Financial Scrutiny and Audit Committee

6 March 2018 Agenda Item No 10

Implementation of Internal Audit Recommendations: Summary of Progress Report by Chief Financial Officer

Summary: This report updates members on progress in implementing

Internal Audit recommendations arising out of audits carried out

during 2016/17 and 2017/18.

Recommendation: That the report be noted.

1 Introduction

- 1.1 It has been agreed that this Committee will receive a regular update of progress made in implementing Internal Audit report recommendations, focusing on outstanding recommendations and including timescales for completion of any outstanding work.
- 1.2 This report summarizes the current position regarding recommendations arising out of internal audit reports which have been produced for 2016/17 and 2017/18. It sets out in the appendix details of:
 - · recommendations not yet implemented;
 - recommendations not implemented at the time of the last meeting which have since been implemented: and
 - New recommendations since the last meeting.

2 Summary of Progress

2.1 In the previous report to this Committee in November the final recommendation relating to External Funding remains outstanding. The recommendation relating to Toll Income within the 2016/17 Key Controls audit has been completed. Two of the recommendations relating to the Asset Management Audit and two of the Port Marine Safety Code have been completed. Commentary on the outstanding recommendations is provided in Appendix 1.

3 Internal Audit Programme 2017/18

3.1 The third audit from the 2017/18 programme has now been completed, with further details below. The fourth audit of Corporate Governance is due to start 20 February with its results reported to the next committee in July 2018.

3.2 Key Controls

- 3.2.1 The objective of the audit was to look at the fundamental systems that feed into the statement of accounts to provided assurance on the key controls. The areas reviewed were; Treasury Management/Investments, General Ledger, Asset Management, Budgetary Control, Accounts Receivable, Accounts Payable, Payroll, Toll Income, Control Accounts and Follow Up of Internal Audit Recommendations. This resulted in a "reasonable" audit opinion with two "important" and two "needs attention" recommendations.
- 3.2.2 The audit identified four areas for improvement. Details of these recommendations and their progress can be found in Appendix 1.
- 3.2.3 Good practice was noted relating to sound controls that are in place and operating consistently:
 - All invoice payments require two stage authorisation, thereby ensuring that only accurate and approved payments are processed.
 - Starters, leavers and amendments to the payroll are checked to ensure that they have been actioned correctly by the payroll provider, thus ensuring the Authority's payroll is accurately maintained.
 - There is a clear audit trail of actions taken to recover unpaid tolls, ensuring that debt recovery follows a prescribed and effective process and with all monies due to the Authority being pursued / received.
 - Toll payments can be checked on the Tolls Management System by Rangers in the field, reducing the Authority's costs for printing plaques.
 - 3.2.4 Both of the "important" recommendations have been completed. The two "needs attention" remain outstanding but on target for completion.

Background papers: None

Author: Emma Krelle
Date of report: 14 February 2018

Broads Plan Objectives: None

Appendices: APPENDIX 1 – Summary of Actions / Responses to Internal

Audit Recommendations 2016/17 and 2017/18

External Funding: October 2016

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
1.	Procedural guidance	Important	Broads	Agreed. Procedural	Originally agreed by
	To develop procedural guidance for		Landscape	guidelines will be produced	31/01/17
	the Broads Landscape Partnership.		Partnership	in draft by the end of	
	This procedure should cover the		Programme	January to be presented to	Updated to 31/03/18
	administrative processes, including		Manager	the next Board meeting	
	project management, governance,			(March) for approval.	
	systems used such as base camp,				
	and the staff involved.			Update: Following the Board	
	T I			meeting it was agreed to	
	The procedures should be version			develop procedural	
	controlled.			guidelines following the submission of the second	
	The compilation of such procedural			round application. These	
	guidance would enable a consistent			guidelines will include	
	approach to be applied with the day to			management of payments,	
	day management of the service.			reporting structure and	
	Procedures can also be used as a			evaluation requirements.	
	training tool and to highlight process			There will also be a contract	
	improvements and efficiencies. This			specific to each project	
	will help to mitigate the risks of			which will include	
	inconsistent practices occurring,			responsibilities related to	
	inefficient and ineffective processes			CDM, insurance, safe-	
	being applied and disrupted business			guarding, etc. The board	
	continuity.			decided that we need to	
				speak to all board members	
				and gather a number of	

Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
			organisations policies and procedures to allow us to create a bespoke set for the delivery phase of the Scheme.	
			Following the successful submission of the second round application the board has yet to reconvene. A whole new board needs to be appointed who will agree the reporting structures and evaluation requirements. To be completed prior to 31 March 2018 before the first claim is submitted for the	
			The new board is due to meet week commencing 19/02/18.	

Key Controls & Assurance: February 2017

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
7.	Toll Income	Needs	Head of IT	Agreed.	Originally agreed by

Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
To update the Toll procedure manual to reflect all changes to the system, including the changes in toll charges from 1st April 2017 and to include the toll payment enforcement process. Rationale & Risk: Up to date procedures helps to mitigate the risks of inconsistent practices occurring, inefficient and ineffective processes being applied and disrupted business continuity.	Attention	and Collector of Tolls	Update: Work on the procedure manual has been delayed following the prioritisation of the tolls checking application for the Ranger mobile phones. The new procedures will need to reflect the introduction of the application and its use as part of the enforcement process. The new staffing structure within Tolls will mean that this work will be completed prior to the new season commencing (31 March 2018).	31/08/17 Completed.

Asset Management: August 2017

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
1.	Maintenance and insurance A master record of building condition monitoring surveys is created, to provide assurance that all surveys are completed when required. An overall record of building surveys will provide management with assurance that condition of assets is being monitored and that necessary maintenance tasks are completed. If this kind of overview is not available, it is more difficult to determine whether surveys are being completed. Hence there is a risk that the condition of properties deteriorates, potentially leading to financial and reputational loss to the Authority.	Important	Asset Officer	Conditioning monitoring is dependent on the IT work plan and priorities. A meeting to scope project and timescale to be undertaken by end of September 2017. Completed: First stage DMS solution now live and available for use.	By 30/09/17
2.	Policies and procedures Procedures or guidance for the asset management process is produced. This should include, but not be limited to, key tasks such as acquisitions and disposals. These should incorporate the existing flowchart which document	Needs Attention	Asset Officer	Agreed	By 31/01/18 Completed.

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
	property asset.				
	Guidance on timescales and responsibilities for tasks will ensure that all staff involved in the process are aware of their role. Written notes will also provide information in the event that key members of staff are unavailable.				
	Without written guidance, there is a risk that tasks will not be completed in a timely manner or that staff will be unaware of their responsibilities				
3.	Leases The Authority agrees timescales for completing lease agreements with key stakeholders to reduce delays. Agreeing a timescale with all parties involved will help to ensure that key tasks are completed in a timely manner.	Needs Attention	Solicitor & Monitoring Officer	Delayed responses from our current legal provider have been identified. This will be addressed when we go out to tender for Legal Services. The tender is due to go out by the end of September with the new contract to start 1 April 2018.	By 01/04/18
	If there is no agreed timescale, it is more difficult for the Authority to conclude lease agreements in advance.			New/extension leases are planned 12 months prior to expiry date. Control over the lessee legal services are	

Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
			difficult to influence due to the size and type of their	
			organisations.	

Port Marine Safety Code: September 2017

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
1.	Governance To arrange for a peer review to be undertaken of the Broads Authority's Safety Management System (SMS) by the Canal and River Trust, or another suitable organisation, as a reciprocal arrangement in between external audit visits in addition to the 3 yearly external audit. The PMSC Guide to Good Practice advocates that the DP is independent of the SMS process and external / peer reviews would assist in mitigating the risks associated with this. This will also assist in assessing the performance of the SMS through benchmarking against other similar organisations.	Important	Head of Safety Management	Agreed. The Authority has considered the issue of independence of the external auditors and the appointed designated person. The Authority is assured that the recent change in external audit providers adequately provides the assurance that the process is independent and complies with the requirements of the Port Marine Safety Code. However the recommendation of using a peer review or a MCA health check will give further assurance of independence. The Authority will	By 31/01/19

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
				commence talks with possible providers, by September 2018, regarding this proposal with the aim of scheduling an interim peer review or Health check in 2019. Update: Initial contact made with both the MCA and an external independent consultant who offer PMSC health checks.	
2.	Governance To include a PMSC dedicated page on the Authority's website. This should include: - A performance dashboard showing the status of each indicator, detailing the target, current performance against the target and the historic trend The Authority's SMS, highlighting the Authority's responsibilities as Duty Holder for the Broads. A dedicated page on the website would increase the awareness and prominence of the PMSC and a	Important	Head of Safety Management, Head of Communicati ons.	Agreed. A dedicated webpage will be developed to pull together the elements that are already published but scattered around the website. This "new" page will allow for the compliance statements to be located where a clear focus exists on the PMSC and the SMS. Update: New webpage under development, will be in place after the updated Safety management system is considered by the	By 31/03/18

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
	consistent approach to reporting performance, mitigating the risk that the PMSC is not complied with and performance of the PMSC is not transparent.			Authority in March 2018.	
3.	Governance The Authority's annual report should refer to the PMSC, including compliance with this and the standard of performance, cross referenced to the performance dashboard. Inclusion in the authority's annual report would increase the awareness and prominence of the PMSC, mitigating the risk that the PMSC is not complied with and performance of the PMSC is not transparent.	Important	Head of Safety Management, Head of Communicati ons.	Agreed. The Annual report is prepared during the spring of each year and published in the Summer. A statement to reflect the recommendation will be included in the next annual report and will feature as a standing item in future reports.	By 30/09/18
4.	Governance To update the Authority's SMS as follows: - The Introduction chapter to include reference to the commitment of the Broads Authority to comply with the standards laid down within the PMSC; - Reference is made to the harbour revision order being progressed for the transfer of Mutford Lock to the Authority;	Important	Head of Safety Management	Agreed. The SMS will be updated during the winter of 2017 for adoption by the Authority at its meeting in March 2018. All of the recommended changes and additions will be included in the new version 7 of the SMS. Update: All elements	By 31/03/18

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
	 Inclusion of an overall section on contractors and their obligations in respect of the PMSC; Inclusion of the general direction and special direction policies as supported by the Navigation Committee. This will document that the Duty Holder makes a clear published commitment to comply with the standards laid down in the Code. Clearly documented obligations of contractors mitigates the risk that contactors do not comply with the code. Inclusion of relevant policies and harbour orders mitigates the risk that the authority's powers and procedures are not transparent. 			included in the draft update currently being consulted on which will be considered by the Authority at its meeting on the 16th March.	
5.	Governance To formalise the reporting of internal audits by the Head of Safety Management to the appropriate committees / groups, e.g. the BSMG including the annual schedule / Internal Audit Programme of audits. To ensure these cover all aspects of the PMSC. The BSMG would receive assurance that the SMS is reviewed against all	Needs Attention	Head of Safety Management	Agreed. SMS audits for 2017 will be reported to the Boat Safety Management Group in Jan 2018, Navigation Committee Feb 2018 and to the duty holders in March 2018. The SMS will be updated to reflect this formal reporting requirement at its next issue in March 2018.	Originally agreed by 31/03/18 Updated to 31/05/18

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
	aspects of the PMSC mitigating the risk that some areas may not be in compliance.			Update: This has slipped due to the development and update of the Safety Management System however the Audit will be taken to the groups and committees at the next committee cycle. March April and May respectively	
6	Governance The PMSC is included as a standard item in the Authority's induction pack/process. The requirements of the PMSC are far reaching and affects many aspects of the Boards authority work. Including this in the induction process helps reduce the risk that staff undertake tasks that are not in compliance with the code.	Needs Attention	Head of Human Resources	Agreed. Duty Holders and operational staff have received PMSC awareness training. Induction programme will be updated to include mandatory PMSC SMS awareness training for new starters.	By 30/11/17 Completed.
7.	Governance Briefings given to the Navigation Committee and BSMG on the risk assessment process, hazard identification and assessment and the ALARP principle are documented and recorded in the minutes. Briefing packs in relation to the risk	Needs Attention	Solicitor and Monitoring Officer, Head of Safety Management	Agreed. All members of Boat safety management group, the stakeholder hazard review group, the navigation committee and the Broads Authority receive training on risk assessment and ALARP principles	By 28/02/19

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
	assessment process, hazard			before dealing with the risk	
	identification and assessment and the			assessments process. This	
	ALARP principle (which are provided			formal training will be	
	to the stakeholder group involved in			recorded in the minutes of	
	the review of hazards) should also be			each of the groups/	
	made available to all new appointees			committees at the next	
	to the Navigation Committee and the			opportunity when hazards	
	BSMG. Consideration is also given to			are reviewed/ assessed	
	providing these to all members of the			scheduled for Feb 2019	
	Navigation Committee and the BSMG.			Any new members to the	
				group will be trained in this	
	A record of all training provides			regard prior to any risk	
	confirmation that it has taken place			review or assessment as	
	and reduces the risk that misinformed			part of the regular refresher	
	decisions are made resulting in			training being delivered	
	inadequate port marine safety.			each time the risk review	
				process is entered into.	
8.	Governance	Needs	Head of ICT	Agreed. A Document	By 31/12/17
	A timescale is finalised for the roll out	Attention	and Collector	Management System work	
	of the document management system		of Tolls	area is to be created where	Completed.
	in relation to the safety management			the Safety Management	
	team.			System documents will be	
				stored with check-in and	
	Adequate document management			check-out monitoring	
	provides a clear audit trail so that			including version control and	
	documents can be used in the right			tracking.	
	context and mitigate the risk that out				
	of date or incomplete documents are			All SMS document will then	
	used.			fall under strict version	

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
9.	Hazards To review the SMS risk categories / criteria of people, environment and assets against the four criteria of: life, environment, business (reputation) and damage (port and shipping), as contained in the latest PMSC Guide to Good Practice. The risk categories/criteria will be based on the latest PMSC Guide to Good Practice mitigating the risk that the consequences of risks/hazards are not appropriately assessed and mitigated as required.	Needs Attention	Head of Safety Management	control and security systems. Meeting to scope project scheduled for 24 October. Timescales to be determined on completion of scoping; delivery is dependent on the IT work plan and priorities. DMS site now in operation. Agreed. A review of assessment criteria will be carried out by the Boat Safety management Group at its meeting in March 2018. Any "new" criteria will be used as the basis for the next formal stakeholder hazard review in February 2019. Update: Proposed assessment criteria are set out in the draft update to the Safety Management System, BSMG are being	By 31/03/18

Recom	mendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
				consulted as part of the SMS update. It is proposed that the new criteria will be considered by the Authority in March 2018	

Key Controls: December 2017

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
1.	Accounts Receivable Invoices are to be raised promptly upon receipt of invoice requisition forms within Finance.	Important	Chief Financial Officer	Agreed. Reminder to finance staff about raising invoices promptly and to log reasons for delays beyond our control.	Implemented and verified - 20/12/17
	Prompt raising of invoices will ensure that the Authority is able to collect money owed as early as possible. If there is a delay in the raising of invoices, there will be unnecessary delays in receipt of payment, which will negatively affect the Authority's cash flow.				
2.	Accounts Payable Changes to supplier bank details are confirmed with the supplier using existing contact details, with records of this check retained.	Important	Chief Financial Officer	Agreed. Changes will be verified with existing contact details on Dimensions. Date and name to be recorded on notification.	Implemented and verified - 20/12/17

	Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
	Confirming changes with suppliers will ensure that all changes made are legitimate. If there is no such check, there is an increased risk of fraudulent payments being made resulting in financial loss to the Authority.				
3.	Budgetary Control The limit for reporting on variances identified through budget monitoring is reviewed and reduced if necessary, to reduce the risk of potentially significant variances going unchallenged. The review could consider introducing a percentage in addition to an amount.	Needs Attention	Chief Financial Officer	Agreed for a review to be undertaken with members whilst taking into account variance reporting levels at other National Parks.	By 31/03/18
	Reviewing the limit for variances will ensure that it is set at an appropriate level so that all significant variances are addressed. If this threshold is not reviewed, then there is a risk that some significant variances will not be challenged or reported on.				
4.	Accounts receivable The Scheme of Powers Delegated to Officers is updated to remove outdated references to the Treasurer and Financial Advisor to the Authority	Needs Attention	Solicitor and Monitoring Officer	Agreed. Scheme of Powers to be updated and adopted by the Authority.	By 16/05/18

Recommendations	Priority Rating	Responsible Officer(s)	BA Response/Action	Timetable
and to replace them with current references including the Chief Financial Officer (Section 17 Officer).				
Updating the Scheme of Powers Delegated to Officers will align governance arrangements to the Authority's current officer structure. If the document is not up to date, there is a risk of confusion over the decision making arrangements which could also lead to decisions being made by unauthorised members of staff.				