

Planning Committee

05 February 2021 Agenda item number 11

Adopting the Residential Moorings Guide

Report by Planning Policy Officer

Summary

A Residential Moorings Guide has been produced to help decision makers, applicants and site owners implement the policies of the adopted Local Plan for the Broads relating to residential moorings. The first draft guide and revised draft guide were subject to consultation last year and the final draft guide is presented at Appendix 3 of this report.

Recommendation

To endorse the final draft Residential Moorings Guide and recommend it to the Broads Authority for adoption.

1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Residential Moorings Guide is designed to help implement the policies of the adopted Local Plan for the Broads relating to residential moorings. It is for decision makers, applicants and site owners, with useful information to help make schemes as successful as possible.
- 1.2. The first draft guide and revised draft guide were subject to consultation in 2020, and the final draft guide is at Appendix 3.

2. Consultation responses

2.1. The responses to the first draft guide are at Appendix 1. Some of the comments resulted in changes to the guide. We then consulted on the revised draft guide, and the responses are at Appendix 2. Again, some of the comments resulted in changes to the guide.

3. Navigation Committee and Boat Safety Group

3.1. A report on the final draft guide was presented to the Navigation Committee on
 14 January 2021, and information was sent to the Boat Safety Group in December 2020.
 No further comments were received.

4. Final draft guide for adoption

- 4.1. The final draft guide for adoption, with track change amendments, is at Appendix 3. Additions are shown as blue underline and deletions as red strikethrough. The marked changes result from the second consultation; the changes following the first consultation have been accepted and are not marked up.
- 4.2. Members' views on the final draft guide are invited. Members are also asked to endorse the guide and recommend it to the Broads Authority for adoption.

Author: Natalie Beal

Date of report: 21 January 2021

Appendix 1 – Responses to consultation on first draft RM guide

Appendix 2 – Responses to consultation on revised draft RM guide

Appendix 3 – Final Draft Residential Moorings Guide (with track changes) – for adoption

Appendix 1 - Draft Residential Moorings Guide - responses to first consultation

Ref	Name	Organisation	Comment	BA response	Amend
#1	Trevor Warren	-	In the Draft, there are references to preserving/enhancing the local area amenity and character; e.g., lines 312 - 314 in Appendix A. I wonder if noise and light pollution have been sufficiently stressed. Section 6, line 127, refers to noise from generators; there are plenty of other source such as boat maintenance and normal social life. Similarly,	Comment noted. The Local Plan for the Broads has a policy on amenity which would be used to determine applications, but agree that there needs to be better mention of other sources of noise.	This cou noise th <u>socialisi</u>
#2	Trevor Warren	-	Section 9.1, line 178, mentions light pollution from generators. More significant might be general safety lighting required in a quayside setting. Both these conditions are made more conspicuous in a peaceful broads location.	Comment noted. The Local Plan for the Broads has a policy on dark skies which would be used to determine applications, but agree that there needs to be better mention of light pollution.	9.1.8 Lig Scheme mooring to rural waterw justified agreed. Authori required assesse Q: How dark ski
#3	Alistair Lipp	-	I am actually not in favour of residential moorings, but considering it is in the plan to have 63, then the proposals suggested seem to be a reasonable way of creating quality moorings.	Response noted.	No char
#4	B J Du Brow	-	In these constrained times we are unable to give any useful comments.	Response noted.	No char
#5	Jeremy Burton	Bungay Town Council	I confirm that the members of Bungay Town Council Planning, Environment and Highways Committee have considered these Documents and have no additional comments to make.	Response noted.	No char
#6	Shamsul Hoque	Highways England	No comment	Response noted.	No char
#7	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	My main concern for residential moorings is potential vulnerability of uninvited access: (The lack, or reduction in perimeter security of a residence (mooring) due to nature of the site (i.e. open access of quay side) may make it vulnerable to 'attack' from would be offenders).	See following comments.	See follo
#8	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	The location of residential moorings next to defined a defined development boundary for support of key services, together with the potential lack of 'usual' residential perimeter boundaries may open up access opportunities for uninvited visitors – being able to move along the same access routes as genuine users. Therefore it is essential to acknowledge what can be done to prevent would-be offenders entering residential boats. Proposed solutions would be individual to a site and its layout, but I recommend if possible that boatyards/Marinas control access to these moorings via a lockable gate (with resident access only) to the particular quay/boardwalk involved; and that individual boat owners also be aware of further protection/security products designed for boats to increase 'home' security. That this information be linked to 'Helpful links/advice (Section 11).	Comment noted. Security should be considered on a site by site basis. Sites in the Broads tend to be relatively small and many of them are adjacent to other facilities so there tends to be a high level of surveillance. We don't consider that there is a need for the guide to promote this level of security, but we will make reference to security.	New su may alr
#9	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	Also, the proposed walking route of 800m/10 mins (usable all year round) should were possible be straight and a width of 3m wide, with vegetation maintained to prevent fear of crime (removal of potential hiding places), and to consider lighting if appropriate.	Agreed. Text to be added.	Add this site sho maintai conside Plan an
#10	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	I support management of sites with rules/terms of conditions, this together with the potential presence of staff would increase guardianship/ownership of area.	Support noted.	No char
#11	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	Again I support the proposal to provide parking and storage facilities to prevent moorings becoming cluttered.	Support noted.	No char
#12	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	I recommend that parking spaces be marked to help with correct usage (assists with rule setting) – consider collapsible bollards/chain & lock , and where possible have some capability of surveillance over the area.	Agreed. Text to be added.	Add to 9 correct and whe

ndments

could cover aspects such as generators, when engines will run and generally any e that could be considered a nuisance <u>such as boat maintenance and generally</u> alising at unsociable hours.

Light pollution

emes for residential mooring may include lighting. But sites for residential rings may be on the fringe of settlements, where there is a transition from urban aral and so the impact of lighting may be significant. The Authority also seeks dark erways to protect the wildlife in the area. The need for such lighting needs to be fied in line with Local Plan for the Broads policy DM22. If lighting is justified and ed, then the design needs to ensure no impact on the dark skies of the Broads. The nority plans to produce light pollution guidance, but in the meantime, the policy irrements of the Local Plan will guide how applications are determined and ssed.

ow does your scheme address light pollution? How does your scheme maintain_ skies?

hange to document.

hange to document.

hange to document.

hange to document.

following comments.

sub section in section 9: <u>You should ensure you consider security at your site. This</u> already adequately be in place.

this text to the end of section 4: <u>Norfolk Police recommend that the route to the</u> should, where possible, be straight and have a width of 3m wide, with vegetation ntained to prevent fear of crime (removal of potential hiding places), and to ider lighting if appropriate (taking into account the dark skies policy of the Local and the location of residential moorings).

hange to document.

hange to document.

to 9.5: <u>Norfolk Police recommend that parking spaces be marked to help with</u> ect usage (assists with rule setting) – consider collapsible bollards/chain & lock , where possible have some capability of surveillance over the area.

11 server larger Number Accel Server larger Number Accel Server larger Server larger <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>						
PH Permy Turne Naturbia Notice Machal De dir colositi construction and turna agentine aller di 200mmettion mettine agentine consolerazioni eller di 200mmettione and turna de trans de la colositi di agenti accenti da page a da colositi da colosita colositi da colositi da colositi da colosit	#13	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	secure locks (e.g. Sold Secure or equivalent). If possible consider fencing off the area (with lockable gate for residents only) to provide an additional layer for what is to be stored within –	Agreed. Text to be added.	Add to 9 <u>secure lo</u> (with loc <u>stored w</u> offender
113 Permy turner Norfak Noice Section 2 Section	#14	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	should be of robust construction with max aperture size of 260mmx40mm and have anti- fishing properties (the examples pictured look good, Secured by Design recommends letter	Agreed. Text to be added.	Will add <u>There is</u> <u>be of rob</u> fishing p
H16 David Broad - moorings and houseboats might become somewhar antificial and unnecessary with the passage of time, is bit like mobile houses and caravans where the four house and caravans are floating steds on a portion and these will be dealt with on a case bar stored underneath but are still classed the same for The Caravan Act/ planning purposes). The BA hung on to this motor and moving hing when the working party initially considered the local Plan. Image: Constant and the province or expect these at residential moorings around the Broads. This is set out in the same and appearance which is common and important to both. No of the consider houseboats to be floating caravans or floating steds on a portion and these will be dealt with on a case by case basis. We do not promote or expect these at residential moorings around the Broads. This is set out in the same and appearance which is common and important to both. No of the consider houseboats to be floating caravans or floating steds on a portion and these will be dealt with on a case by case basis. We do not promote or expect these at residential moorings around the Broads. This is set out in the same and appearance which is common and important to both. No of the consider the portion of the promote or expect these at residential moorings around the Broads. This is set out in the same and appearance which is common and important to both. No of the consider the portion of the promote or expect these at residential moorings may provide to the portion set or somewhere and the set of the policy stating that guests staying less than, say, 30days, were exempt. No ted. Whilst areas or residential moorings may provide to the policy severage and four water need to go somewhere and that is what we are freferring to the provision on site of somewhere to dispose of foul water. We do poseneleme and that i	#15	Penny Turner	Norfolk Police	consult with my police colleagues on BroadBeat as to which should be included. (1st Principles boat security; BoatShield and Aweigh). LINK FOR BOATSHIELD (& Outboard Engine Cover) This weblink from Norfolk & Suffolk Police offers advice on boat safety and security, also information about the Boatshield Scheme. https://www.norfolk.police.uk/advice/roads-and-vehicles/boats AWEIGH App Thousands of people enjoy the Broads throughout the year and the AWEIGH app has been designed to help those on and around the waterways. apps.apple.com>app>aweigh play.google.com>store>apps>details>id=com.aweigh BOAT SECURITY ADVICE - NORFOLK & SUFFOLK POLICE Norfolk & Suffolk Police advice on water safety and boat security:	Agreed. Text to be added.	Add thes
#17 David Broad - the policy stating that guests staying less than, say, 30days, were exempt It does not seem onerous to write down the details of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. No of the person visiting or staying in a register. Add #18 David Broad - It does not seem onerous to write down the details of the person visiting or staying in a register. Mode #19 David Broad - Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Suppert ported Suppert ported	#16	David Broad	-	moorings and houseboats might become somewhat artificial and unnecessary with the passage of time. (a bit like mobile homes and caravans where the former have residual wheels and tow bar stored underneath but are still classed the same for The Caravan Act/ planning purposes). The BA hung on to this motor and moving thing when the working party initially considered the issue, bit I would suggest it is now unnecessary and that it is the matters of controlling the use	We consider houseboats to be floating caravans or floating sheds on a pontoon and these will be dealt with on a case by case basis. We do not promote or expect these at residential moorings around the Broads. This is set out in the	e No chan
#18 David Broad - Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwithstanding the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwith standing the above, you are to be congratulated in producing such a comprehensive Sunport noted Sunport noted Notwith standing the above, you are to be	#17	David Broad	-		It does not seem onerous to write down the details of the person visiting or staying in a register.	No chan
	#18	David Broad	-	tanks and pump-outs as an alternative to mains drainage. History and experience shows that valves are often used for illegal discharge. There could be a strong planning policy preference	probably going to have toilets on them. It is not clear how we can stop that from happening through planning. Because they will have toilets on them, the sewerage and foul water need to go somewhere and that is what we are referring to - the provision on site of somewhere to dispose of foul water. We do have policies that promote the connection to the public sewer network as the preference, as set out in that policy, that the facilities provided for pump out to be connected to the public sewer network. If this is not possible, then we set out a hierarchy for disposa methods and seek thorough justification for the method used. If Mr Broad is suggesting that there should be some kind of mechanism that attaches to the on-board toilets and the like and connects to the public sewer network, then that would be something for the management to address as they plan the scheme. We could add some text to raise	Add this empty ca this alrea <u>out in th</u> <u>When co</u> <u>for boats</u> <u>highlight</u> <u>within th</u> <u>the river</u>
	#19	David Broad	-		Support noted.	No chan

o 9.7: Norfolk Police recommend that storage is of robust construction with e locks (e.g. Sold Secure or equivalent). If possible consider fencing off the area lockable gate for residents only) to provide an additional layer for what is to be d within – these items will no doubt be portable with possible value to an der).

dd this wording to section 9.8 in relation to extra facilities: is an increasing rise in crime associated with post delivery so post boxes should robust construction with max aperture size of 260mmx40mm and have antig properties (Secured by Design recommends letter boxes certificated to TS 009).

hese links to guide.

ange to document.

ange to document.

his to section 9.3: Toilets on boats may require pumping out or somewhere to y cassettes. Your marina or boatyard may have a system or process to deal with lready. <u>We would assess this part of the application against policy DM2 and as set</u> a that policy, attachment to the public sewer network is the preferred approach. In considering how to address foul water, you will need to consider the potential boats to release foul water directly to the waterbody. The Environment Agency also ght that there is a byelaw that is relevant to the disposal of sewage from boats in the Broads which makes it illegal for boats to discharge their sewage straight to vers.

					1
#20	Judith Davidson	Norwich City Council	I just have one comment / suggested change to make in relation to lines 81-84 of the document: • I would suggest deleting the words " in theory" from this sentence, and adding to the end "and is consistent with the policies of the River Wensum Strategy, a partnership document adopted by both the Broads Authority and Norwich City Council (and other partners)."	Agreed. Text to be added.	• 'or is Norwich or boaty the City <u>policies (</u> <u>Broads A</u>
#21	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	We agree that all residential boats must be capable of navigation and so function as a boat, in order for residential moorings to be classed as 'water compatible' development. If the boat is non-navigable, such as a houseboat, then they would be classed as 'more vulnerable' residential development, and therefore Table 3 of the NPPF PPG would class them as inappropriate to be located in boatyards or mooring basins, as these areas are usually classed as Flood Zone 3b Functional Floodplain. It may be beneficial to include this reason within the explanation as to why the boats on the residential moorings need to be navigable.	Agreed. Text to be added.	Section 3 boats mi mooring navigabl classed a NPPF PP
#22	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	Also, the last sentence of Section 3 states that 'Houseboats are considered to be structures without means of independent propulsion and will be dealt with on a case by case basis due to their potential impact on character of the area'. We would object in principle to any houseboats as they would be an inappropriate 'more vulnerable' development in Flood Zone 3b Functional Floodplain, so it may be beneficial to make it clear that houseboats are unlikely to be permitted, unless their proposed location is somehow not classed as Functional Floodplain, which would require the marina or mooring basin to not be at risk of flooding in a 5% (1 in 20) annual probability flood event.	Response noted. That wording is from the Local Plan. But we will add it to the guide.	basins, a Environr they wou Function propose require t annual p
#23	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	We support the need for a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) and Flood Response Plan with all applications for residential moorings. If the FRA and Flood Response Plan proposes refuge within the boat in times of flood then the boat will need to be capable of rising up above the extreme 0.1% (1 in 1000) climate change flood level. The FRA will need to detail what the required height of rise will be and demonstrate that the boat's mooring can enable it to rise that high without posing a hazard to the occupants of the boat.	Agreed. Text to be added.	Add to s in times capable FRA will the boat occupan
#24	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	If the FRA and Flood Response Plan does not propose refuge, but instead proposes evacuation in advance of a flood, then the FRA and response plan will need to show how the occupants will be able to receive advanced warnings and where they will be able to evacuate to in time. There is always a residual risk of warnings not being received, so the FRA will need to address this risk. The ability to take refuge within the boat, as described above, is a valuable fall-back measure and, if possible, the mooring should be designed to provide this refuge as a precaution, even if the preferred option is evacuation in advance of flooding.	Agreed. Text to be added.	but inste will neec where th there is a address valuable this refu flooding
#25	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	The LPA and their Emergency Planners will need to ensure that they are satisfied with the proposed residential moorings and the proposed measures to ensure the safety of the future occupants should a flood occur.	Noted. The Broads Authority does not have Emergency Planners in house. It is not clear how the District Emergency Planners are able to assist the Broads Authority. This issue is something that is being looked into currently.	No chan
#26	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	Line 131 refers to waste management. We would highlight that there is a byelaw that is relevant to the disposal of sewage from boats within the Norfolk and Suffolk Broads which makes it illegal for boats to discharge their sewage straight to the rivers.	Response noted. Text to be added.	See com
#27	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	It may be beneficial to include the need for Flood Response signs in this section, so that everyone is aware of the flood risk and the actions to take.	Noted. The Flood Risk SPD has recently been updated and applicants would be directed to the FRP guidance in that.	No chan
#28	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	9.2 Water The management plans should include details of early consultation with the relevant water company to ensure there is sufficient capacity in their network to supply moorings in that specific location. The abstraction of 20 cubic metres or more a day from either surface or groundwater source would require an abstraction licence. If the chosen site for the additional moorings already holds an abstraction licence, there would need to be consideration of the impacts from additional update as a result of the new moorings.	Agreed. Text to be added.	Add this should in there is s The abst source w mooring of the in
#29	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	9.3 Sewage This section should be strengthened by stating that 'toilets on board will require pumping out' and if possible it would be beneficial to add – 'to either an appropriate package treatment plant, a containment tank emptied by registered waste carrier or to main sewer'.	Agreed. Text to be added.	See com

is in Norwich City Council's Administrative Area'

ich City Council requested this addition as there are no mooring basins, marinas atyards in Norwich; this change now, in theory, allows for residential moorings in ity <u>(subject to the normal planning application process)</u> and is consistent with the es of the River Wensum Strategy, a partnership document adopted by both the Is Authority and Norwich City Council (and other partners).

on 3. Add this as last paragraph: <u>The Environment Agency agree that all residential</u> is must be capable of navigation and so function as a boat, in order for residential rings to be classed as 'water compatible' development. If the boat is nontable, such as a houseboat, the Environment Agency state that they would be ed as 'more vulnerable' residential development, and therefore Table 3 of the PPG would class them as inappropriate to be located in boatyards or mooring is, as these areas are usually classed as Flood Zone 3b Functional Floodplain. The onment Agency state that they would object in principle to any houseboats as would be an inappropriate 'more vulnerable' development in Flood Zone 3b tional Floodplain. So houseboats are unlikely to be permitted, unless their osed location is somehow not classed as Functional Floodplain, which would ire the marina or mooring basin to not be at risk of flooding in a 5% (1 in 20) al probability flood event.

o section 5: If the FRA and Flood Response Plan proposes refuge within the boat tes of flood then the Environment Agency states that the boat will need to be ole of rising up above the extreme 0.1% (1 in 1000) climate change flood level. The vill need to detail what the required height of rise will be and demonstrate that pat's mooring can enable it to rise that high without posing a hazard to the pants of the boat. If the FRA and Flood Response Plan does not propose refuge, ustead proposes evacuation in advance of a flood, then the FRA and response plan eed to show how the occupants will be able to receive advanced warnings and the they will be able to evacuate to in time. The Environment Agency go on to say is always a residual risk of warnings not being received, so the FRA will need to est this risk. The ability to take refuge within the boat, as described above, is a ble fall-back measure and, if possible, the mooring should be designed to provide efuge as a precaution, even if the preferred option is evacuation in advance of ing.

ange to document.

omment #18

ange to document.

his to 9.2: The Environment Agency are keen to emphasise that applications d include details of early consultation with the relevant water company to ensure is sufficient capacity in their network to supply moorings in that specific location. bstraction of 20 cubic metres or more a day from either surface or groundwater would require an abstraction licence. If the chosen site for the additional ings already holds an abstraction licence, there would need to be consideration e impacts from additional update as a result of the new moorings.

omment #18

#30	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	Informative – Environmental Permit for Flood Risk Activities An environmental permit for flood risk activities will be needed for any proposal that wants to do work in, under, over or within 8 metres (m) from a fluvial main river and from any flood defence structure or culvert or 16m from a tidal main river and from any flood defence structure or culvert. Application forms and further information can be found at: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-activities-environmental-permits. Anyone carrying out these activities without a permit where one is required, is breaking the law.	Agreed. Text to be added.	Add this Activities An enviro wants to from any any flood be found permits. breaking
#31	Kate Wood	Pegasus Group on behalf of Crown Point Estate	We welcome the Guide's intention to provide guidance that builds on already-adopted policy DM37 – New Residential Moorings. We note that this policy is extremely comprehensive in setting out requirements for location and facilities.	Noted.	No chanį
#32	Kate Wood	Pegasus Group on behalf of Crown Point Estate	The proposed Residential Moorings Guide adds little to the policy, but provides a helpful checklist which would be a useful basis for the planning officer's consideration of the individual elements requiring consideration.	We would suggest it adds to the policy and elaborates on many parts of the policy as well as many other aspects of a successful residential mooring scheme. But yes, it is also a useful basis as stated.	No chan
#33	Kate Wood	Pegasus Group on behalf of Crown Point Estate	We would like to see additional text in relation to management accommodation and the Council's commitment to engagement with the applicant, particularly at pre-application stage.	We offer a free pre-application advice service. The very nature of our role as a Local Planning Authority means we engage with applicants. Regarding management accommodation, DM38 covers that and refers to residential moorings. DM37 and this guide would then be used.	No chan
#34	Kate Wood	Pegasus Group on behalf of Crown Point Estate	Policy DM37 is a relatively self-contained policy. However, there may be circumstances, particularly with larger mooring developments, where the applicant considers that residential management accommodation would be necessary to ensure the moorings are well-managed, maintained and monitored. Such accommodation could, for example, be part of a larger building accommodating post boxes, storage lockers and other facilities.	Noted. If a scheme requires this, then DM38 would be used as would all other relevant policies of the Local Plan and all relevant SPDs and Guides. One point to note however the storage building might be in a high risk flood zone, so accommodation might not necessarily be appropriate there.	No chan
#35	Kate Wood	Pegasus Group on behalf of Crown Point Estate	There is no mention in the Guide of the Authority's pre-application service. We consider this should be included as an option for potential applicants to be aware of. With that option, we request that the Authority includes a commitment to provide advice in a timely manner and stand by its advice unless there have been significant material changes in policy circumstances since the advice was issued. This will enable applicants to have faith in the pre-application system. As you know, pre-application advice, especially when provided in an iterative way, ensures that a proposal can be refined to be the best scheme possible. This ultimately results in greater buy-in from consultees such as Parish Councils and neighbours, a greater likelihood of an efficient and successful application process, and improved development quality when implemented.	Noted. Any advice given on a proposal is as presented and its conformity with current policies. It will remain relevant unless the policy or other material considerations or the details of the application itself change. Pre-application advice is an officer level opinion and given without prejudice.	No chan
#36	James Knight	Individual	Although the Guide is presented as a planning document for adoption, the majority of its content falls into the category of helpful guidance for operators hoping to provide residential moorings, and is not directly related to planning.	Noted.	No chan
#37	James Knight	Individual	There is an over-riding tendency, both in the policy and in the Guide, to treat residential moorings as materially different to ordinary leisure moorings. In fact, the use of the land is identical - mooring a boat – and the risks are broadly the same, since people live & sleep aboard for many weeks at a time, regardless of whether the boat is their primary residence. The differences from a planning perspective are: a) the fact that the vessel is used as a primary, rather than temporary, residence – which could result in increased demand on local services; b) the fact that residential paraphernalia can accumulate around the moorings, potentially changing the character of the surroundings	The policy treats them as different because the uses are different.	No chan
#38	James Knight	Individual	Whilst pleased to have the opportunity of providing input, this Guide ought more properly to be a living document which evolves over time to provide guidance to marina operators – not just as part of the planning process but for promoting ideas and best practice to all operators.	Noted. It will be used for both purposes. We will review guides over time, update and amend and re-consult as required.	No chan
#39	James Knight	Individual	The formal consultation process is really designed for strategic planning documents which are adopted for more than a few years, rather than for detailed guidance which, necessarily, should change over time.	The Broads Authority has undertaken consultation on many other guides that are used in planning and intends to produce more guides in future and intends to consult on them as well. We will review guides over time, update and amend and re-consult as required. The purpose of consultation is two fold. Firstly, people may have some really useful comments or observations that will improve the guide or correct it. Secondly, adoption reflects the fact that it has been through this process.	No chan
#40	James Knight	Individual	The relevant strategic planning policies for residential moorings are set out in policy DM37. By contrast, this Guide is largely concerned with providing opinions and guidance about current practices and has very little to do with planning.	The purpose of the guide is to provide information to support the policy and requirements and advice that may be useful.	No chan

his to the end of section 9: <u>Informative – Environmental Permit for Flood Risk</u> ties

vironmental permit for flood risk activities will be needed for any proposal that as to do work in, under, over or within 8 metres (m) from a fluvial main river and any flood defence structure or culvert or 16m from a tidal main river and from ood defence structure or culvert. Application forms and further information can und at: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-activities-environmentalits. Anyone carrying out these activities without a permit where one is required, is ing the law.

ange to document.

#41	James Knight	Individual	Planning relates to the use and development of land (which includes the land beneath water such as rivers, moorings and basins). The specific characteristics of vessels moored over land will generally fall outside the ambit of planning.	Noted.	No chang
#42	James Knight	Individual	Whilst accepting that the definition is set out in the adopted policy, there are still significant grey areas surrounding the meaning of "main residence". For example: a) Does "main residence" really mean "main UK residence"? If a person lives abroad for 6 months and on their boat on the Broads for 6 months, is this a residential mooring? b) If a person stays on their boat during the summer and in a (UK) house during the winter, where is their main residence?	Noted. This is assessed on a case by case basis.	No chang
#43	James Knight	Individual	Since it is the boat itself which is "residential" and not the mooring, and the policy wording ties the mooring to a specific singular vessel, the policy could easily be circumvented by moving boats from one mooring to another every 28 days.	The policy is related to the use of the land.	No chang
#44	James Knight	Individual	A more sensible and enforceable future policy might instead want to consider limiting the overall number of moorings which could be used for residential purposes within a given marina or location, rather than trying to define individual moorings as residential - which is essentially impossible.	Noted. There are a number of possible approaches. These can be discussed through the determination process.	See #85.
#45	James Knight	Individual	In reviewing the policy direction, officers ought to consider what it is that they are trying to achieve by drawing distinctions between different types of mooring and by treating residential moorings as some kind of special case.	We will note this as and when the policy/Local Plan is reviewed and seek views during any consultation.	No chang
#46	James Knight	Individual	Acceptable location for residential moorings 4.1. This section is simply a summary of the adopted policy and is therefore not open for consultation.	Noted.	No chang
#47	James Knight	Individual	The Guide requires mooring operators to detail the technique/method of mooring vessels in the FRA. It is an established fact of maritime law – and the Broads Authority's own navigation byelaws – that responsibility for the safe mooring of a vessel lies at all times with the master of a vessel. Broads Authority byelaw 58(1) (moored vessels to be properly secured) refers. Any planning condition which required the landowner to be responsible for the safe mooring of a navigable vessel would fail the NPPF para 55 tests because it would: a) not be relevant to planning; b) attempt to duplicate non-planning controls (the Navigation Byelaws); c) be unreasonable as the landowner could not be expected to exercise the necessary degree of monitoring or control over the master of a vessel; d) be unenforceable by virtue of the above. It would be perfectly reasonable to ask mooring operators to provide guidance on safe mooring techniques to their customers, but there can be no transfer of responsibility.	The flood risk assessment and flood response plan will more than likely be required early on in the process so they can be used to determine the application. The Local Plan says that the FRA should show how the vessel will be moored so it does not cause issues at times of flooding. The Guide and Local Plan do not require a condition for a site manager to take over the mooring of a vessel; simply to show/discuss/state how, vessels will be checked to ensure the way they are moored will not impact on the vessel and its contents or other boats etc. nearby. It may be that the responsibility of mooring lies with the master, but if a manager of a site sees something that could need improving in terms of mooring a vessel, especially when a flood event is likely/is happening, it seems reasonable that they may discuss this with the master perhaps. The Manager has responsibility for the site and a poorly moored vessel may impact others on the site. It might be, for example, that the applicant simply says that from time to time, and even more so when flooding could ensue, they will check how vessels are moored.	No chan
#48	James Knight	Individual	The Climate Change Checklist link on the Guide is broken, and the document does not appear to exist on the Broads Authority website. There is a climate change checklist within Local Plan policy DM9, which I take to be the relevant list. Whilst this is a very useful checklist for new land-based developments, it is hard to see how very much of it could be applied to residential moorings in any practical way – or indeed to moorings more generally.	Will check the link and amend. The Checklist is here: https://www.broads- authority.gov.uk/data/assets/word_doc/0009/1603656/Climate20change20checklist20template.docx and in the Local Plan. It is for the applicant to determine what aspects of the checklist are relevant and could be addressed in their scheme. If they think part is not relevant or does not apply, they have the option of ticking 'nil'.	Check lin
#49	James Knight	Individual	Clearly the existence of a management plan is of benefit to mooring operators, as well as to their residents and other berth holders.	Noted.	No chang
#50	James Knight	Individual	What is less clear is why the content of such a management plan could or should be within the ambit of planning. Planning authorities cannot prescribe the way in which businesses or moorings are managed. Management plans are operational documents, written to ensure that a business is run safely, efficiently, profitably and lawfully (including compliance with planning conditions).	The requirement for a Management Plan is in the adopted policy and this guide expands on what a Management Plan could address.	No chan

ange to document. ange to document. ange to document. 85. ange to document. ange to document. ange to document. clink. ange to document.

#51	James Knight	Individual	Planning conditions need to relate to planning and pass the NPPF para 55 tests. Amongst other things, they need to be specific, enforceable and not covered by other regulatory regimes. They should only be applied where they are necessary to make an unacceptable development acceptable. They can't simply be "nice to have".	Noted.	No chang
#52	James Knight	Individual	Boat safety certificates & insurance are matters for individual boat owners and are covered by the Broads Authority's own boat registration regulations. They cannot be turned into planning conditions imposed upon the mooring operator.	Noted. This is not the intention of the guide.	No chang
#53	James Knight	Individual	Similarly, noise pollution is covered by navigation byelaws as well as by district councils who are responsible for environmental protection - including waste management.	Noted. It is also addressed in the Local Plan as part of the Amenity policy, DM21.	No chang
#54	James Knight	Individual	Therefore, although the list is useful to an operator in terms of "things to consider", it would be inappropriate for any planning condition to require the existence of a management plan which featured such a list.	Noted and this will be considered on a case by case basis.	No chang
#55	James Knight	Individual	7.1. Where a planning condition restricts the number of residential moorings, it will be necessary to keep a register to ensure compliance with that planning condition. 7.2. The nature of the information made available for inspection to the LPA will need to be carefully considered to ensure compliance with GDPR and other privacy laws. It is important for the Broads Authority to consider what information it could require the operator to provide, and the reasons for requiring it.	Noted. The Authority is mindful of the requirements of other legislation.	No chan
#56	James Knight	Individual	Council Tax 8.1. This isn't a planning matter and there is no proposal upon which to consult, but it is useful information to provide in a guide.	Noted.	No chang
#57	James Knight	Individual	Facilities 9.1. This is all useful guidance and it is helpful to know how other marinas outside the Broads operate and provide facilities. 9.2. It might also be useful to provide details of relevant arrangements at marinas within the Broads, which offer residential moorings.	Noted. This information will be available on the operator's websites.	No chan
#58	James Knight	Individual	The questions within this section are posed as consultation queries but are really matters for individual planning applicants to consider. I cannot see how answers to these questions, provided as part of this consultation exercise, could inform the final version of a planning guidance document.	These questions are prompts for the applicant to answer as set out in Appendix D. They will remain as part of the final document. They are not consultation questions.	No chan
#59	James Knight	Individual	This Guide should not be considered as a planning document requiring adoption, but rather something to be continuously updated, intended to provide helpful guidance to new and existing residential mooring operators.	The purpose of this guide, like the other adopted guides, is to provide advice and information. It will be updated as required.	No chan
#60	James Knight	Individual	Planning (and other) documents from the LPA should not seek to prescribe the operational practices of businesses unless they directly relate to planning and are necessary in planning terms.	Noted.	No chan
#61	James Knight	Individual	Planning conditions must be limited to matters which are within the lawful control of the marina operator. They should never require the operator to be responsible for the conduct or actions of boat owners or others. Planning conditions which impose requirements on the operator relating to noise, boat safety, insurance, payment of tolls or mooring techniques would all probably be unlawful.	Noted.	No chan
#62	James Knight	Individual	Clarity is required on the nature of personal information which the operator can reasonably be asked to provide relating to individual berth holders.	Noted.	See #96,
#63	James Knight	Individual	The Guide as it stands is a hybrid document containing a mixture of planning policy, guidance, links, and questions aimed at specific applicants. It isn't capable of being "adopted" in the formal sense.	The purpose of the guide is to provide information to support the policy and requirements and advice that may be useful. By undertaking consultation, we get wider views which will strengthen the document. Adoption reflects the fact that it has been through this process.	No chan
#64	James Knight	Individual	The majority of the guidance (as distinct from the policy) is applicable to all moorings (not just residential ones), and the document ought to be re-imagined as a means of providing evolving guidance and best practice for marina operators - rather than seeking to prescribe operational procedures under the guise of planning conditions.	Noted. The suggestion about the potential wider role of the document is noted. But at this point, it is intended to focus primarily on residential moorings.	No chan

ange to document.		
ange to document.		
96, 97, 98 and 99.	 	
ange to document.		
ange to document.		

#65	James Knight	Individual	I am a Fellow of the Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors and I have lived and worked around the Broads for all of my life. In addition to enjoying recreational boating activities, I have worked in a professional capacity advising on planning matters. During the past 17 years, I have been a Director of three successful Broads tourism businesses, each employing over 60 people, and have engaged with the planning system as an applicant on numerous occasions. One of these businesses includes a marina which operates 10 residential moorings on the southern Broads. I am a former member of the RICS Governing Council, a South Norfolk District Councillor, and an appointed member of the Broads Authority and its Planning Committee. My response to this consultation is in my capacity as a private individual, property developer and company director. I am not responding in my capacity as a member of the Broads Authority or its Planning Committee.	Noted	No chan
#66	Hayley Goldson	Chedgrave Parish Clerk	The content of Marketing and Viability Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) and Residential Moorings Guide was considered by Chedgrave Parish Council on 7th May 2020. I can advise that councillors support the document as long as the guidelines described in the document are adhered to (particularly in relation to residential moorings).	Support noted.	No chan
#67	William Hollocks	Loddon Marina	Can you please give me some background on why these are being proposed as you have just produced an excellent document on the Broads Plan that went through an extensive review by every party and then the Inspector for the Secretary of State and then approved at the highest level of government.	Guides and SPDs provide more detail on certain policies in Local Plans. For example, the moorings and riverbank stabilisation guide that we adopted a few years back and the Flood Risk SPD we soon adopted all provide much more detail than would be appropriate in a Local Plan. Policies in the Local Plan provide the hooks for the guides and SPDs. SPDs and Guides help with the implementation of policies. A Local Planning Authority does not need to produce them, but can do.	
#68	William Hollocks	Loddon Marina	With regards the residential moorings there is every kind of boat currently on the Broads many used as residential and the BA already has bye law on this so why are the Planning Department trying to be a dictator on a matter which is under another departments jurisdiction (Lucy). The criteria for our residential moorings is well covered in policy LOD1. Does this mean that every boat that does meet this policy will be excluded from the Broads.		No chan
#69	William Hollocks	Loddon Marina	Can you please confirm that any policy you end up will be approved by the Secretary of State though his Inspector as an approved amendment to your Broads Plan. Without this as far as I can see it will be another attempt by the Planning Dictatorship to control the further deterioration of the business's on the Broads and will not be worth the paper it is written on	These are not policies. These documents help to implement policies. Guides are not prescribed by regulations, but SPDs are. SPDs have a set procedure (see the regulations: http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2012/767/part/5/made) and the Planning Inspector is not part of the process.	No chan
#70	William Hollocks	Loddon Marina	By copy of this e-mail to DR Packman, Lucy as the responsible manager for navigation and bye laws on boats and Marie as the boss of the out of control Planning Department I am asking to put a stop to these amendments as it is a complete waste of money and has no justification to be in the public interest.	Noted	No chan
#71	William Hollocks	Loddon Marina	I am more than happy to start a campaign of getting support not for comments to the policies but to get them stopped. We are happy to lobby every Parish Council, BA members, Councils, MP's etc. I have also copied Mr Tarry as he is working with various parties to contribute to the consultation process.	Noted. But guides and SDPs are not policies. They help with the implementation of adopted policies	No chan
#72	Thomas Foreman	Thorpe St Andrew Town Council	The Committee welcomed the consultation by the Broads Authority on its Residential Moorings Guide and felt it was a very well-considered document.	Support noted.	No chan
#73	Thomas Foreman	Thorpe St Andrew Town Council	The Committee noted the important difference between houseboats and residential moorings, however, it was queried how the policy would apply to mixed sites with both houseboats and residential mooring.	The Local Plan at page 118, second para says that we expect schemes for residential moorings to be occupied by vessels regarded as boats and that houseboats will be dealt with on a case by case basis. That would be the same for mixed schemes, if any were to come forward as a planning application.	No chan
#74	Thomas Foreman	Thorpe St Andrew Town Council	The Committee felt that the management plan was a positive step, particularly with site rules explaining who is resident and how waste will be managed. As part of this section, it was felt the need for an Emergency Evacuation Plan should be included. If safe access for emergency service vehicles is important, it is also important to consider how people might evacuate prior to (or when) the emergency services arrive.	Noted. Access by emergency services would be considered as part of determining the application as per criterion g of DM37.	f No chan
#75	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	RBOA is keen to work with the Broads Authority with a view to demonstrating how, with good management controls at site level, residential boaters are of considerable benefit to moorings operators, other berth holders, local communities and the Norfolk Broads as a whole. It is to be hoped that if demand for residential moorings exceeds the 63 already identified, then BA might consider increasing the figure in order to meet that demand.	Imaximum and we would not deny applications because we have permitted 63 residential moorings	No char

change to document.
change to document.
change to document but see rows #21 and 22.
change to document.

#76	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	 Quite apart from the legal requirement and the many general benefits of having water based residents, to Navigation Authorities and to moorings providers, responsible residential boaters are particularly known to provide: Added security: Not just in terms of property - land dwellers, walkers, boaters and other waterway users often confirm that they feel safer knowing there are people living on the water, close by. Local knowledge: Visitors to areas where there are live-aboards frequently interact and benefit from the available local knowledge, usually freely given, to enhance the visitors' enjoyment of the region. Safety: Where there are residential craft moored, by nature usually occupied by necessarily safety aware individuals, others who might get into trouble near, in or on the water have potential assistance immediately at hand. 	Noted.	No chang
#77	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	We welcome the distinction between houseboats and other residential craft and would suggest a good, clear description be used to clarify what constitutes those other residential craft. RBOA suggests - traditional in that they would be identified as boats by "the man on the Clapham Omnibus".	The Local Plan described what is expected at these moorings in the supporting text of DM37. Any changes to that wording would need to be as part of the Local Plan review.	No chan
#78	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	It is hoped that planning restrictions on houseboats might be negotiable with the applicants, rather than overly dictatorial, something that could be made clear in The Guide.	The Local Plan is clear that houseboats will be judged on a case by case basis.	No chan
#79	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	Some marinas and boatyards already have on-line moorings. To locate a small number of residential slots in amongst those already existing moorings would impede neither navigation nor access to facilities. Perhaps this could be made clearer in The Guide.	Planning applications will be determined against relevant policies in the Local Plan. Policy SP13 will be relevant and impact on navigation is included on DM37 at criterion d. Again, the policy DM37 sets out where residential moorings will be acceptable and other than in Norwich, that is in marinas or boatyards rather than on line. if the RBOA wish for that to be changed, it is something to discuss as part of the review of the Local Plan.	No chan
#80	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	Some residential boaters have little need for nearby access to most "key" services; for instance, BA acknowledges that many residential boaters are single, perhaps slightly older (without children on board) and/or even fully retired. It is hoped that BA will look favourably on applications for residential berths in areas that are a little remote from such services and could state that fact in The Guide.	The Local Plan for the Broads was adopted in 2019. The policy sets out the requirements for the location of residential moorings and this guide cannot change policy. DM37 is clear about where residential moorings will be deemed acceptable. Indeed, access to services was a key consideration when assessing the allocations in the Local Plan for residential moorings.	No chan
#81	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	Flood Risk and Climate Change BA comments in The Guide are clear.	Noted	No chan
#82	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	RBOA will readily offer advice to operators who may need assistance with creating Management Plans covering "responsible" residential boating. RBOA acknowledges BA's reference to our Association and/or our website and would like to see that reference strengthened within The Guide. RBOA anticipates no commercial benefit from providing such advice. Follow up: As regards what you might further comment on RBOA, perhaps just a mention that we do liaise with most major Navigation Authorities would suffice - if you agree and feel it appropriate, then all well and good	Agreed, will add the extra wording to the RBOA paragraph.	The Resi 1963 the exclusive British Is <u>RBOA en</u> https://v
#83	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	RBOA acknowledges that clear, strong and fair site management is the key to acceptable live- aboard craft. Good management will encourage responsible site maintenance and preservation of natural habitat and biodiversity. Reference in The Guide to LILO (Low Impact Living Aboard) might be advisable – RBOA can provide guidance in this respect if required. Follow up: For us, the points we would like to get across are that we (RBOA) are keen to lead operators and customers in the direction of Low Impact Life On Board and, through RBOA, there is a wealth of advice in that respect. Many will hopefully recognise the anachronism (LILO) and seek us out for such free advice.	Agree. Will add reference to LILO.	9.12 Low In responding the service of the service o
#84	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	RBOA believes that boats used as primary residences should not stand out from leisure craft moored in the same vicinities. We would support BA in taking a similar stance and including such advice in The Guide.	The policy and guide seek to influence and guide the moorings and use of land rather than what boats look like. It seems that is will be down to the owner of the boat and management of the moorings.	No chan

ange to document.

ange to document.

ange to document. Also see comments #21 and 22.

ange to document.

ange to document.

ange to document.

Residential Boat Owners' Associations (RBOA). Their website says: 'Established in the Residential Boat Owners' Association is the only national organisation which sively represents and promotes the interests of people living on boats in the h Isles. We represent all those who have chosen to make a boat their home'. <u>The</u> <u>A ensure they liaise with Navigation Authorities like the Broads Authority.</u> ://www.rboa.org.uk/

Low Impact Life on Board

sponse to the consultation on this guide, the RBOA were keen to emphasise Low ct Life On Board and, through RBOA, there is a wealth of advice in that respect. impact life on board is an expression from UK waterways boaters who care about nvironment.

#85	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	RBOA believes that moorings operators should have absolute (but reasonable) control of boat placements within their operational sites and would like to see this mentioned in The Guide.	Agreed. Will add text.	Amend s another mooring <u>permittee</u> <u>maximuu</u> <u>the mari</u> <u>Planning</u> <u>mooring</u> <u>would the</u> <u>manager</u>
#86	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	It is reasonable to expect that any proposed inspection of moorings operators' records should only be requested with a good reason so to do. Such request should be negotiable with the operator, which fact should be made clear in The Guide.	Noted. See #96, 97, 98 and 99.	See #96,
#87	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	RBOA has extensive experience of Council Tax issues; again RBOA would be happy for The Guide to refer to our Association and/or our website for advice.	Agreed. Will add text.	At section
#88	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	Some facilities which may not be available "on site" but are available just a short cruise away from base are perfectly acceptable to many live-aboards. This is often the case on other waterways. RBOA suggests that point be clearly made within The Guide. We believe it reasonable to acknowledge that many live-aboard requirements, such as laundry, clothes drying, storage, etc. are often fully catered for within the confines of the craft (boat) itself. Moorings operators might be advised, via The Guide, that such a requirement could be part of their own Management Plan.	The guide is clear in that it says the section relating to facilities are things that an operator may wish to make available. It gives examples and case studies. The elements of this section tend to relate to policy criteria and so the application will need to show how they are addressed. It is up to the operator to consider how the needs of those living on their boats will be catered for.	No chan
#89	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	Key Messages A good summary.	Noted	No chang
#90	Alan Wildman	Residential Boat Owners' Association	Helpful links and where to go to get advice RBOA would encourage emphasis on the fact that we are a wholly volunteer group unlike other (commercial) organisations – our focus is not on RBOA revenue generation, but is solely on achieving our aims, as declared at the head of this response paper – RBOA is dedicated solely to the protection, promotion, universal acceptance and continued development of "responsible" residential boating (living-aboard).	Noted. Consider the reference to the various organisations adequately addressed in the Guide.	No chan
#91	Jason Beck	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council, Planning Policy Department has no comments to make on this document.	Noted	No chan
#92	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Councillors welcomed a management plan for the sites with residential moorings. LPC believes that a management plan would ensure the site was is well managed and will help protect land and boat residents from anti-social behaviour.	Support noted.	No chan
#93	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Councillors welcomed that consideration is being given to climate change, and agreed that it is important to consider the necessity for a site to have adequate provision for waste, sewage disposal and the prevention of pollution. How will these considerations be monitored?	The ability to meet these requirements will be part of the assessment and form part of planning conditions which are routinely monitored.	No chan
#94	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Councillors consider it is important that a safety plan for flooding is taken into consideration for the safety of the residents on the boat.	Support noted.	No chang
#95	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Councillors welcomed the policy regarding the necessity for facilities and services available for residential moorings, it is important for people living on land or water to have access to adequate services and facilities.	Support noted.	No chan
#96	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Councillors raised concerns over the necessity of a register and have asked why it is necessary for this information to be held by the site owner?	The site owner will log who is on what mooring and whether they are residential moorings or other types of	Add som 7. Regist
#97	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	What purpose does holding this register have for site owners?	moorings they offer, just like any business would keep records. The Broads Authority would request to see this register from time to time to ensure that only the permitted number of boats are being lived on. It would be for the	A registe which bo
#98	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Is this retention of register data inline with GDPR and should this information be held securely, and for what length of time the data be stored.	operator to ensure they kept their register in line with GDPR requirements. This approach is similar to the requirement that holiday accommodation operators have - to keep a log of those who stay, including the time p for periodic inspection by the Broads Authority, again to ensure the accommodation is being used as permitted	<u>Broads A</u> for keepi lived on.
#99	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Why do the Broads Authority need to inspect this register?		
#100	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	We would note that management of any antisocial behaviour by occupants of residential boats is dependent on the quality of designated site manager and what further control measures could be put in place to help the site manager deal with anti-social behaviour?	Operators will no doubt use a contract that sets out the requirements of staying on a boat at their site, including why and how such contract could be terminated. Perhaps anti-social behaviour may be such a reason for termination of a contract. Also such behaviour can be reported to the police or Council just the same as one would if there was anti-social behaviour from those living in a house on land.	No chan

nd section 4 so there are two sub sections - one for geographical location and her for location of residential moorings within a site. Under location of residential rings within a site add: 'An applicant may want certain specific moorings to be hitted for residential moorings or may want an area to be permitted with a imum number of residential moorings within that area, to reflect the operations of marina or boatyard or site. This will need to be discussed and agreed with the Local ning Authority. It will then be for the operator of the site to control which rings are used for residential moorings in line with the permission granted. This ld then be logged in the register and the approach may be explained in the agement plan'.

96, 97, 98 and 99.

tion 8 add: 'and the RBOA also have information on Council Tax: ://www.rboa.org.uk/q-a/'

ange to document.

ome further explanatory text to this section: gister

ister of those boats being lived on will be required. The register of who lives on h boat will be maintained at all times and is made available for inspections <u>by the</u> ds Authority as part of monitoring of conditions set on any permission. The reason eeping this register is to ensure that only the permitted number of boats are being on.

#101	Emily Curtis	Loddon Parish Council	Will the Broads Authority have the power to withdraw a site owners licence to accommodate residential boats in the event of recurrent anti social behaviour?	Planning permission, once granted, cannot be revoked like a licence might be. Anti-social behaviour by those living on their boats will be down to the management of the site. Anti-social behaviour will be dealt with in the same way it will be dealt with in any other sort of housing.	t No changes
#102	Rachel Card	NSBA	The Norfolk and Suffolk Boating Association (NSBA) thanks the Broads Authority for the opportunity to participate in consultation on the above planning policy guide. The NSBA has no comment to make with regard to the advice and policies in this document.	Noted.	No change t
#103	Paul Harris	SNDC and BDC	I note that the document is referred to as a Guide, and whilst it is expressly 'designed to help implement the policies of the Local Plan' and seeks to elaborate on the adopted Broads Local Plan Policy DM37 - New Residential Moorings, it does not appear that you intend to adopt the Guide as a Supplement Planning Document, with the status which that confers.	Correct. We have a few other guides as well: https://www.broads-authority.gov.uk/planning/planning- permission/design-guides	No change t
#104	Paul Harris		Overall the Guide contains a range of useful information; however, at various points throughout the document, it is not entirely clear what issues the Broads Authority consider to be material to determining planning applications, and what is useful background information. The document could give the impression that a significant amount of information will be required to support a planning application, where this may not actually be the case.	The purpose of the guide is to provide information to support the policy and requirements and advice that may be useful.	No change t
#105	Paul Harris	SNDC and BDC	Section 5 – Flood risk and Climate Change The aims of this section, to ensure that safety considerations are assessed as part of any Flood Risk Assessment and Flood Response Plan, are supported. The Guide relates this section to Local Plan Policies DM5 and DM37, but it is not clear how much of the suggested information would actually be required to support a planning application; if this information is being required for planning purposes, consideration needs to be given as to (a) how requirements will be monitored, (b) whether they are enforceable under planning legislation and (c) whether they might already be covered by other legislation.	This section generally copies over text from the Local Plan. However amendments following this consultation, as detailed at #23 and #24 provide greater detail. Also see response to #47.	See #23, 24
#106	Paul Harris	SNDC and BDC	Section 6 – Management Plan Whilst it could be useful to condition a management plan as part of any planning permission, the list of issues covered would appear to extend beyond those related to the planning aspects of development; for example, conditioning a management plan that incorporates the 'site rules' or 'terms and conditions' could be requiring information that is largely concerned with non-planning issues. In addition, a number of the issues the Guide suggests the management plan could cover would appear to be seeking/requiring a level of detail that would not be required for a more regular residential development, even where that development has shared/communal/public space – the Guide does not make it clear why this level is required, and how it might be used to enforce a planning condition.	The points made are noted. And it is accepted that there is a level of detail set out in the guide, and required to help assess a planning application and this level of details goes beyond that which would be requested for a land based dwelling. However, as a unit of accommodation, residential moorings are different. Facilities required aren't routinely provided on sites which may be used for residential moorings (e.g. waste, pollution prevention, electricity) so we need to see how they will be provide. And there is a level of risk for example from drowning which is not usually present with bricks and mortar accommodation. The purpose of the guide is to prompt people to think about these things.	No change t
#107	Paul Harris	SNDC and BDC	Section 7 – Register Again the Guide does not make it clear why a register of who lives on each boat is required for residential moorings (as opposed to a register of the moorings themselves), this would only seem relevant if the Broads Authority was applying specific occupancy conditions to a site; however, this is not clear from the Guide.	Noted. See #96, 97, 98 and 99.	See #96, 97
#108	Paul Harris	SNDC and BDC	Section 8 – Council Tax It is useful to highlight need to speak with relevant Local Authorities regarding Council Tax, although it may be worth highlighting that this does not affect the planning decision on a particular site.	This section gives information and provides links to find out more as well as advising operators to contact the relevant district. There is nothing in the text to say that we require the applicant to do something.	No change t
#109	Paul Harris	SNDC and BDC	Section 9 – Facilities This sets out a useful checklist for site providers. Again, what is not entirely clear is which of these issues could be material to any decision on a planning application e.g. car parking, or amenity space provision and which provides useful sources of background information e.g. methods of potable water supply.	Section 9.1 to 9.8 relate to topics quoted in the policy. The section is also quite clear in that it uses some examples from elsewhere, but to discuss the approach favoured by the operator with the Broads Authority. 9.9 refers to other facilities/extras to consider.	No change

anges to document.

ange to document.

ange to document.

ange to document.

23, 24 and 47.

ange to document.

96, 97, 98 and 99.

ange to document.

#1:	10	Paul Harris	SNDC and BDC	In conclusion, the document contains a lot of useful information for the providers of residential moorings. By linking the document closely to adopted Local Plan Policy DM37, it gives the impression that the Guide is setting out the information that will be required to determine planning applications under that policy; however, in some instances this appears not to be the case. As such, it would be useful if the document were more clearly structured to emphasise that information which might be used to determine a planning application, and that information which is a useful resource to site providers about good practice and achieving the best quality of provision.	This is a summary comment and the issues raised have been addressed in previous comments.	See previ
#1:	11	Paul Fletcher	Beccles Parish Council	I realise that I have missed the deadline for responses on the above document, (Neighbourhood Plan and Beccles Society have taken up too much of my time). Nevertheless, I thought that you might like to know that we felt that it was a very comprehensive all encompassing document and we had no adverse comments to make.	Support noted.	No chan

revious comments.

Appendix 2 - Revised draft Residential Moorings Guide - responses to second consultation

Ref	Name	Organisation	Comment	BA response	Ame
#1	Philip Linnell	Individual	I thought the Guide very conclusive and well written. There are a few additional considerations that have come to light due to our situation:	Noted. General support welcomed.	No cl
#2	Philip Linnell	Individual	1. Insurance – I have seem more sunken residential boats that non-residential. I would suggest that the proposed boats register also contains insurance details, and this is also managed by the moorings manager. The Guide does refer to a specific policy for residential boats, you may want to consider specifying what specific events the insurance must cover.	The Guide does refer to insurance - at section 6 i and section 11. During the previous consultation, a respondent stated that reference to insurance should be removed, as it is not a planning issue. So to have the reference we do strikes a balance between that respondent's view and Mr Linnell's.	No c
#3	Philip Linnell	Individual	2. Waste – the Guide is conclusive, however in the HOR6 site there would be no direct link to the mains waste service. The immediate neighbour is connected via a pump which he maintains himself. Your guide does not quite cover the scenario of the maintenance of a pumped waste collection facility.	Noted. All planning applications are assessed against all relevant policies of the Local Plan. In the Local Plan, the issue of foul water is addressed at policy DM2 (referenced in the Guide at line 249). We also have a joint position statement with the Environment Agency, Anglian Water and North Norfolk District Council that essentially prevents any development that results in more foul water to the Water Recycling Centre near Horning (Knackers Wood), until such time as the capacity of the Centre has improved. That is why HOR6 is scheduled for after 2024 after which is hoped the capacity issues will have been addressed.	No c'
#4	Philip Linnell	Individual	3. Fire Access – there needs to be an area large enough for a fire engine to turn around. I am not sure of when this stipulation is required, however there needs to be reference to fire access. I have seen a boat burn and it is pretty frightening.	Noted. The Local Plan adopted policy on residential moorings refers to emergency vehicle access at criterion g. As background, when this policy was being examined by the Planning Inspector, in response to comment by Thorpe St Andrew Town Council, who called for more text in this regard the Inspector concluded the wording was adequate. When we review the Local Plan, we will look into if this wording can be improved. Turning to the guide, at line 310, there is reference to fire evacuation.	Í No cl
#5	Philip Linnell	Individual	4. Flooding – how far should the mooring be from the nearest dry land in the event of flooding? Is it easily reachable?	Noted. The actual residential moorings policy has a section in the supporting text relating to flood risk which sets out the various requirements. One of the issues that any application needs to address is the need for a flood response plan. There is information in the Guide about the issue of refuges. Also, any application would need to address the requirements of the recently adopted Flood Risk Supplementary Planning Document. We therefore consider the issue of flood risk adequately covered in the planning policy documents.	
#6	Philip Linnell	Individual	5. Road access – although not really a planning issue, the land owner should ensure that there is sufficient right of way provision for this change of use.	Residential Moorings are the same as any other development in that we would expect the site plan to show that there is access to the public highway.	No c
#7	Philip Linnell	Individual	6. Sound Nuisance – the Guide covers the boat owners creating noise, but not them suffering from noise already coming from the surrounding area. For example Horning Sailing Club start sailing early on Sundays all year around, have noisy children's sessions, fire guns and hold late night parties, with their only access bridge very near to the proposed moorings. A check for noise and other existing disturbances (like busy roads) should be part of the suitability check.	Noted. As part of assessing any planning application, we consider the issue of Amenity. See policy DM21. So existing land uses will be considered in that assessment. I do note however that the proposed site for residential moorings at Horning is a similar distance from the sailing club as the dwellings like Heronshaw and Romany. Finally, the guide refers to amenity at lines 178, 509.	Noc
#8	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	In section 3 of the report, there is a discussion on the Environment Agency's consideration of the flood risk vulnerability classes for houseboats and boats. Please can you clarify whether this perspective is a national or local perspective? In addition, please can you confirm what the supporting documentation is?	We asked the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying 'Planning law about residential moorings (including vulnerability classification) for boats is complex. Marinas are classified in the PPG as 'water compatible', but there is less certainty over moorings outside marinas. Generally it is considered that residential boats that are navigable are water compatible, however it is up to the LPA to make the final decision. The consideration of non-navigable houseboats as more vulnerable was a local opinion based on our understanding of the NPPF and PPG. It is the responsibility of the Broads Authority to determine the vulnerability classification of non-navigable houseboats. However it is acknowledged by internal EA guidance that purpose-built floating structures that cannot be used for navigation (e.g. floating mobile homes or chalets) are often attached to pontoons and therefore more susceptible to being damaged and swept away in a flood. This places their occupants and others at greater risk. If houseboats are to be sited in Flood Zone 3b then they would be considered an inappropriate development type unless they are classed as either water compatible or essential infrastructure. The only type of residential development that is classed as water compatible in the PPG is 'ancillary accommodation for staff involved in water compatible land uses that are compatible with Flood Zone 3B'. So the LPA should take this into account when making their decisions on vulnerability'.	No cl

nendments
o change to Guide.

	#9	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	In section 5 of the report, the documentation introduces the need for a Flood Risk Assessment and a Flood Response Plan. However, there is no mention in this section of the supporting guidance on the preparation of these documents. Please ensure the inclusion of links to: • The Environment Agency guidance on the preparation of a Flood Risk Assessment - https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-for-planning-applications and https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessment-in-flood-zones-2-and-3 • The Environment Agency's guidance on climate change for flood risk assessments - https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-assessments-climate-change-allowances • The LLFA's Developer Guidance - https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/rubbish-recycling- and- planning/flood-and-water-management/information-for-developers • The latest ADEPT guidance on emergency flood plans for new development - https://www.adeptnet.org.uk/system/files/documents/ADEPT%20%26%20EA%20FI ood%20risk%20emergency%20plans%20for%20new%20development%20Septem ber%202019. pdf These links will need to be referenced in other sections throughout the report to ensure the reader or developer has every opportunity to understand the requirements.		No cł
	#10	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	It should be noted that the ADEPT guidance on emergency flood plans for new developments states that "the LPA will have to form an overall view of its adequacy and be satisfied it can be safely and reasonably achieved before determining the planning application. It is not appropriate to defer consideration of emergency planning matters using pre-commencement planning conditions." In addition, the ADEPT guidance is clear that while the local authority emergency planners are not statutory consultees and have no explicit statutory requirement to approve or deliver Emergency Plans, the Planning Practice Guidance is clear that they should be consulted by the LPA to advise on proposals that have emergency planning implications for flood risk.	Noted. We do not have Emergency Planners and at the moment we are discussing how our district Emergency Planners can be involved in our applications that have a flood response plan. Adept guidance is referred to in our SPD.	No cł
	#11	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	Further to this matter, does the Broads Authority have a map of where all the residential moorings are located and whether both a flood response plan and a management plan have been provided for the residential moorings? How will the Broads Authority ensure that these plans remain current?	At the moment, only one scheme is in operation - at Waveney River Centre in South Norfolk. Permitted on appeal, before the policy of residential moorings was adopted. A condition on that permission was the production of a flood response plan. This was completed in 2018. Another scheme has been permitted - at Marina Quays in Great Yarmouth. The flood response plan formed part of the flood risk assessment and the scheme was approved. Regarding reviewing the flood response plan, this is mentioned in the guidance in the recently adopted Flood Risk SPD.	No cł
					We asked the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying 'In terms of the Flood Risk Assessment requirements, the FRA should include information on; •The nature of the flooding in the proposed location and the impact it could have on the development and its users •What needs to be done to ensure it is safe in the event of flooding in the proposed location in the context of its users •What needs to be done to ensure the floating structure will be adequately secured in the event of a flood in the proposed location, considering the risk if the proposed development becomes mobile in the event of a flood (for example, if downstream of the location there are bridges, if the structure became mobile it could cause a blockage and increase flood risk elsewhere)	-
#	:12	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	In section 5, line 143 to line 155, it is indicated that the Environment Agency have stated particular requirements of the FRA and mooring requirements during a time of flood. However, there is no reference as to where these stated Environment Agency requirements/guidance is derived from. Please can you include a reference in the revised version of the guide?	It is a key policy of the NPPF that occupants should be safe in a flood, and that an extreme (0.1%) flood can be managed through evacuation in advance of a flood. Paragraph 40 of the NPPF PPG states 'To demonstrate to the satisfaction of the local planning authority that the development will be safe for its lifetime taking account of the vulnerability of its users, a site-specific flood risk assessment may need to show that appropriate evacuation and flood response procedures are in place to manage the residual risk associated with an extreme flood event'. It also states that 'Proposals that are likely to increase the number of people living or working in areas of flood risk require particularly careful consideration, as they could increase the scale of any evacuation required. To mitigate this impact it is especially important to look at ways in which the development could help to reduce the overall consequences of flooding in the locality, either through its design (recognising that some forms of development may be more resistant or resilient to floods than others) or through off-site works that benefit the area more generally.	Follo

o change to Guide.

o change to Guide.

o change to Guide.

ollowing discussions with the EA, no changes required to the uide.

Image: Sample Left Left Interfield color reliant and restant engin. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change wind be abeter write in the set on 2.0% AEP (1 in 0.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. We set the EA for their thoughts. They responded saying: We agree that 0.1% AEP 1 in 1.000 (wind) climate change. 113 Sarah Luff LEFA, Norfok County Council Currently, the guide presents climate change adve in the future. The application the climate change. We climate change challes in the climate change adve in the future. The application the climate change. We set the Climate change challes							-
#13 Strin UUT LUFA, Norfok Courty Court Factures is calculate in and resistant design. Notes: In France Normalia SU, Petertity September, Nin Berger, Nin B						development in the event of an extreme flood, to reduce the consequences of flooding in the locality and the reliance on prior evacuation. Therefore we prefer new more vulnerable development, such as dwellings, to have a refuge as a fall back measure should flood warnings not be received in time for evacuation, although we do advise that it is the LPA and Emergency Planner's role to ensure safety of occupants in absence of safe access and/or refuge and to determine whether the proposed Flood Response Plan can manage the safety of the occupants. Therefore we consider that similar requirements apply here as there will be people living on the residential boats. It is preferable for the residential boat to be able to rise high enough to provide refuge in the extreme event, should flood warnings not be received in time, to provide a fall back safety measure. If this refuge was not provided, then it would be up to the LPA and Emergency Planner to determine whether the development would be safe without a higher refuge. Also paragraph 060 if the NPPF PPG states that 'The first preference should be to avoid flood risk. Where it is not possible, a building and its surrounds (at site level) may be constructed to avoid it being flooded (e.g. by raising it above the design flood level).' While a residential boat might not be a building we consider that the same principles apply so the boat should be able to raise up so	
Pact Sarah Luff LLPA, Norfolk County Council Furthermore, in this section the notation of the flood events could be clearer. Mease review and potate how these are noted. For example, currently the text state "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (climate change would be a better winding, and apolgase that AEP or annual explaints how these are noted. For example, currently the text state "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (climate change would be a better winding, and apolgase that AEP or annual explaints change. We added text from the LDDD (state Change the text state "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (climate change would be a better woulding, and apolgase that AEP or annual explaints change would be a better woulding, and apolgase that AEP or annual explaints change would be a text from the LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state change the text state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDD (state) the state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDDD (state) the state) "0.14 k LPL in LDDDD (state) thes	#	13 Sara	ah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council		Noted. The Flood Risk SPD, recently adopted, will be of relevance.	No
#15 Sarah Luff LLFA, Norfolk County Council integrated within all agestes of designs and eventy character age allowance within floor six assessment and designs and eventy council in the Local Plan which was adopted in May 2019. Other polices in the design and management requirements for residential moorings? For reference, this approach is in line with the developments on land within the county. In edimate change checklist is from the Local Plan which was adopted in May 2019. Other polices in the Local Plan which was adopted in May 2019. Other polices of the design and management requirements for residential moorings? For reference, this approach is in line with the developments on land within the county. In the clinate change checklist is from the Local Plan which was adopted in May 2019. Other polices in the Local Plan which was adopted in May 2019. Other polices of the design and management requirements for residential moorings? For reference, this approach is in line with the developments on land within the county. In edimate change checklist is from the Local Plan which was adopted in May 2019. Other polices in the Local Plan which was adopted in May 2019. Other polices of the design and management requirements for residential moorings? For reference, this approach to development. The SPD also refers to the allowances. #16 Sarah Luff LLFA, Norfolk County Council Follow up: We agree that the matter of the consideration to pollution and water quality management in flood risk areas should be given during periods of high flows is not just relevant to resist reference to the relevant guidance of high flows is not just relevant to resist reference to other guidance. Make change #17 Sarah Luff LLFA, Norfolk County Council if it so nay a cross reference to other guidanc	#	14 Sara	ah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	Furthermore, in this section the notation of the flood events could be clearer. Please review and update how these are noted. For example, currently the text states "0.1% (1 in 1000) climate change flood level" which would be better written as "0.1% AEP (1 in 1,000 year) plus climate change",	year) plus climate change would be a better wording, and apologise that AEP or annual	Am
#16 Sarah Luff LLFA, Norfolk County Council Follow up: We agree that the matter of the consideration to pollution and water quality management in flood risk areas should be given during periods of high flows. Please can further information on this matter be included on the guidance? Make change #16 Sarah Luff LLFA, Norfolk County Council Follow up: We agree that the matter of the consideration to pollution and water quality management in flood risk areas should be given during periods of high flows is not just relevant to residential moorings. We would suggest that if you have guidance that applies to all sites that you cross reference to the relevant guidance within this (and others) guide as appropriate. Regarding on site, it is more a point of raising inconsistency within the guidance document. If it is raised as a specific concern associated with residential moorings, then it is appropriate to provide guidance even if it is only a cross reference to the relevant to associated with section 9 looking at the policy and section 6 looking at the management plan. The section stating the policy would normally be presented first in a guidance document, then naturally lead to the section with the management measures relating to the policy. We would suggest that section 9 should come before section 6 or even for the two sections to be combined. We are content with the order of the document. #18 Sarah Luff LLFA, Norfolk County Council In section 9, the policy extract that is provided does not cross reference either internally or We will remove policy. It was useful for when consulting on the Guide, but reference to the policy be complexed.	#	15 Sara	ah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	development management. Fundamentally climate change adaption and allowances should be integrated within all aspects of designs and developments for use in the future. The application of climate change allowance within flood risk assessment and design is compulsory to represent the future scenario. Therefore, please can the climate change check list be integrated within all aspects of the design and management requirements for residential moorings? For reference, this approach	in the Local Plan will apply to schemes as well as the Flood Risk SPD. Both the Local Plan and SPD	
#17 Sarah Luff LLFA, Norfolk County Council section 6 looking at the management plan. The section stating the policy would normally be presented first in a guidance document, then naturally lead to the section with the management measures relating to the policy. We would suggest that section 9 should come before section 6 or even for the two sections to be combined. We are content with the order of the document. Norfolk County Council In section 9, the policy extract that is provided does not cross reference either internally or We will remove policy. It was useful for when consulting on the Guide, but reference to the policy B B	#	16 Sara	ah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	however there is no guidance on the consideration to pollution and water quality management in flood risk areas should be given during periods of high flows. Please can further information on this matter be included on the guidance? Follow up: We agree that the matter of the consideration to pollution and water quality management in flood risk areas should be given during periods of high flows is not just relevant to residential moorings. We would suggest that if you have guidance that applies to all sites that you cross reference to the relevant guidance within this (and others) guide as appropriate. Regarding noise, it is more a point of raising inconsistency within the guidance document. If it is raised as a specific concern associated with residential moorings, then it is appropriate to provide guidance	Make change	Ma
	#	17 Sara	ah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	section 6 looking at the management plan. The section stating the policy would normally be presented first in a guidance document, then naturally lead to the section with the management measures relating to the policy. We would suggest that section 9 should come before section 6 or	We are content with the order of the document.	No
	#	18 Sara	ah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council			Ren

No change to Guide.

Amend the wording to reflect the comment.

No change to Guide.

Make change to section 6 and 9 to refer to location of waste storage near to water.

No change to Guide.

Remove policy from guide and cross refer to Local Plan.

#19	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	The guidance document notes the importance of being able to provide a power supply to reduce pollution and noise issues, yet no mention of renewable energy facilities is made or encouraged within the guide. Please can further information and suitable cross referencing to other policy documents be made within the guide?		Add <u>9.3.4</u> <u>An o</u> <u>The</u> page
#20	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	With regard to the water supplies and the discussion on the Environment Agency's regulation of abstractions, the inclusion of a link to the Environment Agency's abstraction guidance would be useful to the readers.	Asked the EA for their guidance. They said they are not aware of a particular guide itself but that we could include guidance within the supporting text for applying for a licence here https://www.gov.uk/guidance/water-management-apply-for-a-water-abstraction-or- impoundment-licence or managing a licence here https://www.gov.uk/guidance/manage-your- water-abstraction-or-impoundment-licences-online	Add
#21	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	The sewerage provision is a requirement at the proposed residential moorings. As these provisions are likely to be located in an area at flood risk, further guidance and appropriate cross references should be included with in this guidance to consider operation and pollution prevention during high flow conditions and flood events. Follow up: We agree that the matter of the consideration to pollution and water quality management in flood risk areas should be given during periods of high flows is not just relevant to residential moorings. We would suggest that if you have guidance that applies to all sites that you cross reference to the relevant guidance within this (and others) guide as appropriate. Regarding noise, it is more a point of raising inconsistency within the guidance document. If it is raised as a specific concern associated with residential moorings, then it is appropriate to provide guidance even if it is only a cross reference to other guidance.	Asked the EA for their guidance. They said that 'in terms of covering flood risk off you could highlight that a Flood Risk Assessment may be required for these facilities. A permit will also be required for any development within 8m of the main river. You could reference that the sewerage provision for the residential moorings must have adequate pollution prevention measures in place at all times (and that would also cover any flood events)'.	Add 8m i Add
#22	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	Within the guidance document, there was an initial mention of noise within the guidance as a consideration, however, no further guidance was then provided on how to manage and address the issue. Please can further information and suitable cross referencing to other policy documents be made.	Whilst noise is a consideration, marinas and boatyards are areas where work is taken place, so those living on boats in those areas should expect a certain level of noise. We already refer to the amenity policy so noise pollution is a consideration. We would expect the terms and conditions the are signed up to by someone living on a boat at a marina or boatyard to have a clause relating to noise.	No c
#23	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	The guidance indicates the provision of amenity space and landscaping is necessary, although it should be noted that any development of facilities should not reduce the flood storage capacity of the floodplain or impede flood flow routes. Further information regarding flood storage compensation and assessment is available in the LLFA's developer guidance.		9.1.6 The prov resic surre land Resi conr <u>of fa</u> <u>floor</u>
#24	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	In addition, there may be the need for ordinary watercourse consenting depending on the status of the watercourses involved. Further information is available online at https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/rubbish-recycling-and-planning/flood-and- water-management. The LLFA observes that information is provided on the Environment Agency's environmental permitting for flood risk activities however, no information is presented regarding the need for consenting on ordinary watercourses. Please could the guide include suitable mention and reference on these matters?		9.3.1 Active An e for a 8 me defe from and t http: envir with <u>Secti</u> <u>who</u> <u>insta</u> <u>wate</u> <u>conc</u>
	•	•	•	•	•

dd reference to renewable energy and our local plan policy: .3.4 Renewable/low carbon energy n operator may wish to consider renewable/low carbon energy he Local Plan for the Broads has policies relating to this: see age 64 of the Local Plan for the Broads.
dd links to text at 9.1.2
dd text about flood risk assessments to section 5. Text about m is already in guide, so not change. dd text to 9.1.3 in relation to pollution prevention.
lo change to Guide.
.1.6 Amenity space and landscaping he Amenity policy of the Local Plan (DM21) requires schemes to rovide a 'satisfactory and usable external amenity space to esidential properties in keeping with the character of the urrounding development'. It may also be appropriate to provide andscape enhancements of the land associated with the esidential Mooring to improve the amenity of the area in onnection with the development. <u>Please note that development</u> <u>f facilities should not reduce the flood storage capacity of the</u> <u>oodplain or impede flood flow routes</u>
.3.1Informative – <u>Permits</u> Environmental Permit for Flood Risk- ctivities In environmental permit for flood risk activities will be needed or any proposal that wants to do work in, under, over or within metres (m) from a fluvial main river and from any flood efence structure or culvert or 16m from a tidal main river and rom any flood defence structure or culvert. Application forms nd further information can be found at: ttps://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-activities- nvironmental-permits. Anyone carrying out these activities <i>i</i> thout a permit where one is required, is breaking the law. ection 23 of The Land Drainage Act 1991 requires applicants
who wish to affect the flow of an ordinary watercourse, for <u>istance to culvert, dam, weir or install a headwall into a</u> <u>vatercourse, to obtain consent from the drainage board</u> <u>oncerned.</u>

#25	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	Where new facilities are to be built, there is the potential to increase the area of impermeable surfaces. The LLFA will expect the use of sustainable drainage systems to be included in the proposals to prevent an increase in surface water runoff rate and volume. Further information is available in the LLFA's developer guidance online at https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/rubbish-recycling-and-planning/flood-and-water-management	Noted and agreed. Other policies of the Local Plan will be used when assessing schemes. Policy DM6: Surface water run-off requires all development proposals will need to incorporate measures to attenuate surface water run-off in a manner appropriate to the Broads. Add reference to surface water in section 5.	At th <u>deve</u> <u>this r</u> provi
#26	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	Facilities, such as storage lockers, are presented as possible solutions. Further guidance regarding the design of facilities is required to ensure that proposed structures are designed in a flood resilient or resistant manner. Furthermore, these features should be appropriately secured to prevent excessive movement that could cause blockages downstream should they become mobile.	Noted. We will make that point in the text.	9.1.7 Scher residi posse becon the c of rol equiv locka for w porta <u>that l</u> <u>times</u> <u>in wa</u>
#27	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	In section 9.3, there is mention of low impact boating, although no further information or guidance is provided. This is a missed opportunity to contribute towards net zero to require and direct developers and boaters towards low carbon or sustainable solutions, such as renewable energy supplies (windmills are intrinsic and historical features of this landscape), sustainable drainage and compensating flood storage areas where landward development would reduce it. Please include further information of how developers and residents can contribute to improving the suitability of their community.	Having consulted the RBOA, there is no guidance per se, it is about living on a boat in a low impact sort of way. It refers to how the person lives on the boat. That being said, we will improved reference to renewable energy as per row number 19 above.	No cł
#28	Sarah Luff		In relation to document structure and presentation there are a number of potential improvements that could be made. The questions and case studies are useful although the way they are structured within the report and their headings is confusing. Please can this be reconsidered and addressed? Follow up: As previously stated, the questions and case studies provide useful information. However, the as you have eluded the current document structure lacks flow and the location of these sections is means the information could be missed. The question and case study information is intertwined in an unclear way and our suggestion would be to have better separation between the guidance and the case studies. Perhaps there would be merit in having a case studies in boxes. Further maybe the sub-section title should not be posed as a question to give you more scope to discuss matters of concern within the section. In addition, you may want to review your document from an accessibility perspective too.	Noted, but we are content with the structure.	No cł
#29	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	The key messages section could be moved to the front of the document and used as a basis to prepare an executive summary.	Key messages - could be at the start of the document, but also acts as a conclusion. Do not intend to have an executive summary as the document is only around 20 pages long.	1 Move
#30	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	While the links section could be reduced so that all background information could be provided in an appendix and links to national and local policies should be woven into the document.	Regarding links and extra information - noted, but we are content with where it is.	No cł
#31	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	We would suggest that the text in lines 363 to 367 should be reconsidered. At present, it lacks strength. For example, the sentence on line 366 and 367 could be improved by being re-written as "We encourage you to contact the Broads Authority to discuss your application prior to submission."	We consider the text robust and clear.	No cł
#32	Sarah Luff	LLFA, Norfolk County Council	Regarding the current appendices, some of these could be removed or reduced. For example, Appendix A could be presented as a link within the policy section as only one internal cross referencing to Appendix A has been made in the introduction, therefore it has no function in actively supporting the report. Appendix B is generic information that could be hosted outside of the report and referred to. While Appendix C and D could remain either as appendices (although better internal cross referencing would be needed) or alternatively the checklists could be included within the document text.	Appendix A - will consider removing this as yes, could just cross refer to the Local Plan. Appendix B is something we put in all our consultation documents. It will not be in place in the final version Appendix C and D - it is appropriate to have these at appendices and it follows the other guides that we have in place.	n. Rem appe

t the start of section 9, add: <u>The Local Plan also requires all</u> evelopment to consider and address surface water run off and is may be relevant to your scheme, especially if you plan to rovide surfacing that may be impermeable.

1.7 Storage

cheme promoters/operators are required to address storage of esidential paraphernalia. Unless a system for storing kit and possessions is put in place, the residential moorings could ecome cluttered with residential paraphernalia which will alter be character of the area. Norfolk Police recommend storage is robust construction with secure locks (e.g. Sold Secure or quivalent). If possible consider fencing off the area (with ckable gate for residents only) to provide an additional layer or what is to be stored within – these items will no doubt be portable with possible value to an offender). It is also important tat lockers are flood resilient and resistant to ensure that, at mes of flood, they are not mobile and do not cause blockages waterbodies.

o change to Guide.

o change to Guide.

love key messages to the start of the document.

o change to Guide.

o change to Guide.

emove policy from guide and cross refer to Local Plan. Remove opendix B.

#47	Rachel Bowden	Natural England	Natural England has no comments to make regarding the consultation on these guides.	Noted.	No d
#46	Jessica Nobbs	Water Management Alliance		Noted.	No c
#45	Georgia Teague	Suffolk CC	SCC would also note that any new access to the public highway may need planning permission from the Local Planning Authority, and that no work can be done within the public highway and that includes verges and public rights of way without the permission of the highway authority.	Noted. All relevant policies in the Local Plan will be considered and our transport related policy will be of relevance. Suffolk, as Highways Authority, will also be consulted on schemes for residential moorings, in the usual way.	No c
#44	Georgia Teague	Suffolk CC	As stated in the documents, any new parking provision should follow the local authorities parking guidance. For Suffolk there is no specific mention of mooring, but as they are so few it is not likely that SCC would change the current guidance. It is suggested that this could be covered by making contact with the DM team.	It is noted that there are no parking standards for moorings. Suffolk, as Highways Authority, will be consulted on schemes for residential moorings, in the usual way.	No c
#43	Georgia Teague	Suffolk CC	SCC would also like to raise the issue of would landscape be covered by other, standard, policies?	All relevant policies of the Local Plan will be considered in determining residential moorings schemes, including the landscape section of the Local Plan.	No c
#42	Georgia Teague	Suffolk CC	It is suggested that this document could include further detail as to how landscape will be protected, in order to retain local character.	All relevant policies of the Local Plan will be considered in determining residential moorings schemes, including the landscape section of the Local Plan.	No c
#41	Georgia Teague	Suffolk CC	The residential moorings guide mentions that such moorings should avoid impacts on the local landscape character; it also requests storage facilities for residential paraphernalia, to maintain the local character and amenity value. It does not go into any detail.	The approach to storage facilities will be a local site-specific approach, proposed by the operator. We include an image to show how this has been done elsewhere. As for the detail of amenity and landscape, the other policies of the Local Plan will be of relevance.	No c
#40	Georgia Teague	Suffolk CC	At Section 5 text in the box under a), reference is made to vessel moorings being too tight or loose and consequences. It would be useful to add that vessels that come adrift from their moorings, either in flood conditions or in normal situations could give rise to an increase in flood risk if they drift and block or create a water flow restriction of a waterway on the Broads.		Add time <u>come</u> norn they wate
#39	Paul Harris	Broadland DC	Thank you for consulting Broadland District Council and considering our previous representations on this document. The Council remain of the opinion that the document could still benefit from more clearly emphasising the information that which would be used to determine a planning application, and that which is a useful resource to site promoters about good practice and achieving best quality outcomes. However, we recognise that you have previously considered our representation in this regard and the Council does not wish to add further comments at this point.	Noted.	No c
#38	Paul Harris	South Norfolk DC	The Council supports references to ensuring that adequate waste disposal facilities are provided.	Support noted.	No c
#37	Paul Harris	South Norfolk DC	We note that the guide refers to the requirement for new residential moorings to be within 800m or 10 minutes' walk of at least three key services. We recognise that these distances are specified within the adopted policy but are concerned that these distances may be overly restrictive in a Broads landscape with its inherent physical and natural constraints.	The reference to walking distance from the key services was required by the Inspector to enable more areas to meet the locational criteria. As you rightly point out, that wording is in adopted policy and we cannot change policy through this guide. As and when we review the policy, discussions can be had about the locational criteria.	No c
#36	Paul Harris	South Norfolk DC	Thank you for consulting South Norfolk Council and considering our previous representations on this document. The Council remain of the opinion that the document could still benefit from more clearly emphasising the information that which would be used to determine a planning application, and that which is a useful resource to site promoters about good practice and achieving best quality outcomes. However, we recognise that you have previously considered our representation in this regard and the Council does not wish to add further comments at this point.	Noted	No c
#35	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	Flood Risk: We are satisfied with the changes you have made to the original comments we raised in relation to flood risk. We have however added some comments to you in response to the LLFA as you requested as well as those requested for tourism houseboats. Overall, we are satisfied with the changes made to the draft document although you might want to change some of the wording once you have reviewed our response to the LLFA below. As always, please do get in touch should you have any questions.	Noted. See row 14.	No c
#34	Liam Robson	Environment Agency	Treatment Hierarchy: We see that in response to our comments about sewerage management, there is now additional text added to explain the treatment hierarchy with a presumption to mains sewer network where possible. There is also text added stating that it is illegal to discharge sewage from boats direct into the river. Thank you for amending this. The new text added covers the points we previously raised, and we have no other water quality concerns	Support noted.	No c
#33	Mark Norman	Highways England	The proposals are unlikely to affect the Strategic Road Network in any way and therefore, we have no comments to make on the proposed guidance.	Noted.	No c

o change to guide.
o change to Guide.
Id reference to the potential for a boat to block water flow at nes of flood: <u>Regarding a) above, please note that vessels that</u> me adrift from their moorings, either in flood conditions or in armal situations, could give rise to an increase in flood risk if ev drift and block or create a water flow restriction of a aterway on the Broads.
o change to Guide.

#48	land has not assessed this application for impacts on protected species. Natural England ed Standing Advice which you can use to assess impacts on protected species or you may sult your own ecology services for advice. land and the Forestry Commission have also published standing advice on ancient nd veteran trees which you can use to assess any impacts on ancient woodland. comment from Natural England does not imply that there are no impacts on the natural t, but only that the application is not likely to result in significant impacts on statutory nature conservation sites or landscapes. It is for the local planning authority to whether or not this application is consistent with national and local policies on the ronment. Other bodies and individuals may be able to provide information and advice ommental value of this site and the impacts of the proposal to assist the decision making e advise LPAs to obtain specialist ecological or other environmental advice when the environmental England. Further guidance on when to consult Natural planning and development proposals is available on gov. uk at w.gov.uk/guidance/local-planning-authorities-get-environmental-advice
-----	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

No change to Guide.



Residential Moorings Guide

Adopted March 2021

Broads Authority Yare House 62-64 Thorpe Road Norwich NR1 1RY

Contents

1.	Introduction	3		
2.	Consultation	4		
3.	Residential Moorings - definitions	5		
4.	Acceptable location for residential moorings	6		
	4.1 Where Residential Moorings could be permitted.	6		
	4.2 Location of residential moorings within a site/marina/boatyard/basin.	7		
5.	Flood Risk and climate change	8		
6.	Management plan	9		
7.	Register	10		
8.	Council Tax	10		
9.	Facilities, services and other considerations	11		
	9.1 Potential ways to address policy DM37 requirements for facilities and services	11		
	9.2 Other facilities/extras	15		
	9.3 Other considerations	15		
10.	Key messages – reminder	17		
11.	Helpful links and where to go to get advice	17		
Арре	Appendix A – Adopted Policy DM37 – New Residential Moorings			
Арре	endix B – Privacy notice	25		
Арре	Appendix A – Residential Moorings management plan checklist 2			
Арре	Appendix B – Residential moorings questionnaire 2			

1. Introduction

In the current Local Plan for the Broads, the Authority is required to identify a need of 63 residential moorings¹ and subsequently allocate sites for residential moorings to count towards that need. The Local Plan also contains a detailed policy that all proposals for residential moorings are required to address (Policy DM37 – see <u>page 115 of the Local Plan</u>).

This guide is designed to help implement the policies of the Local Plan relating to residential moorings. It is designed for decision makers as well as applicants and site owners. It contains useful information to help make schemes for residential moorings as successful as possible.

The key messages of this Guide are:

- a) You need to consider flood risk through a flood risk assessment and flood response plan.
- b) You need to consider the impacts of Climate Change.
- c) A management plan is required that details how you will manage the residential moorings. A template is included at <u>Appendix A</u>.
- d) You need to keep a register of those who are living on the residential moorings.
- e) You should contact your District Council to confirm the approach to Council Tax.
- f) You need to provide adequate facilities for those living at the residential moorings.
 You may already have many of these in place.
- g) There are many permitted residential moorings around the country who have systems in place. They may not necessarily be relevant to the Broads or may not be relevant to your site or may not be how you want to run your site. But they give you an idea of how to do things. We strongly suggest you contact us to talk through your proposed approach in advance of putting it in place.
- h) A template to address many of the requirements in the policy and guide is included at <u>Appendix B</u>.

¹ Norfolk Caravans and Houseboats Accommodation Needs Assessment (ANA) including for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show people

2. Consultation

This version is an amended draft version out for its second consultation. Please tell us your thoughts and suggest any changes you think would make the Guide better and set out your reasons.

During the first consultation, movement and access to public venues was restricted due to COVID19. We extended the consultation period twice and it ran for many more weeks than originally intended. We also offered the opportunity to request a hard copy of the document. Despite that, we do not think the consultation was adequate so we are consulting a second time.

This consultation document and consultation process have been developed to adhere to the Broads Authority's Statement of Community Involvement². We have updated our Statement of Community Involvement. The main changes to how we intend to consult on this document are as follows:

- If you wish to discuss the document, you can still call on 01603 610734 and ask to speak to Natalie Beal. You can also contact Natalie Beal to request a video conference appointment to talk about the document.
- No hard copies will be in libraries.
- No hard copies will be in Yare House³.
- If you wish to have a hard copy, we can send this to you. This will initially be for free, but if we get many requests, we may have to consider charging for postage and printing. Please contact the number above to ask to speak to Natalie Beal to request a hard copy.

The second consultation on this document is for 8 weeks from 25 September to 20 November 2020. We will then read each of the comments received and respond. We may make changes if we agree with you. If we do not make changes we will set out why. The final Guide will be adopted at a future meeting of the Broads Authority. Please email us your comments: <u>planningpolicy@broads authority.gov.uk</u>.

Information provided by you in response to this consultation, including personal data, may be published or disclosed in accordance with the access to information regimes (these are primarily the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Data Protection Act 2018 (DPA),

² Current Statement of Community Involvement is here <u>https://www.broads-</u>

authority.gov.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0024/209337/Final_adopted_SCI_formatted_July_2020.pdf

³-Whilst this Guide is not a local plan or SPD, we still consult in the same way as we would those documents. The Government recently amended regulations saying that until 31 December 2021, Local Planning Authorities do not need to make hard copies of planning documents available in head offices or other venues.

and the Environmental Information Regulations 2004). Please see <u>Appendix B</u> for the Privacy Notice. We will make your name and organisation public alongside your comment.

Are you satisfied that this consultation has followed the Consultation Principles? If not, or you have any other observations about how we can improve the process, please contact us at <u>planningpolicy@broads-authority.gov.uk</u>.

The Residential Moorings Guide was consulted on twice during the course of 2020. The first consultation ran from 12 March to 24 April 2020. The second consultation ran from 25 September to 20 November 2020. The comments that were received, the Broads Authority's response to the comments and the amendments which comments may have resulted in, can be found here: xxxxxx

3. Residential Moorings - definitions

The supporting text of policy DM37 defines a residential mooring as 'a mooring where someone lives aboard a vessel (capable of navigation), where the vessel is used as the main residence, and where the vessel is moored in one location for more than 28 days in a year. The vessel may occasionally/periodically go cruising and return to base'.

It goes on to say that 'for the purposes of this policy, it should be noted that there is an expectation that the moorings will be occupied by a vessel of standard construction and appearance and which is conventionally understood to be a boat. For the avoidance of doubt, the policy does not apply to houseboats. Houseboats are considered to be structures without means of independent propulsion and will be dealt with on a case by case basis due to their potential impact on character of the area'.

The Environment Agency agree that all residential boats must be capable of navigation and so function as a boat, in order for residential moorings to be classed as 'water compatible' development. In response to the first consultation on this document, the Environment Agency went on to say that If the boat is non-navigable, such as a houseboat, the Environment Agency state that they would be classed as 'more vulnerable' residential development, and therefore Table 3 of the NPPF PPG would class them as inappropriate to be located in boatyards or mooring basins, as these areas are usually classed as Flood Zone 3b Functional Floodplain. The Environment Agency state that they would be an inappropriate 'more vulnerable' development in Flood Zone 3b Functional Floodplain. So houseboats are unlikely to be permitted, unless their proposed location is somehow not classed as Functional Floodplain, which would require the marina or mooring basin to not be at risk of flooding in a 5% (1 in 20) annual probability flood event.

4. Acceptable location for residential moorings

4.1 Where Residential Moorings could be permitted.

Policy DM37 sets out where residential moorings may be acceptable (criterion a) and would apply to applications for schemes in areas not allocated in the Local Plan. This section breaks that down and provides some more information.

i) 'Is in a mooring basin, marina or boatyard...'

The reason for this requirement is to remove any potential impact on navigation because of residential moorings as well as ensure access to boating facilities such as pump outs and maintenance.

- ii) '...that is within or adjacent to a defined development boundary...' The development boundary could be one of the four in the Local Plan for the Broads⁴ or could be set out in the adopted Local Plan of one of our 5 district councils (see below for Norwich City). Development boundaries are areas within which housing (and in this case, residential moorings) are generally supported in principle (but subject to other policies in the Local Plan) because they have good access to key services and are well related to the existing built up area of a settlement.
- iii) '...or 800m/10 minutes walking distance to three or more key services...'
 Key services are set out in the supporting text for the policy and copied below. They reflect the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment methodology:
- A primary school
- A secondary school
- A local healthcare service (doctors' surgery)
- Retail and service provision for day to day needs (district/local shopping centre, village shop)
- Local employment opportunities which are defined as follows, which reflect areas with potentially a number of and variety of job opportunities:
 - \circ $\;$ Existing employment areas allocated/identified in our districts' Local Plans; or
 - City, Town or District Centre as identified in the Local Plan for the Broads or our District's Local Plan. We note that this means such centres count towards two of the three key services test; or

⁴ These are in Wroxham/Hoveton, Thorpe St Andrew, Oulton Broad and Horning. See policy DM35 of the Local Plan and see the maps here: <u>DMS35: Residential development within defined development boundaries Maps</u>

- These sites that are allocated in the Local Plan for the Broads: BRU2, BRU4, CAN1, HOR6, POT1, STA1, TSA3.
- A peak-time public transport service to and from a higher order settlement (peak time for the purposes of this criterion will be 7-9am and 4-6pm)

Applications will need to submit supporting information about the location of these key services.

• '...and the walking route is able to be used and likely to be used safely, all year round...'

The walking route that is 800m or 10 minutes' walk to the key services needs to be available and attractive for use all year round. In practice this will more likely mean surfaced footways rather than rural public rights of ways. This will be judged on a case by case basis in liaison with the Highways Authority. Norfolk Police recommend that the route to the site should, where possible, be straight and have a width of 3m wide, with vegetation maintained to prevent fear of crime (removal of potential hiding places), and to consider lighting if appropriate (taking into account the dark skies policy of the Local Plan and the location of residential moorings). Applications will need to submit supporting information about the quality and experience of the routes used to travel between residential mooring and services.

 '...or is in Norwich City Council's Administrative Area' Norwich City Council requested this addition as there are no mooring basins, marinas or boatyards in Norwich; this change now allows for residential moorings in the City (subject to the normal planning application process) and is consistent with the policies of the River Wensum Strategy, a partnership document adopted by both the Broads Authority and Norwich City Council (and other partners).

It is important to note that applications in Norwich will need to be determined by Norwich City Council and the Broads Authority. Norwich City Council are the Local Planning Authority for the land. The Broads Authority is the Local Planning Authority for the river. Policies of both adopted Local Plans will be relevant to schemes in Norwich.

4.2 Location of residential moorings within a site/marina/boatyard/basin.

An applicant may want certain specific moorings to be permitted for residential moorings or may want an area to be permitted with a maximum number of residential moorings within that area, to reflect the operations of the marina or boatyard or site. This will need to be discussed and agreed with the Local Planning Authority. It will be for the operator of the site to control which moorings are used for residential moorings in line with the permission granted. The operator will need to produce a management plan (see section 6), and will also need to record the details of the residential moorings in a register (see section 7).

5. Flood Risk and climate change

The Authority will require site specific flood risk assessments including a flood response plan⁵. See policy DM5 of the Local Plan for the Broads as well as the Flood Risk SPD⁶.

Whilst the Authority appreciates that at times of flood the boat which is lived in will be already on water and is able to float, the issue is more to do with the risk arising because of flooding in this instance. The supporting text of DM37 identifies some issues that need to be addressed <u>through a site-specific flood risk assessment that will be required for residential moorings.</u>

- a) The technique/method of mooring the vessel. The Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) should show how the boat will be moored to prevent it being too tight or too loose. If the vessel is moored too tightly it could list, and by being too loose it could float onto the landside of the quay heading or be cast adrift at times of flooding. Both scenarios have safety concerns for occupiers, possessions and other objects or vessels that could be hit by a loose boat, and should be addressed within the FRA.
- b) A Flood Response Plan needs to be produced. While it is acknowledged that residential boats will float, the access to the boat could be disrupted at times of flood, causing the occupier to be stranded on board the boat. The Flood Response Plan needs to advise what the occupier should do at times of flood to ensure their safety whether they should evacuate the boat in advance of flooding or take refuge in the boat and therefore have supplies to help them sit out the flood.
- c) Finally, the FRA should include consideration of how the boat moored at the residential mooring will be monitored at times of flood to make sure it does not cause damage to other vessels, and to prevent damage to the belongings on board and the boat itself.

Regarding a) above, please note that vessels that come adrift from their moorings, either in flood conditions or in normal situations, could give rise to an increase in flood risk if they drift and block or create a water flow restriction of a waterway on the Broads.

If the FRA and Flood Response Plan proposes refuge within the boat in times of flood then the Environment Agency states that the boat will need to be capable of rising up above the extreme 0.1% (1 in 1000 <u>year</u>) <u>plus</u> climate change flood level. The FRA will need to detail what the required height of rise will be and demonstrate that the boat's mooring can enable it to rise that high without posing a hazard to the occupants of the boat. If the FRA and

⁵ A guide/template can be found here: https://www.broads-authority.gov.uk/ data/assets/word doc/0032/298850/Appendix-D-Flood-Response-Plan-Guidance.docx

Flood Response Plan does not propose refuge, but instead proposes evacuation in advance of a flood, then the FRA and response plan will need to show how the occupants will be able to receive advanced warnings and where they will be able to evacuate to in time. The Environment Agency go on to say there is always a residual risk of warnings not being received, so the FRA will need to address this risk. The ability to take refuge within the boat, as described above, is a valuable fall-back measure and, if possible, the mooring should be designed to provide this refuge as a precaution, even if the preferred option is evacuation in advance of flooding.

Turning to climate change, you will be required to fill out a <u>climate change checklist</u>⁷. This identifies various effects that could arise in a changing climate. Flood risk may be one of them, but there are others. Filling out the checklist may help you consider how you run and develop your site in a changing climate. For example, how will you address risks associated with a changing climate? How will you manage high winds as a result of storms for example?

6. Management plan

You will be required to produce a plan that sets out how the residential moorings will be managed.

The management plan will help ensure the site as a whole is appropriately managed. The management plan will be a condition on the permission given to an application for residential moorings. A breach of this management plan would then be a breach of condition and could be enforced.

It is expected that a Management Plan will cover the following. This list is not exhaustive and there may be other aspects that need to be covered. You may already address these issues in some way on your site.

- a) Site rules and/or terms and conditions.
- b) Noise expectations relating to noise. This could cover aspects such as generators, when engines will run and generally any noise that could be considered a nuisance such as boat maintenance and generally socialising at unsociable hours. Please note that there is a bye-law that could be of relevance: Byelaw is 85 'Noise Nuisance' of Broads Authority Navigation Byelaws 1995. Local Council guidance on noise would also be relevant and so too will the amenity policy of the Local Plan for the Broads will be of relevance.

⁷ Climate Change checklist: https://www.broads-

authority.gov.uk/__data/assets/word_doc/0033/259917/Climate20change20checklist20template.docx

- c) Waste management sewerage and rubbish and recycling. Methods for storage and removal need to be clearly identified <u>with particular consideration given to location</u> <u>of storage and proximity to water and the effect of high water flows.</u>
- d) Management of increased vehicular movements.
- e) Storage provision for residential boaters bicycles and residential paraphernalia. Details of any storage provision needs to be included. Need to consider the impact on the character of the area.
- f) Details of water safety provisions see policy in Local Plan and any related guidance produced.
- g) Contact details of who to contact if the management requirements of the site are not adhered to.
- h) Detail how the mooring will be managed. For example, who will be the point of contact and will they be on site 24/7 or 9-5 weekdays for example.
- State requirements on how vessels will meet the requirements of the bye-laws and legislation for example the need for boat safety certificates and appropriate insurance.

7. Register

A register of those boats being lived on will be required. The register of who lives on which boat will be maintained at all times and needs to be made available for inspections by the Broads Authority as part of monitoring of conditions set on any permission. The reason for keeping this register is to ensure that only the permitted number of boats are being lived on.

8. Council Tax

The Broads Authority is the Local Planning Authority and does not collect Council Tax. Residential moorings may be liable for Council Tax. The British Waterways Marinas Ltd (BWML) has produced this information on residential moorings and Council Tax: <u>https://bwml.co.uk/council-tax-for-residential-moorings/</u> and the Residential Boat Owners Association (RBOA) also have information on Council Tax: <u>https://www.rboa.org.uk/q-a/</u>.

You should contact your District Council to confirm the approach to Council Tax.

9. Facilities, services and other considerations

The policy, DM37, refers to the provision of facilities. This section provides some further information about the facilities and services set out in DM37 and other relevant policies of the Local Plan and how you may wish to make them available at residential moorings.

Policy DM37 says:

- b) Provides an adequate and appropriate range of ancillary facilities on site to meet the needs of the occupier of the residential moorings (for example potable water, wastewater pump out (see j below), and electricity) or provides adequate access to these ancillary facilities in the vicinity of the residential mooring;
- g) Has adequate car parking and makes provision for safe access for service and emergency vehicles and pedestrians;
- i) Makes adequate provision for waste, sewage disposal and the prevention of pollution; and
- j) Provides for the installation of pump out facilities (where on mains sewer) unless there are adequate facilities in the vicinity.

Proposals need to set out how provisions will be made for facilities associated with residential uses (such as rubbish, amenity space, external storage and clothes drying for example).

The Local Plan also requires all development to consider and address surface water run off and this may be relevant to your scheme, especially if you plan to provide surfacing that may be impermeable.

9.1 Potential ways to address policy DM37 requirements for facilities and services

Please note that the following are examples from elsewhere in England to give you an idea of how these issues are addressed. The approach of others who provide and manage residential moorings may not necessarily be suitable to the Broads or may not be suitable to your site or may not be how you want to run your site. We strongly suggest you contact us to talk through your proposed approach in advance of putting it in place.

We also need this kind of information shows on plans with details included in planning applications to help us to assess the application. There is a checklist at <u>Appendix B</u> that applicants can work through to address the topics raised in this section.

9.1.1 Electricity

By providing electricity, there will be no need for boat engines or generators to be run (which have associated noise and fumes). Some electric units come with lights on the top which can cause light pollution so providing these at sites in more rural areas or on edge of settlements will need careful consideration.

Q: How will you provide the residential moorings with electricity?



Q: How will the electricity unit impact on/add to light pollution?

Electricity meter cards dispenser and electricity (and water) unit at Cowroast Marina.

9.1.2 Water

The Environment Agency are keen to emphasise that applications should include details of early consultation with the relevant water company to ensure there is sufficient capacity in their network to supply water to moorings in that specific location. The abstraction of 20 cubic metres or more a day from either surface or groundwater source would require an abstraction licence from the Environment Agency. If the chosen site for the additional moorings already holds an abstraction licence, there would need to be consideration of the impacts from additional needs as a result of the new moorings. Details on applying for a licence here https://www.gov.uk/guidance/water-management-apply-for-a-water-abstraction-or-impoundment-licence or managing a licence here https://www.gov.uk/guidance/manage-your-water-abstraction-or-impoundment-licences-online.

Q: How will you provide the residential moorings with potable water?

Case Study – Cowroast Marina

Residential moorings are provided with one water tap per two boats. They use trace heating on water taps to prevent freezing in winter.

9.1.3 Sewerage

Toilets on boats may require pumping out or somewhere to empty cassettes. Your marina or boatyard may have a system or process to deal with this already. <u>The Environment</u> <u>Agency emphasise the need for adequate pollution prevention measures in place at all</u> <u>times.</u> We would assess this part of the application against policy DM2 and as set out in that policy and connection to the public sewer network is the preferred approach. When considering how to address foul water, you will need to consider the potential for boats to release foul water directly to the waterbody. The Environment Agency also highlight that there is a byelaw that is relevant to the disposal of sewage from boats within the Broads which makes it illegal for boats to discharge their sewage straight to the rivers (Water Resources Act 1963, Rivers (Prevention of Pollution) Acts, 1951-1961, BYELAWS Regulating the use of boats fitted with sanitary appliances).

Q: How will you deal with sewerage arising from the boats on residential moorings?

Case Study – BWML moorings

BWML sites tend to include one pump out per month in their residential mooring contract.

9.1.4 Rubbish collection

You will need to address how waste arising from those living on the boats is dealt with, <u>with</u> <u>particular consideration given to location of storage and proximity to water and the effect of</u> <u>high water flows.</u>. Your marina or boatyard may have a system or process to deal with rubbish already. We recommend that you contact your District/Borough/City Council to discuss waste management.

Q: How will you deal with rubbish (including recyclable materials) arising from the boats on residential moorings? <u>Where will you locate the store so it is not affected by high flows?</u>

9.1.5 Cycle and Car parking

You need to ensure ample car and cycle parking for those who are using residential moorings. Again, you may have car parking or cycle parking on site already. We defer to the parking standards of the relevant district. The standards at the time of adoption of the Local Plan (May 2019) are at Appendix J, page 239, of the Local Plan for the Broads. Norfolk Police recommend that parking spaces be marked to help with correct usage (assists with rule setting) and suggest that you consider collapsible bollards/chain and lock, and where possible have some capability of surveillance over the area.

Q: How will you address car and cycle parking for those who are using residential moorings?

9.1.6 Amenity space and landscaping

The Amenity policy of the Local Plan (DM21) requires schemes to provide a 'satisfactory and usable external amenity space to residential properties in keeping with the character of the surrounding development'. It may also be appropriate to provide landscape enhancements of the land associated with the Residential Mooring to improve the amenity of the area in connection with the development. <u>Please note that development of facilities should not reduce the flood storage capacity of the floodplain or impede flood flow routes.</u>

Q. How will you address amenity space and landscaping?

9.1.7 Storage

Scheme promoters/operators are required to address storage of residential paraphernalia. Unless a system for storing kit and possessions is put in place, the residential moorings could become cluttered with residential paraphernalia which will alter the character of the area. Norfolk Police recommend storage is of robust construction with secure locks (e.g. Sold Secure or equivalent). If possible consider fencing off the area (with lockable gate for residents only) to provide an additional layer for what is to be stored within – these items will no doubt be portable with possible value to an offender). It is also important that lockers are flood resilient and resistant to ensure that, at times of flood, they are not mobile and do not cause blockages in waterbodies.

Q: How will you provide storage for those who are using residential moorings?



Storage lockers at Priory Marina

9.1.8 Light pollution

Schemes for residential mooring may include lighting. But sites for residential moorings may be on the fringe of settlements, where there is a transition from urban to rural and so the impact of lighting may be significant. The Authority also seeks dark waterways to protect the wildlife in the area. The need for such lighting needs to be justified in line with Local Plan for the Broads policy DM22. If lighting is justified and agreed, then the design needs to ensure no impact on the dark skies of the Broads. The Authority plans to produce

light pollution guidance, but in the meantime, the policy requirements of the Local Plan will guide how applications are determined and assessed.

Q: How does your scheme address light pollution? How does your scheme maintain dark skies?

9.2 Other facilities/extras

Depending on your specific circumstances, you may wish to provide other facilities for those who are living on the residential moorings at your site. This may depend on the location of your site as well as what buildings you already have on site. Examples include drying of clothes, post boxes and communal facilities. You will need to consider the impact on the character of the area. You may wish to ensure you have a fire or emergency evacuation procedure too.

Case Study - Cowroast Marina

There is a communal lounge with kitchenette. The lounge tends to be used once a month for functions.

Case Study – Priory Marina

Facilities on site for those living on boats include toilets, showers, library, post boxes (reception collects the parcels), large storage boxes, launderette, parking, cycle parking, electricity and water.

Part of contract includes 6 weeks out of water on hard standing for anti-fouling. The marina organises a crane company to come and remove boats and put them back in. The marina coordinate crane and dates – probably five boats at a time. Boats are lived on outside of the water.



Post boxes

In relation to post boxes, Norfolk Police say that there is an increasing rise in crime associated with post-delivery so post boxes should be of robust construction with max aperture size of 260mmx40mm and have anti-fishing properties (Secured by Design recommends letter boxes certificated to TS 009).

9.3 Other considerations

9.3.1 Informative – <u>Permits</u> Environmental Permit for Flood Risk Activities

An environmental permit for flood risk activities will be needed for any proposal that wants to do work in, under, over or within 8 metres (m) from a fluvial main river and from any flood defence structure or culvert or 16m from a tidal main river and from any flood defence structure or culvert. Application forms and further information can be found at: https://www.gov.uk/guidance/flood-risk-activities-environmental-permits. Anyone carrying out these activities without a permit where one is required, is breaking the law.

Section 23 of The Land Drainage Act 1991 requires applicants who wish to affect the flow of an ordinary watercourse, for instance to culvert, dam, weir or install a headwall into a watercourse, to obtain consent from the drainage board concerned.

9.3.2 Security

You should ensure you consider security at your site. This may already adequately be in place.

9.3.3 Low Impact Life on Board

In response to the consultation on this guide, the RBOA were keen to emphasise Low Impact Life On Board and, through RBOA, there is a wealth of advice in that respect. Low impact life on board is an expression from UK waterways boaters who care about the environment.

9.3.4 Renewable/low carbon energy

An operator may wish to consider renewable/low carbon energy. The Local Plan for the Broads has policies relating to this: see page 64 of the Local Plan for the Broads.

10. Key messages <u>– reminder</u>

- i) You need to consider flood risk through a flood risk assessment and flood response plan.
- j) You need to consider the impacts of Climate Change.
- k) A management plan is required that details how you will manage the residential moorings. A template is included at <u>Appendix A</u>.
- I) You need to keep a register of those who are living on the residential moorings.
- m) You should contact your District Council to confirm the approach to Council Tax.
- N) You need to provide adequate facilities for those living at the residential moorings.
 You may already have many of these in place.
- o) There are many permitted residential moorings around the country who have systems in place. They may not necessarily be relevant to the Broads or may not be relevant to your site or may not be how you want to run your site. But they give you an idea of how to do things. We strongly suggest you contact us to talk through your proposed approach in advance of putting it in place.
- p) A template to address many of the requirements in the policy and guide is included at <u>Appendix B</u>.

11. Helpful links and where to go to get advice

The Residential Boat Owners' Association (RBOA), the British Waterways Marinas Limited (BWML) and Canal and Rivers Trust (CRT) have many useful webpages that cover a variety of topic areas or issues that may be relevant to you.

Please note that just because the BWML, CRT or RBOA suggest a certain approach, it may not necessarily be acceptable in the Broads or indeed it may not be how you wish to run your site. The point of sharing these websites with you is to give you information on how things are done elsewhere. We strongly recommend that you contact us to talk about any specific approach you wish to take to make sure it is acceptable here in the Broads.

This webpage **covers many aspects** of living on a boat: <u>https://bwml.co.uk/guides/a-guide-to-residential-living/</u>

This webpage talks about **Council Tax**. <u>https://bwml.co.uk/council-tax-for-residential-moorings/</u>

You should contact your District Council to confirm the approach to Council Tax.

This webpage shows where the BMWL residential moorings are. It also states what you get when you stay at one of their Marinas. <u>https://bwml.co.uk/residential-moorings/</u>

Life Afloat; Ever wondered what life is like living on a boat? This webpage has **videos about life afloat**: <u>https://bwml.co.uk/life-afloat/?src=residential</u>

This webpage shows how BWML approach **charging for electricity**: <u>https://bwml.co.uk/electricity/</u>

This website contains **BWML's Terms and Conditions and policies**: <u>https://bwml.co.uk/customer-info/</u>. And this website contains the **Terms and Conditions for the Canals and Rivers Trust**: <u>https://www.watersidemooring.com/Home/TermsAndConditions</u>

This website talks about **insurance**. It talks about a specific deal that BWML have with one particular policy provided. You may or may not be entitled to that deal, but the webpage may contain advice useful for those who live on boats: <u>https://bwml.co.uk/marine-insurance-for-bwml-berth-holders/</u>.

The Residential Boat Owners' Associations (RBOA). Their website says: 'Established in 1963 the Residential Boat Owners' Association is the only national organisation which exclusively represents and promotes the interests of people living on boats in the British Isles. We represent all those who have chosen to make a boat their home'. The RBOA ensure they liaise with Navigation Authorities like the Broads Authority. <u>https://www.rboa.org.uk/</u>

RBOA **Code of Good Practice**. The Association would encourage all boaters who live afloat to follow this Voluntary Code of Good Practice: <u>https://www.rboa.org.uk/code-of-good-practice/</u>

BOATSHIELD (& Outboard Engine Cover). This weblink from Norfolk & Suffolk Police offers advice on boat safety and security, also information about the Boatshield Scheme. <u>https://www.norfolk.police.uk/advice/roads-and-vehicles/boats</u>

AWEIGH App. Thousands of people enjoy the Broads throughout the year and the AWEIGH app has been designed to help those on and around the waterways. <u>apps.apple.com>app>aweigh 0r play.google.com>store>apps>details>id=com</u>

BOAT SECURITY ADVICE - NORFOLK & SUFFOLK POLICE. Norfolk & Suffolk Police advice on water safety and boat security:

https://www.norfolk.police.uk/sites/norfolk/files/boatshield v1.pdf

Appendix A – Adopted Policy DM37 – New Residential Moorings

Policy DM37: New residential moorings

The Authority will endeavour to enable delivery to meet its assessed need of 63 residential moorings.

Applications for permanent residential moorings will be permitted provided that the mooring:

- a) Is in a mooring basin, marina or boatyard that is within or adjacent to a defined development boundary or 800m/10 minutes walking distance to three or more key services (see reasoned justification) and the walking route is able to be used and likely to be used safely, all year round or is in Norwich City Council's Administrative Area.
- b) Provides an adequate and appropriate range of ancillary facilities on site to meet the needs of the occupier of the residential moorings (for example potable water, wastewater pump out (see j below), and electricity) or provides adequate access to these ancillary facilities in the vicinity of the residential mooring;
- c) Would not result in the loss of moorings available to visitors/short stay use;
- d) Would not impede the use of the waterway;
- e) Would not have an adverse impact upon:
 - i) the character and appearance of the site or the surrounding area arising from the moorings and the use of adjacent land incidental to the mooring;
 - ii) protected species, priority habitats and designated wildlife sites;
 - iii) the amenities of neighbouring occupiers; or
 - iv) bank erosion.
- F) Provides safe access between vessels and the land without interfering with or endangering those using walkways;
- g) Has adequate car parking and makes provision for safe access for service and emergency vehicles and pedestrians;
- h) Would not prejudice the current or future use of adjoining land or buildings;
- i) Makes adequate provision for waste, sewage disposal and the prevention of pollution; and

j) Provides for the installation of pump out facilities (where on mains sewer) unless there are adequate facilities in the vicinity.

If more than one residential mooring is proposed, the proposal must be commensurate with the scale of development proposed for that settlement (as a whole).

Converting an entire basin, marina or boatyard to residential moorings would be judged on a case by case basis to assess and take account of the impact on infrastructure in the area (such as highways) and the impact on neighbouring uses.

Whilst the policy contains a general presumption in support of residential moorings in Norwich, the cumulative impact resulting from any proposal will be considered, along with the impact on the infrastructure and amenity of the area.

The economy policies of the Local Plan will also be of relevance and in Norwich, so too will the City Council's policies for proposals in Norwich.

Conditions will be used to restrict the number, scale and size of boats using the residential moorings. A management plan for the site and a register of those who live on boats will be required and will be covered by a planning condition imposed on any planning permission granted.

Proposals need to set out how provisions will be made for facilities associated with residential uses (such as rubbish, amenity space, external storage and clothes drying for example).

All such development will meet the requirements of the Water Framework Directive.

(Note: Refer to <u>www.gov.uk/guidance/pollution prevention for businesses</u> for information on pollution prevention measures)

Reasoned Justification

The Authority acknowledges that the high environmental quality of the Broads and wide range of opportunities it offers for boating make the area a popular location. As a consequence, there is a significant associated demand for residential moorings. The provision of residential moorings must, however, be carefully managed to make sure the special qualities of the Broads and their enjoyment are protected.

Tourism makes a valuable contribution to the local economy, and a statutory purpose of the Broads is to provide opportunities for the understanding and enjoyment of the special qualities of the area by the public. To make sure there are sufficient facilities to allow visitors to enjoy the Broads, the Authority will resist proposals for permanent residential moorings where they would result in the loss of visitor/short term moorings or boatyard services. To ensure that people living on boats have access to adequate facilities and services such as education, recreation, and domestic waste collection, and to minimise impact of new development on landscape character, the Authority will require new residential moorings to be directed to mooring basins, marinas or boatyards within walking distance of at least three of the key services listed below or in or adjacent to defined development boundaries (which could be within the Broads Authority Executive Area or in the planning area of our constituent districts). Residential moorings may also be appropriate on parts of the river in Norwich, subject to other policy considerations in particular the impact on neighbouring uses and impact on navigation of the river. Proposals for residential moorings will be expected to be commensurate in scale with the size of the settlement and the level of residential development proposed for the settlement by the relevant Local Planning Authority. Furthermore, converting an entire marina, basin or boatyard, or in Norwich the entirety of the river banks, may not be appropriate because of the potential impact on neighbouring uses and infrastructure in the area, as well as the consequences of the loss of the facility for non-residential boaters; the Authority will consider such proposals on a case by case basis.

The key services referred to in the policy could be three or more of the following. These key services reflect the Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment methodology:

- A primary school
- A secondary school
- A local healthcare service (doctors' surgery)
- Retail and service provision for day to day needs (district/local shopping centre, village shop)
- Local employment opportunities which are defined as follows, which reflect areas with potentially a number of and variety of job opportunities:
 - Existing employment areas allocated/identified in our districts' Local Plans; or
 - City, Town or District Centre as identified in the Local Plan for the Broads or our District's Local Plan. We note that this means such centres count towards two of the three key services test; or
 - These sites that are allocated in the Local Plan for the Broads: BRU2, BRU4, CAN1, HOR6, POT1, STA1, TSA3.
- A peak-time public transport service to and from a higher order settlement (peak time for the purposes of this criterion will be 7-9am and 4-6pm)

Residential moorings that have the potential to affect a protected site or species will only be permitted where a project level Appropriate Assessment (under the Habitats Directive) can successfully demonstrate that there are no adverse effects on qualifying features on the site or a detrimental impact on the species.

Where permission is granted for a new permanent residential mooring, planning conditions and/or obligations will be used to secure agreements for the management of the mooring and surrounding land. This will be done to protect visual and residential amenity and make sure the use of residential moorings does not compromise public safety. The use of surrounding land for incidental purposes such as storage and seating can have a negative impact if incorrectly managed. Proposals will need to set out how they will address areas for the drying of clothes and amenity space, as well as any other related facilities for those living on the boats. The Authority does not expect marinas and boatyards to subdivide or demarcate areas of land to be associated with residential moorings.

Policy DM50 provides guidance on the forms of development permissible on the adjacent waterside environment associated with a mooring.

For the purposes of this policy, a 'residential mooring' is a mooring where someone lives aboard a vessel (capable of navigation), where the vessel is used as the main residence, and where the vessel is moored in one location for more than 28 days in a year. The vessel may occasionally/periodically go cruising and return to base.

For the purposes of this policy, it should be noted that there is an expectation that the moorings will be occupied by a vessel of standard construction and appearance and which is conventionally understood to be a boat. For the avoidance of doubt, the policy does not apply to houseboats. Houseboats are considered to be structures without means of independent propulsion and will be dealt with on a case by case basis due to their potential impact on character of the area.

The policy requires a management plan for the site as well as a register of those boats being lived on. These will be required through conditions on planning application(s). The management plan will help ensure the site as a whole is appropriately managed. This would normally cover things like noise, waste, delivery times etc. and would have contact details of who to contact if the management requirements of the site are not adhered to. A breach of this management plan would then be a breach of condition and could be enforced. The register of who lives on which boat will be maintained at all times.

Proposals for residential moorings must ensure they have adequately considered the following:

 a) The technique/method of mooring the vessel. The Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) should show how the boat will be moored to prevent it being too tight or too loose.
 If the vessel is moored too tightly it could list, and by being too loose it could float onto the landside of the quay heading or be cast adrift at times of flooding. Both scenarios have safety concerns for occupiers, possessions and other objects or vessels that could be hit by a loose boat, and should be addressed within the FRA.

- b) A Flood Response Plan needs to be produced. While it is acknowledged that residential boats will float, the access to the boat could be disrupted at times of flood, causing the occupier to be stranded on board the boat. The Flood Response Plan needs to advise what the occupier should do at times of flood to ensure their safety whether they should evacuate the boat in advance of flooding or take refuge in the boat and therefore have supplies to help them sit out the flood.
- c) Finally, the FRA should include consideration of how the boat moored at the residential mooring will be monitored at times of flood to make sure it does not cause damage to other vessels, and to prevent damage to the belongings on board and the boat itself.

The Authority intends to produce a guide for residential moorings as well as a template to assist with the production of management plans. The Authority is aware of guidance being produced by other organisations on residential moorings and we will ensure we are involved with those guides and reflect them in our own guide.

Development proposals for residential moorings should provide a biodiversity net gain as a result of the development as there are likely to be significant opportunities for waterside biodiversity enhancement.

Meeting the need for residential moorings

The Accommodation Needs Assessment completed in 2017 identifies a need for 63 residential moorings. This figure needs to be interpreted with some caution, as it is based on limited interviews with boat dwellers and on anecdotal estimates rather than a comprehensive count or survey of the people who live on boats.

The study also indicates that those living on boats do so from choice, rather than from an ethnic background, and that most are single people or childless couples.

The Local Plan seeks to address the need for residential moorings in several ways:

- Ten residential moorings have been permitted on appeal at Waveney River Centre and six sites have been allocated for residential moorings amounting to around 41 residential moorings. See Appendix K for the residential moorings trajectory which shows the total identified supply as 10 residential moorings.
- Some areas of the Broads have been identified in this Local Plan as suitable in principal for residential moorings and these are policies STA1 and HOR6. Although they are potentially suitable in principle, deliverability is not confirmed, therefore they are not allocated in the Plan and do not appear in the identified supply figures.

 The Authority also intends to meet with marinas and boatyards that meet the locational criteria of the policy to discuss the potential for residential moorings.

The <u>Residential Moorings Topic Paper</u> (revised 2017)⁸ and its <u>addendum⁹ has more</u> information on meeting the need for residential moorings.

⁸ <u>https://www.broads_authority.gov.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0019/1020475/Assessment_of_resi_moorings_nominations_update_and-</u> topic paper July 2017.pdf ⁹ https://www.broads authority.gov.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0010/1356778/EPS20 Assessment of residential moorings nominations-

received during the Publication Consultation January 2018 Amended July 2018.pdf

Appendix B - Privacy notice

Personal data

The following is to explain your rights and give you the information you are entitled to under the Data Protection Act 2018. Our <u>Data Protection Policy</u> is available on the Broads Authority website..

The Broads Authority will process your personal data in accordance with the law and in the majority of circumstances this will mean that your personal data will be made publicly available as part of the process. It will not however be sold or transferred to third parties other than for the purposes of the consultation.

1. The identity of the data controller and contact details of our Data Protection Officer The Broads Authority is the data controller. The Data Protection Officer can be contacted at: <u>dpo@broads-authority.gov.uk</u> or (01603) 610734.

2. Why we are collecting your personal data

Your personal data is being collected as an essential part of the consultation process, so that we can contact you regarding your response and for statistical purposes. We may also use it to contact you about related matters. We will also contact you about later stages of the Local Plan process.

3. Our legal basis for processing your personal data

The Data Protection Act 2018 states that, as a Local Planning Authority, the Broads Authority may process personal data as necessary for the effective performance of a task carried out in the public interest, i.e. a consultation.

4. With whom we will be sharing your personal data

Your personal data will not be shared with any organisation outside of MHCLG. Only your name and organisation will be made public alongside your response to this consultation.

Your personal data will not be transferred outside the EU.

5. For how long we will keep your personal data, or criteria used to determine the retention period.

Your personal data will be held for 16 years from the closure of the consultation in accordance with our <u>Data and Information Retention Policy</u>.

6. Your rights, e.g. access, rectification, erasure

The data we are collecting is your personal data, and you have considerable say over what happens to it. You have the right:

- a) to see what data we have about you
- b) to ask us to stop using your data, but keep it on record

- c) to ask to have all or some of your data deleted or corrected
- d) to lodge a complaint with the independent Information Commissioner (ICO) if you think we are not handling your data fairly or in accordance with the law. You can contact the ICO at <u>https://ico.org.uk/</u>, or telephone 0303 123 1113.
- 7. Your personal data will not be used for any automated decision making.

Appendix A – Residential Moorings management plan checklist

It is expected that a Management Plan will cover the following. This list is not exhaustive and there may be other aspects that need to be covered.

Checklist		1
1.	Site rules and/or terms and conditions.	
2.	Noise – expectations relating to noise.	
3.	Waste management – sewerage and rubbish and recycling.	
4.	Management of increased vehicular movements.	
5.	Storage provision for residential boaters.	
6.	Details of water safety provisions.	
7.	Contact details of who to contact if the management requirements of the site are not adhered to.	
8.	State requirements on how vessels will meet the requirements of the bye-laws and legislation for example the need for boat safety certificates and appropriate insurance.	

Appendix B – Residential moorings questionnaire

This simple questionnaire template covers most of the policy and guide requirements. It should be filled in and accompany applications for residential moorings.

Question		Answer
1.	Have you completed a flood risk assessment?	
2.	Have you completed a flood response plan?	
3.	Have you completed a management plan?	
4.	How will you provide the residential moorings with electricity? How will the electricity unit impact on/add to light pollution? Please mark on a plan of the site.	
5.	How will you provide the residential moorings with potable water? Please mark on a plan of the site.	
6.	How will you deal with sewerage arising from the boats on residential moorings? Please mark on a plan of the site.	
7.	How will you deal with rubbish (including recyclable materials) arising from the boats on residential moorings? <u>Where will you</u> <u>locate the store so it is not affected by high</u> <u>flows?</u> Please mark on a plan of the site.	
8.	How will you address car and cycle parking for those who are using residential moorings? Please mark on a plan of the site.	
9.	How will you address amenity space and landscaping? Please mark on a plan of the site.	
10.	How will you provide storage for those who are using residential moorings? Please mark on a plan of the site.	
11.	. How does your scheme address light pollution? How does your scheme maintain dark skies?	