Broads Authority Planning Committee 22 June 2012 Agenda Item No 8

APPLICATIONS FOR DETERMINATION

List of applications to be considered by the Planning Committee on 22 June 2012

Application Number Site	Name of applicant	Proposal	Recommendation
BA/2012/0139/FUL 100m Length of yhe right riverbank, near Nogdam End, Norton Subcourse (Compartment 22) Hales-w Heckingham	Environment Agency	Retrospective piling removal works and construction of new rollback floodbank with excavation of a soke dyke to provide material for a new bank.	 Subject to no substantive representation/comment being raised from any outstanding consultees, this planning application be approved subject to the following conditions: List of approved plans. Landscape/re-planting. Erosion monitoring. Navigation hazard markers. The following informative be specified on the decision notice of the planning application: The permission shall be granted in the context of the Memorandum of Understanding between the Broads Authority and the Environment Agency on 25 April 2003. Works may need separate flood defence consent from the IDB for filling of a soke dyke and construction of any replacement access culvert.
			Reason for Recommendation The proposal seeks to remedy a failure in flood defences. The application proposes a sustainable form of flood defences and is accompanied by a supporting statement indicating the impact on navigation, ecology, the environment and flood risk. The application is considered to be in accordance with

Application Number Site	Name of applicant	Proposal	Recommendation
			Core Strategy Policies CS3, CS4 and CS20 and Development Management Policies DP29 and DP13
			Therefore the application is considered to meet the requirements of the Core Strategy DPD policies and Development Management Policies DPD. The proposal is considered to represent an appropriate design of development associated with flood defence work in this location.
BA/2012/0153/FUL – Lane at Hill Common (Compartment 6) Hickling	Environment Agency	Broads Edge Treatment (Part-retrospective application for a change in alignment of 8m of crest piling adjacent to the quay heading outside Watersedge, Hill Common. Installation of new steps over crest piling to allow access to the water)	 Subject to no substantive representation/comment being raised from any outstanding consultees and local residents, this planning application be approved subject to the following conditions: Standard time limit condition; Approved list of plans. Reason for Recommendation The proposal is accompanied by supporting information which justifies the proposed scheme. The application is considered to meet the requirements of the development plan policy (notably Core Strategy policies CS3 and CS4 and Development Management Policies DPD policies DP4, DP12 and DP28) and would not materially conflict with other policies. The proposal is considered to represent an appropriate design of development associated with existing flood defence work in this location
BA/2012/0132/FUL - Hicking Parish Staithe , Staithe Road		Renovation of Parish Staithe Proposals by the Parish to renovate Parish Staithe including 3no additional wet moorings, a vehicular barrier	 Approve subject to the following conditions: Time limit In accordance with plans submitted Details of barrier to be agreed Moorings to be short or long term moorings only.

Application Number Site	Name of applicant	Proposal	Recommendation
Hickling		at the southern access, improvements to existing slipway and landscaping of site	 No residential moorings. Dredgings to be deposited immediately adjacent to quayheading Additional dredgings to be taken off site and disposed of correctly New quayheading to be constructed to the same height as existing New quayheading to be constructed in tanalised timber preserved with non-toxic treatments only Full landscaping scheme to be submitted and agreed Planting agreed to be undertaken within the next available planting season Should any tree die within the subsequent 5 year period they shall be replaced Implementation of a programme of archaeological work in accordance with a written scheme of investigation to be agreed
			Reason for Recommendation The development is considered in accordance with Development Plan Policies and in particular considered in accordance with Policies CS1, CS10, CS14, CS15 and CS17 of the Core Strategy (Adopted 2007) and Policies DP2, DP4, DP5, DP12, DP13 and DP16 of the Development Management Polices DPD (Adopted 2011).
BA/2012/0111/EXT 13W	Mr Mark Tolley	Extension of Time	Approve, subject to a s106 legal agreement as
Cantley Sugar Factory, Station Road		limit of previous PP BA/2008/0307/FUL for the erection of a new Evaporator	detailed at paragraph 7.3 and detailed conditions as detailed in the report to Committee
Cantley		plant as part of energy reduction scheme plus	Reason for Recommendation The application is considered to be in accordance with

Application Number Site	Name of applicant	Proposal	Recommendation
		construction of new buildings and plant for processing of	Broads Local Plan Policy CAN1and there are not considered to be any material considerations which
		raw sugar including associated works	would warrant the refusal of the granting of an extension of time for the implementation of consent BA/2008/0307/FUL.
BA/2012/0148/FUL White Lodge, Kingfisher Lane	Mr Mathew Thwaites	Retrospective application for a side and front extension to	Approve subject to conditions
South Walsham		existing garage and erection of an open sided summer house	 Standard time limit In accordance with submitted plans
			Reason for Recommendation The proposal is considered to be in accordance with Policies DP4 and DP28 of the adopted Development Management Policies DPD (2011), Policy CS1 of the adopted Core Strategy (2007) and the National Planning Policy Framework (2012).
BA/2012/0127/FUL 2 Broad Road	Mr Peter Best	Replacement of existing garage with timber and brick garage and replacement of	Approve subject to the following conditions:Time limitPlans
Fleggburgh		existing conservatory with a brick extension	 Plans Sample materials to be submitted and agreed Details of roof lights to be submitted and agreed
			Reasons for Recommendation The development is considered to be in accordance with development plan policy and in particular considered in accordance with Policies DP4 and DP28 of the Development Management Polices DPD (Adopted 2011).