe marshes as it would encourage more people to see this landscape. If this is to be pay and display, please allow for paying by card/phone. This is often an issue!	8/28/2018 1:52 PM
17	I am not familiar with this area, therefore cannot comment.	8/28/2018 1:48 PM

## Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

## Q8 Do you have any other comments?

Answered: 34 Skipped: 55

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	All the trees and woodland in Thorpe St Andrew positively contribute to the character, appearance, amenity, biodiversity and sense of place of the town as a whole. A policy that seeks to protect these assets should be included.	11/5/2018 1:18 PM
2	I feel concerned about all the building plans put forward. This used to be a village but now has town status. Please don't turn it into a concrete jungle with ugly buildings.	10/31/2018 7:01 PM
3	NWT are to respond in more detail as the plan evolves with regard to protection and enhancement of natural green space within and with regard to green infrastructure. In this context we would be supportive of policies that seek to enhance biodiversity through encouraging measures such as provision of bat and bird boxes and hedgehog highways through new developments	10/31/2018 2:51 PM
4	Fly tipping, litter and garden waste in Cottage Drive should be better controlled. Residential roads between St. Williams Way and Plumstead Road should be restricted to 20mph, as is Heartsease and Sprowston.	10/24/2018 3:35 PM
5	Would like to see more connections made with Whitlingham from River Green. Also First bus services to and from city extended later and on Sundays. Quick 'hopper' services would be useful. Cost of journey from TSA to Norwich is very expensive. Better, cheaper public transport links to the city would be appreciated. Thank you.	10/19/2018 8:31 PM
6	No	10/19/2018 8:11 PM
7	Do not allow use what was previously entrance to Langley prep school to be used as access to a new development. Yarmouth road is already dangerous to cycle on in that section due to on street parking and has been a lot better since the school closed and the ridiculous driving in and out that site ceased.	10/15/2018 12:08 AM
8	No	10/11/2018 3:08 PM
9	Do not forget the other residents on the end of the boundry. We are Thorpe St Andrew also we pay in our council tax and see nothing for it (NR7 OES)	10/11/2018 12:00 PM
10	Just leave the Thorpe St Andrew as it is, and stop the build, build, build culture....ruining just about everywhere!	10/10/2018 4:17 PM
11	I consider the above objectives to be an excellent for Thorpe St Andrew	10/9/2018 12:27 AM
12	It is appreciated that the key driver for any NP to be prepared is being allow the Parish / Town Council to secure 25% of CIL monies. However the NP should make a positive contribution to the development plan process and promote genuinely sustainable policies. The approach proposed misses an opportunity to make a significant difference and make Thorpe different from other fringe parishes.	10/8/2018 10:45 PM
13	It is not an investment, if it's destroying the planet! No more new builds , protect our green areas !!	10/6/2018 12:25 AM
14	No I think I've said enough	10/5/2018 12:09 AM
15	Decisions need to be made for the benefit of Thorpe st Andrew residents and sometimes this doesn't seem to happen. We only have one chance to protect our natural surroundings and create an area that families can thrive whether living on land or on the river. Be creative.	10/4/2018 10:13 AM
16	None	10/4/2018 12:12 AM
17	Yes, more jobs, more houses, use the space wisely and get empty homes back into occupation along with empty shops. Griffin site and pinebanks are total farce....sort the high school out, weed and dope heads is all that's breeding, not academics	10/3/2018 11:30 PM
18	No	10/3/2018 11:07 PM
19	Will you be distributing this questionnaire direct to households for those without computer / Facebook access?	10/3/2018 10:13 PM

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan Draft Policy Consultation

20	Don't allow building on flood plains west of Griffin Lane	8/29/2018 3:21 PM
21	Rail halt in Dussindale, in original plan nearly 30 years ago.	8/29/2018 9:54 AM
22	a new roundabout, dussindale drive -plumstead road	8/29/2018 7:49 AM
23	improve dussindale play area. tennis courts were removed and left tarmac crumbling	8/29/2018 1:00 AM
24	Survey is not sufficiently granular to allow easy expression of partial agreement	8/28/2018 10:38 PM
25	No	8/28/2018 4:23 PM
26	when will the council look at or help with speeding along Harvey Lane? all other roads have measures in place. ive previously reported this to both Norwich and broadland and the police	8/28/2018 3:50 PM
27	You have a duty to be fair with all residents of Thorpe St Andrew, including residential boat owners	8/28/2018 3:28 PM
28	TSA is a beautiful place. We have an ageing population and i think huge consideration needs to be made for the impact on TSA as a whole as the years go by. I think it's important to connect more as a community and also start up more and more ways we can help each other. I believe that ingenious ways need to be thought on how to make TSA a place for people to visit, spend money and come back again. Therefore increasing the income for the Council. Good luck with your endeavours and I'm amazed to see the culture shift in the Council even over the last 2 years. Well done	8/28/2018 3:15 PM
29	Stop wasting money on trivia. Enforce planning objections. Provide services that don't have to be subsidised (garden bins).	8/28/2018 3:00 PM
30	More health and fitness in the area. Also increase the level of buses in the evening	8/28/2018 2:14 PM
31	The Isle of Skye's committee have agreed to spend a day in a wheelchair to try to gain some understanding of the challenges wheelchair users still face in 2018. Will the TSA Town council be doing something similar?	8/28/2018 1:57 PM
32	Speed limits in residential areas of dussindale I.e. all roads except dussindale drive to be reduced to 20mph. Some of the windier roads in the area could do with restriction in roadside parking to avoid creating additional blind corners in residential areas where children play. One example of this is Winstanley Road at the Newbury Way end.	8/28/2018 1:52 PM
33	More carparking options are needed for existing communities where many cars park on paths within Thorpe St Andrew (in particular, Dussindale. They obstruct traffic and pedestrians, especially children, the elderly and some people with disabilities. On my road, for example, there is a household that often has 5 or 6 cars parked outside, obstructing the only 2 turning bays. Once new car parking has been created, those who park like this, as well as on paths and blind spots on roads, should be penalised.	8/28/2018 1:44 PM
34	Broadland district council are notorious for being difficult to deal with for planning. There is a lack of housing in the area to buy or rent so planning process should be easier.	8/28/2018 1:33 PM

## Appendix F: Regulation 14 Response Table

### Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Plan – Regulation 14 Responses – Summary Table

#### Key

	Supportive comment or no change required
	Change to supporting text or minor policy wording change required
	Change to Policy wording/intention required

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
1	General	Individual 1	I note that in the minutes for the Town Council Planning and Environment Committee that it was decided (item 7) to increase biodiversity on highway verges which is an excellent idea. I have seen that the verges have recently been mown to a higher level and some areas have been left without mowing to enable wildflowers to thrive and fully support this. I am a member of a fairly new group in Thorpe St Andrew called Thorpe Nature Network which has been set up by (NAME REDACTED) who may well have been in touch with you. If there is any further consultation planned with regard to biodiversity, nature and wildlife habitats it would be great if the group could be involved. I have copied (NAME REDACTED) in on this email.	Comments noted and support welcomed	
2	General	Individual 1	Is there a date for when the Neighbourhood Plan might be completed and put to a referendum? I just wondered because it seems likely that the position of Neighbourhood Plans will change if the reform proposed in the Planning for the Future White Paper goes ahead, with their individuality becoming less important as they may have to fit in much more closely with simplified Local Plans. <a href="https://www.neighbourhood-planning.co.uk/blog/what-will-neighbourhood-plans-be-new-planningsystem/">https://www.neighbourhood-planning.co.uk/blog/what-will-neighbourhood-plans-be-new-planningsystem/</a>	Comment noted. It is not possible to predict when a referendum will take place as this is in the hands of Broadland DC as LPA. But is likely	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				to be 2023 The Planning for the Future White Paper has now been superseded by the Levelling Up Bill.	
3	General	Individual 2	<p>Many thanks for the consultation on your Neighbourhood Plan. I have made a small contribution on the response form but found it very limiting in terms of being able to comment on several areas of the plan. A few general points -</p> <p>1-The Policy objectives cover the range of areas I would expect a council to seek to develop. What is less clear is what is maintaining existing environments and what ambition there is for improvement.</p> <p>2 -It is also less clear where there is real segmentation of population needs in terms of the possible actions the plan may lead to especially in relation to age and disability.</p> <p>3 -It is difficult tease out how active the Council will be in working with partners at a local community level as well as with other political/ planning authorities.</p> <p>4 -It is difficult to see what action is going to be taken/ explored in relation to these objectives and therefore what might be different/ better about our town in say the next 5 or 10 years.</p> <p>These are probably generic problems with plans but the aspects you identify are important to our living conditions/ environment and a clear action plan would be helpful to see at some stage.</p>	<p><b>Comments noted.</b></p> <p>Some of these matters fall within the scope of existing Town Council policies unrelated to the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>The Plan is to be amended and then submitted to Broadland Council where further consultation,</p>	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				examination and referendum will take place	
4	General	Broads Drainage Board	<p>Thank you for consulting the Water Management Alliance on the Thorpe St Andrew Neighbourhood Development Plan 2018-2038 Regulation 14 Draft. Part of the Parish is located within the Internal Drainage District (IDD) of the Broads (2006) Internal Drainage Board (IDB) and the Board's Byelaws therefore apply. A copy of the Board's Byelaws can be accessed via our website (<a href="https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/BIDB%20Byelaws.pdf">https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/BIDB Byelaws.pdf</a>), along with of the IDD (<a href="https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/84-BIDB%20drainindex.pdf">https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/84-BIDB drainindex.pdf</a>). These also show which watercourses have been designated as 'Adopted Watercourses' by the Board. The adoption of a watercourse is an acknowledgement by the Board that the watercourse is of arterial importance to the IDD and as such will normally receive maintenance from the IDB.</p> <p>Presently, there are no Board Adopted Watercourses within the Parish so any riparian (ordinary) watercourses will be privately owned and maintained as per riparian law. The River Yare is not under the jurisdiction of the Board. As a Main River it is managed by the Environment Agency.</p> <p>The Board's rationale and approach towards managing flood risk and water levels within the IDD is set out in the WMA Group's Planning and Byelaw Strategy document (<a href="https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA%20Planning%20and%20Byelaw%20Policy.pdf">https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA Planning and Byelaw Policy.pdf</a>). In order to reduce potential conflict</p>	Comments noted. The Neighbourhood Plan is not making any specific allocations but will take account of any relevant guidance that can be referred to when applications are considered	

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>between the planning process and the Board's regulatory regime and consenting process please be aware of the following points:            Surface water discharge:            If a surface water discharge is proposed to a watercourse as part of any new development, then the proposed development will require land drainage consent in line with the Board's byelaws (specifically byelaw 3). Any consent granted will likely be conditional, pending the payment of a Surface Water Development Contribution fee, calculated in line with the Board's charging policy (<a href="https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA%20Table%20of%20Charges%20and%20Fees.pdf">https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA Table of Charges and Fees.pdf</a>).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•If a development proposes to dispose of surface water via infiltration, we would recommend that the proposed strategy is supported by ground investigation to determine the infiltration potential of the site and the depth to groundwater. If on-site material were to be considered favourable then we would advise infiltration testing in line with BRE Digest 365 (or equivalent) to be undertaken to determine its efficiency. If (following testing) a strategy wholly reliant on infiltration is not viable and a surface water discharge is proposed to a watercourse, then the proposed development will require land drainage consent in line with the Board's byelaws (specifically byelaw 3). Any consent granted will likely be conditional, pending the payment of a Surface Water Development Contribution fee, calculated in line with the Board's charging policy (<a href="https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA%20Table%20of%20Charges%20and%20Fees.pdf">https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA Table of Charges and Fees.pdf</a>).</li> <li>•If a development proposes to discharge surface water to a watercourse, with no other means of draining the site readily available</li> </ul>		

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>or discussed, the proposed development will require land drainage consent in line with the Board's byelaws (specifically byelaw 3). Any consent granted will likely be conditional, pending the payment of a Surface Water Development Contribution fee, calculated in line with the Board's charging policy. (<a href="https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA%20Table%20of%20Charges%20and%20Fees.pdf">https://www.wlma.org.uk/uploads/WMA Table of Charges and Fees.pdf</a>).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•If any new development proposes to discharge surface water to a sewer, we recommend that you satisfy yourselves that this proposal is in line with the drainage hierarchy (as per best practice) and is viable at the proposed location</li> </ul> <p>Discharge of treated foul effluent: If any development proposes to discharge treated foul water to a watercourse, then the proposal will require land drainage consent in line with the Board's byelaws (specifically byelaw 3).</p> <p>Alterations to watercourses: Should any developments propose to include works to alter a riparian watercourse (including culverting for access), consent will be required under Section 23 of the Land Drainage Act 1991 (and byelaw 4). The Board is responsible for consenting this activity within the 100, while Norfolk County Council (the Lead Local Flood Authority) is the regulatory body outside the IDD.</p>		
5	General	Individual via Survey Monkey Response	I like all of the plans except more housing I don't support that because I worry about traffic/the environment.	Comments noted.	



No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
6	General	Broads Authority	The Plan is welcomed. We offer some comments for consideration. There is one policy (policy 5 relating to residential moorings) that proposes criteria that are slightly different to our policy and it is suggested that such departures will need thorough justification as the next version of the Plan is produced.	Support welcomed. The specific comments relating to Policy 5 are addressed below	
7	General	Natural England	Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development. Natural England is a statutory consultee in neighbourhood planning and must be consulted on draft neighbourhood development plans by the Parish/Town Councils or Neighbourhood Forums where they consider our interests would be affected by the proposals made. Natural England does not have any specific comments on this draft neighbourhood plan.	Comments noted	
8	General	Individual via Survey Monkey Response	I fully support the development of a neighbourhood plan for Thorpe St Andrew and many thanks to those who have clearly put a lot of work in to producing the draft plan. The overall objectives seem fine. The main comment I have refers to the overall plan. I would like to see more emphasis throughout on the need to work towards more green infrastructure, a low carbon economy and building in resilience to withstand future changes in climate. The recent Independent Assessment of UK Climate Risk published on 16 June 2021 illustrated how unprepared the UK is for climate change and how the country needs to adapt. Homes, infrastructure and services must have	Comments noted and appreciated. Some of the comments fall outside of the remit of the Neighbourhood Plan – particularly those matters that	Review objectives and text for opportunities to reinforce the wording. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>resilience built in to withstand floods, heat and humidity. The East of England is particularly likely to suffer from extreme heat and drought, and flooding events. There are some references in the plan to the need for solar panels and electric charging points (section 8.4) and for sustainable transport such as walking and cycling and increased connectivity. However, I think more detail is needed under the following objectives and I have put some suggestions in: Housing: Buildings - need to be insulated Flat developments are particularly at risk from heat Need cooling mechanisms put into dwellings and houses built to accommodate a hotter climate Heating powered by renewable energy should be put into all new dwellings together with rainwater harvesting systems. Existing houses will need to be adapted and retrofitted Window shutters to keep sun off the glass Tree planting for shade Avoid paving over gardens (absorbs heat) More shading built in to open spaces Areas at risk of flooding should be identified and advice given - the main risk in Thorpe St Andrew is from surface water flooding in TSA and it is interesting to look at the current UK Government flood map to see the pockets of areas at risk. When there has been extremely intense rainfall it has been seen how quickly Yarmouth Road and other areas can become flooded quite quickly. We can expect more of this in the future unfortunately. Areas at risk of flooding should not be built on. Transportation Objective: Sustainable transport - there needs to be a high focus on walking and cycling and use of the bus network which I hope will be electric or hydrogen powered in the next few years. The advantage of reducing levels of air pollution can also be linked to this objective - are air pollution levels measured for Thorpe St Andrew? Keeping vehicle</p>	<p>refer to retrofitting environmental measures to existing buildings. A number of minor wording change can be made to objectives and text to reinforce the importance of such issues. The input is appreciated. Matters related to flooding will be passed to Norfolk County Council who are responsible for flooding in Thorpe St Andrew. Additional electric cycle points and bike</p>	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>emissions at a distance from schools would be better for children’s health and wellbeing. Pushing for a rail station at Dussindale would help to reduce car traffic. A cycle route into the city on Yarmouth Road would be helpful with more electric hire bike points in the town. There are 2 Beryl hire bike stations in Thorpe St Andrew on Yarmouth Road but no cycle path. Putting in more cycle stands at key areas in the town would be helpful. Ensure all cycle routes are well maintained and free from vegetation. Putting in marked walking routes for pedestrians might help encourage walking and connect green spaces. There seems to be a lot of emphasis in the plan on car parking. If people in the future still own the same number of vehicles as they currently do many more of these will be electric and so the installation of electric vehicle charging points across the town in public spaces and in all new housing will be needed. Economic Objectives The local economy could possibly be supported by promotion of more pop up businesses, perhaps in key leisure areas such as River Green and the recreation grounds. All new housing developments should have at least a simple grocery/newsagent store within walking distance or at least cycling distance.</p>	<p>racks have recently been installed throughout the town, including multiple locations of Yarmouth Road, Dussindale Drive, and the Ring Road. The Town Council app also provides walking routes throughout the town. A new specific EV charging station has been installed within the town and the Town Council has written to Norfolk County Council proposing the inclusion of EV charging within</p>	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				<p>the Parish Partnership grant funding scheme. The Town Council hosts a number of pop-up events throughout the year, and has held a successful 'pop-up high-street' event. Comment regarding residential housing being close to services is noted and reflected in Policy 6 which requires mixed use residential/commercial development for sustainability of new communities.</p>	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
9	General	National Grid (Avison Young)	<p>National Grid has appointed Avison Young to review and respond to Neighbourhood Plan consultations on its behalf. We are instructed by our client to submit the following representation with regard to the current consultation on the above document.</p> <p>About National Grid</p> <p>National Grid Electricity Transmission pie (NGET) owns and maintains the electricity transmission system in England and Wales. The energy is then distributed to the electricity distribution network operators across England, Wales and Scotland.</p> <p>National Grid Gas pie (NGG) owns and operates the high-pressure gas transmission system across the UK. In the UK, gas leaves the transmission system and enters the UK's four gas distribution networks where pressure is reduced for public use.</p> <p>National Grid Ventures (NGV) is separate from National Grid's core regulated businesses. NGV develop, operate and invest in energy projects, technologies, and partnerships to help accelerate the development of a clean energy future for consumers across the UK, Europe and the United States.</p> <p>Proposed development sites crossed or in close proximity to National Grid assets:</p> <p>An assessment has been carried out with respect to National Grid's electricity and gas transmission assets which include high voltage electricity assets and high-pressure gas pipelines.</p> <p>National Grid has identified that it has no record of such assets within the Neighbourhood Plan area.</p> <p>National Grid provides information in relation to its assets at the website below.</p>	Comments noted	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<a href="http://www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shapefiles/">www2.nationalgrid.com/uk/services/land-and-development/planning-authority/shapefiles/</a>		
10	General (All policies)	Individual via Survey Monkey Response	I think environmental considerations should be more evident throughout, given the likely impacts of climate change in TSA. Making TSA more resilient and mitigating any impacts, eg flooding on housing and businesses should be priorities. I would like to see more promotion of/ incentives to walk, cycle, and use public transport rather than the car. One example would be to have a railway station to enable those that work on the Business Parks, at Sainsbury's, etc to take a train. I would like to see mention of further enhancement of biodiversity in TSA, eg on the recreation grounds. I understand the pressures there may be to have more car parking available, but have concerns around this, eg more provision for cars tends to encourage more traffic, more concrete adds to the problem of where rainwater goes.	Some of these matters fall outside the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan. The Town Council has no flooding responsibilities, as these are invested in Norfolk County Council which undertook a review of flooding in the Broads in 2021. The railway like proposal remains a project supported by the Town Council, which sits with Broadland District Council	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				<p>and Norfolk County Council. The proposals included within the plan should be deliverable by the Town Council, and unfortunately, the proposed railway halt sites outside this scope. Where possible the Town Council has promoted sustainable transport through the design of housing and housing development, also by including a project for a new off-road car park on a main transit route will</p>	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				allow people to park and ride into the city, but also remove some on-street parking making bus journeys quicker and reduce the need for buses to brake and accelerate excessively, thereby reducing pollution.	
11	General	NCC	The County Council supports the Objectives set out in the Plan (page 7).	Support noted	
12	General	NCC (PROW)	<p>There are several public rights of way within Thorpe St Andrew that pass through or adjacent to areas identified in the draft plan as locally important green space. These are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Footpath 3 - Thorpe Marshes/St Andrew Broad (14)</li> <li>•Footpath 5 - Thorpe Marshes/St Andrew Broad (14)</li> <li>•Footpath 6 - Weston Pits (11) and Weston Wood (16)</li> <li>•Footpath 7 - Thorpe Ridge (15)</li> </ul> <p>Recognising these for their historic character, intrinsic landscape value and green corridor function in addition to their recreation and travel value and considering these as existing immovable assets would help achieve the aims of several policy areas:</p>	Comments noted. Appropriate references can be added to the Plan	Add footpath references <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>Policy 1 - Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Policy 2 - Creating a Strong Sense of Place</li> <li>• Policy 3 - Connectivity and Ensuring Adequate Car Parking (1,2)</li> <li>• Policy 4 - Protecting Resident Amenity (2k)</li> <li>• Policy 7 - Retaining and Creating Community Facilities.</li> </ul> <p>Although mechanisms exist for the protection of PRoW, it is important that their value and place is considered early in any planning process to avoid compromises and afterthought which have often rendered PRoW unattractive and unpleasant to use, and a sense of detachment from their original purpose. As such, PRoW should be seen as part of and not separate to any green open space and community facility.</p>		
13	General	NNC (HET)	<p>The Historic Environment team welcome the inclusion of the historic standing buildings in the neighbourhood plan but would like to see the buried historic assets included. Thorpe St Andrew is rich in buried archaeological remains, a few examples include the Roman settlement in the southwest of the parish, the prehistoric barrows on Gargytt Hill and the extensive WWI/2 remains, including the city anti-tank ditch and other defences.</p> <p>Historic England's published guidance on the preparation of Neighbourhood Plans should be consulted. It encourages the full consideration of heritage assets and suggests ways with which this can be achieved. Based on this guidance, it is also suggested that the authors of the Neighbourhood Plan follow the steps indicated below:</p> <p>1) Study Historic England's published guidance and consider how the Neighbourhood Plan can take its advice on board.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>Appropriate references to buried historic assets will be added</p>	<p>Add references to buried historic assets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>2)Contact the Norfolk Historic Environment Record (NHER) and request information on designated and undesignated heritage assets within the Neighbourhood Plan area. The NHER can be contacted at <a href="mailto:heritage@norfolk.gov.uk">heritage@norfolk.gov.uk</a>.</p> <p>3)Consider the full range of heritage assets within the Neighbourhood Plan area and identify those they feel are most significant. The Neighbourhood Planning group may wish to prepare a local list of heritage assets they believe should be protected and enhanced and put this to the community for consideration.</p>		
14	General	Survey Monkey Response	Stop building everywhere.	Noted. The Neighbourhood Plan is not making any additional allocation for development.	
15	General	Survey Monkey Response	I agree with the overall plan and would like to protect the area of Pinebanks, Langley North and South from the unacceptable large scale development currently being considered. The development is certainly in contravention of the policies outlined in Thorpe Town Councils plans.	Noted. The NP however does not currently hold any weight in the determination of planning applications	
16	General	Survey Monkey Response	How much will this cost? Dussindale	Noted	

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
17	General	Survey Monkey Response	The reduction in verge / grass cutting this year has been fantastic and resulted in some fantastic wildflower displays, I fully support the continuation of this	Support welcomed.	
18	General	Survey Monkey Response	Bus services need improvement. Local small shops should be provided for everyday needs, eg food, just as they were when we moved here in 1974.	Comment noted although these matters fall outside of the NP scope.	
19	General	NPS on behalf of Norfolk Constabulary	NPS is commissioned by Norfolk Constabulary to prepare representations on planning policy matters, including responding to emerging Neighbourhood Plans. Therefore, on behalf of Norfolk Constabulary, I would make the following comments on the Regulation 14 version. Central Government place great emphasis on the role of the Police. Furthermore, National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) gives significant weight to promoting safe communities (in section 8 of the NPPF). This is highlighted by the provision of paragraph 91 which states Planning policies and decisions should aim to achieve healthy, inclusive and safe places which..... b) are safe and accessible, so that crime and disorder, and the fear of crime, do not undermine the quality of life or community cohesion – for example through the use of clear and legible pedestrian routes, and high quality public space, which encourage the active and continual use of public areas; .... Nationally the Police have sought to provide advice and guidelines to support and create safer communities, most notably reflected in their Secured By Design initiative which seek to improve the security of buildings and their immediate surroundings to create and provide safe	Comments related to community safety are noted and changes will be reflected within the Neighbourhood Plan. The Town Council routinely consults with Norfolk Police regarding architectural changes to reduce anti-social behaviour and so understand and	Amend plan to include suitable references <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>places to live. It is noted that the aim of the Plan is ‘In 2038, Thorpe St Andrew will be a socially and economically thriving community .....’.</p> <p>A key component to a socially thriving community is to ensure that crime and disorder does not undermine the quality of life and community cohesion. Therefore, inclusion of this consideration is important and consistent with Central Government advice. In terms of creating and maintaining safer communities, it is disappointing there are a number of considerations that have been omitted from this version. Therefore, revision should be made to the Neighbourhood Plan to ensure that the Plan satisfactorily addresses NPPF provisions and the needs of the Neighbourhood Plan area.</p> <p>1. The Neighbourhood Plan should include the specific objective to ‘create and maintain a safer community and reduce crime and disorder’ or include this within objective 5c (which focusses on health and community considerations). This is important in creating a safe place where people want to live, work and invest in.</p> <p>2. The Neighbourhood Plan supports the importance of design in Policy 2 highlighting ‘development will be well-designed’ but then omits support for the principles of crime prevention through good design (as a well-designed and laid out built environment plays an important role in designing out crime and reducing the opportunities for anti-social behaviour). There should be specific reference to this within policy 2 and that ‘all new developments should conform to the ‘Secured by Design’ principles and the Neighbourhood Plan will support development proposals aimed at improving community safety’. This would be supported by an objective to ‘create and maintain a safer community and reduce crime and disorder’.</p> <p>3. The Neighbourhood Plan has an opportunity to include a policy</p>	<p>appreciate the importance of this in designing out crime and anti-social behaviour.</p>	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>regarding the use by the Town Council of developer contributions and CIL monies (or any subsequent replacement provision) to deliver local initiatives that create safer communities (and reduce crime). It is disappointing that the Plan misses this opportunity. Such a policy could include measures identified by Norfolk Constabulary, along with the County and District Councils to contribute to the finance of police infrastructure (including vehicles, operational equipment and communication equipment). Use of CIL monies by the Town Councils could, for example, be appropriate to finance local initiatives which create and maintain a safer community and quality of life. I trust that these matters can be incorporated into the Plan objectives and policies to reduce the opportunities for crime and disorder (and also help reduce the fear of crime in the Neighbourhood Plan area) to ensure that the Plan is consistent with the emphasis that Government places on creating safer communities.</p>		
20	General	NCC (LLFA)	<p>There are no references to flooding or flood risk as a whole within the submitted Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>Specific to the responsibilities of the LLFA regarding flood management, there are no specific references to flood risk from surface water, groundwater or flooding from ordinary watercourses within the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>There are no references to drainage as whole or Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SuDS) implementation within the Plan.</p> <p>There are no specific flood risk policies or development policies, inclusive of flood risk and drainage criteria, within the Neighbourhood Plan.</p>	<p>Comments noted. Suitable references to SuDS, drainage and surface water can be added to Policy 4</p>	<p>Amend Policy 4 accordingly</p>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•The LLFA recommend that a full review of flooding within the Parish of Thorpe St Andrew is undertaken to assess all forms of flood risk. Specific to the responsibilities of the LLFA, this would include flood risk from surface water, groundwater and ordinary watercourses in the area.</li> <li>•The LLFA notes that part of the Parish falls within an identified Critical Drainage Catchment (CDC) (CDC Name: Catton Grove and Sewell). The LLFA recommend this highlighted within the Plan. The LLFA have the following flood records for the Parish of Thorpe St Andrew: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ 15 records of internal flooding dating back to 2014 (confirmed flooding through flood investigation)</li> <li>■ 66 records of external flooding dating back to 2014 (unconfirmed/anecdotal flooding)</li> </ul> </li> <li>The LLFA highlight the importance of considering surface water, groundwater and flooding from ordinary watercourses within the Neighbourhood Plan in the best interest of further development in the area.</li> <li>•According to Environment Agency datasets, there are areas of surface water ponding and surface water flow paths present within the Parish of Thorpe St Andrew. The LLFA notes that no surface water maps are included within the submitted Neighbourhood Plan.</li> <li>•The LLFA recommend inclusion of surface water flood risk maps within the Neighbourhood Plan representative of the entire Parish of Thorpe St Andrew. Information on this and associated tools/reference documents can be found at:</li> </ul>		

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>■ GOV.UK - Long Term Flood Information - Online EA Surface Water Flood Map</p> <p>■ Norfolk County Council. (NCC) - Flood and Water Management Policies Norfolk County Council (NCC) - Lead Local Flood Authority {LLFA) Statutory Consultee for Planning: Guidance Document</p> <p>Overarching National Policy and Local Plans require that any future development (or redevelopment) proposals show there is no increased risk of flooding from an existing flood source and mitigation measures are implemented to address surface water arising within the development site.</p> <p>Any new development should be accompanied by an appropriate assessment which gives adequate and appropriate consideration to all sources of flooding and proposed surface water drainage. Any application made to a Local Planning Authority will be required to demonstrate that it would:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Not increase the flood risk to the site or wider area from fluvial, surface water, groundwater, sewers or artificial sources.</li> <li>• Have a neutral or positive impact on surface water drainage. Proposals must demonstrate engagement with relevant agencies and seek to incorporate appropriate mitigation measures manage flood risk and to reduce surface water run-off to the development and wider area such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Inclusion of appropriate measures to address any identified risk of flooding (in the following order or priority: assess, avoid, manage and mitigate flood risk).</li> <li>• Where appropriate undertake sequential and /or exception tests.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Locate only compatible development in areas at risk of flooding, considering the proposed vulnerability of land use.</li> <li>•Inclusion of appropriate allowances for climate change.</li> <li>•Inclusion of Sustainable Drainage proposals (SuDS) with an appropriate discharge location.</li> <li>•Priority use of source control SuDS such as permeable surfaces, rainwater harvesting and storage or green roofs and walls. Other SuDS components which convey or store surface water can also be considered.</li> <li>•To mitigate against the creation of additional impermeable surfaces, attenuation of greenfield (or for redevelopment sites as close to greenfield as possible) surface water runoff rates and runoff volumes within the development site boundary.</li> <li>•Provide clear maintenance and management proposals of structures within the development, including SuDS elements, riparian ownership of ordinary watercourses or culverts, and their associated funding mechanisms.</li> </ul>		
21	Para 1.3	Broads Authority	Para 1.3 ‘ the local plan’ – which local plan? The previous para identifies a number that are relevant to TSA.	Comment noted. The paragraph will be clarified	Amend 1.3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Para 1.7	Broads Authority	<p>Para 1.7 –what does ‘The footprint to amenity ratio’ mean? You may want to make it clearer at this early point in the Plan. Indeed, it does not seem to be referred to later in the Plan.</p> <p>Para 1,7 says ‘Provide greater local detail to the design guide issued by Broadland District Council’ – what about the design guidance offered by the Broads Authority?</p>	Comments noted. This paragraph will be clarified	Amend 1.7 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
22	Para 1.8	Broads Authority	Para 1.8 says 'will be used and acted upon by BDC planning officers'. BA Officers will use the policies as well.	Comments noted. The paragraph will be clarified	Amend 1.8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
23	Para 1.8	Broadland District Council	This paragraph implies that only BDC planning officers will use the Neighbourhood Plan to determine applications. The Broads Authority are a planning authority in their own right and will also use the Plan, if adopted, to help determine applications within their executive area.	Comments noted. The paragraph will be clarified	Amend 1.8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
24	General Housing	Survey Monkey Response	I'm a resident of Beechwood Drive and am concerned that development in Thorpe woods by Hill Ltd of 300 units will mean people start to use Beechwood Drive as cut through to avoid traffic on Plumstead Road. I think we need speed bumps or similar to deter potential speeding down our road, which has a lot of children and pets unused to fast traffic.	Comment noted. The Town Council spoke at the Planning Inspectorate hearing regarding Thorpe Woods, strongly objecting to the proposals with biodiversity and impact on wildlife forming part of its response. However, the Town Council respects the decision of the Planning	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				Inspector in granting planning permission. Road safety is not a power the Town Council currently has.	
25	General Housing	Survey Monkey Response	Intensive development of Pinebanks. My DR surgery is overwhelmed with emergency backup now in use. Population of Thorpe will increase by 10% causing further pressure. This development proposed 4/5 storey flats immediately adjacent to our property. We already have smells from the sewage plant on a regular basis. Pressure on the Yarmouth Road, already under pressure and noise has increased over the last few years.	Some of these matters fall outside the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan. The Town Council has objected to the intensification of the development proposals at Pinebanks, and included the impact on services as part of it's consultee response.	
26	Environment Objective	NCC	The Natural Environment Team (NET} welcomes the inclusion of the 'Natural Environment Objective to protect and enhance the natural	Comments noted. The text can be amended	Include references <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>landscape of the town including key landscapes, natural habitats and areas with nature conservation value'.</p> <p>The Natural Environment Objective consideration should be given to the following documents to inform Policies and strengthen the Natural Environment objective:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• the GNLP Green Infrastructure Strategy: which identifies a strategic Green Infrastructure Corridor within the Thorpe St Andrew Parish Boundary.</li> <li>• The Greater Norwich Green Infrastructure Study (produced for the GNLP, December 2020) with the Greater Norwich Infrastructure Plan (GNIP) which identifies GI priorities including projects that support delivery of Biodiversity Net Gain</li> </ul>	to include reference to these documents	
27	Para 2.4 Housing Design	Barton Wilmore	<p>Whilst we would generally support the aims of the priorities identified here, this should not be at the cost of the delivery of much needed housing within the Thorpe St Andrew (TSA) area. In identifying individual large plots as a priority over large development sites, there is a risk of proceeding contrary to the settlement hierarchies identified in both the adopted Broadland Local Plan and the emerging Greater Norwich Local Plan (GNLP), both of which seek to make best use of land (in line with NPPF policy).</p> <p>Policy 9 of the Joint Core Strategy (JCS) includes Thorpe St Andrew and the fringe parishes at the top of the settlement hierarchy and as the focus of opportunities to identify land to contribute towards the smaller sites allowance for sites of less than 1,000 units. The JCS stated that this should be alongside opportunities to improve townscape and retain local character, to improve the gateways to Norwich, for small-scale and medium-scale developments to increase densities where an</p>	The settlement hierarchy is set out in adopted and emerging Strategic policy. Paragraph 2.4 is summarising the issues that have been highlighted through consultation . The highlighted issue is that development in the parish should	

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			improvement to townscape will result, and to improve local services (Policy 12). Policy 7.1 of the emerging GNLP highlights that the Norwich Urban Area, including Thorpe St Andrew and the fringe parishes, should be the area's major focus for jobs, homes, and service development. The TSA area represents a sustainable urban location, and as such should be a priority for development in accordance with the policy priorities set out above - particularly for previously-developed sites. Seeking the development of individual plots over and above larger developments could also push against the requirement for Broadland and the wider GNLP area to deliver on their 5-year housing land supply requirements. It is therefore our view that this NHP priority should be reviewed;	enable individual plots to be developed rather than just a focus on estate scale development. This is consistent with strategic policies.	
28	Para 2.5	Broads Authority	Para 2.5 – as this is Reg 14 consultation, I think there will be a Reg 16 consultation prior to submitting to the Examiner – so another round of consultation.	This paragraph can useful be expanded to refer to the remainder of the NP process	Amend 2.5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
29	Para 2.6	Broads Authority	Para 2,6 'The NDPwill only' – space missing	Comments noted. Amend accordingly	Amend 2.6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
30	Section 3: Thorpe St Andrew Background	Broadland District Council	This section provides interesting information regarding settlement character, history and the environment. However, it would also be useful to set out some information relating to social and economic aspects of the parish (e.g. population demographics, households, overview of businesses incl. Business Park - strategic site etc.) in order to give a holistic background.	Comments noted. This section could usefully be expanded to present a 'pen	Amend Section 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>For example, what about the St Andrews Business Park etc and the social/economic context - especially as part of the vision is a 'economically thriving community'?</p> <p>You may also wish to mention the visual impact of the valley side being visible from outside of the parish (i.e. from within the Broads at Whitlingham), adding to the significance of the character.</p> <p>In addition, we feel there should be a short section (e.g. 'Relationship with Local Plans') explaining what documents the BDC and BA Local Plans consist of and where the NDP fits in (i.e. it doesn't seek to allocate development in the parish but adds more detail to the existing policies. Also with reference to the emerging GNLP and how the NP intends to complement that.</p>	<p>portrait' of the parish covering all aspects.</p>	
31	Sections 4,5 and 15	Survey Monkey	<p>Although there is much to commend in the plan, there is one glaring omission. On the day of the release of the IPCC report on the environment, it is clear that regard for the Climate Emergency must permeate every sphere of policy, from local to national and global. To the end I would suggest that in section 4 the vision statement would read 'socially, environmentally and economically thriving' In 5 d) there should be a statement to encourage the use of alternatives to the private car. 9.5 notes the lack of bus services at evenings and weekends, this should include a commitment to seek evening and weekend bus services. 12.2 talks of Dussindale. There needs to be a commitment to a new train stop to serve Dussindale. 10.4 Protecting Resident Amenity should include a commitment for all housing to be to standards in line with the climate Emergency. These are ideas which may or may not be in the best place but are suggestions of ways to incorporate the Climate Emergency in all areas of planning.</p>	<p>Support noted. Suitable amendments to s.4 and s.5 will be made. The Town Council continues to support both evening bus services and the railway halt, but neither of these projects are deliverable through the Neighbourhood</p>	<p>Amend Sections 4 and 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				Plan. The Town Council acknowledges the importance of climate change, which is the season for the inclusion and prominence of environmental matters including the preservation of green spaces and the need for energy and water saving measures in community buildings, the use of sustainable materials, and the need to prevent detrimental impacts on the natural environment.	

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
32	Section 5: Housing Objective	Barton Wilmore	It is unclear, and should be refined and explained further, how well-designed homes equate to protecting the amenity of those living and working in TSA;	Comments noted. This section could be expanded to clarify this.	
33	Section 5: Housing Objective	Broads Authority	Housing objective a 'Thorpe St Andrew' – space missing	Noted. Amend accordingly	Amend Objective <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
34	Housing Objective	Survey Monkey Response	There is too much development currently especially with the line banks proposals. There simply is not enough resources to cope. I already can get an appointment with the local GP. I am adding another 2000 people which would completely overwhelm them and our local area. It also saddens me that this would mean a loss of green space and natural beauty. I worry about the impact it would have on wildlife. I also worry about the development of racecourse plantation. This has already had a negative effect on wildlife and the locals access the green spaces. It's so sad to see time and time again large scale inappropriate developments being approved. Please stop them. Smaller development of less than 20 homes at a time would mean expansion is managed in a much more gradual way and services are not overloaded.	Some of these matters fall outside the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan. The Town Council has objected to the intensification of the development proposals at Pinebanks, and included the impact on services as part of its consultee response. The Town Council also spoke at the	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				<p>Planning Inspectorate hearing regarding Thorpe Woods, strongly objecting to the proposals with biodiversity and impact on wildlife forming part of its response. However, the Town Council respects the decision of the Planning Inspector in granting planning permission.</p>	
35	Community Facilities Objective	Survey Monkey Response	<p>Thank you for informing us of this consultation and providing opportunity to share our views. This response is submitted on behalf of the Norfolk &amp; Waveney Integrated Care System (ICS). We recognise the extent to which the plan identifies the need for health development and welcome the Community Facilities objective that covers 'to provide for health'.</p>	Support noted	



No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
36	Table 1	Broads Authority	Table 1 could include the policy title?	Comment noted. Amend accordingly	Amend table <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
37	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Individual 1	I also see that in the Draft neighbourhood Plan there is a policy to Protect and Enhance the Natural Environment and wondered if there might be more detail on management of existing habitats and more reference to the possible impact of climate change and how to mitigate against this with regard to natural habitats and also the design of new housing?	Comments noted. The management of existing habitats is outside of the NP scope	
38	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey Response	It's very vague with regards to the extent of protection, these inner town green areas are very important to retain, once lost, lost forever. There is a growing trend to fill in every bit of green space with new housing as well as building around the peripheries, this moves long term residents further and further from the countryside. I'd like to see stronger assurances on the protection of embedded woodlands.	Comment noted. This policy is to be reviewed in the light of other comment about Local Green Spaces. The neighbourhood plan policies are additional the policies contained within the Local Plan and NPPF. A number of these	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				sites are within public ownership, which provides some additional protection.	
39	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey Response	I would like to object to the proposal to open the northern end of hill side allotments as a public nature reserve for the following reasons 1. it will become a focal point for antisocial behaviour do to its sheltered location 2,people would have access to the allotments even if a fence was put up the whole length of the central track and magnetic gates at each entrance this would not stop antisocial behaviour and the cost would be prohibitive not to mention would spoil the natural look of the landscape 3 the site would have to be kept clean and checked daily to avoid injury 4 accesses to the water troughs on the east side of the allotment would become a problem if fences 'were erected would there be gates at every allotment lane. i feel it would be better let as it is may be an advert in the local TSA magazine or NR7 magazine advertising set open days at varying times of the year and giving a talk on the area and keeping an allotment, would be more safer and greener and less disruptive to the natural to the whole balance of the allotment	This comment related to different consultation.	
40	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural	Survey Monkey Response	Policy 1 - I agree and support the intention to protect and enhance the natural environments within Thorpe St Andrew. In section 7.2 it states that the town has a higher than average tree cover - which is amazing. However, there are many open spaces within Thorpe St Andrew that are just areas of grass, maybe these areas could be managed differently	Comments noted and support welcomed. The management of existing areas is outside of the	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	Environment		to increase habitats for wildlife? Tree planting / wild areas / community garden or orchard?	scope of the NP and many of these will be in differing ownerships. <b>{could the TC look at land in their ownership}</b>	
41	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey Response	We wish to support the designation of the following sites as Local Green Space: * site 11 (Weston Pits) * site 12 (Town pit Plantation) * site 13 (Chapel Lane Pit/South Avenue Dell) * site 15 (Thorpe Ridge) This is because Site 11 is a County Geodiversity Site and the other three are Candidate County Geodiversity Sites, designated within the framework of the Norfolk Geodiversity Action Plan. They have value for education and public amenity as well as geoconservation and wildlife enhancement. We note that Policy 1 makes no mention of these geodiversity assets. and neither does it mention County Wildlife Site 1390 Pinebanks, although its area is marked in green. We suggest that both CGS and CWS should have been mentioned as assets under Policy 1. This omission suggests that these assets were not scoped when baseline N Plan data were being collected. County Geodiversity Sites have parity with County Wildlife Sites within the planning process, as belonging to the category of Local Sites.	Noted. This policy is to be reviewed in the light of a number of comments	Review Policy – to potentially separate those spaces that meet LGS criteria and those that need other forms of protection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
42	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing	NCC (NET)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Para 7.2 alludes to ancient woodland along Thorpe Ridge (identified as green space 15), the NET recommend that the presence of ancient woodland is clearly stated. Ancient woodland is an important</li> </ul>	Comments noted. Suitable references to be	Amend Plan accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	the Natural Environment		<p>natural and historic resource and is protected via national and local planning policies.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Thorpe Marshes/St Andrew's Broad (no 14 on the Green and Open spaces plan) is identified on MAGIC as forming part of Whitlingham Marsh, Whitlingham Local Nature Reserve this forms part of Norfolk's core wetland area (Norfolk Green Infrastructure Map). A Local Nature Reserve (LNR) is a protected area of land designated by a local authority because of its local special natural interest and, where possible, educational and community value. <p>The plan should mention that Racecourse Plantation is identified as a County Wildlife Site. County Wildlife Sites (CWS) are areas of land rich in wildlife and outside of the nationally protected areas (such as Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and National Nature Reserves). CWS do not receive statutory protection but are protected through the planning system.</p> </li></ul>	included within the Plan	
43	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey Response	I am pleased that the woodland to the left of Pound Lane has been managed and retained. Glad steps are being taken to safeguard the newts and bats on the Oasis site. Also access issues need to be considered around Gordon Avenue etc	Comments noted.	
44	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing	Survey Monkey Response	We don't need more countryside destroyed use brown belt then people can walk to work and not increase volume of traffic.	Comments noted.	

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	the Natural Environment				
45	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey Response	We wish to support the designation of the following sites as Local Green Space: * site 11 (Weston Pits) * site 12 (Town pit Plantation) * site 13 (Chapel Lane Pit/South Avenue Dell) * site 15 (Thorpe Ridge) This is because Site 11 is a County Geodiversity Site and the other three are Candidate County Geodiversity Sites, designated within the framework of the Norfolk Geodiversity Action Plan. They have value for education and public amenity as well as geoconservation and wildlife enhancement. We note that Policy 1 makes no mention of these geodiversity assets. and neither does it mention County Wildlife Site 1390 Pinebanks, although its area is marked in green. We suggest that both CGS and CWS should have been mentioned as assets under Policy 1. This omission suggests that these assets were not adequately scoped when baseline N Plan data were being collected. County Geodiversity Sites have parity with County Wildlife Sites within the planning process, belonging to the DEFRA category of Local Sites.	Noted. This policy is to be reviewed in the light of a number of comments	Review Policy – to potentially separate those spaces that meet LGS criteria and those that need other forms of protection <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
46	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Broadland District Council	Has the group explored whether any of these could be designated as Local Green Space, as per our previous comments? This would give a greater degree of protection. Sites would need to meet criteria in paras. 99 & 100 of NPPF. The Policy needs to be consistent in terms of identifying which spaces are in the Broads Exec Area e.g. this is noted for Cary's Meadow, but not Thorpe Marshes. It might also be useful to identify whether any of the sites are protected by their existing status e.g. County Wildlife Site (Thorpe Marshes?). Does there need to be	Comments noted. This policy and section can be reviewed to determine which spaces meet LGS criteria and	Review policy accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>some reflection of the approved development proposals within Racecourse Plantation?</p> <p>Also, the numbering on the 'green and open spaces' map could be made clearer - it is very difficult to make this out.</p>	<p>which may require other protection for their community, recreation or biodiversity value.</p>	
47	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey Response	<p>Following on from my visit to the Town Hall to view the neighbourhood plan I have a few questions/ observations. Policy 1 talks of protecting the natural environment but there is no mention of public access. Apart from a vague mention in Policy 3 to promote cycle and pedestrian routes there appear to be no plans for connecting footpaths to the said open spaces. An example of this is Churchyard Close- Open Space Project. This area used to be accessed via a gate on Elizabeth Avenue to the footpath behind the school and on to the allotments then Hillside Ave., opening up a way to Whitlingham marsh or Weston Woods with minimum road walking from both Morse and Fitzmaurice Parks via the footbridge. This gate is now locked thus preventing access to the existing historic church site, hence a 'lost' open space. Policy 7 talks of retaining and creating community facilities, stating "future population growth will increase the demand for housing, jobs, amenities and services including facilities for sport and physical activity " It goes on to note that Thorpe St Andrew has a young population whilst Broadland has an ageing population. With this in mind, and given these times of tight budgets, I propose that these objectives can be achieved at minimum cost by turning the old tennis courts at Fitzmaurice Park into a Pétanque terrain. Pétanque can be played by all ages and abilities. In</p>	<p>Some of these matters are outside the scope of the Neighbourhood Plan. The Town Council has run a consultation related to the Churchyard Close project. A petanque rink has been installed at this site including picnic tables, equipment, and a wooden border. Accessible public</p>	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			fact most families will have played pétanque together on the beach when on holiday. All that's needed is the provision of a bench or two, a low border to contain wayward boules and a shingle top dressing and to deter dogs and bikes going across the terrain during a game for safety reasons. Access to toilets would be good too, for all park users.	toilets are available.	
48	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	NCC (LLFA)	<p>The document proposes a number of pre-existing spaces as local green spaces (LGS). It is understood that designation of LGS provides a level of protection against development. The LLFA do not normally comment on LGS unless they are proposed to be part of a sustainable urban drainage (Suds) feature or are part of a current surface water/land drainage feature. One of the proposed spaces is identified as a potential contributing surface water/land drainage area/feature:</p> <p>14.) Thorpe Marshes/St Andrew Broad</p> <p>The LLFA would therefore recommend against development of this space to limit any negative impact on the current drainage contributions. The LLFA have no comments to make on all other submitted local green spaces.</p>	Comments noted. See other representations in respect of this policy above.	
49	Policy 1: Protecting and enhancing the Natural Environment	Individual 3	<p>Policy 1-Protecting and enhancing the natural environment This policy falls short of the stance within the GNDP in relation to the protection of the natural environment. The policy states that its objective is to conserve and enhance existing environmentally important sites however, the second part of the policy indicating that development in such areas should be appropriate and proportionate seems to open the door to a string of small developments which could cumulatively erode these precious areas. Within the approved joint core strategy for Broadland policies relating to environmental protection appear more robust, indicating</p>	Comments noted. This policy is to be reviewed in the light of other comments received, which may address the concerns raised here	


No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>"the environmental assets of the area will be protected, maintained, restored and enhanced and the benefits for residents and visitors improved". It also states it will "minimise fragmentation of habitats and seek to conserve and enhance existing environmental assets of acknowledged regional or local importance".</p> <p>Having identified areas of local importance the plan should seek to protect those areas clearly and not offer a way in for development over and over at small scale. With the general relaxation of the planning controls in England neighbourhood plans will become more important and this is an opportunity to get the best for Thorpe St Andrew rather than opening the door to piecemeal development on habitats that cannot be replaced. The reference to proportional developments should therefore be removed as these areas have been highlighted as important to retain by local residents.</p>		
50	Policy 1: Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey	<p>My property - Tudor Cottage, Pound Lane. Has been included in the area of the Fitzmaurice Park on the map on page 10. I am concerned that my property has been included as a 'Green and Open Space' when it is a private dwelling and I do not believe there is a need for Policy 1 to be applicable to my Property. Also I would highlight that the reference to the National Planning Policy Framework are out of date, they refer to 2012 however the framework has been updated in 2018, 2019 and now recently in July 2021. The new version of the framework puts a greater emphasis on design and there is an opportunity for Thorpe St Andrew to prepared a design code or guide to give greater control on development. This would also provide an opportunity to ensure new developments provide healthy, inclusive and safe places which promote social interaction, are safe and accessible, and enable and support</p>	<p>Comments noted. The suggested changes to the map are accepted and the updated NPPF reference has will be included. There is an existing design code for Broadland and a</p>	Amend map to remove the dwelling



No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			healthy lifestyles, it would also provide opportunity to help address climate change through encouraging more sustainable development with more energy efficient homes/developments and including things like EV charging points to help 'future proof' homes.	character statement has been included in the Neighbourhood Plan.	
51	Policy 1: Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey	Policy 1 Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment 7.3 particularly the mention of Thorpe Island. What measures will the council put in place to prevent the regular felling of trees on the island?	This lies outside of the Neighbourhood Plan scope. The area is subject to protections which are within the remit of the Local Planning Authority	
52	Policy 1: Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey	I am concerned that the policy is not robust enough to defend these green spaces from piecemeal erosion. Within the GNDP policies state "The environmental assets of the area will be protected, maintained, restored and enhanced " going on to mention biodiversity objectives and the prevention of habitat fragmentation. If a number of appropriate and proportionate schemes are received the proposed policy has no robustness to preserve these green spaces. With the overall relaxation of the planning system the TSA Neighbourhood Plan should seek to get the best for TSA and preserve its green spaces rather than obliquely condoning piecemeal development.	Comments noted. This policy and section can be reviewed to determine which spaces meet LGS criteria and which may require other protection for	Review policy accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				their community, recreation or biodiversity value.	
53	Policy 1: Protecting and Enhancing the Natural Environment	Survey Monkey	Policy 1 Overall the plan seems so vague as to be largely meaningless but that is particularly the case with this section. It implies that green and open areas will be protected (in accordance with the wishes of the overwhelming majority of residents), but what it actually says is that development must be "appropriate and proportionate". What that might mean is far from clear, but it quite certain that the plan is not ruling development out. It is utterly inappropriate that development in areas such as River Green, Cary's Meadow, Thorpe Marshes and Thorpe Island should take place and the plan should clearly and emphatically rule this out.	Comments noted. This policy and section can be reviewed to determine which spaces meet LGS criteria and which may require other protection for their community, recreation or biodiversity value.	Review policy accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
54	Map (page 11)	Broads Authority	Map on page 11. You may want to make the black less prominent – maybe increase transparency. May need to make the numbers more prominent and obvious.	Comments noted	Amend Mapping
55	Paragraph 7.2	Survey Monkey Response	The statement that there is an abundance of street trees in Thorpe St Andrew [7.2] is laughable and simply wrong. There are many more densely populated parts of Norwich have more street trees. There is no specific habitat management plan in line with government guidance. For example what specific actions are going to be implemented to	The Town Council is committed to improving biodiversity and increasing tree,	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			increase local biodiversity, rather than just protect what we already have?	whip, and hedge planting. More than 800 of these have been planted in the past 3 years with more planned in the coming 12 months. Other comments to the consultation have reflected this. A habitat document has been written and has been considered publicly at a meeting of the Neighbourhood Plan steering group.	
56	Paragraph 7.6	Broadland District Council	This paragraph refers to the policies of the Broads Local Plan, but may also want to refer to the GT2 Primary GI corridor in the Growth Triangle AAP, which links some of their identified areas.	Comments noted. Amend accordingly	Amend 7.6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
57	Paragraph 7.7	Broadland District Council	There is currently a reference to 'MHCLG (DCLG 2019)'. It is unclear what this is referring to. MHCLG is the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government, which replaced the Department of Communities and Local Government. This reference, presumably, should be to a specific document?	Comments noted. Amend accordingly	Amend 7.7 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
58	Map – Page 11	NCC (NET)	The NET also notes the Racecourse Plantation (identified on Green and open Spaces plan (page 11) incorporates land covered by planning application 20161986). In addition, the area of green and open space on plan (page 11) is not numbered (see below). 	Comments noted. Map to be amended accordingly	Amend map
59	Policy 2: Creating a strong sense of place	Barton Wilmore	We support the objectives of this Policy in terms of the need to create a strong sense of place, but it is our view that where there are references to 'special character' (at 5) of this Policy), the 'special character' should be clearly defined;	Comments noted. Reference is made in the Policy to the Character Statement which is to be reviewed to add clarity and emphasis	No change to Plan

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
60	Policy 2: Creating a strong sense of place	Broadland District Council	<p><b>Comments from Senior Heritage &amp; Design Officer:</b> The character statement lacks detail in terms of guiding applicants on design. It could for example have maps showing historic development as Thorpe has changed a lot in a historical sense and would help to show where the 'character' has come from, detailing key points and character of buildings - photos are particularly useful in conveying this. This guide may help.</p> <p><a href="https://www.stroud.gov.uk/media/1472/how-to-prepare-a-character-assessment.Pdf">https://www.stroud.gov.uk/media/1472/how-to-prepare-a-character-assessment.Pdf</a></p>	Comments noted. The character statement is to be reviewed to address these points	Amend Character Statement
61	Policy 2: Creating a strong sense of place	Individual 3	<p>Policy 2 -Creating a strong sense of place.</p> <p>This policy contains some good elements including the planting of trees to aid in the development of a sense of place and the use of traditional and sustainable materials. The policy itself should also encourage the energy efficient design/materials and the use of renewable energy sources as part of the design brief. The reuse/repurposing of existing buildings (e.g., old hospital site) will be encouraged to preserve green and open spaces in Thorpe. This accords with the latest thinking on the environmental impact of demolition publicised this week by RIBA. The Town Council could work towards this by adding to the street trees along Dussindale Drive and working with local people to focus on clear signage for the through route in the estate and making each roundabout different. There is a tree on one of the roundabouts, the other three need to be made individual so newcomers can more easily navigate the space.</p>	Comments noted	
62	Policy 2: Creating a strong	Broadland District Council	The majority of the land (with the exception of elements south of the A1042) to the east of the settlement now has permission, with form of development largely established (albeit that it is still subject to	Comments noted. Policy to	Amend policy and prepare map

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	sense of place		Reserved Matters). The NP policies are obviously restricted to the parish boundary and the NDR itself forms something of a hard boundary to the east. It might be worthwhile the NP group thinking about whether it has more specific areas in mind and what it would like to achieve with this element. A map would also be useful, to try and define these areas and where the policy applies.	be clarified and mapped	
63	Policy 2: Creating a strong sense of place	Broads Authority	Policy 2 uses the word 'encouraged'. I have seen this in a few NPs. How do you see this actually playing out in terms of discussions with an applicant? What do you want the DM officer to do in this regard? I am presuming that you don't want all development to do these things, but for some it may be appropriate and relevant. Just trying to think how the 'encouragement' would work. Of course, by just saying that something is 'encouraged' it may not be done by the applicant. Policy 2 – did you want to identify these areas on a map, like you do the open/green spaces of the previous policy.	Where something is required and there is statutory, regulatory or strategic backing for it then NP policies can insist on it being provided. There are other elements/measures where it is desirable for these to be provided in new developments but they cannot be required in policy. In those	Insert new map which identifies the locally important landscape areas

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				cases the policy wording can only encourage and it is recognised it is not mandatory. See above response to BDC (62)	
64	Policy 2: Creating a strong sense of place	NCC (NET)	<p>Requires development to include planting of trees in appropriate locations. It is recommended that these trees are native, and locally sourced in order to conserve and enhance biodiversity by protecting the local gene pool.</p> <p>Design features should not be limited to trees, but could also include bird and bat boxes, insect bricks, and hedgehog gaps beneath fences. Consideration should also be given to planting native, species rich hedgerows within developments.</p> <p>Table 6 notes that Policies 3, 4, 7 and 8 link to the Natural Environment objective but when reading the policies and supporting text it is not clear how this conclusion has been drawn (see below):</p>	Comments noted. Policy to be reviewed as a consequence of other comments and may be better configured into a design policy.	No change to Plan
65	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate car parking	Survey Monkey response	<p>Policy 3 - As we are in the middle of a climate crisis I believe we need to strongly promote pedestrian and cycle routes. I would love to ride my bike into the city but feel it is too dangerous. I would like to know how you are going to promote these activities and discourage car use? I also think it is worth considering the risk of flooding. More car parking means more surface runoff during heavy rain. Should new developments use different materials to create driveways? Also, does a 4 bedroom house really require 4 car parking spaces? I also think it is a</p>	The issue of safe cycling is something the Town Council has promoted and supported. In 2022, a Transport for Norwich	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			shame that we do not have an evening bus service considering how close to the city centre we are.	project will be completed which improves the cycleway along St Williams Way due to safety fears. The Town Council continues to promote for evening bus services, however the Town Council does not have the ability to change this directly. The number of spaces allocated to houses will reduce on road car parking which will improve cycling safety within the town.	



No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
66	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate car parking	Broads Authority	<p>Policy 3 1 – I am not sure how rear on plot parking will orientate development onto pedestrian routes. I think you are saying that there will not be driveways interrupting footways, so no potential for any conflict with vehicles emerging from the driveways and pedestrians walking. If that is the case, I am not sure how it is written necessarily gets that message across.</p> <p>Policy 3 2 – it seems you are introducing a mode of transport hierarchy with walking and cycling at the top. How does this relate to the design advice given by Norfolk County Council as Highways Authority?</p> <p>Policy 3 3 – any need for cycle parking standards? Any need to have a meanwhile policy on electric vehicle charging points, until a Government standard comes in?</p>	Comments noted. The policy can be clarified	Amend Policy 3 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
67	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate car parking	Survey Monkey response	Parking near schools needs to be addressed	Comment noted. School parking is an issue the County Council is targeting with projects promoting safer walking to school schemes.	
68	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate	Barton Wilmore	The NHP proposes minimum parking rates. This contradicts the Broadland District Council (BOC) Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document (2007) and the Norfolk County Council (NCC) - Parking Standards for Norfolk 2007 which are maximum parking rates. In recommending minimum parking rates, this delivers a message to the general community and developers that car usage has a priority in	Comments noted. Small amendment to policy to address this.	Policy amended accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	car parking		<p>the hierarchy of transport modes - something that does not align with BOC and NCC progressive policies. It is understood that as a rural county there are areas which currently rely on private car trips to access key centres. However, providing a blanket minimum parking rate would encourage private vehicle trips throughout the area, have implications of further car ownership and contribute to congestion during peak periods. Parking provided in relation to a particular development should reflect the ' use, location and accessibility by non-car modes as determined in the transport assessment for the development' as highlighted in BDC Council - Parking Standards Supplementary Planning Document.</p> <p>The parking rates that are recommended are substantially higher than the current BOC and NCC rates. This coupled with the proposed minimum requirement does not allow for the outcomes of site-specific transport assessments or the ability for new developments to influence travel behaviour for new residents. When planning for transport, there should be primary objectives to find a balance between access for car drivers, including the availability of car parking, and the attractiveness of sustainable travel options like walking, cycling and public transport. The implementation of higher parking rates and minimum standards will not allow for this balance to be achieved. The NHP could seek to address the concern around parking overspill, by requiring a detailed parking assessment and street review to ensure parking would be sufficiently catered for and would not result in congested roads.</p> <p>Finally, it is noted that rear on-plot parking is identified as the preference over front on-plot parking, where possible. The premise of this policy is understood, however there is the potential for the amenity</p>		

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>of the open space to be compromised by prioritising rear parking. That is to say, any rear parking will require additional road infrastructure for means of access. There may be some arguments to say that additional front garden space could be provided to balance loss of space, but this would also result in potential loss of privacy. Furthermore, to achieve the recommended higher parking rates, it is possible in some cases that a combination of front and rear car parking would be required, resulting in reduced usable space and the potential for car-dominated development -something that we know Broadland are keen to avoid. In summary, the principle of additional parking and implementation of minimum parking rates is an inappropriate policy for adoption which would further promote private vehicle use and create further difficulties in changing travel behaviour to more sustainable options. The policy correctly identifies that reduced parking rates or maximum requirements should be supplemented by better alternative options for travel (if required) and this can be led by local authorities investing in better public transport and active travel, and potentially supported by contributions from new developments. If the wording of the policy were to be reviewed, we would suggest:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A focus away from the imposition of minimum standards (and towards adherence to the BOC/NCC standards); and</li> <li>• A requirement for a multi modal transport assessment to be required for new developments of certain size. This would allow a holistic transport assessment to be undertaken which assesses the capacity for new development and identifies areas for improvement;</li> </ul>		

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
69	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate car parking	Individual 3	<p>Policy 3 -Connectivity and ensuring adequate car parking</p> <p>This policy appears to be at odds with the thrust of policy 2 in relation to the established character of Thorpe St Andrew. Within the town the vast majority of dwellings are accessed from the highway to the front. The use of rear parking would allow pedestrian access to the front; however, it would also demand the creation of a multitude of concrete garage courts to the rear of properties as its price. Garage court development tends to be screened by hedges and 2m privacy fencing offering limited security surveillance of the spaces from residential properties. This approach would create dead concrete spaces surrounded by rings of gardens then properties and access roads. This does not appear to accord with Policy 2 of the JCS for Broadland where designing out crime (and the creation of potential areas for it to thrive) is a priority.</p> <p>With limited public transport options and given the scattered nature of shops/services locally and high rates of car ownership this model does not seem appropriate to the area. The residents will still drive and then access the home via the back door with heavy shopping etc leaving the front door and street environment largely unused.</p> <p>In relation to the formal car parking requirements are above the established Norfolk County Council parking standards for residential properties. Whilst agreeing that there are parking issues in many areas of Thorpe this could be because of several factors including: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Historic properties where car parking was not part of the original design requirements</li> <li>•High levels of car ownership due to generally poor public transport options especially in the evenings</li> </ul>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The policy requires review for clarity.</p> <p>See also other responses to this policy above.</p>	<p>Amend policy accordingly</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Very high car ownership levels in young adults 17+ as seen around TSA School and sixth form during the day</li> <li>•Limited safe cycle routes locally</li> <li>•Estate road widths in parts of Dussindale being narrower so any on street parking is more awkward than on wider roads</li> <li>•A historic lack of pressure from within the area for better public transport links including pressure for investigation of rail halts at Independent Way and off Yarmouth Road /Thunder Land junction for better and more reliable bus services and for more evening services.</li> </ul> <p>standard car parking space is 5.0m by 2.5m (NCC parking requirements) so to provide four spaces would require an area 20m by 2.5m or similar. If the existing precedent for development within Thorpe was maintained and car access was to the front of the plot this could be arranged in garage provision with space to the front and using the space above the parking as part of the home footprint so minimising urban sprawl.</p> <p>Given that modern houses increasingly offered smaller and smaller gardens, if the parking provision requirement for the houses was met to the rear additional land would be required for parking courts and garages in excess of the space saved by roads at the front. This extra parking land added to the house plot would be set away from the property so development over it for bedroom space would be impossible. I think it's likely the cost of additional parking land would be passed on in the overall cost of the property and could have the effect of pricing some local families out of the area.</p> <p>Also if parking were provided to the rear at this level, it would be permanent, and there would be fewer areas of back-to-back garden</p>		

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			spaces providing a haven for wildlife. Perhaps a better and more environmentally sustainable approach would be to require car parking up to the suggested level with sensitive design as the approach. So, spaces could be incorporated under upper floors in garages, paving material should be porous, and no runoff is to be disposed of away from the plot (assisting with flood prevention and climate change). Parking areas should be screened where possible to soften the impact on the street scene and if additional parking is needed for a property, it should be provided in a reversible way, for example the use of Grasscrete pavers or similar. Large scale areas of shingle should not be encouraged due to their impact on the character of the street scene and any shingle paving should have a significant brick paver bund to prevent dragging of the material onto the footpath and highway.		
70	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate car parking	Survey Monkey Response	Policy 3 There is vague nod to the benefits of walking, cycling and using public transport but all this policy really seems to be concerned with is having lots of car parking. The lack of bus services and cycle routes in TSA is disgraceful. What is the town council doing to try to improve the situation? Its only recent activity seems to have been writing to Norfolk County Council to complain about measures put in place on St William's Way to protect cyclists. If the Town Council wants to promote walking and cycling, a bridge across the River Yare close to Whitlingham Station would allow walkers and cyclists to access the footpath around Whitlingham Broad and provide a much safer and pleasanter route into the city than having to walk or cycle along Yarmouth Road. Having trains stop at a new station close to the business park would reduce car traffic. There is nowhere to lock bike up except for places provided by private businesses and none of these are	The Town Council supports the principle of improving cycle routes and as advocated for this and supported the Norfolk County Council Transport for Norwich application. However, the Town Council has	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			covered. What measures does the Town Council propose to actually promote walking, cycling and the use of public transport?	raised some concerns on behalf of cyclists and residents regarding the inclusion of 'wands' on the scheme which cyclists have raised as a safety issue.	
71	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate car parking	NCC (NET)	Policy 3 relates to parking provision and a walking and cycling friendly neighbourhoods (connectivity). It is not clear how this policy will protect, and enhance key landscapes/natural habitats and areas with nature conservation value?	This policy is principally concerned with car parking and connectivity. The enhancement of key landscapes and natural figures is covered by other policies	
72	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate	Broadland District Council	TSA is fairly well connected in public transport and cycling terms to the city centre and other major employment opportunities. Therefore the justification for high levels of parking is not conspicuous and not particularly clear from the supporting text. As such the policy may be difficult to justify and implement.	Comments noted. See also response to Barton Wilmore above.	Amend Policy accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	car parking		<p>The justification for such significant off-street parking seems to be linked to key routes that are impacted by on-street parking. Perhaps the parking policy should therefore be more focused to public transport routes rather than across the parish as a whole? We note the positives in terms of improving the bus route into the city and improving cycle connectivity.</p> <p>In addition, an appropriate level of parking should also be determined by access to services -for example properties close to Thorpe Road have quite easy access to public transport and services. A caveat could be added to allow exceptions in those instances?</p> <p>It would also be useful to have statistics on levels of car ownership if you are seeking a higher parking standard.</p> <p>Rear parking has been resisted in other Neighbourhood Plans, as the assumption is that people are often reluctant to use it and instead park on the street in front of their properties - we would suggest a simplified wording which 'ensures that adequate on-plot parking is provided, in a way which facilitates attractive street frontages that are not car dominated and which encourages active use of the streets by pedestrians and cyclists for everyday journeys'.</p> <p>An emphasis on well-designed parking, to encourage better usage, rather than increasing overall spaces, might be more beneficial.</p>		
73	Policy 3: Connectivity and ensuring adequate	Broadland District Council	<p><b>Response from Senior Heritage &amp; Design Officer:</b> Policy 3 requires further refinement. As currently worded, this would lead to unacceptable and poor layouts. The policy would require parking for a three bed house to increase from 2 spaces to 3 spaces. The policy requirements are not practical - 3 spaces for a three bedroom house, whilst being encouraged to be located at the rear of the plot, will create</p>	Comments noted. Policy to be reviewed in the light of this and other comments	Policy amended accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	car parking		<p>significant 'secure by design' issues with no active frontages or overlooking of the streets. In effect, the rear door of new homes will become as much a front door. This will also create a parking and highway-dominated environment, which is the opposite of what the policy is trying to achieve.</p> <p>Also on-plot parking to the rear, with cycle/footpath to the front, will create significant issues with housing density, due to there being more highway infrastructure. With parking at the rear of plots/rear of gardens, this is likely to increase parking on the street if there is a street/highway to the front, especially as Policy 4 promotes larger front gardens, which will just be adapted for parking. Providing more than required car parking spaces seem to be counter to encouraging walking and public transport, which is an aspiration expressed elsewhere in the Plan. At the very least, the policy could include a caveat that the minimum requirements may be reduced if justified?</p>		
74	Paragraph 9.6 - References	Broadland District Council	<p>The reference to PPG13 is outdated - this was published in 2011 but replaced by the NPPF in 2012.</p> <p>Highways do sometimes use some fairly old guidance and still rely on Manual for Streets, which is 2007.</p> <p>Also, Structure Plans no longer exist. These references need to be replaced by the NPPF / NPG and the Joint Core Strategy.</p> <p>It might be worthwhile the NP group having a look at the Safe, Sustainable Development document that NCC produces, which includes highway references.</p>	Noted. All NPPF references will be updated	References updated accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
75	Para 9.6	Broads Authority	Para 9.6 – query the relevance of PPG13 – would it be better to reference the relevant part of the NPPG? Also, is that 1999 structure plan policy saved or not in place anymore?	Noted. All NPPF references will be updated	Update references

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
					accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
76	Policy 4: Protecting Residential Amenity	Broads Authority	Policy 4 b states 'front gardens of similar size to existing houses' – perhaps re-word to 'front gardens of a similar size to existing gardens'?	Comments noted and agreed	Amend Policy 4 wording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
77	Policy 4: Protecting Residential Amenity	Barton Wilmore	Part 1)b) -whilst we accept and support the need to maintain and enhance the character of the TSA area, it is our view that the provisions of this part of the Policy could have a negative and restrictive impact on the ability to deliver higher density development where required. It is possible to deliver an 'open feel' in ways other than delivering front gardens to all homes. Paragraph 10.3 - we do not agree that it is appropriate to apply/replicate Broads Local Plan policy for the TSA -an area which is urban and very different in character to the Broads Park area; and Paragraph 10.4 -we object to the inclusion of this supporting text in its current form. It appears to require all development to provide a 'supporting document' -the content and scope of which is undefined - with every planning application. This needs to be considered further, defined, and justified. One alternative could be to suggest that 'a design rational or justification' is provided with planning applications.	Comments noted This policy use the phrase 'where appropriate' which recognises that not all existing development has an 'open feel'. applications is required.	Policy 4 is to be amended as the result of other representations <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
78	Policy 4: Protecting Residential Amenity	Survey Monkey Response	Policy 4 Protecting resident amenity - 2h noise is a great contributor to the quality of life in an area and regulations need to give more consideration to houses near businesses eg pubs and restaurants where loud music is played for customers' enjoyment against the peace and quiet wished for by their resident neighbours.	Comments noted although here is a balance between the responsibility of planning policy and that of	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				Environmental Health when it comes to the issue of noise. Noise is largely the result of the manner of operation of a particular use not necessarily the use itself. This policy would only apply to new development not to existing situations.	
79	Policy 4: Protecting Residential Amenity	Survey Monkey Response	Consideration needed on the effects of new developments to the already existing road structures and noise and pollution	Comments noted. traffic generation and highway safety are key considerations in the determination of locations for new development .	

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
80	Policy 4: Protecting Residential Amenity	Individual 3	<p>This policy should be broadened out so that the character of the area is added to the residential amenity remit. Amenity is more than just being overshadowed in scale, it's also having to look at a poorly designed extension when completed. So 'new development should respect the form.</p> <p>character and scale of the surrounding development' could be used which would offer more to hang a design-based reason for refusal onto for a professional planner. A refusal of a poor scheme should be seen as an opportunity to work with the property owner to get something more in keeping with the area which still meets their desire for additional space.</p> <p>In established areas the phrase on the character of streets is appropriate. To maintain the 'Thorpe feel' across any new development to a section should be added referring to the expected character of new build estate areas so that they are integrated better with the town as a whole in terms of their form and layout. Missing this allows for off the peg 'anytown' style estates to be created on land where there are outstanding permissions i.e., Dussindale to Green Lane area and Thorpe Woods. This also could be addressed in more information added to the design appendix on Dussindale which I've mentioned at the end of my comments.</p>	Agree . Policy 2 is to be amended to include wording relating to the need for new development to enhance the form and character of the area in which it is to be located.	See amendments to Policy 2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
81	Policy 4: Protecting Residential Amenity	NCC (NET)	Policy 4 (2) relates to amenity. It is not clear how this links with the Natural Environment Objective although links could be drawn between blue and green corridors) delivering biodiversity net gain, and improving habitat connectivity for small mammals like hedgehogs through the provision of hedgehog gaps (see suggested documents).	Agree that clarity is required on the specific intention of the policy and the relationship with Policy 2	Amend Policy 2 and 4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
82	Policy 4: Protecting Residential Amenity	NCC (NET)	Policy 4 (2, J) A distinction between beneficial insects should be drawn (they are currently linked in with vermin). Consideration should be given to creating habitats that attract beneficial insects such as pollinators.	Comments noted. This policy is largely concerned with residential amenity and therefore habitat creation is better located elsewhere – possibly Policy 1	Amend Policy 1 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
83	Paragraph 10.2	Broadland District Council	There seems to be a bit of blurring between development respecting the 'character' of the area, which is already covered in Policy 2, and protecting amenity. It might be worth rewording the supporting text to say that Policy 2 deals with design in terms of character, and this policy considers the amenity implications, just for clarity.	Agree, both policies could be usefully reworded to reinforce this distinction	Amend policy 2 and 4 accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
84	Policy 5: Residential Mooring	Individual 3	This policy seems to be at odds with the much more restrictive proposals within the Broads Authority Local Plan. This covers part of the Neighbourhood plan area and could create policy issues. Within Thorpe much of the river area falls into the Broads National Park and is likely as a result to be subject to stricter controls than indicated in this policy. Although there are only certain areas of the river where residential moorings would be accepted by the Broads Authority the policy in the TSA Neighbourhood plan is still valid to apply to new development in these areas and spaces on the edge of the Broads but not within its jurisdiction. In relation to residential mooring facilities perhaps more	Comments noted. The policy will be reviewed for conformity with adopted BLP policy.	Review wording of Policy 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>could be added to the policy about the external appearance of structures. The structures should be of the smallest possible size to allow functionality and the external appearance should be in keeping with the sensitive edge of Broads and National Park setting. External colours of buildings should be restricted to a palette of tones complimenting the natural landscape tones to better blend into area. Materials should be traditional and sustainable (in accordance with the requirements for other development in Thorpe).</p> <p>In relation to waste disposal this should be expanded to mention domestic waste and wastewater /sewage facilities for clarity. Groundwater and river pollution should be flagged as potential issues as fuel oil may be stored in some of these areas and if so, suitable containers should be used. Within the Broads Authority Plan there are specific policies for Thorpe which state that moorings on Thorpe Island for example should not be increased unless a range of criteria are met including that they do not increase the intensity of use of the area and that there is no significant increase in groundwater or river pollution because of the development.</p>		
85	Policy 5: Residential Mooring	Broads Authority	<p>Policy 5 / 1) should we ask new moorings should not have a detrimental effect on the natural <i>and built</i> environment?</p> <p>Policy 5 bullet 4 – our policy does not require road access specifically. So, sounds like a bit of a departure. This may be ok, but you need to thoroughly justify it in my view. The other thing is, are you therefore saying that a road next to a river – you would only allow residential moorings on the roadside and not on the other side? That might need thinking about.</p>	Comments noted. The natural, built and historic environment should all be referenced. Policy to be reviewed to take	Amend Policy accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				account of the highways point	
86	Policy 5: Residential Mooring	Survey Monkey Response	River Green is completely spoilt as a 'jewel' in Thorpe St Andrew as the bank opposite has become a mooring plot/ dumping ground for derelict boats. The same applies to Commissioners Cut and nearby bank which is now quite an intimidating place to walk. The area designated in the plan as possible car parking for the river green and church appears to have become a residential area. First there were portacabins, then housing units and now the area has been walled. If the neighbourhood plan is not finalised soon or at least existing planning laws implemented this creeping development will render it useless as the spaces will all be developed.	Comment noted. The local planning authority have powers over enforcement. The proposed car park is next to the Rushcutters on Yarmouth Road, the site being mentioned in the comment is on Girlings Lane and has planning permission. Comments have been passed to the Broads Authority as LPA.	
87	Policy 5: Residential Mooring	Survey Monkey Response	Protecting - River Green requires protection from unrestricted mooring of boats on the green side of the river to protect the open aspect into the water. Also measures to prevent the further encroachment of	Comments noted.. Matters relating to	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			boats from the island out into the water. Measures will need to be put in place to monitor the quality of the river water to prevent discharge from moored boats on the island. As the boats on the island are used as 'live aboard' against Broad Authority regulations i.e. no parking allocated to the moored boats it is necessary the Council recognise a duty of care to river users with regard to water quality.	navigation are regulated by the Broads Authority Ranger Service through navigation byelaws and the planning enforcement team at the Broads Authority. Work is currently underway by Broadland District Council regarding the sewage issue from Thorpe Island. Impact of noise is regulated by licence and existing planning rules. The Neighbourhood Plan cannot replicate existing planning laws.	



No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				Mooring at River Green is related by byelaws and the Town Council has draft contract management arrangements in place.	
88	Policy 5: Residential Mooring	Survey Monkey Response	Policy 5 There is no mention made of any measures that would afford residents greater access to the riverside (access which at the moment is limited to River Green and Thorpe Marshes). If anything, more moorings will reduce access for the vast majority of residents. Policy 6 Fine words but the grant of planning permission for housing means that the car and motorbike workshops off Harvey Lane (to the south of Boulton Road) were closed last year. It would appear that the Town Council has no desire to support small businesses. Apparently only large businesses are deemed worthy of support.	Comments noted	
89	Para 11.1	Broads Authority	11.1 – our policy refers to being adjacent to settlement limits/development boundaries. So, sounds like a bit of a departure	Comments noted. Policy to be reviewed for conformity	
90	Para 11.2	Broads Authority	11.2 – query the relevance of this to the policy	Comments noted. This paragraph can be deleted or moved elsewhere	Delete para 11.2 or move it to a more

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
					appropriate location. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
91	Policy 5: Residential Mooring	Survey Monkey Response	Policy 5 Residential mooring - see above comments made under 7.3 which relate also to 11.4 regarding River Green as an amenity which should be protected from encroachment of moored boats. Also consideration should be given to local residents where the amenity of River Green causes parking congestion in South Avenue which is a narrow road	Comments noted. Matters relating to navigation are regulated by the Broads Authority Ranger Service through navigation byelaws and the planning enforcement team at the Broads Authority. The issue of parking is to be addressed by the identified project at Section 15	
92	Paragraph 11.2	Broadland District Council	This statement needs to clarify that it is an objectively assessed need for 50 residential moorings (if that is what it means). Also note type 'in like' should be 'in line'.	Comments noted. This paragraph is to be deleted.	Delete para 11.2 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
93	Para 11.4	Broads Authority	11.4 – from what were residential areas identified as needing protection? 11.4 – a bit over the place. Query what the relevance of the Gypsy and Traveller wording is to this policy and this section?	The paragraph refers to riverside areas not residential areas. Agree to remove extraneous references.	Amend paragraph 11.4 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
94	Para 11.5	Broads Authority	11.5 – why refer to ‘Local Plan for the Broads (Draft) 2015 to 2036’? The Local Plan was adopted in 2019.	Noted. Updated references will be used	Amend and update BLP references <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
95	Policy 6: Promoting and Protecting Employment	Broadland District Council	It is unclear as to where mixed use development should be "included". Is this on the identified sites or is it about trying to enable better mixed use centres at particular points in the Town? The way this reads suggests that residential development could be acceptable (or even should be included) within new retail/employment development - is that what is intended? Also, above what threshold size does this policy apply e.g. does it just apply to 'major' development? How does this policy fit with the permitted use class changes that have been brought in by the Government (i.e. 81 to Class E)? Should the policy mention that it relates to proposals that need planning permission? It may be that the term, 'where appropriate' covers this, but it may be worth the policy directly addressing the issue of viability of premises (i.e. premises should be retained for employment use unless it can be demonstrated that it is no longer viable etc.)	Comments noted. The policy would benefit from updating in line with the changes to the Use Classes Order	Update and amend Policy 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
96	Policy 6: Promoting and	Individual 3	This policy seeks to work with consolidating the existing business areas within Thorpe and to seek to get some facility provision in new developments. Perhaps it could be more aspirational looking at	Comments noted. The policy could usefully be	Amend Policy 6 wording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	Protecting Employment		<p>employment in a wider focus. Encouragement would be given to small neighbourhood shopping provisions in new estates (so lacking in Dussindale where only two post boxes fill the estate, and all the facilities are at least a half mile walk away) to provide for day-to-day necessities.</p> <p>Although acknowledging the existing areas zoned for industry the plan could offer more for small and starter businesses. There could be an inclusion in the policy to offer encouragement for live/work units where small businesses can have workshop facilities alongside residential provision. This could be of value in creating more local based employment and also in supporting small businesses. The conversion of existing redundant buildings into small business units for micro to medium sized employers could also offer the chance for more work generation in the town subject to appropriate location to retain residential amenity. Provision for smaller businesses would complement the existing large-scale zonings giving a more comprehensive employment offer in the area. Hospitality is a major employer within Thorpe and support could be offered for new hospitality ventures along Yarmouth Road on suitable sites.</p>	expanded to reflect latest working patterns including small business, live work units and working from home and day to day retail needs.	
97	Para 12.3	Survey Monkey Response	Para 12.3 the mixture of housing and businesses needs to consider/restrict levels of noise pollution from businesses where noise from music can carry over a wide area and continue for extended hours spoiling peace in their outdoor space for residents. Parking for use of local business is also a consideration as congestion along the roads causes hazards to residents.	Comments noted. The issue of parking is being dealt with in section 15	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
98	Policy 6 and Maps	Broads Authority	Policy 6 and the maps – are any of these areas subject to BDC/GNLP/BA local plan policies? If so, you may wish to refer to that. For example, generally, the retail policies may be of relevance if the land use is retail.	Comments noted. Mapping to be reviewed	Review mapping
99	Employment Area Map	Broadland District Council	This map could be made clearer through annotations and labelling and showing the neighbourhood area boundary.	Comments noted see response to 98 above	As above
100	Employment Maps	Broads Authority	Could the maps on 19, 20 and 21 have street names? Could the individual areas be numbered and identified to aid use by DM Officers?	Comments noted see response to 98 above	As above
101	Policy 7: Retaining and Creating Community Facilities	Sport England	<p>Thank you for consulting Sport England on the above neighbourhood plan.</p> <p>Government planning policy, within the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), identifies how the planning system can play an important role in facilitating social interaction and creating healthy, inclusive communities. Encouraging communities to become more physically active through walking, cycling, informal recreation and formal sport plays an important part in this process. Providing enough sports facilities of the right quality and type in the right places is vital to achieving this aim. This means that positive planning for sport, protection from the unnecessary loss of sports facilities, along with an integrated approach to providing new housing and employment land with community facilities is important.</p> <p>It is essential therefore that the neighbourhood plan reflects and complies with national planning policy for sport as set out in the NPPF with particular reference to Paras 96 and 97. It is also important to be aware of Sport England's statutory consultee role in protecting playing</p>	Comments noted.	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>fields and the presumption against the loss of playing field land. Sport England's playing fields policy is set out in our Playing Fields Policy and Guidance document.</p> <p><a href="https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#playing-fields-policy">https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#playing-fields-policy</a></p> <p>Sport England provides guidance on developing planning policy for sport and further information can be found via the link below. Vital to the development and implementation of planning policy is the evidence base on which it is founded. <a href="https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#planning-applications">https://www.sportengland.org/how-we-can-help/facilities-and-planning/planning-for-sport#planning-applications</a></p> <p>Sport England works with local authorities to ensure their Local Plan is underpinned by robust and up to date evidence. In line with Par 97 of the NPPF, this takes the form of assessments of need and strategies for indoor and outdoor sports facilities. A neighbourhood planning body should look to see if the relevant local authority has prepared a playing pitch strategy or other indoor/outdoor sports facility strategy. If it has then this could provide useful evidence for the neighbourhood plan and save the neighbourhood planning body time and resources gathering their own evidence. It is important that a neighbourhood plan reflects the recommendations and actions set out in any such strategies, including those which may specifically relate to the neighbourhood area, and that any local investment opportunities, such as the Community Infrastructure Levy, are utilised to support their delivery.</p>		

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>Where such evidence does not already exist then relevant planning policies in a neighbourhood plan should be based on a proportionate assessment of the need for sporting provision in its area. Developed in consultation with the local sporting and wider community any assessment should be used to provide key recommendations and deliverable actions. These should set out what provision is required to ensure the current and future needs of the community for sport can be met and, in turn, be able to support the development and implementation of planning policies. Sport England's guidance on assessing needs may help with such work.</p> <p><a href="http://www.sportengland.org/planningtoolsandguidance">http://www.sportengland.org/planningtoolsandguidance</a></p> <p>If new or improved sports facilities are proposed Sport England recommend you ensure they are fit for purpose and designed in accordance with our design guidance notes.</p> <p><a href="http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/tools-guidance/design-and-cost-guidance/">http://www.sportengland.org/facilities-planning/tools-guidance/design-and-cost-guidance/</a></p> <p>Any new housing developments will generate additional demand for sport. If existing sports facilities do not have the capacity to absorb the additional demand, then planning policies should look to ensure that new sports facilities, or improvements to existing sports facilities, are secured and delivered. Proposed actions to meet the demand should accord with any approved local plan or neighbourhood plan policy for social infrastructure, along with priorities resulting from any assessment of need, or set out in any playing pitch or other indoor and/or outdoor sports facility strategy that the local authority has in place.</p>		

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>In line with the Government's NPPF (including Section 8) and its Planning Practice Guidance (Health and wellbeing section), links below, consideration should also be given to how any new development, especially for new housing, will provide opportunities for people to lead healthy lifestyles and create healthy communities. Sport England's Active Design guidance can be used to help with this when developing planning policies and developing or assessing individual proposals. Active Design, which includes a model planning policy, provides ten principles to help ensure the design and layout of development encourages and promotes participation in sport and physical activity. The guidance, and its accompanying checklist, could also be used at the evidence gathering stage of developing a neighbourhood plan to help undertake an assessment of how the design and layout of the area currently enables people to lead active lifestyles and what could be improved.</p> <p>NPPF Section 8: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/8-promoting-healthy-communities">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/national-planning-policy-framework/8-promoting-healthy-communities</a>  PPG Health and wellbeing section: <a href="https://www.gov.uk/guidance/health-and-wellbeing">https://www.gov.uk/guidance/health-and-wellbeing</a>  Sport England's Active Design Guidance: <a href="https://www.sportengland.org/activedesign">https://www.sportengland.org/activedesign</a></p> <p>{Please note: this response relates to Sport England's planning function only. It is not associated with our funding role or any grant application/award that may relate to the site.)</p>		
<b>102</b>	Policy 7: Retaining	Broads Authority	Policy 7 – we had something similar in our submission Local Plan, but the Inspector said it was too permissive and required changes. Please	Comments noted. Review	Amend policy



No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	and Creating Community Facilities		check our policy DM44. Also, is it bullet point 1 <b>and</b> 2 or 3 need to be met? If so, maybe add the 'and' to make it clearer. Policy 7 – do you want to define what you mean by 'community uses' to make it clear for applicants and DM officers?	policy wording in light of BLP Inspector evidence Agree that the supporting text could usefully include the NPPF definition	accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>103</b>	Policy 7: Retaining and Creating Community Facilities	NCC (NET)	Policy 7. Consideration could be given to linking Policy 7 to the GI strategy and the GI priorities of the GNIP (see suggested documents) including demonstrating Biodiversity Net Gain. Consideration should be given to the use of green/brown roofs on community buildings and bus shelters.	Comments noted. These ideas can be added to the most appropriate location within the Plan which may be earlier policies or text	Amend policy accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>104</b>	Policy 7: Retaining and Creating Community Facilities	Individual 3	Whilst supporting the consolidation of existing facilities in Thorpe potentially this policy is too narrow. There is unlikely to be any new space alongside existing schools for play facilities and if new development is started on the site between Dussindale and Green Lane the policy completely misses any requirement for open space/play space/community hall space in that area. This could be re-directed into support for existing facilities and a requirement for new public open space and play areas as part of any new development to serve those new areas. Should substantial development occur in the Green Lane	NCC traditionally objects to NPs that try to identify or safeguard school playing fields as either LGS or other community use.	No change

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>area potentially there may be the opportunity to investigate another small hall for community use as part of that, it certainly needs to be flagged as an option.</p> <p>Although not in community control there is substantial public use of some school playing fields for sports by the community, for example the TSA High school field. Support for the retention of this space as community asset should be offered and there should be a commitment in the plan to investigate further shared use of school field spaces as a valuable green lung for the community going forward.</p>	The policy is to be reworded to provide stronger guidance on new facilities as a result of other responses.	
105	Policy 7: Retaining and Creating Community Facilities	Survey Monkey Response	<p>We also welcome Policy 7 that covers 'Retaining and Creating Community Facilities' and its intention 'to improve health and quality of life'. We note that respondents have stated that more Primary Care facilities are the most in need. The ICS and CCG are working to ensure clear understanding of primary care (and other healthcare) estate capacity and the demands being placed on it in order to support service resilience. In this instance, the East Norwich Medical Practice at Thorpe, Thorpewood Medical Group and its branch practice in Dussindale will be impacted by these proposals and additional housing. Early demand and capacity figures do highlight current capacity constraints across these facilities. The ICS would expect discussions with the planning authority and developers, as part of any planning application, in order to understand how the further increases on registration demand for primary healthcare and other healthcare services would be mitigated. As per the health and planning protocol, we will provide a single health response to all planning applications, reiterating the importance by which planning applications are sent to us so that mitigation can be sought through CIL/S106. The exact nature</p>	Support welcomed	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			and scale of the contribution and the subsequent expenditure by health care providers will be calculated at an appropriate time as and if schemes come forward over the plan period to realise the objectives of the Neighbourhood Plan. It should also be noted that, if unmitigated, the impacts on healthcare within the Thorpe St Andrew area would be unsustainable, including that of Primary Care, Community Care, Mental Healthcare and the Acute Trusts. We look forward to working with you to support the objectives set out and to manage and mitigate any concerns raised.		
<b>106</b>	Policy 7: Retaining and Creating Community Facilities	Survey Monkey Response	Policy 7 13.7 the area has a need for more doctors' surgeries as they are currently overloaded and any new developments will put further pressure on them. The same consideration for schools.	Comments noted. The NP is not allocating new housing sites. The issue of community infrastructure and the impacts upon it from new development could be added to this policy	Amend Policy 7 wording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>107</b>	Policy 7: Retaining and Creating Community Facilities	Survey Monkey Response	Policy area 7 lacks sufficient clarity as to proposed improvements for different age bands and for those with a range of abilities and disabilities. Policy are 8 lacks ambition and detail it is unclear what the Town Council will seek to provide / facilitate / and what partnerships will be established to protect and improve the historic cultural environment	Comments noted. Although it is unclear what additionally could be achieved through a policy	Review to include accessibility wording <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
				other than a general statement about accessibility The establishment of new groups and partnerships is not a policy issue but could be a Project	
108	Policy 8: Protecting the Historic Environment	Broadland District Council	<p><b>Response from Senior Heritage &amp; Design Officer:</b> There are separate lists for non-designated heritage assets, and one for streetscene features, which is confusing, especially as a number of the streetscene features are structures which could be considered non-designated heritage assets. Walls, post boxes, milestones etc can also be listed buildings/structures, so it would be more straightforward just to have one listed of non-designates heritage assets. Within this the list could be categorised in building types/structure types. Smaller items such as date plaques and street name plaques are not really within the definition of non-designated heritage assets but could be included as features.</p> <p>The plan/lists also needs to ensure that it is aligned with planning practice guidance on NDHAs - paragraphs 39-41.</p> <p>Importantly to be a more valid material consideration as NDHAs there needs to be criteria for why they are elected/listed, and currently the</p>	Comments noted. The policy can be reviewed to clarify the position with designated and non-designated assets and clarify the approach to both including justification	Amend Policy 8 accordingly <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>plan does not contain any. Has the group followed the assessment criteria within Historic England's Local Advice Note 7?</p> <p>These assets can be considered a 'local list' for the parish. Further advice on creating the list can be found at:</p> <p>Historic England: <a href="https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/what-is-designation/local/loca1-designations/">https:// historicengland .org. uk/listing/what-is-designation/local/loca1-designations/</a> Civic Voice: <a href="http://www.civicvoice.org.uk/campaigns/local-heritage-list/">http://www.civicvoice.org.uk/campaigns/local-heritage-list/</a></p>		
109	Paras 13.3 and 13.4	Broads Authority	I am not sure of the relevance of 13.3 and 13.4 to this policy/text.	Comments noted. Policy 7 can be clarified to provide clearer guidance on new facilities. These paragraphs are to be relocated to an earlier chapter	Move paras 13.3 and 13.4 to earlier chapters. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
110	Policy 8: Protecting the Historic Environment	NCC (NET)	<p>Policy 8 relates to historic built environment rather than the natural environment.</p> <p>Clarification may be needed as to what the Natural Environment Objective covers, eg, does it also include built environment, townscape etc. Or policies may need further detail in their supporting text as to how they support and/or link the Objective.</p>	Comments noted. Policy 1 is to be reviewed to broaden the approach to the natural environment and the objective may be realigned with this policy	Realign natural environment objective to relevant policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
11 1	Policy 8: Protecting the Historic Environment	Individual 3	<p>There are a high number of historic buildings within Thorpe and it's important to preserve them for future generations. Development in the conservation area /curtilage of a listed building, monument or asset should be permissible only where the new development seeks to preserve or enhance the setting of the asset. New development should complement its setting and the character of the immediate area, and its scale should be appropriate in relation to the heritage asset.</p> <p>New development in the conservation area or in the setting of a listed building should be constructed of materials appropriate to the setting and a high standard of design will be required in respect to the historic setting. More detailed work on the character of the conservation areas will provide a range of acceptable local vernacular materials for construction in these areas adding to the existing appendix character statement and providing a more robust framework for the protection of the area.</p>	<p>The NPPF, national guidance and Local Plan policies set out the approach to be taken to determining applications which affect designated heritage assets This does not need to be repeated in the Neighbourhood Plan.</p> <p>The Character Statement is to be reviewed to provide clarity</p>	Review character statement
11 2	Policy 8: Protecting the Historic Environment	Broads Authority	<p>Policy 8 – do you mean within the <u>curtilage</u> of a scheduled monument, with the <u>curtilage</u> of a non-designated <b>heritage</b> asset? Because as written, it is not clear. Also, what about development proposals to listed buildings, scheduled monuments and to non-designated heritage assets? Should it go further and say within the setting (rather than curtilage) of the CA, LBs, SMs, NDAs?</p>	<p>The NP should not repeat national guidance or strategic policy However the policy and statement are to</p>	Review policy 8 and Character Statement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			Not sure what you mean by ‘including elements of design to enhance enjoyment of the historic environment’? Do you mean, seating, interpretation, sculpture?	be reviewed to provide a more detailed local dimension	
<b>113</b>	Thorpe St Andrew NDP: Project-Improving Car Parking	Individual 3	Generally, the provision of extra parking at River Green is appropriate. There can be congestion in the area and extra spaces could deal with overflow from River Green area and provide much needed local parking for events at the church. If parking were provided here the constraints on the site may make a permeable surface appropriate and the site would need to be effectively screened and planted to minimise its impact on river views/residential properties. Consideration would need to be made to the opening times for the car park to prevent overnight parking in the space and to prevent it being used as permanent parking provision for moorings on Thorpe Island or elsewhere which do not have adequate provision within their direct control. Restriction on usage times would also preserve the residential amenity of the adjoining properties preventing an increase in late night noise and disturbance on their rear boundaries.	Support welcomed	
<b>114</b>	Thorpe St Andrew NDP: Project-Improving Car Parking	Survey Monkey Response	improving car parking. 17.2 River Green parking congestion causes a big problem for residents especially along South Avenue where poor parking causes danger from restricted vision when driving from a drive into the road. This has been exacerbated by the extra boating amenities on River Green. This road needs waiting restrictions imposed, and further no parking restrictions to help residents proceed safely as well as the additional parking site adjacent to the Rushcutters PH which is to be investigated.	Comments noted	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
115	Thorpe St Andrew NDP: Project-Improving Car Parking	Survey Monkey Response	Policy 9 The proposal to create a large carpark on the land south of the river and to the west of the Frostbite's car park is utterly misconceived. The land is part of a flood plain. Not only is a carpark likely to flood but creating it may well affect water levels in the surrounding area. The cars parked on Yarmouth Road at or near River Green slow the traffic to appropriate speeds for a place where there are numerous pedestrians (including many children) seeking to cross the road. At times of day when there are few cars parked there, cars travel along Yarmouth Road at speeds well in excess of 30 mph. I suggest that you use the land for allotments. This would replace the allotments next to the graveyard that were lost to housing , be in keeping with the character of the area and benefit local residents.	Comments noted. [Update on how this is progressing required from TC]	
116	Thorpe St Andrew NDP: Project-Improving Car Parking	Survey Monkey Response	1. Fully support the aspiration to use the site for car parking. Currently residents suffer with on verge parking and motorists ignoring no parking yellow lines and also advisory white lines.. More double yellow lines in South Avenue would be beneficial; to both residents and delivery vehicles with heavy lorries needing to have wheels on the pavement in order to negotiate the road. 2. Any additional residential moorings in the River Green area would make the traffic management and parking in the local roads even more chaotic if based on the table at the start of the section. 3. In para 13.7 there is no mention within the needed facility of any educational provision such as schools.	Comments noted.  This paragraph reflects the key issues raised .	
117	Thorpe St Andrew NDP: Project-Improving	Survey Monkey Response	Since the (unauthorised?) increase in live aboards on Thorpe Island South Avenue in particular has seen a major increase in car parking of non residents of South Avenue. Those cars/vans parked consist principally of Thorpe Island residents and others who park in the street and work elsewhere. The road is now for most of the time, a single lane	Comments noted	Projects section has been updated <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>



No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
	Car Parking		which involves delivery lorries and larger vans needing to drive on the footpaths to access/egress addresses in South Avenue, Stanmore Road and Western Avenue. Provision for car parking in the area off Yarmouth Road is key to helping with this long term problem. South Avenue residents would like to see 'no overnight parking between 10pm and 8am' and limited parking to 2 hours during all other hours.		
118	Map on Page 26	Broads Authority	Map on page 26 – please show the Broads. (Executive Area)	Comments noted	Amend map
119	Mapping – Pages 29-31	Broads Authority	Page 29, 30 and 31 – suggest add street names to aid context.	Comments noted	Amend map
120	Section 16: Implementation and Monitoring	Broadland District Council	You may wish to have a more detailed implementation and monitoring plan, which may build in regular use at town council planning meetings, and regular reviews.	Comments noted. This section can be expanded	Amend Monitoring Section <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
121	Appendix A: Maps	Broadland District Council	We would suggest that St Andrews Business Park is removed from the map for the 'Housing Area East', or that the maps are re-titled as areas rather than 'housing' areas. See other comments above regarding maps. The transparency of the colour blocked areas would work well on the other maps.	Comments noted	Amend Mapping
122	Appendix A: Character Statement	Individual 3	Broadly this is an excellent way to offer guidance for new development in the area and lay down a marker for what is acceptable in Thorpe to retain local character. Although good on the older areas of Thorpe more detail could be added here and the section on Dussindale could be	Comments noted.	Review Character Statement

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>expanded. Dussindale is area that will inform the style of newer properties in the town and as such more detail her will assure that the newer areas keep the 'Thorpe feel'. For Dussindale the character appraisal could mention the following: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>•Brick as the main material with render or flint panels. Often the use of contrast brick for architectural detailing</li> <li>•Largely white weatherboarding on designs</li> <li>•Mixed house forms and sizes within cul-de-sac development from a spine road creating quieter streets generally. Some tree planting on the main street to offer an avenue feel in keeping with other areas with street trees in Thorpe</li> <li>•Substantial use of walling/picket fencing/ post and rail fencing as boundary treatments to link the character of the individual areas</li> <li>•Open plan development with no front boundaries. The blurring of side boundaries with planting external to garden spaces</li> <li>•Use of walling often with contrast detailing for site boundaries and to the entry of some cul-de-sac developments to narrow views and act as a visual device to slow traffic. This assisted by narrower width estate roads in the cul-de-sacs</li> <li>•Properties largely accessed from the front with garages integrated into the house footprint to conserve space</li> <li>•Properties with private rear garden space</li> <li>•Use of shared use car free footpaths and cycle paths in the area for local journeys</li> <li>•Some scattered areas of play space within cul-de-sac areas</li> <li>•One community facility and areas of open space within the estate</li> </ul>	The Character Statement is to be reviewed	

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			The character of Dussindale could be enhanced over time with the planting of more street trees on Dussindale Drive, work to give character and a sense of place to some of the roundabouts and work in the area of the Town Hall on levels/fencing allow easier foot access from the field to the play space. Although understanding there may be security issues here the fencing seems overly industrial for the residential setting in addition to the roller shutters physically on the building.		
123	Appendix A – Character Statement	Broads Authority	<p><b>Appendix A –Character Statement</b></p> <p>Maybe this should be re-worked to be more about new developments responding appropriately to their local context? There isn't actually a Design Policy, so perhaps a Design policy is required that make reference to different character areas and requires new development to respond to their context appropriately? If it still felt necessary to have a Character Statement, perhaps it should outline the different character areas – probably in more detail than this one does, with smaller character areas where appropriate and rather than stating 'any new development should have' and a list of features, it should just describe the character of each area.</p> <p>Thorpe St Andrew South - the list of key features to be included in new development appears to be based on historic properties on Thorpe Road near River Green. I think the appendix (not policy so how much weight will this have?) needs to allow new development to respond to its more immediate context, as there are actually some distinct character areas within this large area. For example, this area also includes large areas of 1930s bungalows and housing (e.g. the east side of Harvey Lane, Gordon Avenue, the south side of St Williams Way etc).</p>	Comments noted. The Character Statement is to be reviewed and can address a number of these points	Amend Character Statement

No .	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comments	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for Plan
			<p>A new building with elaborate chimneys and timber framing would look very out of place in this area!</p> <p>Thorpe St Andrew East – not sure you could say St Andrew’s Park was built in 2002. Perhaps St Andrew’s Park was established in 2002 on the site of the historic St Andrew’s Hospital, many of the buildings are listed and were converted to housing. It seems a bit odd that the East area doesn’t have any defining characteristics.</p>		
12 4	Appendix B	Broads Authority	<p><b>Appendix B</b></p> <p>Should this be titled ‘Heritage Assets’ and include scheduled monuments and a map showing the conservation area boundary?</p>	Comments noted Agree this requires review	Review Appendix B
12 5	Additions	Broads Authority	<p>Other areas to consider in the Neighbourhood Plan</p> <p>Electric Charging points – Both for boating and cars could be included. If the plan were to result in residential moorings then an electric hook up would be essential. I believe they could do something similar with the homes they want built. Could they not include outdoor charging provision for cars?</p> <p>Cycle parking – I didn’t see any mention of cycle parking or cycleways being created.</p> <p>Access to water – Enhance existing slipways or create new infrastructure to allow SUPS, Canoes, Kayaks to launch and get out of the water.</p>	Comments noted. A number of these points can be easily addressed in the relevant sections	Amend Plan to address a number of these points <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

**Late Responses received outside of the Regulation 14 Consultation Period**

No	Para or Policy	Respondent	Comment	Suggested Working Group Response	Outcome for the Plan
1	Non Designated Heritage Assets	Individual L1	Car park project by Rushcutters what is the ruin	A derelict house abutting Girlings Lane and the Railway	No change.
2	Non Designated Heritage Assets	Individual L1	Are the World War II Zero Station/Pinebanks different non-designated heritage assets for consideration. The church site at the top of hillside allotments at Yarmouth Way that cross the site	The World War II Zero Bunker is a Scheduled Monument, whereas the Pinebanks Tower is a Grade II* listed building.	St Catharine's Church added to the non-designated heritage asset list.
3	Non Designated Heritage Assets	Individual L1	The last remaining anti-tank traps (1940) that formed the Sprowston/Thorpe defence line non-designated street scene feature. The telegraph pole outside 231/233 Thunder Lane (1936) – still has original findal on top-not many left in Thorpe.	Agree with comments made.	Row of anti-tank traps, and telegraph pole added to non-designated heritage asset list.
4	Non-Designated Heritage Assets	Individual L1	Will we be getting a Greater Norwich Development Plan in due course	Not relevant to this consultation.	No change.



