

# Planning Committee

31 March 2023 Agenda item number 10

# Local Plan - Issues and Options - representations

Report by Planning Policy Officer

### Summary

The Issues and Options consultation ran from 3 October to 4pm on 9 December 2022. We received around 600 comments and these are reported in this paper. The appendix includes the comment, name of respondent and the proposed response from the Authority.

Members' views on the comments and responses are welcomed.

#### Recommendation

To endorse the responses, subject to any comments made at committee.

### 1. Introduction

- 1.1. The Issues and Options consultation ran from 3 October to 4pm on 9 December 2022. A report was presented to the Planning Committee meeting on 3 February 2023, summarising the key headlines and this is available here: <a href="Issues and Options summary of consultation">Issues and Options summary of consultation (broads-authority.gov.uk)</a>.
- 1.2. Included at Appendix 1 are the comments, name of respondent as well as the proposed response from the Authority.
- 1.3. Members are asked to consider the comments and responses, provide feedback and ultimately endorse the responses. The document will then be shared with those who provided comments and the comments themselves will be used to inform the preparation of policies.
- 1.4. The comments received are useful and helpful and will help us as we produce Local Plan policies. The Authority appreciates the time taken by the respondents to give us their thoughts.

Author: Natalie Beal

Date of report: 10 March 2023

Appendix 1 – Issues and Options consultation - Comments received and proposed Broads Authority responses

## Appendix 1 - Issues and Options consultation - Comments received and proposed Broads Authority responses

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
1	Anglian Water	3.2. Anglian Water recognises that the Broads is an important area for biodiversity and that a landscape scale approach to nature recovery, delivered through Local Nature Recovery Strategies, provides opportunities to ensure wetland habitats are resilient over the longer term.	Background information noted.	No further action
1	Anglian Water	3.3. Given the tightly drawn boundary of the Broads Executive Area, we note that close working with neighbouring local planning authorities is imperative for the Authority, and ensures that appropriate levels of growth can, if required, be located outside the Executive Area to help sustain local businesses such as by meeting local housing needs to provide longer term resilience.	Background information noted.	No further action
1	Anglian Water	3.4.IIIThe 'Pressures on the Broads' section identifies a range of environmental issues which we recognise and require a multi-stakeholder approach. Our Biodiversity Strategy has been informed by consultation with key environmental stakeholders including government agencies and NGOs and emphasises our reliance on the natural environment to help maintain water quality and quantity. For these reasons we recognise our role in protecting the natural environment within our region, and addressing issues such as habitat loss, invasive non- native species, unsustainable abstraction, pollution, and climate change.		No further action
1	Anglian Water	3.5.IllAnglian Water has the biggest natural environment programme in the sector (WINEP – Water Industry National Environment Programme) with over £811 million worth of projects aimed at environmental enhancement between 2020-2025. We are also leading the way in the design and delivery of natural wetlands that perform the dual purpose of delivering net zero water treatment and habitat creation for biodiversity. This summer we announced the building of 26 new wetlands across the region, to be modelled on our flagship River Ingol wetland that opened in 2019 in partnership with the Norfolk Rivers Trust.	Background information noted.	No further action
1	Bradwell Parish Council	We would like to see it maintained as an area of natural beauty, with more careful monitoring of the boats and pleasure craft that present a clear danger to this habitat.	Noted. That is the general aim of the policies in the Local Plan - to protect and enhance the area. But we do need to allow appropriate development to happen in appropriate locations.	No further action.
1	Broads Society	Challenges exist for attracting new generational visitors into areas such as National Parks whilst also fewer younger or new customers are engaging in leisure marine activities. This demographic is looking for, and using, new entry areas such as variations and niche versions of accommodation experiences, canoeing, surfing and paddle boarding. Their digital communication preferences and their desire for activities are aligned to short burst experiences to enjoy and share online and are being termed 'Pay & Play'. The British Marine Futures report states brands and organisations must empower this audience to 'do, feel and share' to remain relevant.	Noted. Not directly relevant to land use planning, but could be weaved into the context section. Will also pass comment on as part of the review of the Broads Sustainable Tourism Strategy.	Weave into context section and pass on comment as part of the review of the Broads Sustainable Tourism Strategy
1	Broads Society	The following new diverse leisure industry classifications have also emerged.  •IIIConsidered, occasional (being, higher cost & occasional activities)  •IIIFrequent, habitual (being, lower cost & frequent activities)	Noted. Not directly relevant to land use planning, but could be weaved into the context section. Will also pass comment on as part of the review of the Broads Sustainable Tourism Strategy.	Weave into context section and pass on comment as part of the review of the Broads Sustainable Tourism Strategy
1	Broads Society	The challenge must now be to help Broads businesses rapidly establish the offering that will engage the audience who will help shape, support and participate within the Broads National Park. This help being agile planning and planning support from joined up Authorities enabling the capture of rapidly changing economic opportunities.  This demographic will be the one to provide the long-term tourism and visitor revenue, the time, energy and volunteering pool vital for the sustainability and resilience needed for the challenges ahead.	weaved into the context section. Will also pass comment on as part	Weave into context section and pass on comment as part of the review of the Broads Sustainable Tourism Strategy
1	Broads Society	The British Marine report provides a framework to aid organisations and businesses to attract this participation:  •IIIEngage & Promote  •IIIImproved marketing / targeted diversity / adventure & challenge / strategic partnerships  •IIIPathways & Locations  •IIIDemand led / Broader delivery / Strengthen pathways & access routes  •IIIStaying Active & Connected  •IIIEncourage participation / Benefits of club membership / Volunteering	Noted. Not directly relevant to land use planning, but could be weaved into the context section. Will also pass comment on as part of the review of the Broads Sustainable Tourism Strategy.	Weave into context section and pass on comment as part of the review of the Broads Sustainable Tourism Strategy
1	East Suffolk Council	While it is for the Broads Authority to set out an appropriate context and explain the history of the area, East Suffolk Council welcomes the explanation of the relationship between the Broads Authority area and the constituent district and county councils. It is important that this continues to form part of any future Local Plan documents.		No further action
1	Historic England	Historic England support paragraph 7.8 which describes in helpful detail, the unique nature of the Broads as a result of human activity and makes clear that the landscape forms a defining element of the historic environment in the area.	Support noted.	No further action.
1	RSPB	Page 23 7.6 (and sections 7.8, 7.9 and 7.10) seems to overstate the importance of boats vs land activities. We agree that access by water is a unique feature in the Broads, but evidence shows a change in focus and what visitors are looking for and pace needs to be kept with this change.	These sections provide context and highlight how the Broads are important in different ways. There is no evidence provided in the representation to propose changes to the text.	No further action.
1	RSPB	7.7 – Norfolk hawker is no longer as rare or threatened. Is there an opportunity to select another ambassador species e.g., fen orchid, which is only found in the Broads?	Noted. Will amend text.	Amend text.
1	RSPB	Given the emphasis currently being placed on landscape scale conservation (from individual eNGO's to for example LNRS) is there merit in making mention of this to bring to life the sentiment described in the final para? Follows on from section 7.5 where mention needs to be made about integrating interests and important assets to enhance the whole without adverse impact on any individual interest/aspect.  We contacted RSPB for clarification and they said: Only through greater collaboration and joint planning can beneficial landscape scale change for biodiversity and agriculture be achieved.	Noted and in delivering the Broads Plan, there is greater collaboration.	No further action for the Local Plan.
1	South Norfolk Council	In general Principle 1 and 2 appear consistent with the Norfolk Strategic Planning Framework (NSPF) in relation to recognising the very distinct issues facing the Broads Authority Area and its importance in bringing to the county in relation to quality of life, health and wellbeing, economy, tourism and benefits to biodiversity. The Council would question whether "full scientific certainty" is a reasonable threshold to apply. In this respect the Council notes that the Planning Practice Guidance on appropriate assessments talks about ensuring that there is "no reasonable scientific doubt" and considering whether plans or is likely to result in "significant harm". It is suggested that having "no reasonable scientific doubt that there will be significant harm" would be a better, and more realistic principle to apply instead of "full scientific certainty", which would, on first appearances, appear to be a particularly high, and possibly unachievable threshold.	Comments noted. This section is called 'policy context' and refers to other policy documents that are relevant to the Local Plan. These are quotes from another document - the Broads Plan. That is the Management Plan for the Broads. That is now adopted and had its	No further action.
1	South Norfolk Council	Principle 3 is consistent with the NSPF in relation to effective plan making and the Council welcomes the opportunity to work in partnership with the Broads Authority in respect of the production of its local plan.	Support noted.	No further action.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
guestion number)	Coffeella Connection Connection	Suffall, County Council would walcome further detail on the historic and narticularly archaeological hackground of the Droads in this		
1	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council would welcome further detail on the historic and particularly archaeological background of the Broads in this section. Section 7.8 touches on the unique quality and distinctiveness of the built environment of the Broads but could go into more		
		detail on the significance of this and how this distinctiveness has evolved historically. More emphasis on the area's archaeological		
		potential and importance, to help develop understanding of and protect the historic evolution of the Broads would be welcomed. We	Noted. Will weave this suggestion into the text.	Weave into context section.
		note reference to the exceptional potential for waterlogged archaeology, this could be expanded upon. The Suffolk County Council		
		Archaeological Service (SCCAS) would welcome further engagement and could provide additional guidance if required.		
1		Suffolk County Council would also welcome expansion of section 7.11. The National Census 2011 data provided gives a good overview		
1		of the demographics of the Broads community. We also welcome reference to the 2019 Indices of Multiple Deprivation and that these		
	Suffolk County Council	maps have been assessed as part of the separate Deprivation Topic Paper. The areas identified as more deprived will require specific	Noted. Will weave this suggestion into the text.	Weave into context section.
	Surroik Country Council	attention in terms of supporting access to services (including health services), access to open space and play space (including to the	Noted. Will weave this suggestion into the text.	Weave into context section.
		excellent recreational opportunities available within the Broads), provision of good quality housing and opportunities for active travel.		
1		Additional health outcome data for the Broads population is available from both the Suffolk Observatory and Public Health England's		
1		Fingertips Tool. The County Council would draw attention to the Joint Strategic Needs Assessment for Suffolk which aims to accurately		
		assess the health needs of a given local population with a view to improving the physical and mental health and wellbeing of individuals		
		and communities. There are also a number of place-based needs assessments, including for Lowestoft and South Waveney which		
		provide further information on housing, education and poverty that affect health and wellbeing in these communities. These are often	This is useful information, especially for the health section of the	Use information in health section and engage with Suffolk CC about
	Suffolk County Council	referred to as the 'wider determinants' of health. The County Council's Public Health team would welcome further engagement		the health section of the Local Plan.
		throughout the preparation of the Local Plan and can provide further area specific data and advice as required. The County Council	2000 Francisco Constant and Sustanta Sunt, Francisco	lane meaning section of the 2000. Figure
		supports recognition of the need for good access to recreation opportunities provided by the Broads as this supports the health and		
		wellbeing of communities both within the Broads and beyond. Policies should support improvements to public rights of way		
		throughout the Broads to improve and maintain access.		
1	Woodbastwick Parish Council	There are insufficient public footpaths to encourage locals and visitors to enjoy the Broads landscape.	Comments noted.	Pass comment to Waterways and Recreation Officer.
1	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Easy access by and emphasis on water-based activities limits enjoyment of the Broads to those who have the financial means to hire or		The same transfer of the same
1	WOOdbastwick Fairsii Codiicii	own water craft. It is not readily accessible to people from deprived communities;	not have the financial means'.	Pass comment to Waterways and Recreation Officer.
1		In general Principle 1 and 2 appear consistent with the Norfolk Strategic Planning Framework (NSPF) in relation to recognising the very	not have the infancial means.	
1		distinct issues facing the Broads Authority Area and its importance in bringing to the county in relation to quality of life, health and	Comments noted. This section is called 'policy context' and refers to	
		wellbeing, economy, tourism and benefits to biodiversity. The Council would question whether "full scientific certainty" is a reasonable	other policy documents that are relevant to the Local Plan. These are	
	Broadland Council	threshold to apply. In this respect the Council notes that the Planning Practice Guidance on appropriate assessments talks about	guotes from another document - the Broads Plan. That is the	No further action.
	Broadiana Council	ensuring that there is "no reasonable scientific doubt" =. It is suggested that "no reasonable scientific doubt" would be a better, and	Management Plan for the Broads. That is now adopted and had its	No further action.
		more realistic principle to apply instead of "full scientific certainty", which would, on first appearances, appear to be a particularly high,	own consultation process over the last two years or so.	
		and possibly unachievable threshold.	own consultation process over the last two years or so.	
1	Broadland Council	Principle 3 is consistent with the NSPF in relation to effective plan making and the Council welcomes the opportunity to work in		
		partnership with the Broads Authority in respect of the production of its local plan.	Support noted.	No further action.
		Engagement with stakeholders is an iterative process through plan preparation, including through the Duty to Cooperate and		
		Statements of Common Ground. We welcome continued dialogue with the Broads Authority and will support the plan process through		
2	Anglian Water	providing information and advice to underpin the evidence base and enable the adoption of a sound local plan.	Support noted.	No further action.
		The Plan and SA objectives also aim to ensure that there are positive social outcomes for local communities, and the health and		
		wellbeing of people living, working and visiting The Broads.		
		3.6. Whilst Anglian Water is not a prescribed body in relation to the Duty to Cooperate, we actively engage with Local Planning		
2	Anglian Water	Authorities in the preparation of their Local Plans through providing advice and data to inform preparation of evidence base documents	Noted.	No further action.
		and responding appropriately to consultations on Local Plans or other local development documents.		
2	Bradwell Parish Council	We think it would be a mistake to remove the duty to Cooperate.	Noted. It will be down to Government reforms. But we work closely	No further action.
-			with our districts and other DTC Authorities regardless of the Duty.	The factories decision
		The Society would hope that the strength of the current legislation is retained to ensure that cross-border cooperation with other local		
2	Broads Society	authorities is retained to the same extent. This could be particularly critical when dealing with issues relating to 'residential moorings'	Noted. It will be down to Government reforms. But we work closely	No further action.
_	2.0000 000.00,	and 'liveaboards' when there might be a number of agencies involved in planning and 'non-planning' issues resulting from these	with our districts and other DTC Authorities regardless of the Duty.	
		activities.		
2	Brooms Boats	Broom Boats believes in the strength of cross border cooperation and that the current legislation promoting this should be retained.	Noted. It will be down to Government reforms. But we work closely	No further action.
		East Suffolk Council welcomes the recognition of the relationship between the Broads Authority area and the northern part of East	with our districts and other DTC Authorities regardless of the Duty.	
		Suffolk and is satisfied that the Broads Authority is meeting the Duty to Cooperate insofar as East Suffolk Council is concerned. We look		
2	East Suffolk Council	forward to continued co-operation with the Broads Authority in progressing the preparation and implementation of the new Local Plan.		No further action.
2	East Sarroix Council	In particular we welcome continued liaison on cross boundary matters such as housing, water resource management, sustainable	. Support noted.	No rai their action.
		transport and habitats and biodiversity.		
2	RSPB	As presented in the Issues and Options 'a requirement to assist' seems an appropriate way to proceed.	Noted.	No further action.
	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council consider the Authority's approach to the Duty to Cooperate to be appropriate and		
2		appreciate the engagement that has taken place so far.	Support noted.	No further action.
			The constitution of the Broads Authority is defined in the Broads Act,	
			which the Broads Authority must comply with Norfolk and Suffolk	
			Broads Act 1988	
		People who live within the boundaries of the Broads have no elected representative contributing to decisions made by the Broads	https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1988/4/section/1. It would be	
2	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Authority on issues that directly affect them. A representative on the Broads Executive from the District Council and County Council are	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		not elected by and does not represent the residents.	Authority.	
			There is no provision under the Act for directly elected members, but	
			the 9 appointments from the constituents councils are elected	
			representatives from their respective councils.	
		1	propresentatives from their respective councils.	1

Part of document (numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)	Woodbastwick Parish Council	We do not agree that there is any constructive engagement with the Parish Council and local community;	About the Duty to Cooperate which Question 2 refers to, we consult far and wide on the local plan, including with drop-in sessions where the public is invited to attend and where officers are available to respond to queries. The Planning Inspector will assess how the BA has met its duty to cooperate requirements at the Local Plan examination stage. More widely that the Duty to Cooperate, we consult the public when producing/reviewing other key strategic plans, such as the Broads Plan which was consulted upon during the summer 2022. We send regular briefings to all parish councils lying partly within the Broads, and officers will continue to engage with individual parish councils on local issues of concern. Here are more details about how to contact us: https://www.broads-authority.gov.uk/about-us/how-we-work/broads-engage	
2	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	The Borough Council is satisfied by the co-operation undertaken by the Broads Authority, particularly with respect to the commissioning of joint studies such as the Great Yarmouth and Broads Local Housing Needs Assessment 2022 and the Great Yarmouth and Broads Gypsy, Traveller & Residential Caravans Accommodation Assessment, and looks forward to continuing to cooperate on strategic and other issues of mutual interest.	Support noted.	No further action.
3	Anglian Water	3.7.The SWOT analysis in the Issues and Options document demonstrates the challenges in preparing a Local Plan to deliver long term sustainable and resilient development and supporting infrastructure in The Broads Executive Area, which is consistent with its three statutory purposes.	Noted.	No further action.
3	Bradwell Parish Council	The SWOT analysis raises some important issues and the threats are very worrying. A need to focus on sea defences and for us all to adopts a low carbon lifestyle is obvious.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Broads Society	Threat Threat to Hire Boat operators where new qualification demands (ie QAB) are imposed at additional costs by using single source suppliers hence non-market competitive based pricing.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Broads Society	Threat Threat to Hire Fleet operators if a level playing field is not guaranteed by audit regarding Hire Fleet minimum time spent per standard for safe operation of the vessel and of navigation irrespective of experience or other factors. The appropriate level of resources must be applied accordingly by each Hire Boat operator.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Broads Society	Threat  Non agile planning processes and policies threaten the ability for organisations to react to environmental impacts such as flooding or market conditions such as economic opportunities of increased tourism (whilst these could be planned and enabled to be green and sustainable) and endanger the survival of businesses which threaten not only the livelihoods of existing staff and the loss of heritage skills but also apprentices learning old and new skills.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Broads Society	Threat  Lost opportunity to engage agile planning processes with local authority partners and organisations to enable energy saving opportunities as a priority and as demanded by Government and UN.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Brooms Boats	Threat Threat to Hire Boat operators where new qualification demands (ie QAB) are imposed at additional costs by using single source suppliers hence non-market competitive based pricing.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Brooms Boats	for safe operation of the vessel and of navigation irrespective of experience or other factors. The appropriate level of resources must be applied accordingly by each Hire Boat operator.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Brooms Boats	Threat  Non agile planning processes and policies threaten the ability for organisations to react to environmental impacts such as flooding or market conditions such as economic opportunities of increased tourism (whilst these could be planned and enabled to be green and sustainable) and endanger the survival of businesses which threaten not only the livelihoods of existing staff and the loss of heritage skills but also apprentices learning old and new skills.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Brooms Boats	Threat  Lost opportunity to engage agile planning processes with local authority partners and organisations to enable energy saving opportunities as a priority and as demanded by Government and UN.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council do not have any specific comments on the SWOT analysis, it provides a sensible analysis of the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats in relation to the Broads Plan.	Support noted	No further action.
3	RSPB	Strengths – a good and extremely varied selection of bullet points  Complementary to 9.2 c) is the fact that a high proportion of the SSSI units in the Broads are in favourable or unfavourable recovering condition, which signifies mostly appropriate actions and management operations are being undertaken – but clearly more can and should be done.  Additional – a mix of accessible locations and less accessible locations promoting a range of enjoyment opportunities to suit audience needs and avoid unnecessary disturbance of fragile habitats and secretive species	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	RSPB	Weaknesses a)IllChange 'many' to 'a proportion' and add 'conflicting water regimes – species and habitats vs agriculture leading to complex and costly hydrological interventions.' y) Suggest change to 'Susceptible to climate change impacts such as variable rainfall patterns and increased incidence of saltwater incursion leading to significant habitat and landscape change' Add – adverse pressure and hence deterioration of natural assets resulting from 'over-patronisation' by visitors. An example might be Horsey/Winterton dunes	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)		Opportunities		
3	RSPB	a)Transition to more brackish conditions provide opportunity to create saltmarsh which could trap Carbon.  We question whether option e) is viable in terms of freight on rivers given the rate of sedimentation and potential obstructions? It would be helpful to understand where freight would be off-loaded. Plus, to facilitate freight access on rivers might require dredging which would increase potential for saline incursion to move further upstream.  g) is critical add the word 'future' before complementary. Early investigations are needed to prepare for impacts resulting from climate change as are agreeing design and a 'landscape development plan.'  i) does this sit better under the 'strengths' section as it is a statement of fact?  n) agree. Could this have additional comment such as ' and their role in preserving these qualities.'?  u) Add 'promoting greater focus on environmental enhancements'.  New – gradual transition to vehicles powered by non-fossil fuels, leading to reduction in pollution, quieter transport modes.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	RSPB	Threats Suggest altering iv) – change in grazing regimes as floodplain grassland becomes unable to support grazing animals, economically and on welfare grounds (increased salinity, lack of drinking water for stock resulting from drought). Suggest rewording to place emphasis on 'marked changes to rainfall patterns from too much to too little making it hard to plan for, and manage businesses, traditional industries and the landscape alongside coping with proposed increase in housing.'  New under c) or modify ii) – deterioration/change in the landscape character of the area as saline impacts become more prominent and spread upriver.  Would there be merit in ordering the most important opportunities and threats, so they appear at the top to focus effort and application of resources, rather than just providing a long list of possibilities?	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council suggest the SWOT analysis could highlight the following as strengths:  •IllThe Broads represent a significant area for outdoor recreation and access to green space, supporting the mental and physical wellbeing of residents and visitors of all ages, through provision of open space for physical activity and creation of opportunities for social engagement.	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Suffolk County Council	We suggest the SWOT analysis could highlight the following as opportunities:  •IllImprovement of access to the Broads for residents and visitors with limited mobility, contributing to a reduction in isolation for vulnerable groups  •IllPotential for making the Broads 'Dementia Friendly' both for residents and visitors living with dementia	Comments and suggestions are noted. We will consider them as we produce the Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
3	Woodbastwick Parish Council	There is a danger that the Broads is seen as a recreational area for white middle-class communities.	Comment noted.	Pass to Waterways and Recreation Officer
3	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Insufficient regard to develop an improved network of footpaths at a low cost, environmentally friendly, healthy, affordable activity	Comment noted.	Pass to Waterways and Recreation Officer
4	Anglian Water	that is accessible to all communities and all socio-economic groups  The Local Plan includes a number of objectives that aim to protect the highly valued natural environment of The Broads, address climate change impacts and conserve and enhance water quality and resources. It is considered that the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) objectives will provide a sound basis for assessment of Local Plan objectives and policy options for the next stage.	Noted.	No further action.
4	Anglian Water	The strategic objectives of the existing Local Plan include reference to a buoyant and successful economy and supporting a prosperous and sustainable tourism economy. The SA objective SOC5 to maximise opportunities for new/additional employment is compatible with the plan objectives where they underpin the statutory purposes for the Broads Authority.	Support noted.	No further action.
4	Anglian Water	3.14. We are supportive of the Vision for The Broads regarding biodiversity, nature recovery and meeting the challenges of climate change. Further commentary is included in our responses to the specific sections of the document which address these topics.	Support noted.	No further action.
4	Anglian Water	3.15.We agree with the proposed changes to the objectives, to support the vision and policies as they emerge. We agree that nature-based solutions should factor in OBJ6 regarding water quality and such solutions also provide benefits for biodiversity and nature recovery, resilience to the impacts of climate change, carbon sequestration, and health and well-being.  3.16.We support the proposed inclusion of net zero and adaptation to climate change in OBJ7 given the vulnerability of The Broads to the impacts of climate change.	Support noted.	No further action.
4	Bradwell Parish Council	We agree with the objectives and also feel the issue of second homes needs to be addressed.	Suggestion about addressing second homes noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
4	Broads Society	With regard to the potential changes to the objectives, the Society has no problem with including specific mention of Dark Skies under Objective 2. There are some concerns about the inclusion of 'warm, energy efficient homes' under Objective 9 as it is felt that this should be down to Building Regulations legislation and not Planning legislation.	Concerns noted.	Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
4	Broads Society	OBJ14 – potential to refer to the tension between tourism and sustainability? It is important to recognise that as in the response to Question 1, without attracting visitors, and specifically new generational visitors, to the Broads, revenues supporting the eco system that is The Broads will only place pressure on what is possible in the protection of The Broads. It is impossible to react to 'Tensions between tourism and sustainability' with an approach of non- approval of planning, of embracing visitors to the area for fear of increased traffic movements, of stopping businesses adapting to market conditions and market requirements. Instead, the approach should be collaborative, to embrace the technologies available to provide electric charging and water/ground/air source pumps, to join up infrastructures for sustainable visitor travel, enable a joint marketing approach to encourage sustainable tourism. Broom Boats believes that Building Regulations should be the foremost advisory for building design and ensuring that appropriate materials and technologies are used relevant to the significant impact environmental effects are having.	Concerns noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
4	Brooms Boats	OBJ14 – potential to refer to the tension between tourism and sustainability? It is important to recognise that as in the response to Question 1, without attracting visitors, and specifically new generational visitors, to the Broads, revenues supporting the eco system that is The Broads will only place pressure on what is possible in the protection of The Broads. It is impossible to react to 'Tensions between tourism and sustainability' with an approach of non approval of planning, of embracing visitors to the area for fear of increased traffic movements, of stopping businesses adapting to market conditions and market requirements. Instead, the approach should be collaborative, to embrace the technologies available to provide electric charging and water/ground/air source pumps, to join up infrastructures for sustainable visitor travel, enable a joint marketing approach to encourage sustainable tourism.	Concerns noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
4	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Agree with OBJ2 the mention of Dark Skies specifically and OBJ9 – could include warm, energy efficient homes – I think this should go further to include 'safe' i.e. specifically Secured by Design standard safe in both the physical security of the homes and CPTED (crime prevention through environmental design) principles applied to the development as a whole.	Suggestions to OBJ9 seem logical.	Weave into Objective 9 reference to warm, energy efficient and safe homes.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
guestion number)		The Council, earlier in the year, responded to the draft Broads Management Plan and commented that it supports the vision. East		
4	East Suffolk Council	Suffolk support the additional objectives as outlined in the consultation document (dark skies, nature recovery, net zero, energy	Support noted.	No further action.
4	East Surroix Council	efficient homes, second homes, tensions between tourism and sustainability). Many of these issues link to the context of the Broads	Support noteu.	No further action.
		Authority area and reflect emerging or recently established national policy which Local Plans should take account of.		
		Support. OBJ8 specifically addresses address the need to protect, maintain and enhance the historic environment, and is very much welcomed. This strong objective will help positively shape the Plan's strategic policies. Overall the objectives demonstrate an integrated	4	
		approach to the conservation of the historic environment which sees the interrelationship between conservation and other spatial		
4	Historic England	planning goals recognised within several different policies rather than in isolation. For example, OBJ3 and 14 embody a wider	Support noted.	No further action.
		understanding of the historic environment has helped inform these objectives which will also help deliver the conservation and		
		enhancement of the historic environment.		
		Is it possible to mention in the Vision or Objectives how these statements are going to be realised, by whom and how progress is going to be monitored and resources applied to achieve them?		
		Is there an opportunity to amend the objectives so they're a little smarter? Suggested subtle changes to consider		
		would be:		
4	RSPB	1. For the lifetime of this plan retain the Broads as a regional, national and internationally important landscape asset, valued and	Regarding the vision - the Broads Plan and Local Plan for the Broads	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
4	RSPB	respected by people who live and work here and those who visit.	as well as other related strategies, are the ways to achieve the vision. Suggestions for amending the objectives noted.	Local Plan.
		2.To create and maintain at least 10 areas and locations which provide true tranquillity, dark skies and wildness and offer a tangible	suggestions for differenting the objectives floted.	
		sense of being remote and distant from the day-to-day world		
		3.To protect, maintain where needed and enhanced where feasible the landscape character and setting of the Broads to retain the unique, highly valued, and attractive environment.		
		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan		
4	South Norfolk Council	resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.	No further action.
		strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.		
4	Suffolk County Council	We note the vision sets the Authority's target of achieving 'net zero' carbon by 2040. Suffolk County Council has	Noted.	No further action.
		declared a climate emergency with the aim of achieving net zero by 2030.  Suffolk County Council supports the potential change to OBJ9 to include reference to warm, energy efficient homes. Good quality		
		housing has a direct relationship with improved health outcomes for residents. We would draw attention to the Marmot Review,		
4	Suffolk County Council	(2020) The Marmot Review 10 Years On – Health Equity in England. This review states that 'poor quality housing harms health and	Support noted.	Weave into Objective 9 reference to warm, energy efficient and safe
	,	evidence shows that exposure to poor housing conditions (including damp, cold, mould, noise) is strongly associated with poor health,		homes.
		both physical and mental.'		
4	Suffolk County Council	We would also support the inclusion of a specific reference to archaeology in OBJ8 in addition to the area's	Agreed.	Weave into Objective 8 reference to archaeology.
4	Suffolk County Council	historic environment and cultural heritage.  In addition, Suffolk County Council would support reference to nature recovery in OBJ4.	Support noted.	No further action.
			Natarah Markana and will be assistant as the later material Assas Charles	Dans on an annual to Dansation and Waterways Officer
4	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Easier access is required to fulfil objective 11	Noted. We have and will be reviewing the Integrated Access Strategy.	Pass on comment to Recreation and Waterways Officer.
4	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Easier access is required to fulfil objective 11  In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan	Noted. We have and will be reviewing the integrated Access Strategy.	Pass on comment to Recreation and Waterways Officer.
4	Woodbastwick Parish Council  Broadland Council		Noted. We have and will be reviewing the integrated Access strategy.  Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.	No further action.
4		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.		
4		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We		
4		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary.		
4		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in		
4		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and		
4		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in		
4		In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	
4 4	Broadland Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.
4	Broadland Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.
4	Broadland Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.
4	Broadland Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.
4	Broadland Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17. Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.
5	Broadland Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.
5	Broadland Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17. Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon — and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors — this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.
5	Broadland Council  Anglian Water	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.  No further action.
5	Broadland Council  Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.
5	Broadland Council  Anglian Water	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DMMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.	No further action.  No further action.
5	Broadland Council  Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.
5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.
5	Broadland Council  Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon — and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors — this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.
5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon — and will set out how we intend to achieve a scure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors — this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an incr	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.  Noted. We do work on a catchment basis. Indeed, Nutrient Neutrality	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.
5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon — and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors — this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.  Noted.  Noted. We do work on a catchment basis. Indeed, Nutrient Neutrality is a key aspect of the comment.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.
5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.  Noted.  Noted. We do work on a catchment basis. Indeed, Nutrient Neutrality is a key aspect of the comment.  Agreed. We asked about future development and water use in this	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.
5 5 5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council  RSPB	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17. Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19. We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an i	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.  Noted.  Noted. We do work on a catchment basis. Indeed, Nutrient Neutrality is a key aspect of the comment.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.  Continue partnership working.
5 5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council  RSPB	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon — and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors — this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.  Noted.  Noted. We do work on a catchment basis. Indeed, Nutrient Neutrality is a key aspect of the comment.  Agreed. We asked about future development and water use in this consultation document.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.  Continue partnership working.
5 5 5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council  RSPB	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon – and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors – this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.  Noted.  Noted. We do work on a catchment basis. Indeed, Nutrient Neutrality is a key aspect of the comment.  Agreed. We asked about future development and water use in this consultation document.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.  Continue partnership working.
5 5 5	Anglian Water  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  East Suffolk Council  RSPB	In broad terms the objectives appear consistent with the NSPF. The key issue for the Broads, as it is elsewhere, is ensuring the plan resolves the difficult balance of protecting and enhancing the environment whilst enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.  3.17.Anglian Water is the statutory sewerage undertaker for the Broads, and the statutory water provider for part of the Broads. We therefore have a network of assets throughout the Executive Area which we maintain and invest in improvements where necessary. Our Drainage and Wastewater Management Plan (DWMP) is in preparation with a view to publish by the end of May 2023 and highlights the known and expected future risks to drainage and identifies solution strategies to mitigate these. In addition, we are also preparing our Water Resources Management Plan (WRMP24) which will be available for consultation soon — and will set out how we intend to achieve a secure supply of water for our customers whilst protecting and enhancing the environment from 2025 to 2050. The WRMP24 will align with the draft Water Resources East Regional Water Resources Plan which addresses the future needs and aspirations for water across all sectors — this is currently open for consultation.  3.18.Both the DWMP and WRMP set out a long-term vision for future management and investment of our assets and will inform our Price Review (PR24) and our Long-Term Delivery Strategy.  3.19.We agree that the Local Plan should focus on previously developed land where it is not vulnerable to flood risk and existing infrastructure can be utilised.  Option F) What kind of development, if any do you think your part of the broads would benefit from Norfolk Constabulary request that as a condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments that they are in line SBD standards and guidelines to ensure that the Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an inc	Noted. Representation does not suggest changes or highlight issues.  Support noted.  Noted. This is more for design policy.  Noted.  Noted.  Noted. We do work on a catchment basis. Indeed, Nutrient Neutrality is a key aspect of the comment.  Agreed. We asked about future development and water use in this consultation document.	No further action.  No further action.  Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.  No further action.  Continue partnership working.  See responses to water use questions.

Part of document (numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)				John State Local Piuli
5	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.11The Brundall Riverside Estate Association does not wish to comment in detail in response to this question and some of the matters raised are covered in more detail in response to other questions.  2.12As set out above, the Riverside Estate comprises boatyards, marinas and other businesses and a number of private residential and holiday homes. It is a relatively large mix of businesses and residential/holiday use, directly adjacent to the current settlement limit for Brundall and a sustainable location, particularly in relation to Brundall railway station. Therefore, as set out in our response below, the Association would consider that this should be recognised by inclusion within a development boundary.  2.13The nature of the estate continues to evolve, particularly challenges to the more traditional boatyard and marina uses and therefore the Local Plan, and draft Design Guide should be flexible and allow for appropriate change and diversification, not being overly-prescriptive as it is difficult to predict for 20 years in advance.  2.14The Brundall Riverside Estate Association would also make the point that recent developments and the extension or replacement of chalets with more modern construction has been a positive, In particular this has rejuvenated some more tired looking plots and this has had a knock-on effect of greater pride in the location and further enhancements such as roads, boundary treatments and planting/landscaping, as well as further investment.	Noted. The Brundall Riverside Estate area has policies addressing various parts of the area and these will be checked, amended if needed and rolled forward.	Liaise with Sequence when looking at the Brundall policies.
5	Upton Parish Council	a) the area of the broads within the boundaries of Upton is much valued. The area of open space is mostly managed by the Norfolk Wildlife Trust and the Environment Agency. The space to walk and sit quietly is appreciated, and the opportunities to see wildlife and flora.	Noted. Good to hear how the Broads is much valued by the local community.	No further action.
5	Upton Parish Council	b) the cutting of footpaths in the area is not frequent enough. Some become almost impassable by mid summer. There seems to be cutting of access to fishing platforms by the EA but very infrequent cutting for walkers.	The following specific stretches of footpath in the Upton/Acle area are cut by the Broads Authority:  •IllAcle Bridge to Upton on the south side of the river.  •IllFootpath along the south side of Upton Dyke.  •IllAcle Bridge to Acle.  Any issues relating to grass cutting along these stretches, please contact the Broads Authority via the website https://www.broads-authority.gov.uk/contact-us  All other footpaths are cut by Norfolk County Council, as can be viewed via this link http://maps.norfolk.gov.uk/highways/  Any issues on these paths, please use Norfolk County Council's reporting form https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/roads-and-transport/roads/report-a-problem#nrowicons	None
5	Upton Parish Council	c) Given that the BA receives tolls from boat users, it would seem fair that the BA should help with the cost of litter removal from the boat dyke car park and staithe. In the summer, people moor up and put bags of rubbish next to the litter bin that is provided by Broadland DC. There are clearly insufficient refuse collection points in the BA area.	The Broads Authority are not responsible for boat waste but the responsibility lies with the relevant local authorities within the Broads area.	None
5	Upton Parish Council	d) the BA needs to plan for increased numbers of tourists - refuse collection, parking and public toilets.		Dan H
5	Wroxham Parish Council	a) "When asked what is special about Wroxham, residents repeatedly noted its waterside location and community spirit. The combination of setting, size and natural beauty, combine to make Wroxham a unique place to live. For more than 100 years Wroxham has been regarded as The Capital of The Norfolk Broads. It lies at the heart of the Broads National Park and has a range of housing in woodland and waterside settings. Adjacent to a thriving hub of tourism it nevertheless offers areas of tranquillity for those seeking a high quality of living." Wroxham Neighbourhood Plan (WNP).	Information noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
5	Wroxham Parish Council	b) The Council would like to see the former Windboats site on the Norwich Road developed into a residential development that provides housing for older people as permanent residences with attractive public spaces and a public right of way from Staitheway Road to the Norwich Road. In addition, the Council would like the boundary along the Norwich Road with Bridge Broad to be improved. It is currently an unattractive dilapidated fence. The regular flooding in this area also needs to be addressed. Flooding often blocks the footpaths on either side of the Norwich Road adjacent to Bridge Broad and Norfolk Broad Direct and also at the entrance of Bridge Broad Close. Pedestrians, particularly those from the nearby sheltered housing complex, are often prevented from accessing the facilities over the bridge in Hoveton due to this flooding. The Parish Council have been in correspondence with Highways on the matter but have been told a scheme to raise the road is too expensive.	Noted and we will treat this as a suggested site to be considered.	Add to sites to look into for allocation.
5	Wroxham Parish Council	c) Housing for older people (WNP policy HBE5), new small retail outlets (BUS1), new businesses that diversify employment opportunities (BUS2), small scale sustainable tourist developments (BUS3), improvement in the public space around the Wroxham-Hoveton river bridge and the condition of the river bridge itself (COM1), improved community amenities especially space for leisure activities and pre-school provision (COM2), riverside/Broad public open spaces (COM3 &ENV1), a reduction in traffic congestion (TRA1), improved walking and cycling in particular the provision of a Green Loop providing a safe and pleasant walking and cycling route off the A1151 (TRA3).	Reference to Wroxham Neighbourhood Plan noted.	Consider all Neighbourhood Plans as produce the Preferred Options.
5	Wroxham Parish Council	d) Switch to electric vehicles and therefore a need for charging points both residential and public. An increase in extreme weather creating hot dry and then very wet periods which could be mitigated by an increase in trees and planting and flood ready infrastructure. Also better working practices by the BA to work together with the EA on water abstraction licencing to prevent the rivers running dry. An increase in traffic created by large developments on the A1151 at Rackheath and on the NDR at Salhouse etc.	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
5	Wroxham Parish Council	e) See point b.	Noted.	See point b
5	Wroxham Parish Council	f) See point c	Noted.	See point c
5a	Bradwell Parish Council	Parks and natural habitats such as Bluebell Woods. Community Centres and Recreation facilities.	Following further conversations, this site is not in the Broads Authority Executive Area. We have policies relating to community centres and recreation facilities.	No further action.
5b	Bradwell Parish Council	We would like to see the Bluebell Woods area improved to be more of a community recreational area.	Following further conversations, this site is not in the Broads Authority Executive Area.  Following further conversations, this site is not in the Broads	No further action.
5c 5d	Bradwell Parish Council	The poorly thought-out plan to imprison Bluebell woods, Bradwell in the middle of an industrial estate.	Authority Executive Area.	No further action.
50 5e	Bradwell Parish Council	Rising sea levels causing more localised flooding. More problems with drought type conditions.	Noted.	No further action.
Se Sf	Bradwell Parish Council	None,	Noted.	No further action.
	Bradwell Parish Council	More open spaces and natural habitats for wild life.	Noted.	No further action.
6	Bradwell Parish Council	It seems eminently sensible to plan for climate change to minimise the impact.	Support noted.	No further action.

Part of document (numbers denote the guestion number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
6	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council welcome the inclusion of the climate change checklist. However, the checklist asks what the impact level is (small, medium, significant etc) which could be rather subjective. Therefore you may want to consider if there is value in providing more space on the checklist for applicants to demonstrate how they have considered and mitigated for future climate changes. A section could also be added regarding the related time impacts – i.e. 'immediate / future impact, plus the frequency (e.g. annually / every 10 years), as some mitigation measures may require ongoing maintenance or investment.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
6	RSPB	The approach is limited to built development. Given the intrinsic link between built development and development/management elsewhere in the Broads we suggest comment is made and planning undertaken to describe the predicted impact on floodplain habitats. There is a link here to both agriculture and focus of questions 30 and 31 and the aspiration to make the Broads an attractive and viable place to visit and enjoy. This could be compromised if development either directly or indirectly leads to the deterioration of the landscape and natural assets.	Comment noted. However, all the Local Plan can really cover is built development. Whilst there is benefit in making information available about wider issues, this is better done through other documents such as the Broads Plan the Local Nature Recovery Strategy.	No further action.
6	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.16No objection to the climate change checklist being rolled forward from the current local plan. We welcome the suggested amendments to making the questions clearer but the reserve the right to comment further when those amendments are published.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
6	South Norfolk Council	Whilst this would bring awareness to climate change in new developments and in turn be consistent with Agreement 20 of NSPF, the overall aim and justification for the climate change checklist needs further detail and justification. For example it would be useful to clarify who would be filling this checklist in and whether this needed to be an environmental specialist? It would be beneficial to understand what type of development would require a checklist. In addition, the impact seems to be measured by 'nil, small, medium, significant' but the Council couldn't identify where there was guidance on what each category meant. Again, further clarity on who would be completing this element in order to make a judgement would be beneficial as would explanation of what evidence, or what types of evidence, would be required to demonstrate the judgements made. Overall, it is considered that further information is required to understand what the checklist would achieve and its specific impact on decision making e.g. if a development falls within the 'nil' category would this warrant a refusal, or is this just an aid to understanding of the extent to which the development has specifically considered climate change?	Noted. The checklist is not new; it was part of the currently adopted Local Plan. But comments are useful and will be considered as we produce climate change policies.	Consider comments we produce climate change policies.
6	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council supports the Local Plan's position on climate change and the use of the accompanying climate change checklist.	Support noted.	No further action.
6	Broadland Council	Whilst this would bring awareness to climate change in new developments and in turn be consistent with Agreement 20 of NSPF, the overall aim and justification for the climate change checklist needs further detail and justification. For example it would be useful to clarify who would be filling this checklist in and whether this needed to be an environmental specialist? It would be beneficial to understand what type of development would require a checklist. In addition, the impact seems to be measured by 'nil, small, medium, significant' but the Council couldn't identify where there was guidance on what each category meant. Again, further clarity on who would be completing this element in order to make a judgement would be beneficial as would explanation of what evidence, or what types of evidence, would be required to demonstrate the judgements made. Overall, it is considered that further information is required to understand what the checklist would achieve and its specific impact on decision making e.g. if a development falls within the 'nil' category would this warrant a refusal, or is this just an aid to understanding of the extent to which the development has specifically considered climate change?	Noted. The checklist is not new; it was part of the currently adopted Local Plan. But comments are useful and will be considered as we produce climate change policies.	Consider comments we produce climate change policies.
7	Anglian Water	3.23.IllWe support the approach to energy efficient buildings including embodied energy of buildings – this aligns with advice in The Broads Design Guide. However, there is an opportunity to also reference water efficient buildings to emphasise the benefits of minimising potable water demand from new developments, as this helps to minimise energy use (and carbon) in wastewater treatment and potable water treatment and distribution, but also helps to reduce the energy consumption of new buildings particularly for hot water if water efficient fittings are utilised.	Noted. The issue of water efficiency was discussed elsewhere in the Issues and Options.	See water efficiency section.
7	Bradwell Parish Council	The movement towards net zero energy supply needs to be accelerated.	Support for net zero noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
7	Broads Society	The Society agrees with the approach to not set a standard for energy design of new buildings in the new Local Plan for the Broads at this time.	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
7	Brooms Boats	Broom Boats believes that Building Regulations should be the foremost advisory for building design and ensuring that appropriate materials and technologies are used relevant to the significant impact environmental effects	Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
7	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Research conservatively estimates the carbon cost of crime within the UK to be in the region of 6,000,000 tonnes of CO2 per annum. This is roughly equivalent to the total CO2 output of 6 million UK homes. The environmental benefits of Secured by Design are supported by independent academic research consistently proving that SBD housing developments experience up to 87% less burglary, 25% less vehicle crime and 25% less criminal damage. It also has a significant impact on anti-social behaviour. Therefore, there are substantial carbon cost savings associated with building new homes and refurbishing existing homes to the SBD standard i.e. less replacement of poor-quality doors, windows, and the stolen property from within the home as a result of criminal acts. This has been achieved through adherence to well researched and effective design solutions, innovative and creative product design coupled with robust manufacturing standards.	Noted. This is more for design policy.	Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.
7	East Suffolk Council	It is important for the Local Plan to emphasise that Building Regulations set the legal minimum standards and for the Plan to encourage developers to deliver homes that exceed these standards for energy performance.  Norwich City Council has delivered the Goldsmith Street development to Passivhaus standards and East Suffolk Council is developing the former Deben High School site in Felixstowe to provide 61 Passivhaus homes. These could be cited as examples, albeit of larger scale development, to inspire and promote good design and to show it is realistic and achieved. Clarification of the approach to residential solar PV installations (alongside heat pump installations) would also be useful.	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
7	East Suffolk Council	It is worth noting that East Suffolk Council have recently adopted a Sustainable Construction Supplementary Planning Document (April 2022), which is available to view here:https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Planning-Policy-and-Local-Plans/Supplementary-documents/Sustainable-Construction-2022/FINAL-Sustainable-Construction-SPD.pdf. This SPD includes information about how sustainable construction methods and materials used in new development can reduce the construction and operational impact on our environment, wildlife, climate change and health and wellbeing. It also provides guidance on how the operating efficiency of existing buildings can be improved through retrofitting.	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
7	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Whilst not directly asked in this question, we also support the plan including policy which would require progressive increased energy efficiency measures and reference the best practice set out in the joint publication by the Royal Town Planning Institute and the Town and Country Planning Association, The Climate Crisis – A Guide for Local Authorities on Planning for Climate Change https://tcpa.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/11/tcpartpiclimateguide_oct2021_final.pdf	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)		we are currentive of policy changes to deliver law and zero carbon new build, and believe that the inclusion of a zero carbon new build		
/	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	we are supportive of policy changes to deliver low and zero carbon new build, and believe that the inclusion of a zero carbon new build policy would be an achievable and deliverable policy that would bring clear benefits for climate change mitigation. We refer to the	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	Norroll Whalle Hase	adopted zero carbon new homes policy in Reading City Council's local plan as evidence of the deliverability of such a policy.	Support Hoteu.	Local Plan.
7		The statement 'CO2 emissions from new build homes must be around 30%' needs tightening as developers tend to aim for the		Consider this server and served use Drafeword Ontions review of the
	RSPB	minimum figure. Incentivising developers to aim above 30% should be investigated. We don't understand why the reduction figure of	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
		27% for shops and offices, is different from homes?		
7	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	2.18 Agree with the approach set out within the consultation to not set a specific policy as this is covered within the building	Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
7	Association South Norfolk Council	regulations.  Agreed, existing legislation is in place. Building Regulations will ensure energy efficiency in new buildings including EV charging points.	noted.  Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan	Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
,	South Norton Council	In addition, NCC Highways have updated their standard guidance to now require EV changing points and future proof any expansion.	noted.	Local Plan.
7		To support Suffolk County Council's ambition of achieving carbon neutrality by 2030, the Suffolk Climate Emergency Plan was produced.		Local Flain.
•		This in turn is supported by a table of key actions which include supporting the county's Local Authorities to develop policies requiring		Consider this common art or any diver Breformed Outlines consider of the
	Suffolk County Council	new homes to be built in line with the 2025 Future Homes and PAS 2035 standards, including heat pumps or new heat networks or	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
		connection to existing heat networks. We would therefore support the inclusion of policies that embed these requirements for new		Local Fiall.
7	Broadland Causail	homes in the Broads.	Compare to the supposed of mataceting a standing in the Local Diag	Consider this power and as much see Profession Outlines require at the
/	Broadland Council	Agreed, existing legislation is in place. Building Regulations will ensure energy efficiency in new buildings including EV charging points. In addition, NCC Highways have updated their standard guidance to now require EV changing points and future proof any expansion.	Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
8	Bradwell Parish Council			Consider this comment as produce the Preferred Options and any
0	Bradwen ransn council	If electric vehicle charging points can be provided without a major impact on the broads then they should be implemented.	Noted.	policy on parking.
8		The Society agrees with the approach to not set a standard for electric vehicle charging points in the new Local Plan for the Broads.	Noted. Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local	Consider this comment as produce the Preferred Options and any
	Broads Society	However, it is important that electric vehicle points, where proposed, are viewed positively within planning applications where	Plan noted.	policy on parking.
		sustainable travel is being encouraged to enable businesses to react to changing market conditions and environmental impacts.		pone, en parame.
8	Brooms Boats	Standards for electric vehicle charging should be outside of the Local Plan.	Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan noted.	No further action.
8	Brooms Boats	It is important that electric vehicle points, where proposed, are viewed positively within planning applications where sustainable travel		Consider this comment as produce the Preferred Options and any
Ŭ	2.00 200.0	is being encouraged to enable businesses to react to changing market conditions and environmental impacts.	Noted.	policy on parking.
8		Residential parking spaces should be perpendicular and to the front of dwellings they are meant to serve, in order to maximise the		
	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	opportunities for natural surveillance. This feature will become more relevant with the increase of the electric charging of vehicles on	Noted. This is more for design policy.	Ensure design policy refers to SBD standards and guidelines.
_		driveways. This should be factored in when designing new housing developments in line with SBD guidelines.		
8		As is correctly set out in the consultation document, under Building Regulations a new residential building with associated parking is		Consider this someone to a much use the Business of Outlines and any
	East Suffolk Council	required to provide an EV charging point. However we would still recommend requiring EV charging points on developments with on- plot parking as part of planning policy. Consideration could also be given for EV charging provision in community buildings, e.g. village	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce the Preferred Options and any policy on parking.
		halls and public car parks. A position on on-street/ lamppost EV chargers could also be included.		policy off parking.
8	RSPB	Will this be incentivised? If electric vehicle charging points aren't developed in line with proposed removal of	Noted, but this seems more a national issue and not one which the	No feedbar asking
		fossil fuel powered vehicles there is likely to be competition for this service.	Local Plan can address.	No further action.
8	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	2.20Agree with the approach set out within the consultation to not set a specific policy as this is covered within the building regulations	Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan	No further action.
	Association		noted.	
8	South Norfolk Council	Agreed, existing legislation is in place. Building Regulations will ensure energy efficiency in new buildings including EV charging points.	Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan	No further action.
8	Suffolk County Council	In addition, NCC Highways have updated their standard guidance to now require EV changing points and future proof any expansion.  Suffolk County Council suggests reference is made to the Suffolk Guidance for Parking which provides further information on electric	noted.	
0	Surfolk Country Council	vehicle charging points.	Noted. Refer to Suffolk and Norfolk CC parking guidance.	Refer to Suffolk and Norfolk CC parking guidance.
8	Broadland Council		Support to the approach of not setting a standing in the Local Plan	
		In addition, NCC Highways have updated their standard guidance to now require EV changing points and future proof any expansion.	noted.	No further action.
		The Society feels that it is helpful to have a preferred hierarchy approach particularly for new development but does not think that any		
		proscribed approach is necessary which would require new development and extensions to be 'heat-pump ready'. This could add an		
9	Broads Society	unnecessary financial burden on developers and residents.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		Instead, the approach should be collaborative and viewed positively within planning applications, to embrace the technologies available to provide electric charging and water/ground/air source pumps, to join up infrastructures for sustainable visitor travel, enable a joint		Local Plan.
		marketing approach to encourage sustainable tourism.		
		The approach should be collaborative and viewed positively within planning applications, to embrace the technologies available to		
9	Brooms Boats	provide electric charging and water/ground/air source pumps, to join up infrastructures for sustainable visitor travel, enable a joint	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		marketing approach to encourage sustainable tourism.		Local Plan.
	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Option c) Yes reducing / eradicating oil tanks which are vulnerable to theft and criminal damage (when not locked or surrounded by the		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
9		recommended layers of security) and replaced with other heating sources would be supported by Norfolk Constabulary to reduce	Comment noted.	Local Plan.
		crime.		2000.1.0
		2.22The Brundall Riverside Estate Association do have concerns with regard to the energy hierarchy set out above. Such an approach		
		would go beyond the building regulations requirements and it is not clear from the consultation as to who would make the assessment as to whether a development is acceptable in terms of the hierarchy.		
		2.23IIIIt is presumed this would be undertaken by Broads Authority's Planning Officers unless a specialist role is created but this would		
		create a further pressure on planning resource and it is not clear whether there is appropriate in-house expertise to make judgements		
	Commence HW LTD /Day 1, 11, 21, 11, 5, 11	on the proposed hierarchy.		
9	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	2.24By contrast, the drainage hierarchy is implemented by specialist officers within the Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) at Norfolk	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	Association	County Council. Even then, this can be problematic even where applicants have legitimate grounds to justify a drainage solution further		Local Plan.
		down the hierarchy where 'higher' options are not viable.		
		2.25There is a concern that such an approach could be overly restrictive and place a burden on developers, in going beyond building		
ĺ		regulations requirements. In particular the reference to extensions meeting the hierarchy would seem inappropriate if it is required to		
		be of a higher standard than the main dwelling.		
	l .	2.26We would therefore suggest that the proposed heating hierarchy is not appropriate.		1

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
9	South Norfolk Council	Consistent with the Agreement 3 of the NSPF. However, there is no reference to domestic wind power sources and whether there are any circumstance in which this may be deemed an appropriate solution within the Broads. The Council also considers that there may be a significant opportunity to encourage the use of water source heat pumps and this should be given due consideration in the policies of the Local Plan.	<u> </u>	When reviewing the renewable energy policy, consider how to address water source heat pumps.
9	Suffolk County Council	Addressing the way homes are heated in Suffolk is considered an important component of reaching carbon neutrality across the Suffolk. In addition to supporting policies that require new buildings to include heat pumps or new heat networks, Suffolk County Council also supports the uptake of heat pumps in existing buildings in line with the actions accompanying the Suffolk Climate Emergency Plan. We support the heating hierarchy set out at section 13.5 and would support the requirement for new developments to be heat pump ready.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9	Broadland Council	Consistent with the Agreement 3 of the NSPF. However, there is no reference to domestic wind power sources and whether there are any circumstance in which this may be deemed an appropriate solution within the Broads.	There is reference in the Issues and options to wind and small scale wind - section 18. Noted regarding watersource heat pumps.	When reviewing the renewable energy policy, consider how to address water source heat pumps.
9a	RSPB	Looks sensible. Are you differentiating between ground source and air-source heat pumps? Either way it makes sense to make clear both methods should be considered as valid.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9a	Bradwell Parish Council	We only agree with a-d.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9a	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council's view is that planning policy should support low carbon and renewable energy but should not be setting detailed requirements. Building Regulations should set the requirements for energy performance at the national level. Building Regulations set carbon emission standards without specifying the type of heating/hot water system required. This approach allows for new technologies to come forward that are more energy efficient/low carbon. Given the timeframes of Local Plans, it is important that there is sufficient flexibility to accommodate technological advances in this area. As above, clarification of the approach to residential solar PV installations (alongside heat pump installations and potentially geothermal) would be useful.		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9b	RSPB	It would make sense to work towards phasing out fossil fuel source systems and again incentivise with Government grants or other.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9b	Bradwell Parish Council	The approach should be for net zero emissions.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9b	East Suffolk Council	Notwithstanding our more general comments against question a) above, the principal behind the introduction of some form of heating hierarchy sounds sensible. As noted in the consultation document, any new Local Plan will need to consider the impact that any new standards may have on the feasibility of installing oil and gas boilers in new homes in the future.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9с	RSPB	An essential approach to take to smooth the transition. Perhaps impossible to predict but design and installation should allow adaptation at a future date if new technology arrives to further enhance efficiency.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9с	Bradwell Parish Council	We should move to a situation where all developments are heat pump ready.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
9c	East Suffolk Council	As outlined in our response to question a) above, East Suffolk Council's view is that Building Regulations, not planning policy, are best placed to specify requirements for heating and/or hot water systems. Building Control Officers have the knowledge and expertise to assess the technical information submitted alongside applications and can carry out the necessary onsite checks to ensure work has been carried in accordance with plans.  Developments being 'heat network ready' where viable is expected to be a future national requirement – this could also be added as a consideration, but may be less relevant to the Broads due to the generally lower heat and population density.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	Anglian Water	3.24.IIIWe support the approach relating to embedded carbon. Anglian Water's Net Zero Strategy seeks to be net zero by 2030 and reduce capital (embedded) carbon by 70% from a 2010 baseline. This reinforces the need for sustainable, resilient development and supporting infrastructure to minimise carbon emissions and avoid future redundancies/abandonment.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	Bradwell Parish Council	Yes, we should strive for less embodied carbons.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	Broads Society	The Society feels that this could have an adverse impact on the design quality of new build or replacements/extensions. Also it should be another element that could be usefully incorporated into Building Regulations legislation rather than Planning legislation if felt necessary.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	Brooms Boats	Broom Boats believes that Building Regulations should be the foremost advisory for building design and ensuring that appropriate materials and technologies are used relevant to the significant impact environmental effects are having.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	East Suffolk Council	As the Broads Authority will be aware, there can be a significant time gap between a development receiving planning permission and work starting on site, and some larger developments can take years to complete. As such, developers may require a degree of flexibility to enable them to source alternatives when there are material shortages, supply chain delays, or changes in price. The RICS Whole life Carbon assessment for the built environment is recommended as an approach for identifying opportunities to reduce emissions over the course of a building's lifetime. www.rics.org/globalassets/rics-website/media/news/whole-life-carbon-assessment-for- the—built-environment-november-2017.pdf The Construction Material Pyramid produced by the Centre for Industrialised Architecture is also a useful tool understanding the impact of different building materials and calculating the carbon emissions. www.materialepyramiden.dk	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	Historic England	As a general rule traditional building materials have lower embodied carbon than modern materials. For example, timber-framed buildings have masses of carbon locked up in their component parts, and the longer they are with us the better this figure becomes. Brick buildings are generally the product of charcoal firing, again considered relatively low carbon although there were always emissions associated with this. Stone buildings are again zero carbon particularly as the stone was quarried by human graft. Most modern building materials now come with a much higher carbon footprint, mainly because of mechanisation, but also because of high temperature kilns which burn high carbon fuels. To this end traditional building materials should be encouraged where appropriate, particularly where development could impact on the setting of historic buildings. This will also help development integrate with the local character and vernacular of the Broads.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	RSPB	Sensible stance to take to drive the message home about importance of the approach.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
10	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.28The Issues and Options document picks up on the main challenge here which is the need to balance the use of materials with embodied carbon, with design constraints. In this context, there is the potential for conflict with the draft Design Guide and quite prescriptive materials preferences, which we in turn have concerns with, covered within Section 3 of this response.  2.29Again noting the requirements of building regulations which already set a high standard for sustainable construction, we are concerned with the reference to 'requiring' applicants to choose materials that have less embodied carbon and would therefore recommend the use of the phrase 'encourage' rather than 'require.'	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	South Norfolk Council	As previously noted within the plan, there is existing legislation in place i.e., Building Regulations which covers energy design of new buildings and the requirement of EV charging points. On this basis, the Council has some reservations about the appropriateness of included a separate planning policy requiring the use of less embodied carbon materials. In addition, it is unclear what the implications of that assessment would be in terms of determining application i.e. is a minimum threshold proposed? Careful consideration would also need to be given to the viability and deliverability implications of such an approach taking into account proposed objectives 9, 12.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council supports the approach of requiring applicants to choose materials that have less embodied carbon as a key element of achieving carbon neutrality by 2030. Assessments of embodied carbon should also include demolition of existing buildings.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
10	Broadland Council	As previously noted within the plan, there is existing legislation in place i.e., Building Regulations which covers energy design of new buildings and the requirement of EV charging points. On this basis, the Council has some reservations about the appropriateness of included a separate planning policy requiring the use of less embodied carbon materials. In addition, it is unclear what the implications of that assessment would be in terms of determining application i.e. is a minimum threshold proposed? Careful consideration would also need to be given to the viability and deliverability implications of such an approach taking into account proposed objectives 9, 12.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the design and materials approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	Anglian Water	3.25.We agree that greywater recycling should be included in the Local Plan Review in conjunction with rainwater harvesting as an integrated water management approach to ensure resilience, particularly with increased risks of drought as a result of climate change.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	Bradwell Parish Council	We agree with a-c especially c when houses could be built with a southerly aspect.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	Broads Society	The Society has no objection to the topic areas set out in this section.  The extent of 'Encouraging retrofit over re-build – the re-use and improvements to buildings could be included in DM40 and DM48' – although this is generally supported, it is important that the economic viability of buildings within a business has to be understood and considered thoroughly as part of the planning consideration process.	Noted. Will consider this as we produce the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	Brooms Boats	The extent of 'Encouraging retrofit over re-build – the re-use and improvements to buildings could be included in DM40 and DM48' within planning approval would need to be defined as the <b>economic viability</b> of buildings within a business, for example, has to be understood and considered thoroughly.	Noted. Will consider this as we produce the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council support the proposed additions to the existing policies. There will be significant retrofit projects being delivered across the region from which there will be learning and potential opportunities for collaboration. The Suffolk & Norfolk 'Reclaim the Rain' project could be a reference point for other water related sections beyond greywater.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	East Suffolk Council	The Council would support and encourage the Local Plan seeking to implement recommendations in the recently adopted East Suffolk Cycling and Walking Strategy (www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/planning/planning-policy-and-local- plans/east-suffolk-cycling-and-walking-strategy/). The Strategy identifies cycling and walking infrastructure improvement recommendations for the whole of East Suffolk including the part within the Broads. The Broads Authority have endorsed the Cycling and Walking Strategy at their Planning Committee meeting in November 2022, and this could therefore form a key piece of evidence for the Local Plan. Whilst this comment is made under the climate change section of the consultation document, it should be acknowledged that the Cycling and Walking Strategy also seeks to improve health and wellbeing and contribute to other objectives (see paragraph 1.1 of the Strategy).	section of the Local Plan.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	RSPB	Yes, need to encourage retrofit over rebuild. There should also be a move to encourage and promote improvement of buildings already constructed as these structures will have a disproportionate negative impact on climate change. Needs to become mainstream and for householders to become aware — along the same lines as battery powered cars.  Yes, for grey water recycling and harnessing rainfall, not just for gardens but if treated with UV for first time (not recycled per se) household use.  Yes, to household orientation to make the most of solar energy generation.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.31No specific comment on these matters. Repeating comments above, greywater recycling can be encouraged but should not be mandatory, particularly if this goes above building regulations requirements. 2.32With regard to retrofit vs re-build, each case needs to be considered on its own merits and therefore we would not consider it appropriate to restrict the demolition and rebuild of properties. Indeed there may be very good reasons for demolition on structural and safety grounds, and/or issues of viability.  2.33Whilst the intentions with regard to design are noted in terms of passive solar gain etc. care needs to be taken as to how this would be incorporated into any design policy as this is one of a number of design considerations which would be relevant for development. For example the siting and orientation of a building needs to consider the site context and residual amenity as well as potential for solar gain		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	Suffolk County Council	We support the inclusion of policies encouraging retrofit, greywater recycling and the positioning of buildings for solar gain. These are all measures which would contribute positively towards Suffolk County Council's aim of achieving carbon neutrality by 2030.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
11	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Secured by design guidance's supports both retrofit and rebuilds.	Support noted.	Consider crime with any approach that looks to address retrofit.
12	Anglian Water	3.26.As previously indicated, we consider that the carbon implications for the spatial distribution of development should inform sustainable locations for new development, i.e., focussing development in locations that require less infrastructure to deliver growth such as where there is existing capacity/headroom within our sewerage network and water recycling centres, which will reduce both capital (embedded) and operational carbon.	Noted. Access to services and facilities is a key consideration when we assess sites put forward through the call for sites. AWS have been consulted on the sites that have been put forward.	No further action.
12	Bradwell Parish Council	Build more homes with a southerly aspect with more focus on net zero heating systems.	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number) 12	British Sugar/Rapleys		Noted. We already have a policy on renewable energy which could be	
12	Bittisii Sugai/Rapieys	The adopted policies on renewable energy proposals (Policies DM14 and DM15) require development proposals to maximise the energy		
		efficiency and energy conservation measures. In response to Question 12, we consider that the Local Plan Review should go further to	the natural environment. But we do ask as part of this, about changes	Note this suggested amendment to DM14 and DM15 and consider
		encourage and support existing businesses seeking to reduce carbon emissions for their operations through renewable energy	to the approach to wind power and you respond to question 20. Note	changes as policy is drafted for the Preferred Options.
		development.	that the Government are indicating changing the approach, although	
12		As the Decade Authority will be assess Foot Cuffell, Council at its Full Council monthing on Wednesday 24 July 2010, seted unanimously	final details are to be confirmed and adonted	
12		As the Broads Authority will be aware, East Suffolk Council, at its Full Council meeting on Wednesday 24 July 2019, voted unanimously to declare a recognition of the climate emergency and to step up its positive work on environmental issues to help fight climate change.		
	East Suffolk Council	Further detail on the work being undertaken by East Suffolk Council is available on our website at:	Noted.	No further action.
		https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/environment/climate-change/our-climate- commitment/		
12		Local Plans and planning policy are key to helping deliver development which can adapt to and mitigate the effects of climate change		
12		on people and wildlife. To support policies in both Local Plans East Suffolk Council recently adopted a Sustainable Construction		
		Supplementary Planning Document (April 2022), which is available to view here:	Noted. We are aware of the SPD. We do have a guide, but that is	L
	East Suffolk Council	https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Planning-Policy-and-Local-Plans/Supplementary-documents/Sustainable-Construction-	likely to need to be updated and we will use the ESC experience in	Take into account the two documents suggested when working up
		2022/FINAL-Sustainable-Construction-SPD.pdf. The Broads Authority may wish to consider if a similar approach would be appropriate	doing that.	policies in the Local Plan.
		for the Broads Authority Area. Additionally, The Broads Authority may want to consider the Net Zero Carbon Toolkit when looking at		
		the design of new homes and the retrofitting of existing homes: www.greensuffolk.org/net-zero-carbon-toolkit-housing/		
12		Historic England (Heritage Counts) research shows that sympathetic refurbishment and retrofit can reduce the carbon emissions of		
		historic buildings by over 60% by 2050. The UK's Committee on Climate Change has identified retrofitting existing homes as one of five	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	Historic England	priorities for government action (CCC, 2019). The Heritage Counts research also demonstrates that the speed at which carbon is	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
		reduced in buildings has a greater impact than the scale of retrofit showing that the sooner actions are taken the more effectively we		
12		can address carbon in buildings.  Embodied carbon is a significant source of carbon emissions that is largely overlooked. Buildings contribute to global warming over their		
14	Historic England	whole lives and the Heritage Counts research confirms that if we do not count embodied carbon we underestimate the emissions of a	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	Thistoric England	new building by up to a third.	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
12	Historic England	When a typical historic building - the Victorian Terrace- is sympathetically refurbished and retrofitted, it will emit less carbon by 2050		
	11150110 2118.0110	than a new building. But only if the whole life carbon of the building is considered. Retrofit, refurbishment and conversion also	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		generate embodied carbon emissions, so the amount of materials used, the carbon content of materials and how retrofit is carried out	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
		need to be kev considerations of any retrofit project.		
12		Yes. Creation of corridors for nature like the B-lines proposals, where road edges aren't mown, where native, flowering plants are	Noted Million did a this account a constant to the action of	Considerable comments and the Defended Oaking considerable
	RSPB	seeded in, where houses, streets, public places, spaces, and allotments all contribute towards creating and managing habitat for wildlife		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
		to enhance the urban environment, allow residents to better manage their health and welfare and create an attractive, cared-for urban zone.	environment policy.	Local Plati.
12		Overall, this section appears generally consistent with the aims of the NSPF in relation to climate change and renewables. However,		
		careful consideration will need to the risks of duplication or repetition when imposing, and future proofing, local standards where other	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	South Norfolk Council	legislation requires certain industry standards, for example in relation to energy efficiency, including in terms of the viability and	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
		deliverability of development.		
12		It is recommended that the Natural Capital Evidence Compendium for Norfolk and Suffolk is included as part of the evidence base for		
		the plan. The compendium presents information on the wealth of natural assets in the counties, including land, soils and sub surface,		
	6 11 10 11 10 11	habitats and species, freshwater, coastal and marine, and atmosphere. It was developed by Norfolk and Suffolk County Councils and	This was assessed as part of the Literature Review for this	n e u
	Suffolk County Council	the University of East Anglia. The compendium has been compiled to present publicly available data on the natural environment in one	Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.	No further action.
		place. While the information is presented at a regional scale, and online, a GIS based version which will allow for a more local interrogation of		
		the information is being developed.		
12	Suffolk County Council	The compendium also sets out the risks to these assets, and lists priorities for the Norfolk and Suffolk 25 Year Environment Plan. The	This was assessed as part of the Literature Review for this	In light of no specific proposed amendments to objectives and
	,	Broads Local Plan should reflect these priorities in strategic objectives and policies, where appropriate.	Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report.	policies, no further action.
12		Suffolk County Council has declared a climate emergency with the aim of achieving net zero by 2030. The Suffolk Climate Change		
	Suffolk County Council	Partnership commissioned Ricardo Energy and Environment to produce a report identifying the actions that can be taken across a	Noted.	No further action.
	- <b>**</b> ** -	variety of sectors to meet net zero and the challenges presented.		
12	Suffolk County Council	The Local Plan should seek opportunities to facilitate sustainable travel, including support for improvements to key walking and cycling	Noted. We do have such policies in our transport section.	No further action.
12		infrastructure and bus priority routes. Policies should support the provision of travel plans for new development.  Overall, this section appears generally consistent with the aims of the NSPF in relation to climate change and renewables. However,		
12		careful consideration will need to the risks of duplication or repetition when imposing, and future proofing, local standards where other	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	Broadland Council	legislation requires certain industry standards, for example in relation to energy efficiency, including in terms of the viability and	· ·	Local Plan.
		deliverability of development.		
13	Anglian Water	3.27.Whilst recognising that evidence for local plans should be proportionate, planning measures to address climate change mitigation	The BA have calculated the baseline emissions. And as AWS identify,	
		and adaptation should, if possible, provide a complete policy position that would set out the current baseline of emissions within the	there are other plans and strategies and worksteams looking into	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		Broads and show the pathway to reducing emissions by 78% 2035 and to net zero by 2050 as set out in the Climate Change Act. We	carbon emission for the Broads and the BA. Planning is an element of	Local Plan.
			that, but development is also guided by national standards, in	
12	Producil Posish Counsil	has already implemented strategies and plans for climate adaptation and mitigation.	particular the building regulations.	Consider this comment as produce Professed Options version of the
13	Bradwell Parish Council	Fundamentally we need more trees to counteract greenhouse gases, so we need a positive programme of planting more evergreen	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees approach for the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
13	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	trees in the area.  Yes maintenance of vegetation as to not negatively impact surveillance opportunities, including inhibiting lighting from nearby	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
13	besigning out crime officer, Nortolk Police	streetlights, or to provide hiding places along footpaths is encouraged.	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
13		Of the options put forward, East Suffolk Council would support either option b (amend policies DM8 and DM13 to include a greater	approach for the referred Options.	ECCUT IUII.
10		emphasis on trees, woodlands, hedges, and shrubs) or option c (a new policy on the subject of trees, woodlands, hedges and shrubs).		
l l			Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	East Suffolk Council		Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	
	East Suffolk Council	Option b may be the most logical considering the existing policies, especially DM13. A separate policy on the issue of trees etc. does not appear to be explicitly needed and could be covered by amendments to DM13, however this will depend on the level of detail the	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.

Company   Comp	Part of document				
Part	(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
Service of the first of the fir			14.4 c) is the best option and enhancing planting (making sure the right species of tree is planted in the right place) to create both a		
engine short five. I require the motivation and the control of the	10				
Section of the control of the contro		DCDD	There needs to be a longer-term approach so that previous policies to remove hedges and then 20 years later incentivise replanting are	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
Sounder Childre of Minister Active and management and		KSAR	no longer followed. Trees, hedges and woodland need to be viewed less as field boundaries and more of a biodiversity asset and as	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
A Table with a manufacture food of the property of the prope			means of mitigation for climate impacts. Native species, exemplary management and thoughtful planning and location to enhance the		
Associated where the control of the			environment and creating habitat and corridors facilitating the movement and flux of wildlife.		
Processing to the company of the c	13	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	2.37The cited policies DM8 and DM13 do not make specific reference to trees, hedges etc. and therefore it would seem sensible to	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Ontions version of the
Selection of the content of the cont		Association	either update those policies or include references within a new policy. Care should be taken that any policy is not overly prescriptive	•	1
menument of menumental contents are with a second process and proc			and consistent with national planning guidance such as the Framework, as well as the British Standard (BS) on trees.	approach for the Frenched Options.	Local Fiam.
subject country Country  the state of the country  the s	13				
Less thanks and your selection of the protection					
A subspace of the subspace of		Suffolk County Council		·	1
Service of the control of the contro		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		1	Local Plan.
Seption Country  Seption Country  White Part C				5	
Spring Courte Co	10				
approximate temporal control service control country    Section Country Country   Country	13				Considerable comment of the Destance of Cabinetic Consideration of the
Service Country Countr		Suffolk County Council		·	I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Woman Partia Caused  Woman Par				approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
Set State Fold Count of State And Private Policy County County of State And Policy County of State And	12				
Second   S	13	Wroyham Parish Council		Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the trees	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
Sements in standard are with a consistent as we want up through the first control as paradic Preferred Options, werein or if the control and paradic preferred Options, werein or if the control and p		WIOXIIdiii Falisii Coulicii		approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
spoods for the Parlament Options.  International Country of the Parlament Options are working to the parlament of the country of the parlament of pa	14	Bradwell Parish Council	<u> </u>	Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
Las Sufficia Counting  Baseline and a sufficial form to the separative price and any supervisor of the			We should give strong consideration to options c and d,	·	· · ·
substant and solderestly. The immodators of silicontrastly for this conserved or percentage and an analysis of the research or percentage or percentage or many conference or percentage or percentage or percentage or many conference or percentage or percenta	14		East Suffolk does not have a policy that specifically relates to the use of peat. However (as already highlighted under other answers)	The state of the s	
set as Suffeix County  14 Historic England  15 Historic England  16 Historic England  17 Historic England  18 Historic England  18 Historic England  19 Hist			East Suffolk Council has declared a climate emergency and is committed to helping communities become sustainable and protecting		
Last Surface Country  And This Transition is any performance and produce country and produce and the first plant pignants of performance and produce and the first plant pignants of performance and produce and p			habitats and biodiversity. The introduction of Biodiversity Net Gain, and the unique properties of peat as a habitat highlight the need		Considerable comment of the Destance of Cations and Cations and Cations
pest electacion. Outroit of Training the emphasis to reduce spring and reaction designation of the secondary		East Suffolk Council	for this resource to be protected even more, therefore a stronger policy direction would be beneficial. Due to the potential impacts of	•	•
the management of the Posterior Close out the considered.  14 Itsian's Capital County Count of The State Service County of The State Service C			peat excavation, option d (change the emphasis to reduce significantly the amount of peat excavated in the first place) appears to be	approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
whether the direct respiration of the concurrence to welcome the direct reference to welcome and share the recognition of the concurrence to the responsable from the first place by making the policy states attempted to the policy of the Perference of Options.  14 Mrs 5 knows  14 Mrs 5 knows  15 Worlds Wildlife Treat  16 Worlds Wildlife Treat  17 Worlds wildlife Treat  18 Worlds Wildlife Treat  18 Worlds Wildlife Treat  19 Worlds Wildlife County Council  20 Worlds Wildlife Coun			the most favourable as it provides a balanced approach to providing greater controls whilst not preventing small scale development		
dominations where some small-case development would be considered we would welcome a change in emphasis to reduce the amount of page accessed in the fair type by making the population of the consideration of the conside			where needed. Reference to the Peatland Code could be considered.		
Any STOPS Personal for the present white some fearling person to make the present or making the processing of the present of the present of the present or making the processing of the present of the pr	14	Historic England		Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
Section of the post point of great recovered of the first glace by relating the poolsy states alreage (solone) is post to state and the post point of the post post post of the post post post post post post post post				·	
Nerfolk Wildlife First  we support the castallor of peace extraction, which does not appose to the explorer of the guestion. We would also support to the received on the post areas in the future, to support option 5.  Quinnest and if in combination, Excavating peace of the preference occasion for the preference occasion of the preference occasion of the preference occasion occasion occasion and preference occasion occasion occasion accordance as we work up the solis and previous occasion occasion occa	1.4	Mas C Lavras			
also support the creation of new yeart areas in the future, so support commence development is unremained and there should be a presumption against this. The only acceptable circumstance where surface peat might be considered in secondary and with the express aim of recombination. Executable and there should be a presumption against this. The only acceptable circumstance where surface peat might be considered in secondary as which would be entermedly susceptible for flooding and at the few should be pregioned for another climate change for intending peat should be intended in the four should be pregioned for another climate change for intended and the four should be pregioned for another climate change for intended and the four should be pregioned for another climate change for intended and the four should be pregioned for another climate change for intended and the short is bounded and fragment of peat, Institution of peat should be pregioned for another climate change for intended and the short is bounded of repeated the possible to a short of the peat should be pregioned for another climate change for the preferred Options.  All Mineral Planta (School) and the preferred options of peat should be pregioned for another climate change for the preferred options.  All Mineral Planta (School) and the preferred options of peat and preferred in a short on the examination of peat so that his case of peat and peat in a way that prevents in peat and peat and peat in a supposed to the preferred options wersion of the society and pearing permission for poet extraction and geas on peat in a way that prevents in operation and peas on peat in a supposed to the peat and peat in a supposed pearing permission for peat extraction and geas on peat in a supposed peat and peat in a supposed pearing permission for peat extraction and peace and peat in a subscripting and storing jurge quantities of curron disposed peaks and peace and			'		
Options d and if in combination. Excavating post to commence development is unimable and there should be a presumption against this. The low only acceptable circumstance where surprise post might on continued and the members of the post of the po	14	Nortolk Wildlife Trust		·	1
this. The only acceptable circumstance where surface peat might be reconsulted is within fems and reedbeeds to create shallow full podes with the cupres and in retorning habitats to benefit certain species. So inference reconsulting peat only occurs in very low lymps areas, which would be extremely susceptible to flooding and at the forefront of the impacts of climate change. Need to take a firm stance now to prevent development at the expense of peal. Instigation solution, such as creation of needs to be taken on sites chosen. Any site likely to be flooded in the future should be prepared for another climate change mightons solution, such as creation of wet woodlan of in the text exacts altered to each of events to consider the coast, submarish as both these shallshad that expenditure the coast plants and prevent for randout expenditure of the profession of the p	4.4			approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
onds with the express aim of restoring habitats to benefic cartain geolates. By inference excavating past only occurs in very low-lying rather than the expression of the cartain geolates. By which would be correction of the impacts of dimake change, which would be correction of the impacts of the process of reinstanting past should also start the process of reinstanting past should also start, but care needs to be baden on sites whole the prepared for monther climate change mitigation solution, such as creation of vert woodland or if nearer the coast, sulmards as both these habitats have positive Carbon expression in the sites of the service of the process of reinstanting past should also start the process of restoration to extend the process of restoration to extend the start that the process of reinstanting past should also start the process of restorations to extend the process of restorations to extend the restorations to extend the restorations to extend the restoration of the stock plants and the process of restorations to extend the restoration of the stock plants and the process of restoration of the process of requirements to produce presented of the s	14				
areas, which would be extremely susceptible to flooding and at the forefront of the impacts of climate change. Need to take a firm share and the expensed of peat, here process of renatural products on the product of the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options wersion of the total Plan.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Omm					
As stance now to prevent development at the expose of peal. Instigating projects to start the process of reinstating peal should also start, but care needs to be laken on sites chosen. Any site likely to be fooder in the flutter should be prepared for another client and the properties of the food of the first further should be prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and the project of the prepared for another client and will be considered as we work up the soils and there is a stronger requirement to dispose of peat in any target and there is a stronger requirement to dispose of peat in any target and there is a stronger requirement to dispose of peat in any target and the prepared of a nother client and the prepared of the prepared					
start, but care needs to be taken on sites chosen. Ave obtained in the future should be prepared for another climate change miligation solution, such as received in fewer tense client on the woodbode in the future should be prepared for another climate change miligation solution, such as received in fewer tense client as evaluable to maintain peat soils at an appropriate control of the solution of the solution of membrane place in solution and pear solution and prepared in solution of membrane place in solution and pear solution as available to maintain peat solis at an appropriate control of the solution of the part of the pear solution and pear solution and pear solution and pear solution are solution as available to maintain peat solis at an appropriate control of the pear solution and pear		RSPR		·	i i
change mitigation solution, such as creation of wet woodland or if nearer the coast, salmarth as both these habitats have positive carbon sequels and positive carbon sequels inapproance is ensuring sufficient, water is available to maintain peat solids are submerged.  As Mincar's Planning Authority, Suffice, Councy Council  As Mincar's Planning Authority, Suffice, Councy Council woold support at inner stance on the excavation of peat to ball-less peat is even the receivable of peat to ball-less peat is even the strong peat in a way that prevents it drying a feat in away that peat in a way that prevents it drying a feat in a way that peat in a way that		Not 5		approach for the Preferred Options.	Local Plan.
Carbon sequestration abilities. OF equal importance is ensuring sufficient water is available to maintain peats soils at an appropriate level of weters. So opplints Carbon, cadure and prevent in Grands and methods have been set as the sequest to evaluate and there is a stronger requirement to demand there is a stronger requirement to demand there is a stronger requirement to demand the results in comment as produce Preferred Options version of the portion in the prohibits policies that allow for new sites or gester of peat in a way that prevents it driving out. Paragraph 120 of the NPPH prohibits policies that allow for new sites or gester of peat in a way that prevents it driving out. Paragraph 120 of the NPPH prohibits policies that allow for new sites or estated sites.    14					
level of wetness to optimise Carbon capture and prevent formation of methane (which happons when peat soils are submerged). As Mineral's Planning Authority, Suffolk County Council   A Shiff of Management to dispose of peat in a way that prevents it drying out. Paragraph 210 of the NPP prohibits policies that allow for new sites or extensions to existing sites for peat extraction and goes onto prohibit the granting of planning permission for peat extraction from new or extended sites.   Consideration of the potential for creating new areas of peat is also supported. Peatlands function as carbon sinks, capable of absorbing and storing large quantities of carbon distinguistics of action distinguistic problems. The creation of additional peat would support sufficiency to comment and storing large quantities of carbon distinguistics of action distinguistic problems. The creation of additional peat would support suffice County Council sound also support the protection of peatland where it provides important biodiversity habitat and where there is exceptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or creation of peatland should be linked to the LNRS which, when complete, should inform tocal Plans and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.  14					
As Minerals Planning Authority, Surflok County Council would support a firmer stance on the excavation of peat so that less peat is excavated and there is a stronger requirement by objective that allow for new sites or extensions to existing sites for peat extraction and goes onto prohibit the granting of planting permission for peat extraction from new or extended sites.  Consideration for peat extraction now were with the granting of planting permission for peat extraction from new or extended sites.  Consideration for peat extraction from new or extended sites.  Consideration of the potential for creating new soft peat is allow sport of additional peat would support Suffolk County Council's commitment to achieving carbon neutrality.  Suffolk County Council solid also support the protection of peatland where it provides important biodiversity habitat and where there is exceptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or peatland should be linked to the URISS which, when complete, should inform Local Plans and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.  The Local Plan does not talk about extraction of peat, it talks about excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.  The Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local					
exavated and there is a stronger requirement to altoping of peat in a way that prevents it drying out. Paragraph ALIO of the NPP prohibits policies that allow for new sites or extensions to existing sites for peat extraction and goes so not prohibit the granting of planning permission for peat extraction from new or extended sites.  Consideration of the potential for creating new areas of peat is also supported. Peatlands function as carbon sinks, capable of absorbing and storing large quantities of carbon dioxide. The creation of additional peat would support Suffolk County Council's commitment to achieving carbon neutrality.  Suffolk County Council would also support the protection of peatland where it provides important biodiversity habitat and where there is exceptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or creation of peatland should be linked to the LNRS which, when complete, should inform Local Plana, and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.  Woodbastwick Parish Council  Bradwell Parish Council  Bradwell Parish Council  We should look carefully at higher energy efficiency for existing house stock.  Brooms Boats  Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan and where there stone that where the preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils approach for the Preferred Options.  The Local Plan does not talk about extraction of peat, it talks about excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Comsider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Pl	14	Suffolk County Council		Noted This is not about neat extraction, but executating a soil that	
prohibits policies that allow for new stees or extensions to existing sites for peat extraction and goes onto prohibit the granting of planning permission for peat extraction from ew or extended sites.  14 Suffolk County Council absorbing and storing large quantities of carbon dioxide. The creation of additional peat would support Suffolk County Council's commitment to achieving action neutrality.  14 Suffolk County Council Suffolk County Council would also support the protection or peatland where it provides important biodiversity habitat and where there is execptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or creation of peatland should be linked to the LNRS which, when complete, should inform Local Plans and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.  14 Woodbastwick Parish Council  15 Bradwell Parish Council  15 Bradwell Parish Council  16 Broads Society  17 Broads Society  18 Broads Society  19 Broads Society  19 Broads Society  10 Brooms Boats  10 Local Plan instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches to personal planning officers to ensure that any segminant produce of the protection of peat attraction of peat activation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be through the Local Plan.  10 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  10 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  11 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  12 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  13 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  14 Suffolk County Council  15 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  15 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  16 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  17 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  18 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  19 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  19 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  19 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  20 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  20 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  2			excavated and there is a stronger requirement to dispose of peat in a way that prevents it drying out. Paragraph 210 of the NPPF	•	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
Suffolk County Council  Suffolk County Council Sufform Suffolk County Suffo					Local Plan.
Suffolk County Council absorbing and storing large quantities of carbon dioxide. The creation of additional peat would support Suffolk County Council's commitment to achieving carbon neutrality.  Suffolk County Council Suffolk Pain.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Comment agai				stance noted.	
solffolk County Council absorbing and storing garge quantities of carbon individes. In a claiming carbon neutrality.  Suffolk County Council would also support the protection of peatland where it provides important biodiversity habitat and where there is exceptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or creation of peatland should be linked to the LNRS which, when complete, should inform Local Plans and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.  Woodbastwick Parish Council  It is not clear from the text whether peat is being extracted for commercial horticultural use. If this is the case it should cease.  Bradwell Parish Council  We should look carefully at higher energy efficiency for existing house stock.  Brads Society  the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock through the Local Plan instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  solution of peat, it talks about extraction of peat, it talks about extracted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Opt	14	- <b></b>		Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
Suffolk County Council wounty Counci		Suffolk County Council			
Suffolk County Council is exceptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or creation of peatland should be linked to the LNRS which, when complete, should inform Local Plans and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.  14 Woodbastwick Parish Council It is not clear from the text whether peat is being extracted for commercial horticultural use. If this is the case it should cease.  15 Bradwell Parish Council We should look carefully at higher energy efficiency for existing house stock.  15 Broads Society Eels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock through the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  15 Brooms Boats Local or National Government approaches society with Building Regulations should prevail.  16 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police Society feels and society is exceptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or creation of peatland should be linked to the Local Plan and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.  The Local Plan does not talk about extraction of peat, it talks about extraction of peat, it talks about excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.  Support for retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Co	1.0				
Suffolk County Council   Is exceptional archaeological potential. Any policies relating to the protection or creation of peatland should be linked to the LINKS which, when complete, should inform Local Plans and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.    Woodbastwick Parish Council   It is not clear from the text whether peat is being extracted for commercial horticultural use. If this is the case it should cease.   The Local Plan does not talk about extraction of peat, it talks about excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.   No further action.	14	Cuffells County Co		Comments noted and will be considered as we work up the soils	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
The Local Plan does not talk about extraction of peat, it talks about excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.  No further action.  The Local Plan does not talk about extraction of peat, it talks about excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.  No further action.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  The Local Plan does not talk about extraction of peat, it talks about excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.  No further action.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred O		Suffolk County Council		approach for the Preferred Options.	The state of the s
It is not clear from the text whether peat is being extracted for commercial horticultural use. If this is the case it should cease. excavation as part of development. Agreed that peat is not to be extracted.    Paradwell Parish Council   We should look carefully at higher energy efficiency for existing house stock.   Support for retrofit noted.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.   Consider this	14	Woodbactwick Parish Council	which, when complete, should inform Local Plans and will carry weight as a mandatory mechanism of the Environment Act 2021.		
Bradwell Parish Council  We should look carefully at higher energy efficiency for existing house stock.  Broads Society  the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock  Though the Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Broads Society  Broads Society  the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider thi	14	WOODDASLWICK PARISTI COUNCII	It is not clear from the taxt whether neat is being extracted for commercial horticultural use. If this is the case it should access	•	No further action
Bradwell Parish Council  We should look carefully at higher energy efficiency for existing house stock.  Support for retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred O			it is not clear from the text whether peat is being extracted for confinercial norticultural use. If this is the case it should cease.		ivo further action.
Support for retrofit noted.  15 Broads Society  the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock  through the Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  15 Brooms Boats  Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version o	15	Bradwell Parish Council			Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
Broads Society the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock through the Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Brooms Boats  Local or National Government approaches together with Building Regulations should prevail.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.  Noted. So if we were to have a retrofit policy, it could include significant re-builds or extension to existing premises for the purposes of reduction in energy use (both commercial and domestic) are security.	13	Di adwell Fatisii COUIICII	We should look carefully at higher energy efficiency for existing house stock.	Support for retrofit noted.	
through the Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Noted. So if we were to have a retrofit policy, it could include significant re-builds or extension to existing premises for the purposes of reduction in energy use (both commercial and domestic) are security.	15	Broads Society	the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock		
Local or National Government approaches together with Building Regulations should prevail.  Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.  Local Plan.  Local Plan.  Local Plan.  Local Plan.  Local Plan.  Local Plan.  If include a retrofit policy, it could include significant re-builds or extension to existing premises for the purposes of reduction in energy use (both commercial and domestic) are security.		, <u> </u>		Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Local Plan.
15 Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police  Designing Out Crim	15	Brooms Boats	Local or National Government approaches together with Building Regulations should prevail	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted	1
Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police significant re-builds or extension to existing premises for the purposes of reduction in energy use (both commercial and domestic) are					Local Plan.
Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police   Significant re-builds or extension to existing premises for the purposes of reduction in energy use (both commercial and domestic) are   Security   Secu	15	Decimination Cost City City City City City City City Cit		Noted. So if we were to have a retrofit policy, it could include	If include a retrofit policy, consider including secured by design
		Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	significant re-builds or extension to existing premises for the purposes of reduction in energy use (both commercial and domestic) are also meeting security standards detailed in Secured by Design guidance.		1

Part of document numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
15	East Suffolk Council	Given the current cost-of-living/ energy crisis, it is likely that more people will be looking for advice on how to improve their home's thermal efficacy and reduce energy consumption. Where appropriate, there may be opportunities to include such advice (or links to advice) within the Local Plan or in supporting documents (SPDs). The Net Zero Carbon Toolkit and information provided on Energy Saving Trust website may be of use regarding the retrofitting of existing homes. www.greensuffolk.org/net-zero-carbon-toolkit-housing/ https://energysavingtrust.org.uk/energy-at-home/	Support for an advisory approach to address this issue noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
15	East Suffolk Council	Some thermal upgrades may not require planning permission but will require Building Regulation Approval. East Suffolk Building Control provide an advice sheet on thermal upgrades: www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Building-Control/Common-projects-guidance/Thermal-upgrades-to-your-house.pdf	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
15	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	we support this in principle due to the benefits it will bring for the conservation of Norfolk's wildlife in the future, but are unable to offer any technical comments on how this would be achieved.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
15	RSPB	Yes. Comments as for Q11 above. Householders need to be encouraged morally and supported financially to plan for and bring about change in how they use and provide energy for their homes. Currently ground and air source heat pumps are probably too expensive for most homeowners to consider installing (even with grant support). As time passes and technological advances are made leading to reduced cost, householders need to be persuaded to convert. Creating model households as exemplars and case studies for householders to follow will be essential.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
15	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.41This would appear to be a matter that would fall outside the scope of planning, and is more of a campaign or financial support that could be brought forward by the Broads Authority. However the current planning system cannot, and in our view should not, look to impose standards upon existing houses.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
15	South Norfolk Council	Improving the energy efficient of housing stock is clearly important, both in terms of the cost to the individual and in terms of achieving climate change objectives. However, it is unclear how this could or would be achieved through the authority's development plan policies. It may be that this is something that needs to be achieved through other interventions of the authority. There are limitations to how much can be achieved directly through a local plan and management of development that requires planning permission.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
15	Wroxham Parish Council	yes, needs to be more focus on insulating existing properties and grants need to be open to more people. We understand that this is the case at Norwich City Council.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
15	Broadland Council	Improving the energy efficient of housing stock is clearly important, both in terms of the cost to the individual and in terms of achieving climate change objectives. However, it is unclear how this could or would be achieved through the authority's development plan policies. It may be that this is something that needs to be achieved through other interventions of the authority. There are limitations to how much can be achieved directly through a local plan and management of development that requires planning permission.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	Bradwell Parish Council	Plans to extend should have a requirement for higher energy retention.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	Broads Society	the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock through the Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	Brooms Boats	Local or National Government approaches together with Building Regulations should prevail.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk council would support the inclusion of support, encouragement and guidance on improving the existing buildings energy use in either the Local Plan or a supporting Supplementary Planning Documents.	Support for an advisory approach to address this issue noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	RSPB	Yes. For older build these situations might provide an opportunity for a complete rethink of energy provision for such houses where an extension is proposed.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.43It is noted that any extension is likely to be built to higher energy performance standards than the host, where there have been advances in the building regulations. However again there is no mechanism within the planning process to allow for upgrades to the host building and we would suggest it would not be reasonable in any event. Such a policy is likely to have to be administered by a condition on any extension planning approval but such a condition would not meet the tests under paragraph 56 of the Framework as they would not be necessary (to make the development acceptable), relevant to the development to be permitted, enforceable and reasonable in all other respects.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	South Norfolk Council	As with question 10, careful consideration will need to the risks of duplication or repetition when imposing, and future proofing, local standards where other legislation requires certain industry standards, for example in relation to energy efficiency, including in terms of the viability and deliverability of development.  This is particularly relevant given the high house prices referred to in the threats section of the SWAT analysis that may limit resident's ability to move within their local area in order to meet changing housing needs and demands, that might otherwise be address through the extension of their existing home.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	Wroxham Parish Council	yes, see WNP ENV5, although this needs updating to reflect the rapidly changing climate situation. WPC will look to review the WNP in 2023 after the May elections.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
16	Broadland Council	As with question 10, careful consideration will need to the risks of duplication or repetition when imposing, and future proofing, local standards where other legislation requires certain industry standards, for example in relation to energy efficiency, including in terms of the viability and deliverability of development.  This is particularly relevant given the high house prices referred to in the threats section of the SWAT analysis that may limit resident's ability to move within their local area in order to meet changing housing needs and demands, that might otherwise be address through the extension of their existing home.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
17	Bradwell Parish Council	Ideally all homes should have an A EPC so the minimum requirement should be for a improvement of one level e.g. a D to a C rate EPC.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
17	Broads Society	the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock through the Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
17	Brooms Boats	Local or National Government approaches together with Building Regulations should prevail.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
17	East Suffolk Council	Again, East Suffolk council would support the inclusion of support, encouragement and guidance on improving existing buildings EPC levels either the Local Plan or a supporting Supplementary Planning Documents.	Support for an advisory approach to address this issue noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
17	RSPB	Yes. This provides another opportunity to educate and influence homeowners to adopt the best ways to power and insulate their homes.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the guestion number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
17	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.45For the same reasons as question 16 above, this policy could not be enforced as it would fall outside the scope of planning and it would not meet the tests for conditions. As a general principle, conditions and planning obligations can only be used to make the development that is being applied for acceptable. It is therefore not appropriate to use that planning permission to resolve existing issues, for example the energy performance of the host property.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
17	South Norfolk Council	As with other questions in this section, it is slightly unclear how the authority is proposing to achieve the change it is seeking through the local plan.  Even if this could be achieved, without knowing the differences between the different levels of EPC ratings, including cost implications, then it is considered that it would be difficult to understanding what would be reasonable in terms of setting a standard, again taking account of housing affordability challenges and effects on viability.  The Council also considers that careful consideration would need to be given to ensuring that any requirement would comply with the relevant test for conditions and obligations.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
17	Broadland Council	As with other questions in this section, it is slightly unclear how the authority is proposing to achieve the change it is seeking through the local plan.  Even if this could be achieved, without knowing the differences between the different levels of EPC ratings, including cost implications, then it is considered that it would be difficult to understanding what would be reasonable in terms of setting a standard, again taking account of housing affordability challenges and effects on viability.  The Council also considers that careful consideration would need to be given to ensuring that any requirement would comply with the relevant test for conditions and obligations.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	Bradwell Parish Council	Adopt option B and C.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	Broads Society	the Society feels that the Authority should not seek to address the energy efficiency of the existing housing stock through the Local Plan – instead, rely on any Local or National Government approaches.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	Brooms Boats	Local or National Government approaches together with Building Regulations should prevail.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	East Suffolk Council	The Committee on Climate Change 'UK housing: Fit for the future?' (2019) report outlines that decarbonising and adapting the UK's housing stock is critical for meeting legally binding emissions targets by 2050. As already outlined in other answers, East Suffolk Council recently adopted a Sustainable Construction Supplementary Planning Document (April 2022), which is available to view here: https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Planning-Policy-and-Local-Plans/Supplementary-documents/Sustainable-Construction 2022/FINAL-Sustainable-Construction-SPD.pdf. This SPD includes specific guidance on energy efficiency but does note the difficulties of applying new standards to the existing housing stock when retrofitting works generally sits outside of the planning system and is therefore not affected by planning policy. The East Suffolk SPD encourages developers to be aware of the requirements of the Building Regulations in this regard.	n- Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	Mrs S Lowes	Many properties in the area have old heating systems and the residents rarely have the funds to change these. How many people have the funds to make their existing homes efficient? Some may need extra room but not have sufficient funds to do both.	Noted. Although could improving energy performance of a dwelling save money in the long term?	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	RSPB	Option b) is our preferred choice	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.47We would suggest that option a is appropriate here to await other initiatives as options b and c cannot be delivered through the current planning system and indeed we would consider it unreasonable to do so.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	South Norfolk Council	Overall, the Council is minded that in many instances the best option is to rely on Local or National Government changes. Any proposal to extend an existing regulatory regime would need to be carefully considered to ensure that it is reasonable and proportionate and does not result in undesirable consequences, such as making it less desirable or affordable for local people to remain in their existing house and community.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	Suffolk County Council	As set out above under section 13 – Climate Change, Suffolk County Council would support policies aimed at improving the energy efficiency of existing homes in line with the aims and actions set out in the Suffolk Climate Emergency Plan. Improving the energy efficiency of houses would also improve the quality of these homes, particularly in terms of heat retention and reduction of damp. This in turn is known to have significant benefits for the physical and mental wellbeing of residents. Policies could also support renewable energy generation, with caveats for historic buildings to account for impacts to historic fabric, setting and significance of heritage assets.	Support for addressing existing stock and the benefits noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
18	Broadland Council	Overall, the Council is minded that in many instances the best option is to rely on Local or National Government changes. Any proposal to extend an existing regulatory regime would need to be carefully considered to ensure that it is reasonable and proportionate and does not result in undesirable consequences, such as making it less desirable or affordable for local people to remain in their existing house and community.	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Anglian Water	3.28.Anglian Water works closely with LPAs and developers to encourage the use of Sustainable Drainage Schemes (SuDS) and surface water attenuation wherever possible, minimising the amount of water entering our foul drainage network. Anglian Water has also opted to adopt surface water systems since 2009, incorporating them into our own network so that we can ensure they are properly maintained and operated. We positively approach opportunities for partnership working to deliver SuDS that deliver protection of our assets and wider benefits for existing buildings and communities.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Bradwell Parish Council	Option B	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Broads Society	The Society considers that 'Option b' would be a sensible option to ensure that at least some element of future proofing has been considered.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Brooms Boats	Option B	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	East Suffolk Council	Of the options put forward in the consultation document, East Suffolk Council considers option b (require the applicant to detail what measures they will take to improve the existing situation) to be reasonable so long as it is done in a manner proportionate to the proposed development. In addition, the Broads Authority may want to consider extending the application of the policy to cover flooding from surface water and other sources, in addition to Flood Zone 3.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Norfolk County Council	The Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) would support option B of the 2 options set out in 17.5 "Require the applicant to detail what measures they will take to improve the existing situation, with the level of improvement proportionate to the scale of new development proposed (if indeed the property does not have resilience measures or may benefit from more)." Where finished floor levels cannot be raised above the flood level and properties are in areas where there is known historic flooding or risk of flooding shown on surface water/ rivers and sea flood maps for planning.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document (numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)		Information on where flooding has been reported historically within the Broads Area can be found within the following published		
19	Norfolk County Council	Section 19 reports, see table 1 below, these also contain recommendations which, in some cases, include resilience measures. FIR/037https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/-/media/norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/fir037-broadland-various-2013-2017.pdf FIR/036https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/-/media/norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/south-norfolk-2013-2016-fir-036.pdf FIR/010https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/-/media/norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water-management/flood-investigation-reports/hemsby-and-ormesby-st-margaret-great-yarmouth-2014.pdf FIR/008https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/-/media/norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/norwich-and-broadland-2014.pdf FIR/048https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/-/media/norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/norfolk-6-october-2019-fir048-amended-sept-2020.pdf FIR/056https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/-/media/norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/norfolk-6-october-2019-additional-properties-fir056.pdf FIR/066https://www.norfolk.gov.uk/-/media/norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/fir066-south-norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/fir066-south-norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/fir066-south-norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/fir066-south-norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/fir066-south-norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- management/flood-investigation-reports/fir066-south-norfolk/downloads/rubbish-recycling-planning/flood-and-water- managem	Information noted and thanks.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	<ul> <li>2.49 Given that this is existing housing stock, any enhancements to flood resilience could only come forward with planning applications for those properties, which would then be subject to the normal requirements in meeting current standards for flood resilient construction. Therefore we would suggest there is no requirement for a policy on his matter (option a).</li> <li>2.50 The above however does give a further ground to a more flexible approach to extended or replacement chalets as these would be constructed to a better level of flood resilience than the current property.</li> </ul>	Comment against the Local Plan addressing retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	South Norfolk Council	It will be important to ensure that any approach is proportionate. It is likely to be reasonable for the authority to expect flood risk measures to be incorporated in replacement buildings. This may well also be the case for extensive rebuilds or refurbishments.  However, the Council has reservations about whether it would be proportionate or reasonable to expect extensive improvements to the fabric of an existing building where the extensions or alteration to a building are limited or minor in nature, have themselves incorporated proportionate flood risk mitigation measures and do not otherwise exacerbate existing flood risk.  As a Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA), Suffolk County Council consider development should be located away from areas at highest	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Suffolk County Council	flood risk (whether existing or future). Where development is necessary in such areas, the development should be made safe for its lifetime without increasing flood risk elsewhere. It may be appropriate to implement a policy that any permitted development in an area at risk of flooding must be flood resilient or flood compatible and demonstrate that it will not increase flood risk.	Support for retrofit noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
19	Broadland Council	It will be important to ensure that any approach is proportionate. It is likely to be reasonable for the authority to expect flood risk measures to be incorporated in replacement buildings. This may well also be the case for extensive rebuilds or refurbishments. However, the Council has reservations about whether it would be proportionate or reasonable to expect extensive improvements to the fabric of an existing building where the extensions or alteration to a building are limited or minor in nature, have themselves incorporated proportionate flood risk mitigation measures and do not otherwise exacerbate existing flood risk.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
20	Bradwell Parish Council	Fundamentally we need to look at and use other forms of energy generation including wind other than burning fossil fuel.	Support for change to approach for microgeneration wind turbines noted. Note that the Government are indicating changing the approach, although final details are to be confirmed and adopted.	Consider this support for microgeneration as the renewable energy policy is checked and produced, being aware of any Government policy change.
20	British Sugar/Rapleys	We consider that the current approach to wind energy not to allocate suitable areas for wind turbines is restrictive, as it would effectively rule out wind energy development by existing businesses wishing to reduce carbon emissions from their operations. We note that this approach is based on the Renewable Energy Topic Paper (2016) which focused on commercial scale turbines rather than domestic microgeneration and assessed landscape sensitivities of small scale (up to 20m) and medium scale (20-50m) wind turbines within broad area segments.	Support for change to approach for microgeneration wind turbines noted. Note that the Government are indicating changing the approach, although final details are to be confirmed and adopted.	Consider this support for microgeneration as the renewable energy policy is checked and produced, being aware of any Government policy change.
20	British Sugar/Rapleys	The current approach, which is not based on a site specific assessment, will result in a blanket ban on any size of wind energy developments, including those which are smaller than 20m and/or can be sensitively designed and located. As such, we request that the Local Plan Review process assesses the suitability of wind turbines on a site specific basis where existing businesses are seeking to adapt to climate change and reduce carbon emissions from their existing operations. In the context of the significant industrial development within the Cantley Sugar Factory area, it is considered that wind turbine proposals of appropriate scale and siting could be accommodated without causing significant harm to amenity and views. We therefore consider that an opportunity to reduce carbon emissions should not be overlooked by a blanket ban without site specific considerations.	Support for change to approach for microgeneration wind turbines noted. Note that the Government are indicating changing the approach, although final details are to be confirmed and adopted.	Consider this support for microgeneration as the renewable energy policy is checked and produced as well as policy CAN1 is checked and produced (see comments from British Sugar on CAN1), being aware of any Government policy change.
20	East Suffolk Council	The current approach seems reasonable in relation to commercial scale wind turbines, and East Suffolk welcome the strong link to the Landscape Sensitivity Study which provides an evidence base to justify the position taken. The position regarding small scale turbines is not as clear, and the Broads Authority should consider what additional evidence may be needed in order to support a policy approach in these circumstances.	Noted.	If change approach, consider evidence needed.
20	RSPB	Given the Broads is a favoured location for wintering waterfowl, which move between the continent and then when in the UK between counties and protected sites, wind turbine installation on land would create problems, both on the grounds of potential mortality and impact on landscape character. This also holds true for larger species such as common crane, Eurasian bittern, resident geese and swans, larger birds of prey and large flocks of smaller birds arriving in winter from Europe. Many species could be impacted through striking rotating blades or by having the suitability of favoured foraging, hunting and breeding sites compromised.	Noted.	Ensure consider impact on birds.
21	Bradwell Parish Council	There should be limited expansion of the use of Wind turbines that has limited impact on the environment.	Noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
21	Broads Society	The Society considers that the current approach of non-allocation should be maintained given the intrinsic value of the Broads specific landscape.	Support for non allocation noted.	Local Plan.  Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
21	Brooms Boats	All technologies must be considered in view of the significant impact facing the Planet.	Support for change to approach for microgeneration wind turbines noted. Note that the Government are indicating changing the approach, although final details are to be confirmed and adopted.	Consider this support for microgeneration as the renewable energy policy is checked and produced
21	East Suffolk Council	As set out in our answer to question 20 above, East Suffolk Council would support further assessment of the sensitivity of the Broads Authority area landscape to smaller scale wind turbines. Depending on the outcome of that work, there may be scope to revisit the policy wording to allow for the potential opportunity for small scale turbines, subject to the caveats identified by the 2015 Ministerial Statement which remain relevant.	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
21	Mrs S Lowes	Wind energy – Norfolk is flat. Wind turbines on land will detract from the benefit of tourism and locals. Maybe smaller ones there are not on show.	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document (numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)	Organisation	Comment	кезропзе	Action for flext version of the Local plan
21	RSPB	As stated in the response for Q20 the Broads is not suited to wind turbines. Other renewables should be prioritised, such as appropriate solar and household heat source. Land to the north of the Broads, which might be considered suitable could prove unsuitable due to	Support for non allocation noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
22	Bradwell Parish Council	the movements of wintering birds between the Broads and north Norfolk coast.  We should designate Bluebell Woods and fill out the required form.	Following further conversations, this site is not in the Broads	No further action.
22	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council's approach is that Neighbourhood Plans are encouraged to identify Local Green Spaces. We	Authority Executive Area.  Noted.	No further action.
22		agree that Local Green Spaces in Neighbourhood Plans do not need to be repeated in the Local Plan.  Suffolk County Council would refer to its Guidance on Neighbourhood Planning in Suffolk. This guidance is due to be updated with		
	Suffolk County Council	further advice on the designation of local green space next year (2023). We are aware that in some cases sections of highway verge have been nominated for designation as local green space. In these cases, Suffolk County Council would request that we are notified of	No new LGS came forward as a result of this call for sites. We will share the existing ones with you and you can check to see if you have any issues.	Share current LGS with Suffolk CC.
23	Bradwell Parish Council	the nomination.  We should adopt option C.	Suggestions noted and will be considered as we work up any policy	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
23	Broads Society	The Society favours the 'Geographic risk-based approach' detailed in 'Option b'.	approach to this issue. Suggestions noted and will be considered as we work up any policy	Local Plan. Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
23	Brooms Boats	Option B however economic viability regarding business needs is vital and hence requires a collaborative approach.	approach to this issue. Suggestions noted and will be considered as we work up any policy	Local Plan. Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
23		East Suffolk Council's view is that the Broads Authority are best placed to determine which of the options best deliver against the	approach to this issue.	Local Plan.
23	East Suffolk Council	statutory purposes of the Broads Authority in protecting the interests of navigation. However, an approach based on the evidence of risk (option b) would seem sensible as this will allow for the policy to focus on those areas where a critical point has been reached.	Suggestions noted and will be considered as we work up any policy approach to this issue.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
23	Mrs S Lowes	Old quay heading should be removed.	Support for quay heading in the same location rather than in front noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
23	RSPB	Prioritisation for replacement of quay head must go to locations where the heading protects bank integrity first and foremost and provision of mooring facilities second.  We recommend the construction cost in terms of CO2 becomes part of the validation process, just as for materials and design of residential developments.	Suggestions noted and will be considered as we work up any policy approach to this issue.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
23	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.58We note the issues that have been raised within the consultation document but are concerned that this is a matter that does need to be considered on a site-by-site basis and therefore the options set out within b) or c) are too prescriptive and inflexible, particularly where navigation matters will also be a factor. Therefore we would recommend that no specific policy would be more appropriate, although guidance only could be provided within the Design Guide or an SPD to ensure there is some form of assistance on this issue.	Suggestions noted and will be considered as we work up any policy approach to this issue.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
24	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Water efficiency in new buildings – due to the existing pressures on water availability in the region, and the benefits for wetland sites of more efficient water use, as a minimum we support the optional higher water efficiency standard of 110 litres/person/day for residential development, but would also support the 80l/person/day standard used in Greater Cambridge if deliverable. We therefore support options c and d.	Support for higher water efficiency standard noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
24	Anglian Water	3.29.We disagree with option a) as our own analysis has shown that 55 out of the 59 local planning authorities in the Anglian Water region have, or are working towards, the higher optional standard of 110 litres/head/day given that the region is identified as a region under 'serious water stress'. The option to not have a policy standard for water efficiency is not considered to be a reasonable alternative.  3.30.As a minimum we would support option b) the continued approach of the optional standard of 110 l/h/d. In supporting the Greater Cambridge Local Plan, we are working with key stakeholders, to evidence more ambitious water efficiency standards to assist local planning authorities in their local plan preparation. We aim to share this with local planning authorities when we have a fully evidenced and agreed approach, which would assist in progressing option c).	Support for 110I/h/d or more efficient standard noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
24	Anglian Water	3.31.We are also leading a £6m Ofwat Innovation Project to develop a national framework for integrated water management in all new developments, showing how rainwater harvesting and reuse, SuDS, nature-based solutions, and water efficiency measures can drastically reduce the water and carbon footprint of new housing developments - the Enabling Water Smart Communities project.	Noted. Will liaise with AWS on this initiative to see if any role for the Local Plan for the Broads.	Liaise with AWS.
24	Anglian Water	3.32.We are supportive of initiatives such as water neutral development to ensure that there is no increase in the total water use as a result of new development — meaning the additional water demand on the environment arising from a new development is zero. The experience of local planning authorities in the Sussex North Water Supply Zone (such as Crawley and Horsham) is due to abstraction having a detrimental impact on a number of designated habitats sites in the Arun Valley, as set out in a Position Statement from Natural England. LPAs within Sussex North are unable to determine applications for new development in the supply zone unless applications can demonstrate they are 'water neutral'. Anglian Water has provided advice on water neutrality to both Crawley and Horsham and further information can be found on the Waterwise website. If this option is taken forward, the challenge will be to ensure developments are much more water-efficient (including through rainwater harvesting and greywater reuse) and to identify sufficient local 'offsets' to enable water neutral development to come forward.	Noted. Will liaise with AWS on this - perhaps this is something for the region rather than just the Broads.	Liaise with Aws.
24	Bradwell Parish Council	We should continue with option b and explore ways of reducing this as outlined in option c.	Support for 110I/h/d or more efficient standard noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
24	Broads Society	The Society would support continuation of the current policy detailed in 'Option b'.	Support for 110I/h/d noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
24	Brooms Boats	Option B however economic viability regarding business needs is vital and hence requires a collaborative approach.	Noted. This standard is for residential. BREEAM standards would relate to businesses.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
24	East Suffolk Council	As already outlined in other answers, East Suffolk Council recently adopted a Sustainable Construction Supplementary Planning Document (April 2022), which is available to view here: https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Planning-Policy-and-Local-Plans/Supplementary- documents/Sustainable-Construction-2022/FINAL-Sustainable-Construction-SPD.pdf This SPD includes specific guidance on water efficiency in new dwellings, including refence to the 110 litre/ person/ day water efficiency standard. The development a new Local Plan provides an opportunity to reconsider standards, and East Suffolk Council would support the Broads Authority investigating the reasonableness of seeking a standard that designs for less water a day than 110 l/h/d.	Support for 110l/h/d or more efficient standard noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document (numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)		As a minimum option c) should be chosen (in Denmark for example households aim for a max use of 80l/h/day). 'Working towards		
		water neutrality' is stronger than the phrase 'investigate the potential to require water neutrality.'		
		There shouldn't be an option of making no reductions/improvements in a part of the country already recognised to be in a state of		Consider this comment as produce Professed Options version of th
24	RSPB	severe water stress. Indeed, the disconnection between housing targets and the requirement that water companies must provide for a	Support for 80 l/h/d or more efficient such as water neutral noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of th Local Plan.
		target number of houses needs resolving. If there isn't the possibility of sustainably providing a supply of water and managing		
		household outputs to achieve nutrient neutrality without huge investment the proposal to construct new houses might be considered untenable.		
	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	2.60The matter raised at paragraph 21.5 of the consultation document is particularly pertinent here that there	Support for 110l/h/d noted. Although, even though the numbers of	
24	Association	is limited large scale development within the Broads and therefore water use and pressures are significantly less than the cited	new dwellings or replacement dwellings are low in the Broads, if	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of th
24		examples in Sussex and particularly Greater Cambridge. Accordingly we would suggest that water usage for new development should	designed to less than 110l/h/d, that will still make a difference in	Local Plan.
		not be reduced below the current 110 l/h/d rate, particularly as this would appear to be consistent with the other Norfolk authorities.	water usage and water bills.	
24	South Norfolk Council	As a minimum the authority should continue with the current policy approach of 110 l/h/d, consistent with Agreement 22 of the NSPF.	Agree and noted. Yes, any lower usage would need justifying and	If look into a lower standard, need to justify it and check viability
24	South Noriol Council	Whilst it is reasonable for the authority to explore lower usage standards, or water neutrality the imposition of any such standard will need to be particularly carefully balanced against viability and deliverability issues.	viability tested.	impact.
	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council support higher water efficiency measures in light of the county being in a water stressed area as identified by		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
24	,	the Environment Agency in 2021 in its Water Stressed Areas-Final Classification 2021 document	Support for 110l/h/d or more efficient standard noted.	Local Plan.
		As a minimum the authority should continue with the current policy approach of 110 l/h/d, consistent with Agreement 22 of the NSPF.	Agree and noted. Yes, any lower usage would need justifying and	If look into a lower standard, need to justify it and check viability
24	Broadland Council	Whilst it is reasonable for the authority to explore lower usage standards, or water neutrality the imposition of any such standard will	viability tested.	impact.
25	Bradwell Parish Council	need to be particularly carefully balanced against viability and deliverability issues.	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of th
23	bi adwell Falisti Coulicii	We should adopt options b and d.	noted.	Local Plan.
25		The Society feels that this could adequately be dealt with by 'Option b'.		
	Broads Society	The challenge must now be to help stakeholders and businesses rapidly establish the offering that will engage the audience who will	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		help shape, support and participate within the Broads National Park. This help being agile planning and planning support from joined	noted.	Local Plan.
25		up Authorities enabling the capture of rapidly changing economic opportunities.  Option B with consideration to t he challenge that is to help businesses rapidly establish the offering that will engage the audience who		
23		will help shape, support and participate within the Broads National Park. This help being agile planning and planning support from	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	Brooms Boats	joined up Authorities enabling the capture of rapidly changing economic opportunities. Ref British Marine Futures report and The	noted.	Local Plan.
		Glover Landscapes Review 2019		
25	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	From a policing perspective to ensure any refurbishment or new development is free from crime generators (and fear of crime) which	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		can be achieved by building to Secured by Design standards.	noted.	Local Plan.
25		East Suffolk Council would welcome the inclusion of a specific policy relating to tranquillity as part of the Broads Local Plan. As is rightly		
		set out in the consultation document, much of the Broads area contains high levels of tranquillity and this should be protected. Such a		
	East Suffolk Council	policy could operate as a stand alone policy as per option c), or it could incorporate the dark skies policy. If the two policies are kept	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	
		separate, it will be important to ensure significant cross referencing between the two in order to reflect the strong relationship between tranquillity and dark skies. If the Broads Authority have robust evidence relating to specific tranquil areas then these could	noted.	Local Plan.
		also be included in the policy.		
25		We would welcome policy intervention addressing tranquillity in the Local Plan. The setting of heritage assets (designated and non-		
		designated) can make an important contribution to their significance. The setting of a heritage asset is defined as the surroundings in	Command for improving how to an illite in addressed in the Lord Disc.	Considerable and a second of the second of t
	Historic England	which a heritage asset is experienced, and tranquillity, remoteness and wildness can be important attributes affecting how a heritage asset is experienced. While we don't have a specific preference in terms of the options presented, we would request that the historic	noted.	Local Plan.
		environment - specifically it's contribution to the significance of heritage assets - is a factor in determining the appropriate policy	noted.	Local Fian.
		response.		
25	Mars C.L. source	In terms of tranquillity, through traffic speeding causes noise. High windmills in the area will be a blight on the Broads. People come	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of th
	Mrs S Lowes	here for peace and quiet and for the dark skies. Light pollution will ruin this. Noise levels of traffic on the A149 s something many touris boaters have listed as a reason for not staying in PH.	noted.	Local Plan.
25	RSPB	Option e). This also needs to extend to encompass promoting visitor access, however, it is recognised that maintaining and enforcing	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		tranquil zones will be problematic, if the locations chosen have unrestricted/open access.	noted.	Local Plan.
25		It is reasonable to consider tranquillity within the local plan, however the Council is concerned that this could be a highly subjective criteria that, if misused, may restrict even relatively minor or trivial impacts. Therefore, careful consideration needs to be given to		
		ensuring that any policy criteria to ensure that it was proportionate and not unduly restrictive and that it could be objectively and		
		consistently applied so that it is unambiguous and that it is evident how a decision maker should react to a development proposal. This		
	South Norfolk Council	will help provide certainty of outcomes to applicants and ensure the efficient processing of applications by the authority. To this end,	Advice noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of th
	South Norrolk Council	identifying areas that can reasonably be considered tranquil and subject to additional restrictions may be a more predictable approach	Advice noted.	Local Plan.
		if it can be achieved. This may also allow for more engagement in the identification of such areas and a more accurate assessment of		
		the impact of any associated restrictions. As always, careful consideration would need to be given to the impact of further restrictive designations on enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and competitive economy and that enables		
		strong, healthy and vibrant communities.		
25	Wroxham Parish Council	WNP support option d.	Support for improving how tranquillity is addressed in the Local Plan	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of th
25		It is reasonable to consider tranquillity within the local plan, however. Careful consideration would need to be given to ensuring that	noted.	Local Plan.
		any policy criteria could be objectively and consistently applied so that it is unambiguous and that it is evident how a decision maker		
		should react to a development proposal. This will help provide certainty of outcomes to applicants and ensure the efficient processing		
	Broadland Council	of applications by the authority. To this end, identifying areas that can reasonably be considered tranquil and subject to additional	Advice noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of th
	<del></del>	restrictions may be a more predictable approach if it can be achieved. This may also allow for more engagement in the identification of		Local Plan.
		such areas and a more accurate assessment of the impact of any associated restrictions. As always, careful consideration would need to be given to the impact of further restrictive designations on enabling development and change that helps build a strong, responsive and		
		competitive economy and that enables strong, healthy and vibrant communities.	·[	
26	Bradwell Parish Council	We feel that there needs to be more focus on crops to feed the nation, so we are more independent on the effects of international	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		events. So if this means subsidies then so be it.	comments noted	Local Plan.

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
26	Broads Society	The Society generally supports the current Policy DM27.	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
26	East Suffolk Council	Appropriate diversification of farming is generally supported by East Suffolk Council. Paragraph 84 of the National Planning Policy Framework states that policies should enable, 'the development and diversification of agricultural and other land-based rural businesses'. It is agreed that the approach should ensure land is not fragmented and that any diversification is supportive of the existing farm and does not reduce the farm's overall viability. In accordance with policy DM27 of the Broads Local Plan utilising existing structures where possible is recommended.	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
26	RSPB	We don't subscribe to the principle that as a general principle 'farmers may need to make changes less beneficial to the countryside'.  Can you expand or give examples of what this might entail, because as presented this statement appears very open-ended and unregulated? We do not contest the principle of farm diversification making farms more viable, but there need to be limits agreed to ensure a sustainable approach is adopted.	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
27	Bradwell Parish Council	Bearing in mind my answer above then the purpose should be linked to food production in line with the farms original use.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
27	Broads Society	The Society supports the idea that farms should not be fragmented but also feels that other uses not strictly related to the farm could be acceptable as long as they were closely related, locationally, to the existing built form of the farm.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
27	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council supports ensuring that farms are not fragmented which helps protect the viability of the wider area. This allows a greater degree of control over the land, avoiding new planning units with inappropriate or disruptive uses. The East Suffolk Council - Suffolk Coastal Local Plan (September 2020) takes a similar approach within Policy SCLP4.7 which requires farm diversification to ensure farming remains the predominant use on the site.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
27	East Suffolk Council	The fragmentation of land may have a wider impact on the character of the area (whether positively or negatively). The important landscape character attributes are defined in the Broads Authority Landscape Character Assessment, and it is important to note the strong relationships between the landscape character within East Suffolk as defined in the Waveney District Landscape Character Assessment: https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Waveney-Local-Plan/Background-Studies/Landscape-Character-Assessment.pdf. Any adverse character impacts could have cross-boundary impacts.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
27	RSPB	Agree with the principle of not allowing subdivision and fragmentation and that all land needs to be managed or 'developed.' There are huge benefits to wildlife in providing a network of locations (fields if you like) which provide rough ground for species such as owls. Not every speck of land needs to be worked. Longer term planning and contribution to the greater good of the landscape should be encouraged.		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
28	Bradwell Parish Council	You definitely need to ask for supporting information on how the diversification project/proposal will enable the farm to be viable.	Support for supporting information note.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
28	Broads Society	The Society considers that the submission of a viability statement is a great way of getting the applicant to focus on whether or not any proposal is really financially viable and beneficial to them in practical terms.	Support for supporting information note.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
28	East Suffolk Council	Farm diversification allows for non-agricultural uses ensuring the farms continued viability. This can mean that jobs are retained, and food security is continued. The Broads Authority may wish to note that policy SCLP4.7 of the East Suffolk Council - Suffolk Coastal Local Plan requires similar viability information stating that diversification is supported subject to, 'e) The diversification is supported by detailed information and justification that demonstrates that the proposals will contribute to the viability of the farm as a whole and its continued operation'.	Support for supporting information note.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
28	East Suffolk Council	In developing a policy approach for this area, the Broads Authority may wish to consider stating that the level of supporting viability information should be of a scale appropriate to the size of development and set out that details of what viability information is appropriate in either the policy or within an appendix.	Support for supporting information note.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
28	RSPB	Yes, to requiring additional information on viability, especially the time frame for the proposed projects. As stated clearly market trends will play a large part in directing choices about direction of farm business but retention of a set approach for a longer period will offer greater value, except when unforeseen circumstances show the proposed direction of travel is no longer viable.	s Support for supporting information note.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	Bradwell Parish Council	Limit farm diversification so the focus is on availability for food production going forward.	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	Broads Society	The Society would agree that conversion is preferable to new build (particularly in relation to holiday accommodation provision).  However, there are site specific instances where new build would be acceptable and should not be ruled out. A criteria based policy which could allow new build would be a better way forward than restricting it totally.	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Norfolk Constabulary will continue to work with the Planning Officers and applicants for any significant new build to encourage and implement Secured by Design standards.	Seems the suggestion is for agricultural development to address secured by design.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	East Suffolk Council	It is often beneficial to seek the retention and conversion of an existing building, as opposed to new development, particularly where it ensures the retention of buildings with positive character impact. As the issues and options document states it also potentially reduces the carbon impact.		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	East Suffolk Council	The East Suffolk Council - Waveney Local Plan includes policy WLP8.15 for new self-catering tourist accommodation. The policy states that new permanent self-catered accommodation can be allowed in the countryside where it involves conversion of rural buildings subject to a set of criteria. Were the Broads Authority to take forward a similar approach in the new Local Plan, consideration should be given to how best to ensure tourist accommodation arising from farm diversification can be protected from pressure to become	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	East Suffolk Council	The Broads Authority may also wish to note that the Waveney Local Plan includes other policies governing conversion of existing rural building, namely, policies WLP8.11 (to residential use) and WLP8.14 (to employment use).	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	RSPB	New build if construction is shown to have a low or long-term neutral Carbon footprint, and will sit well within the landscape, should be considered. However, conversion of more permanent new build (bricks and mortar) would suggest the developer hasn't fully thought through construction and should be avoided and discouraged. Conversion of existing buildings if done sympathetically, following guidance and design principles should be encouraged.	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
29	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.70We would broadly support a policy that allowed for conversion of farm and indeed other buildings to both holiday let and permanent residential. Current policies within the Broads Local Plan do make it more challenging to secure residential and holiday let conversion with a preference for buildings to be first retained in their current use. This is out of step with other Local Plan policies and indeed paragraph 80, part c of the Framework and therefore we would welcome policies allowing more straightforward residential and holiday let conversion.	Comments noted	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
30	Bradwell Parish Council	The continued focus on diversification is not consistent with the country having self sufficiency in food production.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
30	Broads Society	The Society feels that farm diversification should remain a subsidiary element to the overall agricultural function of the business and should not exceed more than 50% of the total business operation.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
30	East Suffolk Council	As noted above, East Suffolk Council's view is that proposals for farm diversification should support the viability of the farm which will remain the main, primary use. A continuous loss of farmland to more diverse uses could, on a planning balance, change the primary use and the planning use class meaning it could fail its original objective.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
30	Luke Paterson	4. there is discussion around the nature and scale of farm diversification, farm diversification is very important with BPS being REMOVED and the energy crisis effecting farm profitability. Old buildings are not always efficient to heat and may not be as suitable as a new build. I have diversified into tourism and see that this is the direction of travel for my business to maintain its sustainability.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
30	Luke Paterson	6.Farmers PD rights should not be curtailed.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
30	RSPB	A complete business plan should define whether a particular diversification proposal is sound financially, will be acceptable in terms of design and will have no adverse impact on surrounding land, water, and other interests. It isn't so much a case of whether a single farm has been diversified enough as much as it is the in-combination impact of several adjacent farms diversifying and changing the landscape character. However, even this approach should be given due consideration if the proposed approach is deemed to be more beneficial given prevailing impacts of climate change. The land management activity known to produce the highest release of CO2 into the atmosphere is arable cropping.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
31	Bradwell Parish Council	Option b.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
31	Broads Society	The Society's preference is for 'Option a' to allow for a less constrained approach to any developing trends in the future. A specific policy might hamper an agricultural business from implementing speedier changes to the operation)	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
31	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	AS Q5 response - Consideration of condition of planning that the development and physical security meet Secured by Design standards.	Seems the suggestion is for agricultural development to address secured by design.	Consider adding the need for agricultural development to address secured by design principles.
31	East Suffolk Council	As the consultation document rightly sets out, agriculture is a key land use in the Broads and is important to the local economy. Within that context, there may be value in the Broads Authority giving further consideration to the feasibility of developing a new development management policy, specifically relating to agricultural buildings (option b).	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
31	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Agricultural development – whilst we have no comments in principle on this question, we would recommend that any new development or renovation includes integral features of benefit for wildlife such as swift, bat and bee bricks, in order to help turn around the decline in these important species.	Seems the suggestion is for agricultural development to address biodiversity enhancements.	Consider adding the need for agricultural development to address biodiversity enhancements.
31	RSPB	Option b) should be chosen. A specific consideration relates to the creation of winter storage reservoirs to enable irrigation of arable crops and other forms of horticulture. Given the pressure on water resources and the Restoring Sustainable Abstraction decision made by Environment Agency facilitating the creation of new water storage reservoirs to capture winter rain and excess (perhaps reverse pumped storm flows) is paramount. This is especially attractive if farm clusters operate to create a shared structure as a single reservoir, which if sited appropriately is likely to have a lower impact on the landscape than several such structures if located on many individual farms. Obtaining planning permission for such structures is often a long-winded process and given these reservoirs protect both cropping and maintenance of groundwater sources, they should be applauded and supported.	Seems the suggestion is to consider reservoirs.	Consider adding the need for agricultural development to consider reservoirs.
32	Bradwell Parish Council	Maintain 12-month marketing period to allow time for full consideration of proposals.	Support for 12 month marketing period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
32	Broads Society	The Society feels that a period of 12 months can seriously restrict a business from implementing changes that may make a use viable. Given the need to quickly respond to changing economic trends, the Society suggests a period of 6 months would be more appropriate and reasonable.	Support for 6 month marketing period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
32	Brooms Boats	6 months would be more appropriate and reasonable.	Support for 6 month period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
32	East Suffolk Council	As set out in the consultation document the East Suffolk Council - Waveney Local Plan includes marketing requirements in relation to a number of policies (see appendix 4 of the Local Plan for details). For consistency, East Suffolk Council would strongly support the retention of a 12 month marketing requirement for the Broads Authority Local Plan.	Support for 12 month period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
32	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.76We note that the proposed 12-month marketing period is largely consistent with other local planning authorities within Norfolk but Sequence also has concerns with those approaches. Requiring a marketing period for certain uses seems out-of-step with the Government's approach to change of use. In particular the amalgamation of a range of high street / town centre uses under Class E and the ability to vary the use of properties within those use classes to other uses without the need for any marketing, or indeed often a planning application as this can often be undertaken under permitted development.  2.77The marketing process adds significant time and cost to proposals for change of use and therefore Sequence would suggest this is reduced as far as possible	Support for shorter marketing period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
32	South Norfolk Council	It is considered that the marketing period for a change of use needs to be relative to the existing use. Ultimately, it will be important to ensure a balanced and fair marketing period is required. This will ensure that viable uses are not lost prematurely without placing unduly restrictive burdens on business owners etc that might restrict their ability to flexibly adapt to changing market circumstances that would be necessary for them to remain financially viable.	Support for a flexible marketing period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
32	Wroxham Parish Council	WNP think the 12-month marketing period is too long. Cite the Windboats site as an example. The large site had been derelict for years and there was clearly no interest in rekindling boat building on this site. This could be in development by now, instead of caught up in the nutrient neutrality issue.	Support for shorter marketing period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
32	Broadland Council	It is considered that the marketing period for a change of use needs to be relative to the existing use. Ultimately, it will be important to ensure a balanced and fair marketing period is required. This will ensure that viable uses are not lost prematurely without placing unduly restrictive burdens on business owners etc that might restrict their ability to flexibly adapt to changing market circumstances that would be necessary for them to remain financially viable.	Support for a flexible marketing period noted.	Take into consideration this representation as consider the marketing period for the Preferred Options Local Plan.
33	Bradwell Parish Council	Where applicable re- allocation of property for different use is a better option than demolition and re-build.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
33	Broads Society	The Society agrees that this approach can be maintained but has one suggestion for other allocations:- Brundall Riverside area.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan. See comments on BRU section.
33	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council would support the retention of this approach.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
33	RSPB	Support retention of this approach to maintain parity across the entirety of development.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.

Part of document (numbers denote the guestion number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
33	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.79There is no objection to the broad approach of identifying sites with the potential for change and redevelopment, and there are no particular sites within the Brundall Riverside Estate that we would wish to see allocated for change. However in broader terms, it is difficult to predict what sites may be available for redevelopment and things can change very quickly, certainly over the timescale of a Local Plan as we have seen with COVID-19 and the current inflationary and economic pressures. Accordingly we would suggest that the Broads Authority takes a flexible and positive approach to sites that may become available for redevelopment over the plan period and are not necessarily allocated for change. This relates to the response to question 32 above in terms of a potential reduction in the current 12-month marketing period, and also question 40 below.	Support noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
33	South Norfolk Council	It is reasonable for the authority to set out land-use policies setting out alternative uses that would be acceptable on specific sites, including specific requirements for types of development. It will be important to ensure that any specific requirements set out for redevelopment are realistic, can be viably achieved and incentivise the redevelopment of the site for the proposed use.	Agreed and advice noted.	Consider this advice as the approach to such sites is worked up.
33	Broadland Council	It is reasonable for the authority to set out land-use policies setting out alternative uses that would be acceptable on specific sites, including specific requirements for types of development. It will be important to ensure that any specific requirements set out for redevelopment are realistic, can be viably achieved and incentivise the redevelopment of the site for the proposed use.	Agreed and advice noted.	Consider this advice as the approach to such sites is worked up.
34	Anglian Water	3.33.Anglian Water supports a biodiversity net gain requirement, which can, in part, be achieved by requiring Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) built in new developments to deliver water quality and biodiversity benefits as well as reductions in flood risk. We consider the introduction of higher BNG targets is a matter for the Authority in evidencing the policy requirements for new development.  3.34.Anglian Water has a voluntary biodiversity net gain (BNG) business plan commitment to deliver 10% BNG against the measured losses of habitats measured by area on all Anglian Water-owned land. It is also important to recognise that Anglian Water through landholdings and projects, as well as working with other bodies such as Wildlife Trusts can support the development of landscape scale BNG and linked habitats which support climate change adaptation and species resilience. We suggest that delivery of offsite BNG should align with Local Nature Recovery Strategies to deliver improvements at a landscape scale to support nature recovery and resilience.	Noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	Bradwell Parish Council	Option b to Introduce a standard of greater than 10% Biodiversity Net Gain seems sensible.	Support for greater than 10% noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	Broads Society	The Society considers that the current policy set by the Government should be followed until more stringent standards are put into legislation.	Not supporting greater than 10% noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	Brooms Boats	Current policy set by the Government should be followed.	Not supporting greater than 10% noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	East Suffolk Council	The adopted Local Plans for East Suffolk support the implementation of Biodiversity Net Gain whilst not specifying that 10% is required. Suffolk Local Planning Authorities are currently developing an interim position that also supports the 10% requirement, whilst stating that this should be seen as a minimum and that higher values will be supported. If gains of greater than 10% can be robustly justified to be included in policy this would be supported.	Support for greater than 10% noted if justified.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk would also support the implementation of 'Environmental Net Gain', however this has similar issues as requiring more than 10% Biodiversity Net Gain as it would need to be robustly justified in policy.	Support for Environmental Net Gain noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Biodiversity Net Gain – whilst we support the mandatory 10% biodiversity net gain required by the 2021 Environment Act, given the scale of the global biodiversity crisis, and the need to make clear and tangible progress on nature's recovery, Norfolk Wildlife Trust recommends that wherever possible, a requirement for 20% should be set instead. We therefore support option b, and would also support option c.	Support for greater than 10% noted if justified.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	RSPB	Adopting a 20% BNG requirement will provide a more powerful and better targeted impact to restore biodiversity and encourage reconnection of fragmented habitats. The importance of this approach should not be under-estimated in the ability to restore wildlife, mitigate for the impacts of climate change and contribute to the wellbeing of residents and visitors alike. Extending the network of sites well managed for nature will also enhance the attractiveness of the landscape and reinforce the beauty and desirability as a tourist destination and create that 'breathing space for the cure of souls' you mention.	Support for greater than 10% noted if justified.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.82lllWe would suggest the Broads Authority follows option a, which is the Government's 10% figure. As set out in previous answers, the majority of development within the Broads Authority area is small scale and therefore 10% on site provision can be challenging. Similarly the purchasing of credits for off-site mitigation as proposed by the Government could be also be challenging for small sites on viability grounds.	Not supporting greater than 10% noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	South Norfolk Council	The aim of creating biodiversity is in accordance with the NSPF (Agreement 3, 27, 28). As identified, the 10% requirement will also now be covered by other legislation (Environment Act 2021). If there is local evidence to suggest a need to go beyond this requirement either in percentage terms or in terms of an alternative approach then a separate policy may be justified. However, such interventions would need to be carefully balanced against the impact on the viability and deliverability of appropriate development.	Noted and agreed. If a greater % is desired, it will need to be justified and indeed, tested in terms of viability.	No further action other than justifying and assessing impact of a greater % than 10% for BNG.
34	Suffolk County Council	At this time, Suffolk County Council supports setting the biodiversity net gain standard at 10% as required by Government from November 2023. However, we are aware other Suffolk Local Authorities, including West Suffolk in their preferred options local plan, have an aspiration of 20% and Suffolk County Council would support investigation as to whether this would be achievable.	Support for greater than 10% noted if justified.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
34	Suffolk County Council	It is important to note that although we are still awaiting secondary legislation for biodiversity net gain and further guidance for LNRS, it is Suffolk County Council's understanding that the two will work closely together. Therefore, any policies on biodiversity net gain should also refer to the LNRS.	Noted - will consider links with LNRS.	Consider links with LNRS.
34	Broadland Council	The aim of creating biodiversity is in accordance with the NSPF (Agreement 3, 27, 28). As identified, the 10% requirement will also now be covered by other legislation (Environment Act 2021). If there is local evidence to suggest a need to go beyond this requirement either in percentage terms or in terms of an alternative approach then a separate policy may be justified. However, such interventions would need to be carefully balanced against the impact on the viability and deliverability of appropriate development.	Noted and agreed. If a greater % is desired, it will need to be justified and indeed, tested in terms of viability.	No further action other than justifying and assessing impact of a greater % than 10% for BNG.
35	Bradwell Parish Council	Option c they should consider introducing the M4(3) standard for a percentage of the homes.	Support for a M4(3) standard noted.	Consider these comments as work up Preferred Options, noting that
35	Broads Society	The Society feels that Option 'a' is appropriate at this time.	Support for waiting for a national standard noted.	there will be a national standard at some point in the future.  Consider these comments as work up Preferred Options, noting that there will be a national standard at some point in the future.
35	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council would support option c) (to consider introducing a M4(3) standard, subject to viability). However, Broads Authority will also want to consider the implications of planned changes to the Building Regulations in this regard and may supersede Local Plan policy requirements.	Support for a M4(3) standard noted.	Consider these comments as work up Preferred Options, noting that there will be a national standard at some point in the future.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
guestion number)				
35	RSPB	Option b) seems appropriate.	Support for an amended M4(2) threshold noted.	Consider these comments as work up Preferred Options, noting that there will be a national standard at some point in the future.
35	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	2.84lt would seem reasonable to continue with the current Local Plan approach and then amendments can come forward with any		Consider these comments as work up Preferred Options, noting that
	Association	updated Government guidance.	Support for waiting for a national standard noted.	there will be a national standard at some point in the future.
35		Suffolk County Council supports the delivery of accessible homes. The Local Plan has identified that the Broads has an age profile of		<u>'</u>
		more older people and although only 9.6% report a long-term health problem or disability that limits their day-to-day activities 'a lot',		
		an aging population means that the prevalence of health conditions associated with old age, such as dementia and frailty are likely to		
	Suffolk County Council	increase. This has implications for the types of housing which need to be planned for within the Broads. Accessible homes create living	Support for an amended M4(2) threshold noted. Support for a M4(3)	Consider these comments as work up Preferred Options, noting that
	Sarroik Councy Council	environments that are designed with the mobility and wellbeing needs of older residents in mind and can enable residents to live	standard noted.	there will be a national standard at some point in the future.
		independently in the community and among their social support systems for longer. Suffolk County Council would support an approach		
		to amend the M4(2) threshold so it applies to more schemes in the Broads, subject to viability and would also support consideration of introducing M4(3) standards.		
36	Bradwell Parish Council	Design of properties should focus on energy efficiency maximising heat gain and retention. Incorporating high levels of insulation and		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		environmentally friendly materials.	Suggestions noted.	Local Plan.
36	Broads Society	Generally, the Society supports the current Policy DM43.	Support for DM43 noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
26	Process Pools		Support for Bining Noted.	Local Plan.
36	Brooms Boats	Collaborative design and planning approach between all authorities, including cross border, businesses and residents to achieve environmental (current and future), economic viability, economic growth, well-being and job creation opportunities.	Suggestions noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
36	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Consideration of making SBD condition of planning and to support partnership working for any new developments to ensure that the		ESCENTION.
30	besigning out entire officer, Notion Conce	Broads towns and villages remain safe and do not see an increase of crime and disorder due to poor design.	Suggestions noted.	Ensure design policy adequately addresses crime and safety.
36		What constitutes good design in the Broads Authority area is unlikely to have changed since the Government's amendments to the		
		National Planning Policy Framework, and the introduction of the National Design Guide and National Model Design Code. East Suffolk		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	East Suffolk Council	Council therefore agree that the Broads Authority Local Plan policy relating to design may not need to change significantly. Comments	Suggestions noted.	Local Plan.
		on the Design Guide for the Broads have been submitted to you separately.		
36		The emerging Broads Design Guide is noted, chiefly the chapters concerning the design of potential developments within the 'Historic		
	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	Clusters', 'Rural Homes' and 'Farmstead & Enclosures' as these will be of particular relevance to those settlements and areas which	Noted.	No further action.
		straddle both the Great Yarmouth and Broads Authority planning boundaries.		
36		The Borough Council is also currently preparing its own borough-wide design code which will include (amongst others) a focus on developments within the borough's rural hinterland. There is potential, therefore, for a degree of overlap between the respective		
	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	design guides/codes. The Borough Council would welcome further engagement with the Broads Authority during the on-going	Noted and agreed.	Pass on to officer leading on design at the Broads Authority.
		preparation of its own borough-wide design code to ensure there is an appropriate alignment between the two documents.		
36		Integration of the principles which stand behind each element of design is complex. As we become more aware of the impacts of		
		climate change and the need to change the way we do things, we need to integrate choice of materials, to be Carbon neutral both in source and construction. Equally being in a drought stressed part of the UK, we ought to consider how for example water storage		
		reservoirs sit within the national character assessment and the landscape. We may need to adjust our thinking and approach to enable		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	RSPB	creation of such structures to be streamlined so that mitigation for abstraction is viewed as being a positive move, even though some	Suggestions noted.	Local Plan.
		may consider the impact on the landscape to be negative. Trying to balance the needs of different user groups and industries will		
		become ever-more difficult and we need to change perceptions starting now so quality of structures is maintained alongside the need		
		to be progressive and future proofed.		
36	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	Design policy should not be too prescriptive and repeating previous comments, each site will be considered on its merits. In addition,		
	Association	Broads Planning Officers place a considerable emphasis on good design already in our experience, commensurate with the National Park Status. Therefore we would not consider that any specific policy approach is required, noting the emphasis within Section 12 of		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		the Framework and the associated national design guidance on high quality development and beautiful design.	Suggestions noted.	Local Plan.
		We also note the introduction of the Draft Design Guide and have made further comments with respect to this draft document in		Escar Fight.
		Section 3 of this response.		
36	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council would draw attention to the Suffolk Design: Streets Guide which has been recently released and is now being	Suggestions noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
		used by County Council Highways and Transport officers to assess the design of streets in new developments across the county.	SADDESTICATION OF THE PROPERTY	Local Plan.
		The Borough Council offers no comment in relation to the existing development boundaries as these lie outside of our planning		
Question 37, 38, 39	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	administrative area. The Borough Council has noted the most recent Broads' Settlement Study (2022) evidence base, including scorings for settlements based upon their access to services and facilities and potential suitability for development boundaries as commented in	Noted.	No further action.
		Table 7 of the current consultation document.		
		The Borough Council is also in the process of preparing an update to its Settlement Study to inform the potential hierarchy of		
Question 37, 38, 39	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	settlements and approach to development limits for its own Local Plan review. The Borough Council would therefore be keen to liaise	Noted. We would be happy to be involved.	Contact GYBC re their work.
Question 37, 38, 39	Great farmouth borough council	with the Broads Authority to ensure that approaches taken to identify and justify development boundaries in settlements which	Noted. We would be happy to be involved.	Contact Gibe ie their work.
37	Bradwell Parish Council	straddle the shared planning boundary are complementary to the aims of both emerging development plans.	Noted	No further action
37	Broads Society	No comment  The Society has no objections to the current development boundaries relating to the areas currently identified.	Noted.	No further action.  No further action.
37	broads society	The Waveney Local Plan defines Settlement Boundaries around the built up area of a number of settlements, including for the Waveney		No farallet action.
3/		Local Plan part of settlements which also straddle the border with the Broads. Land outside of Settlement Boundaries (and allocations)		
		is considered as the countryside where new residential, employment and town centre development will not be permitted except where		
	East Suffolk Council	in accordance with other policies in the Local Plan. The Settlement Boundaries can be viewed in the Waveney Local Plan policies maps	Background information noted.	No further action.
		here - www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/planning/planning-policy-and-local-plans/local-plans/policies-map/. Below are some settlement-specific		
		comments:		

Part of document				
(numbers denote the guestion number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
37	East Suffolk Council	Oulton Broad  The only development boundary in the current Broads Local Plan within the East Suffolk part of the Broads is Oulton Broad. It is noticeable that the area in the development boundary is partly located within flood zones 2 and 3. The area contained within the development boundary that is covered by flood zones 2 and 3 could increase in the future due to the impact of climate change. The Settlement Boundary as defined by Waveney Local Plan policy WLP1.2 follows the Broads Authority boundary through Oulton Broad itself. The two only deviate from each other further north near Camps Heath and Oulton in the south approaching Carlton Colville.  The Oulton Broad Development Boundary extends southwards from Broadview Road and westwards from Commodore Road towards	Comments noted and will be considered as the development boundaries for the new Local Plan are produced.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
37	East Suffolk Council	the water and includes housing that is not included within the Waveney Local Plan Settlement Boundary. It is not considered necessary  Beccles  The Settlement Boundary in the Waveney Local Plan closely follows the Broads Authority Boundary along the northern and western edges of the town. The Settlement Boundary runs close to, but does not touch the Broads Authority Boundary in all places. It is noticeable that there are several waterside properties next to the River Waveney which are situated within the Broads Authority area but are clearly part of Beccles. The Council previously highlighted, in relation to the preparation of the current Broads Local Plan, that introducing a Settlement Boundary for Beccles would not be supported due to issues of character and flood risk. These matters are reflected in Table 7 of the Issues and Options consultation documents and should be given careful consideration.	Comments noted and will be considered as the development boundaries for the new Local Plan are produced.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
37	East Suffolk Council	Bungay The Settlement Boundary in the Waveney Local Plan closely follows the Broads Authority Boundary, except around the Olland's Plantation. The Bungay Conservation area also extends eastwards into the Broads Authority area. Parts of the built-up area are within the Broads and therefore not within the Settlement Boundary. However, the Council previously highlighted, in relation to the preparation of the current Broads Local Plan, that introducing a Settlement Boundary for Bungay would not be supported due to issues of character and flood risk. These matters are reflected in Table 7 of the Issues and Options consultation documents and should be given careful consideration.	Comments noted and will be considered as the development boundaries for the new Local Plan are produced.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
37	East Suffolk Council	Somerleyton Somerleyton Settlement Boundary, as designated by policy WLP1.2 (Settlement Boundaries) is drawn very tightly around the existing built up areas of the settlement. Somerleyton Conservation Area borders the Broads Authority area along its western edge and encompasses both Brickfields and Staithe Lane. There do not appear to be reasonable opportunities to introduce a Development Boundary into the Broads part of Somerleyton.	Agreed.	No further action.
37	South Norfolk Council	The approach appears to be generally consistent with Agreement 3 of the NSPF.	Support noted.	No further action.
37	Suffolk County Council	The only settlements within the Broads with potential for development boundaries, of relevance to Suffolk County Council, are Beccles, Oulton Broad, Bungay and Ditchingham Dam. The only one of these settlements that currently has a development boundary is Oulton Broad. Suffolk County Council provided comments on the proposed development boundary in February/March 2022, as set out at Appendix 1 of the Development Boundaries Topic Paper. These comments from the County Council as LLFA and from the SCCAS remain valid and we have no further comments to make on this development boundary.	Noted.	No further action.
37	Wroxham Parish Council	map incorrectly labelled "Hoveton" - map shows Hoveton & Wroxham.	Noted. Will ensure correct title.	Ensure title says 'Hoveton and Wroxham'.
37	Broadland Council	The approach appears to be generally consistent with Agreement 3 of the NSPF.	Support noted.	No further action.
38	Bradwell Parish Council	No comment	Noted.	No further action.
38	Broads Society	The study solely assesses 'walking distance and public transport against bus routes and not train routes. The example of Brundall is such that Authorities have failed to provide adequate provision for public access to Brundall Station and hence the scoring within the Study is inaccurate.		No further action.
38	Broads Society	Improved links and access for pedestrians and cyclists to Brundall Station is embodied within the vision and policies of the Brundall Neighbourhood Plan 2016-2026 and is impacted further by approved housing developments and the inevitable population increase of Brundall and surrounding areas.	In general, we would support the access to the train station being improved, however it seems the comments implies this is about access from the side of the rail lines that is in Broadland Council's area.	No further action.
38	Brooms Boats	The study solely assesses 'walking distance and public transport against bus routes and not train routes. The example of Brundall is such that Authorities have failed to provide adequate provision for public access to Brundall Station and hence the scoring within the Study is inaccurate.	Tine study includes access to a train station and therefore it is not	No further action.
38	Brooms Boats	Improved links and access for pedestrians and cyclists to Brundall Station is embodied within the vision and policies of the Brundall Neighbourhood Plan 2016-2026 and is impacted further by approved housing developments and the inevitable population increase of Brundall and surrounding areas.	In general, we would support the access to the train station being improved, however it seems the comments implies this is about access from the side of the rail lines that is in Broadland Council's area.	No further action.
38	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council broadly welcomes the Settlement Study, however, there are some additional elements that the Broads Authority may wish to consider for inclusion in the Settlement Study.	Noted.	See actions for each comment.
38	East Suffolk Council	Allotments are a valuable community resource, providing residents with the opportunity to grow their own food. This in turn enables allotment holders to exercise and socialise. Therefore there may be value in including them in appendix D of the Settlement Study. The East Suffolk Council: Suffolk Coastal Local Plan Settlement Hierarchy Topic Paper provides an example of where this has been done, see https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/First-Draft-Local-Plan/Final- Settlement-Hierarchy-Topic-Paper.pdf		Amend study to assess provision of allotments.
38	East Suffolk Council	Appendix D of the Settlement Study does also not include proximity to major towns as a consideration. The close proximity of a smaller settlement to larger settlement/market town provides access to a wider range of shops, employment opportunities, public services and other facilities and can therefore increase the sustainability of the smaller settlement and increases the feasibility of sustainable modes of transport. Again, the Suffolk Coastal Local Plan Settlement Hierarchy considered this. See https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Suffolk- Coastal-Local-Plan/First-Draft-Local-Plan/Final-Settlement-Hierarchy-Topic-Paper.pdf	i e	No change to study.
38	East Suffolk Council	In addition to the comments above, please note that appendix D of the Settlement Study still refers to Beccles, Oulton Broad and Bungay as being located in Waveney. This should be updated to refer to East Suffolk.	Noted and will amend.	Amend study to say ESC rather than Waveney.

Part of document (numbers denote the guestion number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
38	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.90No specific comments on the findings of the Settlement Study, which reflect our views on Brundall as a Key Service Centre with a good range of services and facilities.	Noted.	No further action.
38	South Norfolk Council	The approach appears to be generally consistent with Agreement 3 of the NSPF. In respect of question 38, it is important to recognise how services and facilities are distributed across the broads authority area. Careful consideration needs to be given to ensuring that important services and facilities are maintained, and it may be the case that some of these may not be in the best served villages. In this regard, when determining the location of new development consideration should be given to paragraph 79 of the NPPF which sets out that where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a nearby village.	s Noted.	Consider these sections of the NPPF when producing housing sections of the Preferred Options.
38	Broadland Council	The approach appears to be generally consistent with Agreement 3 of the NSPF. In respect of question 38, it is important to recognise how services and facilities are distributed across the broads authority area. Careful consideration needs to be given to ensuring that important services and facilities are maintained, and it may be the case that some of these may not be in the best served villages. In this regard, when determining the location of new development consideration should be given to paragraph 79 of the NPPF which sets out that where there are groups of smaller settlements, development in one village may support services in a nearby village.	s Noted.	Consider these sections of the NPPF when producing housing sections of the Preferred Options.
39	Anglian Water	3.35. The Settlement Study sets a direction for sustainable growth, but this needs to be informed by constraints to delivering the housing needs of The Broads particularly in relation to the availability of suitable and deliverable sites that can access, and be supported by, resilient infrastructure and facilities. This should factor in embedded (capital) carbon. The Development Boundaries Topic Paper is helpful in this regard, but we recognise that this will be consolidated with other evidence as it emerges, to provide a comprehensive evidence base on appropriate and sustainable locations for long term growth through the Sustainability Appraisal. It is noted that many of the locations identified in the Development Boundaries Topic Paper have areas of flood risk, which will have implications for future growth.	Yes, the settlements study and the development boundaries proposed are a starting point, and each application may have other constraints that need addressing if they can. AWS have been asked to comment on the sites put forward as part of the Call for Sites.	Await AWS comments on sites put forward as part of the Call for Sites.
39	Bradwell Parish Council	No Comment	Noted.	No further action.
39	East Suffolk Council	It is important to take account of the settlement boundaries defined by other local authorities. Development boundaries defined by the Broads Authority should therefore be defined having regard to the criteria used by neighbouring local authorities. Settlement boundaries defined by the Waveney Local Plan closely follow the built- up area of a settlement, as well as landscape features such as hedgerows. Therefore, it is important for any development boundaries defined by the Broads Local Plan to take a similar approach, along with considerations of the statutory purposes and special qualities of the Broads. For information, a link to the Waveney Local Plan Settlement Boundaries Topic Paper can be found below. https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Waveney-Local-Plan/Background-Studies/C38-Topic-Paper- Definition-of-Settlement-Boundaries.pdf		Liaise with districts about how they draw development boundaries to see if the BA ones should be changes to fit with their approach.
39	RSPB	The impact of either maintaining or extending the area of hard standing with obvious rapid run-off doesn't seem to be considered. This will be important given the trend for extreme, heavy rain events and the need for water to flow off by gravity.	The settlements study and the development boundaries proposed are a starting point, and each application may have other constraints that need addressing if they can. Indeed, the Local Plan has a policy relating to flood risk and SuDS.	No further action.
39	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.92We note that the Development Boundary Topic Paper is currently a guide for the Issues and Options consultation and will be developed further in response to the consultation responses. Therefore we trust that our comments below for question 40 with regard to the suitability of the Riverside Estate being included within an extended development boundary for Brundall will be considered within that update.  2.93In response to the topic paper itself, we note the summary in the table in section 3 referencing Brundall Riverside comprising boatyards and residential (holiday let) to the south of the railway. The reference to the estate being 'over the railway from the main settlement' is unhelpful as it would suggest a degree of separation when as set out below, the Riverside Estate abuts the current settlement limit with the crossing on Station Road which does not act as a barrier. There are also ongoing discussions with regard to enhancements to Station Road and those linkages.  2.94We recognise the majority of the Riverside Estate lies within the higher risk flood zones but this should not preclude its inclusion within the development boundary / settlement limit. It is not clear what is meant by 'entire areas subject to policies in the Local Plan already' but again this would be not be a basis for not including the estate within a development boundary.	Noted, but the Brundall Riverside area is over the railway. See also response to question 40.	No further action.
39	South Norfolk Council	The approach appears to be generally consistent with Agreement 3 of the NSPF.	Support noted.	No further action.
39	Broadland Council	The approach appears to be generally consistent with Agreement 3 of the NSPF.	Support noted.	No further action.
40	Bradwell Parish Council	With ongoing rising sea levels building on possible flood plans seems highly questionable.	National policy is clear in relation to building in such areas and the Broads Authority has a history of upholding flood risk policy.	No further action.
40	East Suffolk Council	The Definition of Settlement Boundaries Topic Paper sets out how settlement boundaries are defined in the East Suffolk Council: Waveney Local Plan https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Waveney-Local- Plan/Background-Studies/C38-Topic-Paper-Definition-of-Settlement-Boundaries.pdf Settlement boundaries are drawn close to the built-up area of a settlement and tend to follow features in the landscape such as hedges and trees. Comments on individual settlements have been provided in response to question 37 above.	This seems to be about the actual form of the development boundary and the idea is logical and we will look into that.	Liaise with districts about how they draw development boundaries to see if the BA ones should be changed to fit with their approach.
40	RSPB	None	Noted.	No further action.
40	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	We would suggest the Brundall Riverside Estate is incorporated within the development boundary for Brundall. The image below shows the current settlement limit for Brundall within the Broadland Site Allocations DPD 2016. (image shows BDC site allocations map). 2.96The above image shows that the settlement limit runs essentially to the railway line to the south of Brundall which marks the boundary between the respective local authority area of Broadland District Council and the Broads Authority. However we are of the view that the extension of the boundary south to incorporate the Brundall Riverside Estate would be a logical extension, as shown on the image below. 2.97The extension of the development boundary to the south would include land that is contiguous with the current boundary and contains a significant concentration of residential properties, holiday accommodation and business uses including boatyards, in a sustainable location with excellent access to Brundall train station. It would therefore seem wholly appropriate for it to be included within an extended settlement boundary for Brundall to reflect that this is a developed area, which will see further (re)development and diversification, and is demonstrably not countryside.		No change to approach for the Brundall Riverside Estate area is terms of development boundary.
41	Bradwell Parish Council	There absolutely needs to be development boundaries.	Support for development boundaries noted.	Consider this advice as the approach to development boundaries is worked up.
41	Broads Society	The Society feels that, given that there are currently only four areas deemed to require a formal development boundary, the removal of those boundaries and a criteria-based approach may be possible. However, this would depend on what the criteria were and whether or not this could realistically be applied across the whole of the Broads area.	f Support to investigate criteria based approach noted.	Consider this advice as the approach to development boundaries is worked up.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)	Organisation	Comment	nesponse	Action for flext version of the Local plan
41	Brooms Boats	This would depend on the criteria were and if it were possible to realistically apply across the whole of the Broads area using a		Consider this advice as the approach to development boundaries is
		economic viability, environmental impact and economic growth assessment model.	Noted.	worked up.
41		Removing development boundaries in the Broads Authority area will have the effect of treating the whole area of The Broads as being		·
		in the open countryside. This will make it easier to resist development and protect the rural character of The Broads area. However, it		
	5 40 11 0 11	also means that it will no longer be possible to focus the development that does come forward within existing centres. This could mean	Thoughts on this matter welcomed and will be considered as we	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the
	East Suffolk Council	the development of isolated dwellings. While there could potentially be fewer developments in the Broad Authority area, those that did		Local Plan.
		come forwards could be more likely to take place in isolated locations, creating a dispersed settlement pattern, which would undermine		
		the delivery of sustainable development.		
41	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate	2.99Sequence acknowledge that there are other Local Plans that do not have specific development boundaries drawn on proposals		
	Association	maps and more generally look to guide development to certain locations (for example a consideration of a built-up area or cluster of		Consider this advise as the approach to development boundaries is
		properties). These can work well as an alternative to development boundaries and the Riverside Estate Brundall should be recognised	Support to investigate criteria based approach noted.	Consider this advice as the approach to development boundaries is
		as a built-up location for the reasons set out in the response to question 40 in particular above. We would, however, reserve the right		worked up.
		to comment further on the specific wording of such a policy.		
41		As previously stated elsewhere in the plan, the definition of development boundaries, supported by appropriate exception policies, is a		
	Courte Nortalli Coursil	tried and tested approach and acts as a useful policy tool to help direct development/growth into sustainable locations. However, in	Noted. We do currently have exceptions policies that are likely to be	No further action other than checking the exceptions policies and
	South Norfolk Council	most cases, the development boundary will only be the starting point with regard needing to be had to the development plan taken as	checked, updated and rolled forward.	updating them for the Preferred Options consultation.
		a whole and to specific exception policies.		
41		If the authority were to pursue a criteria-based approach careful consideration would need to be given to ensuring that the policy is		
	Courte Nortalli Coursil	clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals. This will ensure that the	A green deared and reducing make d	Consider this advice as the approach to development boundaries is
	South Norfolk Council	plans overall outcomes are still achieved, that there are predictable outcomes for applicants and that the authority can efficiently	Agreed and advice noted.	worked up.
		process applications.		
41		As previously stated elsewhere in the plan, the definition of development boundaries, supported by appropriate exception policies, is a		
	_ ,, ,_ ,,	tried and tested approach and acts as a useful policy tool to help direct development/growth into sustainable locations. However, in	Noted. We do currently have exceptions policies that are likely to be	No further action other than checking the exceptions policies and
	Broadland Council	most cases, the development boundary will only be the starting point with regard needing to be had to the development plan taken as	checked, updated and rolled forward.	updating them for the Preferred Options consultation.
		a whole and to specific exception policies.		
41		If the authority were to pursue a criteria-based approach careful consideration would need to be given to ensuring that the policy is		
	Broadland Council	clearly written and unambiguous, so it is evident how a decision maker should react to development proposals. This will ensure that the		Consider this advice as the approach to development boundaries is
		plans overall outcomes are still achieved, that there are predictable outcomes for applicants and that the authority can efficiently	Agreed and advice noted.	worked up.
		process applications.		
42	Bradwell Parish Council	No. of dwellings being developed seems extremely low compared to other areas.	Noted. The Broads is a very constrained area.	No further action.
			'	THE PARTIES ACCION.
		East Suffolk Council has welcomed, under the Duty to Co-operate, the previous discussion with the Broads Authority and their	This has been produced and is here: https://www.broads-	
42	East Suffolk Council	consultants as part of the production of the Local Housing Needs Assessment, and the further opportunity to review a draft of the	authority.gov.uk/data/assets/pdf_file/0026/432476/Great-	No further action.
		report. We understand that a final version of the report was to be produced following our previous comments.	Yarmouth-and- The-Broads-Authority-LHNA_Final-Version-2.pdf	
		The current Broads Local Plan identifies a housing need of 57 dwellings over the current plan period (2015-2036) in the Waveney (now		
		East Suffolk) part of the Broads. As set out on page 2 of the Waveney Local Plan the 57 dwellings forms a part of the 'objectively		
42	East Suffolk Council	assessed need' for the Waveney area and housing development within the Broads will meet this part of the need. This position is	Noted.	No further action.
		established through a Statement of Common Ground between the former Waveney District Council and the Broads Authority dated		
		January 2018.		
		The May 2022 Local Housing Needs Assessment identifies a need for 23 dwellings in the East Suffolk part of the Broads over the period		
42	East Suffolk Council	2021 – 2041. Whilst this is lower than the previous need of 57, it is understood that this is partly due to the methodology now	Noted.	No further action.
		considering the Broads as part of the larger East Suffolk area rather than the smaller former Waveney district, as well as the part of the		
		Broads in East Suffolk having a relatively small population compared to other parts of the Broads.		
		Completions of dwellings in the Broads are generally low, with a net gain of 5 dwellings recorded since the start of the current Local		
		Plan period (1/4/2015). The current Broads Local Plan allocates a site at Pegasus Marine in Oulton Broad (Policy OUL2). This has		
		planning consent for 76 dwellings, granted in 2014, and is coming forward with East Suffolk Council's 2022 Housing Land Supply		
		Statement reporting that the developer has stated that the quay heading work is nearly complete and that the construction of the reed		
42	East Suffolk Council	bed is to recommence. It is considered prudent to maintain the site allocation given that the development of the site addresses the	Noted and we intend to keep the allocation in the Local Plan.	Keep the Pegasus allocation in the Local Plan.
		housing needs identified for the Broads over the plan period and will also importantly bring about an enhancement to this area through		
		the redevelopment of this previously developed site which is with Oulton Broad Conservation Area. As substantial construction of the		
		uses forming the permission has not begun the continued allocation of this site will guide any future applications should they be		
		submitted.		
		East Suffolk Council would support a review and updating of the January 2018 Statement of Common Ground as part of the review of		
42	East Suffolk Council	the Broads Local Plan to ensure that the approach of housing completions within the Broads contributing to meeting the objectively	Noted and we will do this later in the local plan production period.	No further action for now, but SOCGs needed in future.
		assessed need in the Waveney area / East Suffolk remains in place going forward		

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)  42	East Suffolk Council	In relation to the May 2022 Local Housing Needs Assessment we have previously made comments which we would like to be considered if the evidence document is to be revised further:  a: Paragraph 2.19 – it would be helpful to explain what the 'backlog of need' is. It is understood that this relates to any existing historic need before considering future projections.  b: Figure 49 (page 54) - the first row refers to Figure 35 but should be 36? And row 5 refers to Figure 46 which should be 47?  c: Paragraph 6.32 (page 74) – clarity could be provided to explain that figure 61 shows households whilst figure 74 shows dwellings, to explain the difference between the figures of 151 (figure 61) and 153 (para 6.32 and figure 74).  d: Figure 76 (page 76) – paragraph 6.19 explains that the needs for C2 accommodation are presented in the form of the C3 dwellings that could be released based upon the provision of C2 bed spaces at a ratio of 1.8 to 1 (i.e. equivalent of 1 dwelling for every 1.8 bed spaces). It could be misleading however to set out the need for 'C2 dwellings' in the Broads as zero in figure 76. This implies that there is no need for any C2 accommodation however it is understood that the approach is based on the anticipation that any needs for C2 accommodation would be met outside of the Broads Authority area.  e: Figure 76 (page 76) – some additional clarification of the figures in figure 76 would be helpful, for example paragraph 6.34 states that there is a need for 78 social rented dwellings in the Broads however figure 76 suggests a need for 78 dwellings for those unable to afford social rent. It is understood that it is the contribution from Housing Benefit that makes these properties achievable and this could be explained.	The response from the consultant who produced the study was passed on to ESC. Here is their response.  a: footnote added b: amended  c: Figure 61 shows households. Para 6.32 and Figure 74 show dwellings. Footnotes added to both, e.g.: "153 dwellings, which is the result of converting the need for 151 households identified in Figure 61 to the need for dwellings."  d: Again, it's because of small numbers making the results inaccurate, but also in this case it would be infeasible to provide the C2 dwellings as the costs wouldn't stack up. Any need for C2 dwellings would have to be outside of the Broads Authority area in the individual council areas, each of which will have an estimated C2 need including those people who live in the intersection of their council area and the Broads.  e: Para 6.34 amended to read: Overleaf Figure 76 shows the components of housing need with a breakdown of affordable rented between social rent and Affordable rent in the Broads Authority and shows a need for 78 social rented dwellings (with the households involved requiring some Housing Benefit contribution to pay their rent) and 21 Affordable rented dwellings (with the households involved requiring some Housing Benefit contribution to pay their rent).	
42	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	The Borough Council is fully supportive of the approach and method undertaken by the Broads Authority in deriving their housing need which forms part of the wider housing need for the whole borough of Great Yarmouth.		No further action.
42	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	The Borough Council considers that the constraints and special qualities of the Broads mean that it is unlikely to be desirable to provide significant housing within the Broads. Due to these exceptional circumstances, the Borough Council accepts that some, or perhaps all of the need arising from within that part of the Broads within the borough of Great Yarmouth will likely need to be met in those parts of the Borough outside of the Broads. This reflects the commitment of the Borough Council (alongside South Norfolk, Norwich City, Broadland and North Norfolk Councils) in the current Norfolk Strategic Planning Framework to address the housing needs arising from the part of the Broads which overlaps in its administrative area, if the housing need cannot be met within the Broads Local Plan.		Assess call for sites nominations and liaise with constituent districts as required as the Preferred Options is produced.
42	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	Notwithstanding this above, there may be opportunities where housing development in the Broads could strengthen the sustainability of settlements, for example by helping to support the operation of key local facilities. The Borough Council is therefore keen to continue collaborating with the Broads Authority to investigate whether such benefits may be secured in settlements that straddle the shared planning boundary, and will welcome the opportunity to comment upon any such sites put forward for consideration through the Broads' call for sites consultation.	Support noted. We have undertaken a call for sites and will assess the	Assess call for sites nominations and liaise with constituent districts as required as the Preferred Options is produced. Sites shared with our councils for comment.
42	RSPB	It is unclear how this 'total number' is derived. This is especially important given the disconnect between houses and services, be it power, water, sewerage. When will we reach the limit where new construction becomes unfeasible given the rising impacts of climate change which are getting more severe?	The study has been completed by experts in their field. As you will see in other responses to this question, it is acknowledged that the Broads Authority may not be able to meet this need and as such will work with our councils if required. We also ask key stakeholders to comment on sites, including AWS who will provide comments on water and sewerage.	No further action.
42	South Norfolk Council	The Council notes the use of Option Research Services (ORS) in producing the housing needs study for the Broads. ORS have also been engaged to prepare a housing needs assessment for the Greater Norwich area and this work is considered to be robust and credible. The Council supports the authority in identifying is objectively assessed need for homes.  Through the Greater Norwich Local Plan (GNLP) the Council has planned to fully meets its objectively assessed needs for housing. Agreement 13 of the NSPF states that, amongst others, South Norfolk, Norwich City and Broadland will include appropriate provision within their local plans to address housing needs arising from the parts of the Broads Authority area overlapping their administrative boundaries if these cannot be met within the Broads Local plan.  The Council therefore considers that there needs to be an assessment of the extent to which the Broads Local Plan is able to meet the needs within its area, with that need being met within the Broads area wherever possible. It is somewhat unclear from the current consultation what the Broads Authority intends to do in this producing the housing needs study for the Broads. ORS have also been	The Local Plan Issues and Options consultation clearly refers to and includes a call for sites. We will assess the sites put forward and allocate appropriate sites and then take things from there.	Assess call for sites nominations and liaise with constituent districts as required as the Preferred Options is produced.
42	Broadland Council	The Council notes the use of Option Research Services (ORS) in producing the housing needs study for the Broads. ORS have also been engaged to prepare a housing needs assessment for the Greater Norwich area and this work is considered to be robust and credible. The Council supports the authority in identifying is objectively assessed need for homes.  Through the Greater Norwich Local Plan (GNLP) the Council has planned to fully meets its objectively assessed needs for housing. Agreement 13 of the NSPF states that, amongst others, South Norfolk, Norwich City and Broadland will include appropriate provision within their local plans to address housing needs arising from the parts of the Broads Authority area overlapping their administrative boundaries if these cannot be met within the Broads Local plan.  The Council therefore considers that there needs to be an assessment of the extent to which the Broads Local Plan is able to meet the needs within its area, with that need being met within the Broads area wherever possible. It is somewhat unclear from the current consultation what the Broads Authority intends to do in this regard.	The Local Plan Issues and Options consultation clearly refers to and includes a call for sites. We will assess the sites put forward and allocate appropriate sites and then take things from there.	Assess call for sites nominations and liaise with constituent districts as required as the Preferred Options is produced.
42	Wroxham Parish Council	WNP section on housing refers.	Noted.	No further action.
43	Bradwell Parish Council	No comment	Noted.	No further action.
43	Broads Society	Firstly the Society considers that there is a clear difference between 'residential moorings' and 'liveaboards'. With regard to Residential Moorings, the Society would support a clear, criteria based policy which allowed for designated residential moorings throughout the Broads area. These designated areas, however, should be providing modern, on-shore facilities for users to promote a more environmentally acceptable approach that leads to a less detrimental impact on the visual quality and amenity of the Broads.	DM37 is in place and will be checked and amended and updated if required as the Preferred Options is produced.	Amend and update DM37 as required.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the guestion number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
43	Brooms Boats	Planning should support a modern approach to both using agile means to help answer the vital questions of environmental impacts and economic viability	Noted.	No further action.
43	East Suffolk Council	The production of updated evidence by the Broads Authority in relation to new residential moorings is supported. In the preparation of the current Broads Local Plan the former Waveney District Council commented that Somerleyton should be considered as a suitable area for a modest number of residential moorings, and the site subsequently allocated under Policy SOM1 is acknowledged as providing a contribution to meeting the identified needs.	Noted.	No further action.
43	East Suffolk Council RSPB	Suffolk Coastal District Council and Waveney District Council (now East Suffolk Council), alongside Ipswich Borough Council, Babergh District Council, and Mid Suffolk District Council commissioned RRR Consultancy Ltd to prepare the Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople, and Boat Dweller Accommodation Needs Assessments (2017) (available here: https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/Local-Plan- Review/Evidence-base/Gypsy-Traveller-Travelling-Showpeople-Boat-Dwellers-Accommodation-Needs- Assessment-May-2017.pdf). The needs assessment concluded that 28 permanent residential moorings were required over the period 2016-2036, of which 10 arose from need in Babergh, 17 in the former Suffolk Coastal area, and 1 in the former Waveney area. Our monitoring data shows the Local Plan policy relating to houseboats has not been used and no residential moorings/houseboat applications have been received.  If moorings can be constructed and maintained in a sustainable manner, then the approach is acceptable.	Noted. We have policies and guidance relating to residential moorings that seek to enable successful schemes.  Noted.	No further action.  No further action.
43	South Norfolk Council	The Council welcomes the Authority identifying an objectively assessed need for residential moorings. In respect of the identified allocation, it will be important not only that allocations exist but also that there is proportionate evidence that those moorings are deliverable/developable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF.	Noted and agreed and that is why the call for sites refers to deliverability and seeks information from site promoters on that very issue.	
43	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Residential moorings: The plan does not make clear what residential moorings would consist of, nor does it explain why there has been little or no progress in developing designated sites.	More detail is in the current Local Plan, much of which will be rolled forward. We allocate the sites and it is down to the site owner to put in an application and develop the site. For this Local Plan, our Call for Sites asks more questions about deliverability.	Ensure Local Plan is clear about residential moorings.
43	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Residential moorings: The people who live on boats should be consulted as a priority and their views should influence future development  The Council welcomes the Authority identifying an objectively assessed need for residential moorings. In respect of the identified	Noted. We advertise the consultation far and wide and also consult the Residential Boat Owners Association.  Noted and agreed and that is why the call for sites refers to	None.
	Broadland Council	allocation, it will be important not only that allocations exist but also that there is proportionate evidence that those moorings are deliverable/developable in accordance with paragraph 68 of the NPPF.	deliverability and seeks information from site promoters on that very issue. As is clearly set out in the Issues and Options consultation document,	No further action
44	Bradwell Parish Council	In the interests of fairness other areas of the Broads should be considered for Traveller and Gypsy pitches, it should not just be limited to the Great Yarmouth Area.	we worked with GYBC to understand the need for sites in the Broads part of that Council area. The document goes on to say that we will work with the other five districts to understand need elsewhere in the Broads.	No further action other than working with other districts to understand need.
44	Broads Society	The Society strongly feels that occupants of 'liveaboards' which, by their nature, often tend to be transient users of the waterways, should be regarded in the same way as Gypsies and Travellers. It should be incumbent upon the Authority to regulate their use effectively through planning law and its navigation responsibilities; and, should also, provide similar designated provision to that of residential moorings.  We followed this comment up and the Broads Society said: As you are aware, the Authority recognises that there are a number of boat dwellers that do not have permanent moorings and do not wish to moor in the same location on anything but a temporary basis. This was recognised in the 'Broads Authority Boat Dwellers Accommodation Assessment Final Report August 2022'. All the Society is suggesting is that it may be helpful for a small number of temporary moorings to be allocated around the system with basic facilities (pump out, water, electricity) so that this lifestyle choice could be accommodated and more effectively regulated.	Suggestion noted and will be passed on to colleagues for consideration.	Pass comment to colleagues at BA.
44	East Suffolk Council	The Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment (2017) covered the former Waveney and Suffolk Coastal districts (as well as Babergh, Mid Suffolk and Ipswich) (www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Waveney-Local-Plan/First-Draft-Local-Plan/Gypsy-Traveller-Travelling- Showpeople-and-Boat-Dwellers-Accommodation-Needs-Assessment-May-2017.pdf). The assessment has informed the needs and policies for Gypsy and Traveller accommodation set out in both the Waveney and Suffolk Coastal Local Plans. The assessment did not cover the Broads Authority and recognises in paragraph 2.30 that "The Broads Authority, the Greater Norwich local authorities, Great Yarmouth, and North Norfolk are working in partnership and are updating their GTAA. This is being undertaken by RRR Consultancy using a similar method and approach as adopted for this accommodation needs assessment, but also includes the assessment of accommodation needs of residential caravan dwellers."	Noted.	No further action.
44	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council is aware of unauthorised encampments having taken place in Nicholas Everitt Park, Oulton Broad, which is in the Broads part of East Suffolk. An assessment has been undertaken for Great Yarmouth Borough however it is not clear whether the Broads Authority intend to undertake further work to cover the other five district Council areas in the Broads and/or will be looking to engage as part of any future updates undertaken by those authorities. The 2021 Greater Norwich Accommodation Need and Supply Changes since the Accommodation Needs Assessment only appears to provide updates in relation to the Greater Norwich authorities. Whilst the Council has no reason to consider the overall need situation has changed for the Broads since the 2017 Assessment covering the Broads was undertaken, the Council supports the reference in the Issues and Options consultation document to working with the other five district councils, in particular given that unauthorised encampments have taken place.	Noted. We intend to revisit Gypsy and Traveller approach/evidence over the coming months.	Consider this comment as look into gypsy and traveller work.
44	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	In similar to the response to Question 42, the Borough Council is fully supportive of the derived need figure for gypsy and travellers and in recognition of the special qualities and constraints of the Broads (not least the risk of flooding), accepts the likelihood of having to meet this need within the borough which lies outside of the Broads. The Borough Council is therefore keen to continue collaborating with the Broads Authority in order to help meet this need and will welcome the opportunity to comment upon any such sites put forward for consideration for gypsies and travellers through the Broads' call for sites consultation.	Support noted.	Work with GYBC to address need for Gypsy and Travellers.
44	South Norfolk Council	Agreement 15 of the NSPF sets out that all Norfolk Planning Authorities need to quantify the need for and plan to provide for gypsy and travelling show people. The Council welcomes the Authorities commitment to updating their assessment of the need for Gypsy and Traveller sites through the production of a further addendum to their existing work.	Noted, but this is only in relation to Great Yarmouth as is clearly stated in the Issues and Options document.	Ensure any need in the GNLP area is understood as the Local Plan progresses.

Planning Committee, 31 March 2023, agenda item number 10

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)  44	South Norfolk Council	The Council notes that the Authority refers to a 2021 Greater Norwich addendum to their needs assessment. Since the publication of this addendum a further draft update of the Greater Norwich GTAA has been produced. This was published in June 2022. It should be noted that this assessment excluded land within the Broads area, which would need to be considered separately through the Authorities addendum.	The Broads Authority were only aware of the Addendum and not aware of the study dates June 2022. I have found the study and it says it excludes the Broads as we were doing out own update. That is incorrect; we worked with GYBC to work out the Gypsy and Traveller need for the Broads part of GYBC. Indeed, if we had have known about this study, we would have worked with Greater Norwich	Ensure any need in the GNLP area is understood as the Local Plan progresses.
44	Broadland Council	Agreement 15 of the NSPF sets out that all Norfolk Planning Authorities need to quantify the need for and plan to provide for gypsy and travelling show people. The Council welcomes the Authorities commitment to updating their assessment of the need for Gypsy and Traveller sites through the production of a further addendum to their existing work.	Authorities to be part of this study.  Noted, but this is only in relation to Great Yarmouth as is clearly stated in the Issues and Options document.	Ensure any need in the GNLP area is understood as the Local Plan progresses.
44	Broadland Council	The Council notes that the Authority refers to a 2021 Greater Norwich addendum to their needs assessment. Since the publication of this addendum a further draft update of the Greater Norwich GTAA has been produced. This was published in June 2022. It should be noted that this assessment excluded land within the Broads area, which would need to be considered separately through the Authorities addendum.	The Broads Authority were only aware of the Addendum and not aware of the study dates June 2022. I have found the study and it says it excludes the Broads as we were doing out own update. That is incorrect; we worked with GYBC to work out the Gypsy and Traveller need for the Broads part of GYBC. Indeed, if we had have known about this study, we would have worked with Greater Norwich Authorities to be part of this study.	Ensure any need in the GNLP area is understood as the Local Plan progresses.
45	Bradwell Parish Council	In the interests of fairness of areas of the Broads should be considered for Residential Caravans.	Noted.	No further action.
45	Broads Society	The Society has no comment to make apart from any form of development should obviously fully comply with other relevant policies in the local plan.	Noted.	No further action.
45	East Suffolk Council	The Gypsy, Traveller, Travelling Showpeople and Boat Dwellers Accommodation Needs Assessment (2017) that assessed needs for both the Waveney Local Plan area and the Suffolk Coastal Local Plan area did not include an assessment of the needs for residential caravans. The Strategic Housing Market Assessment part 2 (2017) (www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/assets/Planning/Suffolk-Coastal-Local-Plan/Local-Plan-Review/Evidence-base/Ipswich- and-Waveney-Housing-Market-Areas-Strategic-Housing-Market-Assessment-Part-2.pdf) considered the needs for mobile homes / park homes and concluded that the price of these meant they did not provide a cheaper alternative to standard market housing. East Suffolk Council would support liaising with the Broads Authority under the Duty to Cooperate in relation to understanding any needs for residential caravans in the Broads.	Noted.	No further action.
46	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	DM43 Design policy the local plan currently states under subsection 25 Design g) Crime Prevention currently states 'The design and layout of development should be safe and secure, with natural surveillance. Measures to reduce the risk of crime and antisocial behaviour should be considered at an early stage so as not to be at the expense of overall design quality.'  Norfolk Constabulary requests that in line with the aforementioned NPPF guidance and Design policies and to support the Broads Authority in its visions and commitments that this is amended to specifically include building to Secured by Design standards / in line with Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CTPED) Principles.  This amendment will promote a significant step towards protecting the wonder and heritage of the Broads for future generations to use and enjoy safely.  This could be further embedded into policy is consideration was given to making Secured by Design Awards a condition of planning for all commercial and residential applications within this area.	Noted.	Consider these amendments as work up the Design policy for the Preferred Options.
46	East Suffolk Council	Comments in relation to existing policies have been picked up in our response to the other questions as appropriate. The Council is aware that the Broads Authority may be considering the applicability of other designations close to the Broads, and therefore offers the comments below:	Noted.	No further action.
46	East Suffolk Council	Oulton Broad District Shopping Centre Oulton Broad District Shopping Centre, as defined by Local Plan policy WLP2.11, extends into the Broads Authority area where it is allocated under the current Broads Local Plan policy OUL3. The Broads Local Plan designation extends the District Shopping Centre westwards along the southern shore of Lake Lothing. It is considered appropriate area for the Broads Local Plan allocation to continue in its current form, unless further work indicates that change is necessary. The policies in each plan that relate to Oulton Broad should be aligned as closely as possible.	Support for current approach noted.	Working with ESC, consider continuing the current policy approach for the District Centre.
46	East Suffolk Council	Common Lane North Employment Area is designated in Waveney Local Plan Policy WLP8.12. The northeast section of Common Lane North Employment area is situated close to the Broads Authority area boundary. Both the employment area boundary and settlement boundary are tightly drawn around existing buildings. There would be no justification to extend the Employment area boundary further north into the Broads authority area.	Thanks for considering nearby sites and contemplating the appropriateness for the Broads Authority to continue the policy approach. Reasons for not doing this are agreed.	No further action.
46	East Suffolk Council	Town Centre Boundary  Beccles Town Centre Boundary as defined by Waveney Local Plan policy WLP8.18, meets the Broads Authority Boundary in the northwest corner of the town centre (adjacent to Saltgate) and also runs close to the Broads Authority Boundary along its western flank. The Waveney Retail and Leisure Needs Assessment (2016), which provides the evidence base for the current Waveney Local Plan, does not advocate moving the town centre boundary further to the west. Westward expansion of the town centre would mean incorporating parts of the town centre which only have a minimal retail presence. However, there may be scope to include the Waveney House Hotel within the town centre boundary.	Noted. We will liaise with ESC on this matter.	Liaise with ESC on how to address the issue of Waveney House Hotel and Beccles Town Centre.
46	East Suffolk Council	Gasworks Allotments The Gasworks allotments, Beccles, are designated as open space by Local Plan policy WLP8.23. The Gasworks allotments are separated from the Broads Authority area by a small stream, which itself is bounded by vegetation on each side. To the north is an area of vacant open land in the Broads. The vacant open land and allotments are separate and the allotments do not extend into the Broads, and there is therefore no reason to extend this designation into the Broads.	Thanks for considering nearby sites and contemplating the appropriateness for the Broads Authority to continue the policy approach. Reasons for not doing this are agreed.	No further action.
46	East Suffolk Council	Holy Trinity Church Open Space, Bungay Holy Trinity Church in Bungay is designated as open space under Local Plan policy WLP8.23 and is located on the eastern edge of Bungay. It directly borders the Broads Authority area. However, the churchyard is separated from the Broads Authority area by a wall or fence. Land on the other side of the boundary appears to be developed. Therefore, there is unlikely to be any justification in identifying open space into the Broads Local Plan.	Thanks for considering nearby sites and contemplating the appropriateness for the Broads Authority to continue the policy approach. Reasons for not doing this are agreed.	No further action.

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
46	East Suffolk Council	Holy Trinity Churchyard, Barsham  Holy Trinity Churchyard in Barsham is designated as open space under Local Plan policy WLP8.23 and directly borders the Broads  Authority area. However, the churchyard is separated from the Broads Authority area itself by a line of trees and the two do not appear to be connected in any way and so it is not considered advisable to extend the churchyard into The Broads Authority area.	Thanks for considering nearby sites and contemplating the appropriateness for the Broads Authority to continue the policy approach. Reasons for not doing this are agreed.	No further action.
46	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	The Borough Council considers it fundamental that a positive and proactive policy is retained within the Broads Local Plan which helps to enable the delivery of full dualling of the A47 'Acle Straight'. Realising the full dualling of the Acle Straight continues to be a key ambition of the Borough Council, and is critical to the long term health of industries and job growth in the borough, which are of importance to the wider and national economy.	The Acle Sraight policy, like all others, will be taken before members in due course for their consideration.	When take Acle Straight policy to Members, report back this representation.
46	Historic England	Historic England considers the current policies to be robust and that they provide a good strategic policy basis for the conservation and enhancement of the historic environment. In particular Policies SP5, DM11 and DM12 comprehensively address The Broads' varied heritage assets.	Support noted.	No further action.
Agriculture	Mr K Lowes	The area needs farming industry. Farmers need fresh water. Winter rainfall needs to be collected as the climate is changing and we get drier summers.	Noted.	Consider how to include this in the Local Plan.
Archaeology	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council would encourage policies which set out a clear approach to addressing the historic environment and archaeology. Policies should include reference to Suffolk County Council managing the Historic Environment Record for the county. A separate policy addressing undesignated heritage assets and whether they relate to built heritage or archaeological remains would be helpful. Including requirements for archaeological investigations in site specific policies can be helpful in setting expectations for developers and guiding decision makers.	Suggestion noted. We will consider this as we produce the heritage policies for the Preferred Options.	Consider this suggestion for heritage policies.
Archaeology	Suffolk County Council	The Local Plan should also make clear that Suffolk County Council advises early consultation of the Historic Environment Record and assessment of the archaeological potential of the area at an appropriate stage in the design of new developments, in order that the requirements of the NPPF, Suffolk Coastal Core Strategy are met. SCCAS is happy to advise on the level of assessment and appropriate stages to be undertaken. They should be consulted for advice as early as possible in the planning application process.	Suggestion noted. We will consider this as we produce the heritage policies for the Preferred Options.	Consider this suggestion for heritage policies.
Archaeology	Suffolk County Council	Suffolk County Council would also welcome the encouragement of public engagement as part of a development project to improve public understanding of the area's archaeology.	Suggestion noted. We will consider this as we produce the heritage policies for the Preferred Options.	Consider this suggestion for heritage policies.
Archaeology	Suffolk County Council	Use of Historic England's Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning is encouraged throughout the plan making process and it may be beneficial for both Historic England and the County Councils to be involved jointly with the Broads Authority to create joined up holistic policy on the historic environment.	Noted.	We will send heritage policies to SCC, NCC and HE.
BRU policies	Broads Society	This is an area accommodating several important businesses supporting the marine industry, boatyards and tourism. There are a number of ageing and unused buildings which are falling into disrepair and have little or no industrial use. Access is poor hindering local plan objectives of sustainable travel, local economic development, local jobs and community well-being. Businesses and dwellings are threatened by environmental impacts.  Valuable prime riverside locations could be enhanced through collaborative planning approaches that enable bio diversity, increased green sustainable tourism, net zero approaches, economic growth for the area and region, local jobs for local people, increased skills and job opportunities.  This seems to be an ideal area to be targeted for positive change.	The Brundall Riverside area has its own series of policies.	Consider comment in relation to BRU policies.
BRU policies	Brooms Boats	Brundall Riverside area.  An area accommodating several important businesses supporting the marine industry, boatyards and tourism. Ageing and unused buildings are eroding and have no industrial use.  Access is poor hindering local plan objectives of sustainable travel, local economic development, local jobs and community well-being. Businesses and dwellings are threatened by environmental impacts.  Valuable prime riverside locations could be enhanced through collaborative planning approaches that enable biodiversity, increased green sustainable tourism, net zero approaches, economic growth for the area and region, local jobs for local people, increased skills and job opportunities.	The Brundall Riverside area has its own series of policies.	Consider comment in relation to BRU policies.
BRU policies.	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	2.80Whilst not proposing any particular sites for allocation, the inclusion of the Brundall Riverside Estate within the development boundary as set out below, would recognise its built-up and previously developed nature and make it more straightforward in planning terms for sites to be redeveloped. In particular, the nature of boatyards is changing, for example Broom Boats is diversifying and policies should be flexible to the changing requirements for such sites.	The Brundall Riverside area has its own series of policies.	Consider comment in relation to BRU policies.
CAN1	British Sugar/Rapleys	British Sugar is fully supportive of the existing allocation for Cantley Sugar Factory (Policy CAN1) which, in principle, supports development within the defined area that secures and enhances the sugar factory's contribution to the economy of the Broads and wider area. We request that the policy allocation is carried forward in the Local Plan Review in order to ensure that there continues to be support for British Sugar's ongoing operation, diversification and associated development needs.	General support of the policy noted.	No change to general policy approach, although see other comments.
CAN1	British Sugar/Rapleys	As we raised in the previous representations to the adopted Broads Plan, there is an intrinsic area of the Cantley Sugar Factory site that is not contained within the Cantley Sugar Factory policy area, as identified on the enclosed Site Location Plan. The area currently excluded from Policy CAN1 is in the ownership of British Sugar and contains the car and truck park/service yard for British Sugar's operations and the entrance to the factory. The area therefore forms a fundamental component of the operation of British Sugar. As such, we request that the policy boundary is amended to include this area.	Noted. We will look into amending the boundary.	Look into amending the boundary of CAN1. Meet operators.
CAN1	British Sugar/Rapleys	As explained above, British Sugar's future development needs include potential on-site renewable energy development to reduce carbon emissions from the operation. British Sugar is considering opportunities for solar and wind energy development in order to ensure that its operations become more efficient and sustainable and contribute towards the net zero carbon target. We consider that the Local Plan should support such development needs in line with the Broads Authority's vision for the net zero carbon reduction targets in the long term.	Support for renewable energy noted. Note that the Government are indicating changing the approach for wind turbines, although final details are to be confirmed and adopted.	Consider this support for microgeneration as the renewable energy policy is checked and produced as well as policy CAN1 is checked and produced (see comments from British Sugar on CAN1), being aware of any Government policy change.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
Question number)  CAN1	British Sugar/Rapleys	Therefore, we request that Policy CAN1 is updated to support the principle of renewable energy development, including identifying the site as suitable for wind energy development to support the operation of Cantley Sugar Factory, as follows:  This site is defined as an employment site for the purposes of Broads Local Plan Policies on general employment (DM26).  Development on this site which secures and enhances the sugar works' contribution to the economy of the Broads and wider area will be supported where this also:  a) Protects or enhances wildlife and habitats (including the nearby Ramsar site, SPA and SAC);  b) Protects or enhances the amenity of nearby residents;  c) Avoids severe residual impacts on highway capacity or safety;  d) Improves the appearance of the works, particularly in views from the river and other receptors in the locality, through design, materials and landscaping and have regard to the setting of the nearby designated heritage assets;  e) Reduces light pollution;  f) Uses the disposition, bulk and location of buildings and structures to avoid extending the built up part of the site into the open areas around or more prominent in the skyline;  g) Can be demonstrated to be in conformity with national policy on flood risk; and  h) Appropriately manages any risk of water pollution.  Renewed use of the railway or river for freight associated with the plant would be particularly encouraged, as would measures reducing carbon dioxide emissions, including solar and wind powered energy development. The site is identified as being suitable for wind turbine development in association with the sugar works, subject to satisfying the above criteria.  Employment uses other than that associated with the sugar works will be supported only where they do not prejudice the future of that use (and associated waste operations) and also meet the above criteria.	Proposed amendment to enable renewable energy at Cantley Sugar Beet Factory noted. It is not clear if there are particular sites suggested within the boundary of the Factory in mind.	Meet with operator on site to discuss their ideas for renewable energy at the site.
CAN1	British Sugar/Rapleys	In recognition of the Cantley Sugar Factory's national significance and British Sugar's commitment to the factory's ongoing and long-term operation and diversification, we request that the Local Plan Review will carry forward the Cantley Sugar Factory policy allocation with an amended policy boundary and amended wording to support renewable energy development.	Noted. We will consider the changes as we prepare the Local Plan for the Broads Preferred Options version.	Consider changes put forward to CAN1. Potential to meet operator on site to talk through and understand the area.
Climate change	Anglian Water	3.20.Our long-term strategic ambitions are shaped to deliver on our purpose and drive us to achieve more, for everyone, this includes becoming a net zero carbon business by 2030 and reducing the carbon in building and maintaining our assets by 70%. We are therefore, committed to reducing our carbon footprint in both operational and capital carbon 3.21.We support the climate checklist and suggest that surface water flooding and drought could have joined up solutions regarding rainwater harvesting and greywater recycling opportunities to minimise surface water run- off and potable water demand through implementing these climate resilient measures. Plus, more ambitious water efficiency measures in new development helps to reduce future water demand. 3.22.We suggest the spatial strategy should ensure that new development is directed to locations which avoid areas at risk of flooding (from all sources) and sea level rise - taking climate change allowances into account. Further consideration could be given to whether this section also specifically mentions sea level rise implications.		Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
Design	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Secured by Design Secured by Design aims to achieve a good standard of security for buildings and the immediate environment. It attempts to deter criminal and anti-social behaviour within developments by introducing appropriate design features that enable Natural Surveillance and create a sense of ownership and responsibility for every part of the development.  These features include secure vehicle parking, adequate lighting of common areas, defensible space and a landscaping and lighting scheme which when combined, enhances Natural Surveillance and safety. Experience shows that incorporating security measures during a new build or refurbishment reduces crime, fear of crime and disorder. The aim of the Police Service is to assist in the Design process to achieve a safe and secure environment for residents and visitors without creating a "fortress environment".  All new developments should provide a venue that makes the most from the proven crime reduction methodologies of Secured by Design gained from over thirty years policing experience and supported by independent academic research.  There are Residential, Commercial, Hospital and Educational Developments Design Guides available from www.securedbydesign.com which explain all of the crime reduction elements of these schemes. They are separated into sections; Section 1: Deals with the development layout and design and all external features and Section 2: Provides the detailed technical standards for various elements of the buildings.  The interactive design guide https://www.securedbydesign.com/guidance/interactive-design-guide is also a very good and self-explanatory tool that can walk you through the various elements of designing out crime in a visual manner.	Noted. Consider this comment as produce the design policy of the Local Plan.	Consider this comments as produce design policy of the Local Plan.
Design	National Grid/Avison Young	explanatory tool that can walk you through the various elements of designing out crime in a visual manner.  Utilities Design Guidance The increasing pressure for development is leading to more development sites being brought forward through the planning process on land that is crossed by National Grid infrastructure.  National Grid advocates the high standards of design and sustainable development forms promoted through national planning policy and understands that contemporary planning and urban design agenda require a creative approach to new development around high voltage overhead lines, underground gas transmission pipelines, and other National Grid assets.  Therefore, to ensure that future Design Policies remain consistent with national policy we would request the inclusion of a policy strand such as:  "x. taking a comprehensive and co-ordinated approach to development including respecting existing site constraints including utilities situated within sites."		Weave this wording into the Design policy.
DM11	Historic England	We support the current policy which seeks to protect, preserve or enhance the significance and setting of the heritage assets and that of the wider historic environment. We welcome the reference within the policy, to non- designated heritage assets, archaeology and undiscovered heritage assets. The supporting text provides good justification for the policy provisions and explains the reasoning clearly which should help direct decision makers and prospective applicants.	Support noted.	No further action
DM12	Historic England	We support the current policy.	Support noted.	No further action
DM30  DM37 - residential	Lanpro Services  Norwich City Council	Development proposals constrained by unenforceable restrictions requiring the use of holiday accommodation to be only for short stay occupancy on a rented basis, as currently exists in Broads Policy DM30 only serves to make continued investment in the provision and upgrading of specifically designed tourism accommodation and facilities on the Broads unviable and will result in investment taking place in nearby Local Authority areas where such restrictions do not apply.  With regards to residential moorings, we would like to see the criteria-based policy for residential moorings in Norwich that is	Thoughts noted. We will consider this as we review and check DM30.	Consider comments as check DM30.
moorings	Not with City Countil	With regards to residential moorings, we would like to see the criteria-based policy for residential moorings in Norwich that is contained within the current plan be retained in the new plan.	Noted and we don't anticipate removing that criterion from the current policy.	Maintain the Norwich City locational criterion of DM37.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)  DM41 -Benefits of  Older  Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Environmental The proposal provides a number of key environmental benefits by:  •IllMaking more efficient use of land thereby reducing the need to use limited land resources for housing.  •IllProviding housing in close proximity to services and shops which can be easily accessed on foot thereby reducing the need for travel by means which consume energy and create emissions.  •IllProviding shared facilities for a large number of residents in a single building which makes more efficient use of material and energy resources.	Noted.	See responses to other comments from McCarthy Stone.
DM41 -Benefits of Older Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Given all these factors, evidence and the guidance of the PPG, the council should initially ensure that the Housing Needs for older persons' housing is identified in the plan. We then consider that the best approach is for the plan to identify the level of housing needed to meet the requirement of older people in the Broads Authority area and to allocate specific sites to meet the that are in the most sustainable locations close to key services. The plan should also continue to include a standalone policy actively supporting the delivery of specialist older people's housing, however some more flexibility should be applied to this compared to the existing policy.	All Norfolk LPAs worked with Norfolk County Council Independent Living and Supported Living Teams to look into the need for such housing. Whilst the Broads Authority is part of this work, no specific need is identified for the Broads Authority. This is because data is not available for the Broads. The boundary is such, that, for example, not one entire postcode area is within the Broads. The same is similar for other typical areas like Lower Super Output areas. That is why, taking market housing need as an example, the Standard Methodology does not apply to areas like the Broads – indeed, we have to commission bespoke evidence. It is also important to understand our area is part of 6 districts. Our need is part of their need, not additional to their need. The Broads Authority is open to development of the right type, in the right place, of the right design. Indeed, that is what policy DM41 allows. So, it is not clear how a need can be identified for the Broads. Further, we held a call for sites as part of the Issues and Options consultation and no sites have been put forward for elderly or specialist need housing. If MS have sites, please feel free to contact us to discuss them. Also, if MS have any comments on the policy DM41 then please do let us know.	
DM41 -Benefits of Older Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Developers should not be required to demonstrate need for older persons housing, given the many benefits that such developments bring and if a quantum is specified this should be regarded as a target and not a ceiling.  Given also that such developments "help reduce costs to the social care and health systems" (PPG refers), requirements to assess impact on healthcare services and/or make contributions should be avoided.	Suggested amendments to the existing policy noted and we will consider these as we draft the Preferred Options version.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
DM41 -Benefits of Older Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	While we appreciate that no one planning approach will be appropriate for all areas, an example policy is provided that, we hope, will provide a useful reference for the Council:  "The Council will encourage the provision of specialist housing for older people across all tenures in sustainable locations. The Council aims to ensure that older people are able to secure and sustain independence in a home appropriate to their circumstances by providing appropriate housing choice, particularly retirement housing and Extra Care Housing/Housing with Care. The Council will, through the identification of sites, allowing for windfall developments, and / or granting of planning consents in sustainable locations, provide for the development of retirement accommodation, residential care homes, close care, Extra Care and assisted care housing and Continuing Care Retirement Communities."	Suggested amendments to the existing policy noted and we will consider these as we draft the Preferred Options version.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
DM41 - Benefits of Older Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Older peoples' housing produces a large number of significant benefits which can help to reduce the demands exerted on Health and Social Services and other care facilities – not only in terms of the fact that many of the residents remain in better health, both physically and mentally, but also doctors, physiotherapists, community nurses, hairdressers and other essential practitioners can all attend to visit several occupiers at once. This leads to a far more efficient and effective use of public resources.	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments
DM41 - Benefits of Older Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Economic  A report 'Healthier and Happier' An analysis of the fiscal and wellbeing benefits of building more homes for later living" by WPI Strategy for Homes for Later Living explored the significant savings that Government and individuals could expect to make if more older people in the UK could access this type of housing. The analysis showed that:  •III'Each person living in a home for later living enjoys a reduced risk of health challenges, contributing to fiscal savings to the NHS and social care services of approximately £3,500 per year.  •IIIIBuilding 30,000 more retirement housing dwellings every year for the next 10 years would generate fiscal savings across the NHS and social services of £2.1bn per year.  •IIIOn a selection of national well-being criteria such as happiness and life satisfaction, an average person aged 80 feels as good as someone 10 years younger after moving from mainstream housing to housing specially designed for later living.'  A further report entitled Silver Saviours for the High Street: How new retirement properties create more local economic value and more local jobs than any other type of residential housing (February 2021) found that retirement properties create more local economic value and more local jobs than any other type of residential development. For an average 45 unit retirement scheme built in a sustainable location, the residents generate  £550,000 of spending a year, £347,000 of which is spent on the high street, directly contributing to keeping local shops open and high streets vibrant	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments
DM41 - Benefits of Older Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	As recognised by the PPG, Retirement housing releases under-occupied family housing and plays a very important role in recycling of housing stock in general. There is a 'knock-on' effect in terms of the whole housing chain enabling more effective use of existing housing. In the absence of choice, older people will stay put in properties that are often unsuitable for them until such a time as they need expensive residential care. A further Report "Chain Reaction" The positive impact of specialist retirement housing on the generational divide and first- time buyers (Aug 2020)" reveals that about two in every three retirement properties built, releases a home suitable for a first-time buyer. A typical Homes for Later Living development which consists of 40 apartments therefore results in at least 27 first time buyer properties being released onto the market.	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments

Part of document (numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
DM41 - Benefits of Older Persons' Housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Social Retirement housing gives rise to many social benefits:  •IllSpecifically designed housing for older people offers significant opportunities to enable residents to be as independent as possible in a safe and warm environment. Older homes are typically in a poorer state of repair, are often colder, damper, have more risk of fire and fall hazards. They lack in adaptions such as handrails, wider internal doors, stair lifts and walk in showers. Without these simple features everyday tasks can become harder and harder.  •IllRetirement housing helps to reduce anxieties and worries experienced by many older people living in housing which does not best suit their needs by providing safety, security and reducing management and maintenance concerns.  •IllThe Housing for Later Living Report (2019) shows that on a selection of wellbeing criteria such as happiness and life satisfaction, an average person aged 80 feels as good as someone 10 years younger after moving from mainstream housing into housing specifically	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments
DM41 - general		designed for later living.  We note that the existing local plan contains policy DM41 Elderly and Specialist Needs Housing that deals specifically with older persons housing and states 'Proposals for the development of or change to elderly or specialist needs housing will be supported if they are located within a development boundary and they have regard to: i) The local need for the accommodation proposed; ii) Whether the proposal would result in an undue concentration of such provision in the area; and iii) Impact upon amenity, landscape character, the historic environment and protected species or habitats.'  The existing Local Plan was adopted just before the government updated the PPG with a new section on Housing for Older and Disabled People now recognising the need to provide housing for older people. Page 14 of the Issues and Options document identifies the PPG as relevant. Of relevance paragraph 001 Reference ID: 63- 001-20190626 of the PPG states:  "The need to provide housing for older people is critical. People are living longer lives and the proportion of older people in the population is increasing. In mid-2016 there were 1.6 million people aged 85 and over; by mid- 2041 this is projected to double to 3.2 million. Offering older people a better choice of accommodation to suit their changing needs can help them live independently for longer, feel more connected to their communities and help reduce costs to the social care and health systems. Therefore, an understanding of how the ageing population affects housing needs is something to be considered from the early stages of plan-making through to decision-taking" (emphasis added).	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments
DM41 - general	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Paragraph 003 Reference ID: 63-003-20190626 recognises that "the health and lifestyles of older people will differ greatly, as will their housing needs, which can range from accessible and adaptable general needs housing to specialist housing with high levels of care and support."  Thus, a range of provision needs to be planned for and recognising that housing for older people has its own requirements and cannot be successfully considered against criteria for general family housing or adaptable housing is important. Paragraph 006 Reference ID: 63 006-20190626 sets out "plan-making authorities should set clear policies to address the housing needs of groups with particular needs such as older and disabled people. These policies can set out how the plan-making authority will consider proposals for the different types of housing that these groups are likely to require."	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments
DM41 - Need for older persons' housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	It is well documented that the UK faces an ageing population. Life expectancy is greater than it used to be and as set out above by 2032 the number of people in the UK aged over 80 is set to increase from 3.2 million to 5 million (ONS mid 2018 population estimates). Between 2014 and 2039, the ONS project that over 70 per cent of projected household growth will be made up of households with someone aged 60 or older.  It is generally recognised (for example The Homes for Later Living Report September 2019). That there is a need to deliver 30,000 retirement and extra care houses a year in the UK to keep pace with demand. The Mayhew Review Future-proofing retirement living' recommends 'an accelerated programme of retirement housing construction with up to 50,000 new units a year'.  Although there are no apparent specific statistics for older people for the Broads Authority area both the 'Study of Demand for Specialist Retirement housing and Accessible housing for Older People in Norfolk' and the 'Great Yarmouth Borough Council and The Broads Authority Local housing Needs Assessment 2022, version 2' imply that the authority is anticipating an increase in the older persons population. This can be supported by looking at the age profile of Norfolk as a whole that can be drawn from the 2018 population projections from the Office for National Statistics. This advises that there were 219,260 persons aged 65 and over in 2018, accounting for 24.3% of the total population of the County. This age range is projected to increase by 92,196 individuals, or 42%, to 311,456 between 2018 and 2043. The population aged 65 and over is expected to increase to account for 30.2% of the total population of the County by 2043.	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments
DM41 - Need for older persons' housing	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	In 2018 there were 60,914 persons aged 80 and over, individuals who are more likely to be frail and in need of long-term assistance. The number of people in this age range is forecasted to increase by 48,822 individuals, or 80.2%, to 109,736 between 2018 and 2043. The population aged 80 and over is anticipated to represent a higher proportion of Norfolk's residents, accounting for 6.7% of the total population in 2018 and increasing to 10.7% by 2043. It is therefore clear there will be a significant increase in older people over the Plan Period in Norfolk which will include the Broads Authority area and the provision of suitable housing and care to meet the needs of this demographic should be a priority of the emerging Local Plan. The Plan should be ensure that the policy approach to meet the housing needs of older people is up to date and addresses the need.	Background information noted.	See responses to other comments
DM43	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Point h of policy DM43 considers 'Accessibility and adaptability. This states that 'Developments shall be capable of adapting to changing circumstances, in terms of occupiers, use and climate change (including changes in water level). In particular, dwelling houses should be able to adapt to changing family circumstances or ageing of the occupier(s) and commercial premises should be able to respond to changes in industry or the economic base.  Applicants are required to consider if it is appropriate for their proposed dwelling/ some of the dwellings to be built so they are accessible and adaptable and meet Building Regulation standard M4(2) and M4(3). If applicants do not consider it appropriate, they need to justify this. For developments of five dwellings or more, 20% will be built to meet Building Regulation Standard M4(2)'.	Noted.	Review standard - could it apply to more dwellings? Also, keep an eye on Building Regulation changes and delete or amend the policy if they come into force during the production of the Local Plan.
DM43	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	The council should initially recognise that the proposed changes in building regulations will require all homes to be built to part M4(2) of the Building Regulations. This will remove the need to reference this in the local plan and should be removed.	As and when the requirement becomes part of Building Regulations, we can delete (if still producing the Local Plan). Until then we will review the standard and are likely to keep it.	Review standard - could it apply to more dwellings? Also, keep an eye on Building Regulation changes and delete or amend the policy if they come into force during the production of the Local Plan.
DM43		Whilst we acknowledge that PPG Paragraph 003 Reference ID: 63-003-20190626 recognises that "the health and lifestyles of older people will differ greatly, as will their housing needs, which can range from accessible and adaptable general needs housing to specialist housing with high levels of care and support', the council should note that ensuring that residents have the ability to stay in their homes for longer is not, in itself, an appropriate manner of meeting the housing needs of older people.	Noted. Agree that adaptable housing as well as housing for older	Carry forward DM41 and DM43 approach (although noting that accessibility may be addressed through Building Regulations).

Part of document				
(numbers denote the guestion number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
DM43	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Adaptable houses do not provide the on-site support, care and companionship of specialist older persons' housing developments nor do they provide the wider community benefits such as releasing under occupied family housing as well as savings to the public purse by reducing the stress of health and social care budgets. The recently published Healthier and Happier Report by WPI Strategy (September 2019) calculated that the average person living in specialist housing for older people saves the NHS and social services £3,490 per year. A supportive local planning policy framework will be crucial in increasing the delivery of specialist older persons' housing and it should be acknowledged that although adaptable housing can assist it does not remove the need for specific older person's housing. Housing particularly built to M4(3) standard may serve to institutionalise an older persons scheme reducing independence contrary to the ethos of older persons and particularly extra care housing and this should be recognised within the plan.	Noted. Agree that adaptable housing as well as housing for older persons are both appropriate approaches.	Carry forward DM41 and DM43 approach (although noting that accessibility may be addressed through Building Regulations).
DM43	McCarthy Stone/The Planning Bureau Limited	Recommendation: Delete DM43 point h from the plan.	Suggestion noted. As and when the requirement becomes part of Building Regulations, we can delete (if still producing the Local Plan). Until then we will review the standard and are likely to keep it.	Review standard - could it apply to more dwellings? Also, keep an eye on Building Regulation changes and delete or amend the policy if they come into force during the production of the Local Plan.
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	The Local Plan Review covers a wide range of topic areas and although at Section 7.6 The economy of the Broads the review acknowledges that tourism is the significant contributor to the economy and employment of the Broads, as it states: "Tourism is the mainstay of the Broads' economy. In 2019, the Broads and surrounding area (including the area of influence) received around 8.1 million visitors, bringing in an estimated £490 million and directly supporting more than 7,435 FTE jobs." and at Section 7.9 Navigation: "Navigation is fundamental to the local economy and provides varied health and wellbeing benefits. The Local Plan will need to ensure that navigation is protected and appropriately enhanced" the review does not contain any specific references as to how the Broads Authority aim at encouraging future investment into these important sectors in order to not only maintain but enhance the existing quality and provision in these sectors so they continue to be significant contributors to the economy.	It is intended that our current tourism policies will continue. No comments on those were provided however. It is worth noting that the Authority has a Tourism Strategy and the Broads Plan, which is the Management Plan for the Broads, has been adopted and is in place.	Assess economy and tourism policies and update and amend as required.
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	Whilst, separate sections have been devoted to many other aspect and issues impacting on the Broads, the Tourism and Navigation sectors which are the main drivers of the Broads Economy, have failed to be addressed. This represents a significant and fundamental omission from the Local Plan review. The Broads Authority should be actively engaging with its tourism and navigation sectors to understand their needs and how to improve the quality and range of facilities on offer to ensure that tourism and navigation continue to thrive over the period to be covered by the Local Plan Review.	It is intended that our current tourism policies will continue. No comments on those were provided however. It is worth noting that the Authority has a Tourism Strategy and the Broads Plan, which is the Management Plan for the Broads, has been adopted and is in place. We have consulted far and wide (as evidenced by the number of comments received) and some boat yards have come forward to us wishing to speak about future plans - we have therefore engaged with tourism and navigation sections.	Assess economy and tourism policies and update and amend as required.
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	Local Plan policies formulated as part of the review SHOULD whilst affording protection of the Broads environment, landscape and ecology, also actively encourage business investment in tourism accommodation, boat moorings, marinas and services without the imposition of unnecessary and unenforceable restrictions, to ensure facilities which actively support the diversification and adaptation of the Broads tourism economy are provided for the future. Visitors expect high quality accommodation in which to stay and facilities to moor boats and this can only be achieved through creating the right climate for businesses to invest in these facilities.	It is intended that our current tourism policies will continue. No comments on those were provided however so it is not clear if the comments are saying the existing policies do this or not. It is worth noting that the Authority has a Tourism Strategy and the Broads Plan, which is the Management Plan for the Broads, has been adopted and is in place.	
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	Tingdene companies are significant providers of a variety of types of high quality holiday accommodation and mooring berths, which directly contribute to the economy and job opportunities of the Broads. They have in recent years been significant investors in the Broads with circa £34 million invested in the upgrading of the Parks and Marinas they have purchased and operate. This high level of investment ensures continued improvement of the facilities and services which directly contribute to the quality of the visitor experience and the overall economy of the Broads. Investment in the Broads economy needs to be actively recognized and encouraged in addition to policies affording protection to the environment, landscape and ecology of the Broads.	Background information noted.	No further action.
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	Tingdene's business model for the operation of its holiday parks throughout the country, including those in the Broads, is to sell the holiday lodges on their holiday parks on long term leases to individual purchasers who wish to own holiday accommodation, rather than, as is often the case on many holiday sites, offering annual or time limited licences. The leases are registered with HM Land Registry which provides long term certainty for people purchasing holiday accommodation in an area. This model enables many different people who wish to purchase a wide range of types of holiday accommodation, the opportunity to do so on a dedicated holiday park, rather than opting for the purchase of an unrestricted property from within the general housing stock, further depleting the housing stock available for primary residences.	Background information noted.	No further action.
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	The individual owners of the holiday accommodation then frequently rent their properties out to visitors to the Broads. This cyclical investment enables Tingdene to recoup the initial investment it has made in upgrading and improving the often poor quality holiday accommodation and facilities on a site and then to continue to invest in the upgrading of further holiday sites which have often fallen into disrepair, through lack of investment. Owners of the holiday accommodation then not only have accommodation available for their own use but also to achieve a return on their investment in the holiday accommodation by letting it out ensuring that holiday accommodation is available for visiting holiday makers throughout the year. This year round availability of holiday accommodation and facilities then results in wider business opportunities being created in the local area to serve the visitors.		No further action.
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	Broadlands at Oulton Broad is a prime example of a holiday park and marina within the Broads which Tingdene has invested significantly in over recent years. The increase in tourism accommodation and marina berths has benefitted the Broads economy. The Park is now providing a range of high quality year round holiday accommodation and Marina berths at Oulton Broad, encouraging visitors throughout the year. The lodges and chalets on this Park are not constrained by unenforceable limitations on the periods the accommodation can be occupied or requirement that they should only be used for short stay occupation on a rented basis as required under the current Local Plan policy DM30- Holiday accommodation – new provision and retention. They are simply limited to 'holiday use only and not for use as a sole or main residences'. This ensures the accommodation is used for holiday purposes only.	Background information noted.	No further action.
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	Tingdene is also making substantial investment in the provision of a range of types of tourism accommodation at a recently acquired site at Caldecott Hall Country Park, Fritton, which whilst just outside the Broads Authority's Executive Boundary directly serves the Southern Broads Area. The tourism accommodation recently permitted at Caldecott Hall allows for year round use for holiday purposes only and not as a sole or main residence, providing maximum flexibility in its holiday use whilst ensuring it does not become a sole or main residence.	Background information noted.	No further action.

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
Economy and Tourism	Lanpro Services	Tingdene as a significant operator and employer within the Broads would urge the Broads Authority to address in the review of its Local Plan how it proposes to attract investment into the main stays of its economy and would welcome the opportunity to discuss its business operations with the Broads Authority.	Will contact the respondent to understand better the nature of the request to meet.	Contact respondent.
Electric charging points	Woodbastwick Parish Council	Most boats on the Broads are powered by diesel or petrol and consequently contribute to global warming and climate change. The plan fails to recognise this, nor does it offer any mitigating action. As a minimum, steps should be taken to develop an infrastructure to encourage hire boats and private boats to use electric power. Charging points on 24-hour moorings would be a good start	The BA have a programme of installing charging points in certain areas. We are looking at the feasibility and practicalities of installing such pillars in more remote areas where power source is an issue. The unintended consequence of the pillars we install is the impact of the light at the top of the pillars and that needs consideration. We are also aware of some private organisations like boatyards and pubs installing the pillars. Further, even if the plan does not have a specific policy or mention of a particular issue, the policies on other relevant issues are of relevance and proposals can still be addressed.	Consider electric charging points as produce the Local Plan.
Flood risk	Mr K Lowes	Believes a barrier has been installed at Ipswich to reduce surge effects – perhaps one at GY would protect tidal rivers.	Noted. We work closely with colleagues producing the Broadland Futures Initiative and these comments seem relevant for that work and so will be passed on.	Pass on comments to BFI.
Flood risk	Mrs S Lowes	Concerned re river flooding locally – dead fish.	Noted. We work closely with colleagues producing the Broadland Futures Initiative and these comments seem relevant for that work and so will be passed on.	Pass on comments to BFI.
Flood risk	Mrs S Lowes	PH is in a flood area and in 2008 we were told it was only protected for 50 years so any new building here should never be allowed despite several requests to place homes near the village hall.	Noted. We work closely with colleagues producing the Broadland Futures Initiative and these comments seem relevant for that work and so will be passed on. There is only a small part of Potter Heigham in the Broads and yes, flood risk in that part is a significant constraint to development in the area.	Pass on comments to BFI.
Flood risk	Mrs S Lowes	Flood wall needs raising along with quay heading raised and repaired to protect residents.	Noted. We work closely with colleagues producing the Broadland Futures Initiative and these comments seem relevant for that work and so will be passed on.	Pass on comments to BFI.
Flood risk	Woodbastwick Parish Council	We would encourage further dredging as it is our Councillors' experience that this will help to reduce local flooding, particularly in our local parish of Panxworth.	The BA have just finished a dredging project in Malthouse Broad, where we removed about 6000 m3. If the comment about Panxworth is in relation to management of the small stream that comes through Panxworth, then this is either EA or IDB responsibility for drainage management. The Authority is only responsible for dredging in the publicly navigable areas.	
General comment	Anglian Water	Anglian Water is the water and water recycling provider for over 6 million customers in the east of England. Our operational area spans between the Humber and Thames estuaries and includes around a fifth of the English coastline. The region is the driest in the UK and the lowest lying, with a quarter of our area below sea level. This makes it particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change including heightened risks of both drought and flooding, including inundation by the sea. Additionally, our region has the highest rate of housing in England. The initial 2021 census report identifies that population growth in the region was 8.3% in the past decade against a national average of 6.6%. However, we recognise that The Broads, in focussing on the delivery of development to address local needs, will have a markedly different population change compared to the region as a whole.		No further action.
General comment	Anglian Water	5.1.Anglian Water utilises six capitals thinking to help us keep our responsibility to customers, communities, and the environment at the front of our minds when making business decisions. Using this approach to assess the priorities and principles of the emerging Local Plan, we find the following	Background information noted.	No further action.
General comment	Anglian Water	The Plan should consider the impact and resilience of new development and its spatial distribution, in terms of capital (embedded) carbon, and climate adaptation for new development and the infrastructure needed to support future growth over the longer-term.	Noted. Will consider this as we produce the Preferred Options.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
General comment	Anglian Water	This reflects organisational culture and ways of working – we consider that this initial stage of the Plan illustrates that the process of plan development and supporting evidence increases skills and knowledge and develops new ways of working.	Noted.	No further action.
General comment	Anglian Water	Further evidence needed to support the preparation of the plan towards preferred options include an updated Water Cycle Study and Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, and consideration of producing a carbon assessment to inform the spatial strategy. We recognise that partnership working is valuable in realising this, and we will provide advice where necessary.	Noted. We will produce a proportionate water cycle study. We will await the BFI modelling before updating a SFRA and will work with other LPAs like we did last time.	Produce a proportionate water cycle study and SFRA once BFI modelling done.
General comment	Anglian Water	3.8.Anglian Water recognises the challenges in operating in a low-lying wetland environment such as The Broads, particularly in maintaining and managing our infrastructure networks to support local communities. We would support an approach that minimises the need for carbon intensive infrastructure, in terms of capital (embedded) carbon and operational carbon, which would steer development to locations where there is existing infrastructure with the capacity to accommodate future development.	Background information noted.	No further action.
General comment	Anglian Water	3.9.Anglian Water is supportive of Local Nature Recovery Strategies, as the platform to provide a holistic approach to addressing environmental concerns including climate change, nature recovery, and opportunities for informed locations for offsite biodiversity net gain, to achieve meaningful landscape scale environmental benefits. Anglian Water is proactively working to embed nature-based solutions to provide a range of benefits including improved water quality, minimising surface water flood risk and biodiversity net gain.	Background information noted.	No further action.
General comment	Anglian Water	3.10.We also understand how important natural capital, like water, soil and biodiversity provide benefits to society. Our Natural Capital Asset Check report explores how these dependencies impact our environment, helping us to make better decisions and help to protect natural capital around our region.		No further action.
General comment	Anglian Water	3.11. There are a range of stakeholders with an influence on water quality and we believe that working in collaboration and using new markets for the trading of ecosystem services it can help deliver positive environmental outcomes.	Background information noted.	No further action.

Part of document				Autoritina in the first in the
(numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
General comment	Anglian Water	3.12.Anglian Water recognises that a collaborative, partnership approach to addressing issues, particularly within environmentally vulnerable and sensitive areas, can provide multiple benefits, including: shared stakeholder ownership of the issues and solutions, more potential sources of funding that can make schemes more affordable for individual partners, increased pace of delivery, and a true focus on a clear outcome, not individual outputs. Examples of where we are part of a multi-sector approach in our region include:  •IllWendling Beck Exemplar Project: a pioneering habitat creation, nature restoration and regenerative farming project, spanning almost 2,000 acres of land in North Dereham, Norfolk. It is a collaboration between private landowners, local authorities, environmental NGOs, and Anglian Water. It aims to transform land use for environmental benefit, whilst also building community and environmental resilience. The priorities began as carbon, flood risk reduction, and biodiversity net gain, and we have included nutrient neutrality to that list.  •IllThe Norfolk Water Strategy Programme: a partnership to prepare a sustainable Norfolk Water Strategy in recognition of the growing pressures on water resources in a changing climate. The objectives of the programme are to secure good quality, long-term water resources for all water users, while protecting the environment and showcasing the county as an international exemplar for collaborative water management. This will test and implement a number of nature-based solutions to manage water.	Background information noted.	No further action.
General comment	Anglian Water	3.13.In relation to the nutrient neutrality issue affecting The Broads SAC and River Wensum SAC, Anglian Water has been working proactively with the Norfolk local planning authorities to identify and take forward mitigation measures, including those that are focussed on nature-based solutions. In addition, an amendment to The Levelling Up and Regeneration Bill proposes a new duty to be placed on Water Companies to upgrade all WRCs situated in nutrient sensitive areas to the 'highest technically achievable limits', with the deadline for this to be achieved by 2030.	Background information noted.	No further action.
General comment	British Sugar/Rapleys	The Cantley Sugar Factory was the first sugar beet processing factory in the UK and has been in operation since its opening in 1920. The Cantley Sugar Factory is of national importance, producing home-grown sugar and other related produces. This, in turn, helps underpin food security in the UK, in line with the Government's recently published food strategy (June 2022).  The factory is an important part of the local – and regional – economy. As well as directly employing 90 permanent staff and a further 25 seasonal employees during the Campaign period, the factory supports a further 80 off-site/indirect jobs within the catchment area and 350 local farmers (with sugar beet grown by local growers) and several haulage companies. The factory supports local schools and colleges through offering work experience and apprenticeship schemes every year.  In addition to the production of sugar, the sustainable production of the site ensures that the output of each process becomes the input of the next, turning raw materials into products thus avoiding unnecessary waste. The result is the production of much more than sugar, with its co-products including:  •IllAnimal feed from residual sugar beet fibre which is supplied to the livestock industry;  •IllTopsoil from soil recovered from sugar beet which is used primarily by the landscaping industry;  •IllLimeX, a liming material supplied to agriculture;  •IllOn-site power generation (Combined Heat and Power) and the export of electricity into the electrical grid, and  •IllAggregates from stones recovered from sugar beet which is used by civil engineering, road building and construction industries.	Background information noted.	No further action
General comment	British Sugar/Rapleys	British Sugar is fully committed to the ongoing and long-term operations at its factory in Cantley. For example, the business is currently investing in a new waste water treatment plant (£10m investment). British Sugar is continuously reviewing opportunities to diversify while at the same time reducing greenhouse gas emissions from the sugar beet processing operation through on-site renewable energy development. British Sugar's operations at Cantley are diverse and they will continue to invest in further opportunities for diversification, efficient operations and carbon emission reductions, which will strengthen its role in the agri-food sector in the region and the sustainable, low carbon future.		No further action
General comment	Catfield Parish Council	The Local Plan for the Broads is an excellent document in terms of recognising the unique ecological value of the Broads and the challenges facing their preservation for future generations. It also highlights the problems arising from the split responsibility between Local Planning Authorities (LPA's) and the need to work closely with neighbouring LPA's.	Support noted.	No further action.
General comment	Catfield Parish Council	Catfield Parish Council welcomes the consultation and the opportunity to express its views. Put succinctly it considers that more emphasis should be given to the monitoring and implementation of existing policies for the preservation of the Broads rather than the development of new strategies and public relations initiatives.	Noted and we are doing that. We also need to review the local plan to bring it up to date and try to tackle challenges now and in the future.	No further action.
General comment	Catfield Parish Council	The practical implementation of existing policies to meet the known challenges is seen to be the main priority.	Noted and we are doing that. We also need to review the local plan to bring it up to date and try to tackle challenges now and in the future.	No further action.
General comment	Designing Out Crime Officer, Norfolk Police	Norfolk Constabulary are committed to ongoing partnership working with the Broads Authority and look forward to further consultation regarding the suggestions made with regards to designing out crime being feature within the future planning and protection of the Broads.	Noted.	No further action.
General comment	Great Yarmouth Borough Council	In general, the Borough Council welcomes the Issues and Options consultation and its focus on the key issues for consideration at this early stage in the review of the Broads Local Plan. The comments below have been necessarily focussed on the main strategic cross-boundary planning issues between the Borough Council and the Broads Authority.	Support noted.	No further action
General comment	Historic England	Please note that absence of a comment on a policy, allocation or documents in this letter does not mean that Historic England is content that the policy, allocation or document is devoid of historic environment issues. Finally, we should like to stress that this opinion is based on the information provided by the Council in its consultation. To avoid any doubt, this does not affect our obligation to provide further advice and, potentially, object to specific proposals, which may subsequently arise where we consider that these would have an adverse effect upon the historic environment.	Noted.	No further action
General comment	Lanpro Services	Please see below comments made on behalf of Tingdene Holiday Parks Ltd and Tingdene Marinas Ltd operators of Broadlands Park and Marina at Oulton Broad, Waveney River Centre at Burgh St Peter, Brundall Bay Marina within the Broads Authorities Executive area and Caldecott Hall Country Park at Fritton whilst within Great Yarmouth Borough Council borders onto the Broads Authority's area		No further action.
General comment	Loddon Parish Council	Loddon Parish Council discussed the Local Plan Issues and Options and the Draft Design Guide at it's meeting on 12 October 2022 and resolved that they broadly support the plans but reserve the right to challenge it when the Council receives the final details.	Noted.	No further action.
General comment	Luke Paterson	2.Making space for water and allowing passage of fish by re flooding Dilham broad	Idea noted and will be passed onto colleagues for consideration .	Pass on to colleagues at the BA for consideration
General comment	Marine Management Organisation	Please be aware that any works within the Marine area require a licence from the Marine Management Organisation. It is down to the applicant themselves to take the necessary steps to ascertain whether their works will fall below the Mean High Water Springs mark.	Noted. We will refer to this as required in the next version of the Local plan.	Check how we address this in the Local Plan to see if it needs improving.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)	- · <b>6</b>			
General comment	Marine Management Organisation	Works activities taking place below the mean high water mark may require a marine licence in accordance with the Marine and Coastal Access Act (MCAA) 2009.  Such activities include the construction, alteration or improvement of any works, dredging, or a deposit or removal of a substance or object below the mean high water springs mark or in any tidal river to the extent of the tidal influence. Applicants should be directed to the MMO's online portal to register for an application for marine licence. https://www.gov.uk/guidance/make-a-marine-licence-application	Noted. We will refer to this as required in the next version of the Local plan.	Check how we address this in the Local Plan to see if it needs improving.
General comment	Marine Management Organisation	The MMO is also the authority responsible for processing and determining Harbour Orders in England, together with granting consent under various local Acts and orders regarding harbours. A wildlife licence is also required for activities that that would affect a UK or European protected marine species.	Noted. We will refer to this as required in the next version of the Local plan.	Check how we address this in the Local Plan to see if it needs improving.
General comment	Marine Management Organisation	The MMO is a signatory to the coastal concordat and operates in accordance with its principles. Should the activities subject to planning permission meet the above criteria then the applicant should be directed to the follow pages: check if you need a marine licence and asked to quote the following information on any resultant marine licence application:  •Illocal planning authority name,  •Illplanning officer name and contact details,  •Illplanning application reference.	Noted. We will refer to this as required in the next version of the Local plan.	Check how we address this in the Local Plan to see if it needs improving.
General comment	Marine Management Organisation	In cases where a project requires both a marine licence and terrestrial planning permission, both the MWR and The Town and Country Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/571/contents/made may be applicable.	Noted. We will refer to this as required in the next version of the Local plan.	Check how we address this in the Local Plan to see if it needs improving.
General comment	Marine Management Organisation	Under the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 ch.4, 58, public authorities must make decisions in accordance with marine policy documents and if it takes a decision that is against these policies it must state its reasons. MMO as such are responsible for implementing the relevant Marine Plans for their area, through existing regulatory and decision-making processes.  Marine plans will inform and guide decision makers on development in marine and coastal areas. Proposals should conform with all relevant policies, taking account of economic, environmental and social considerations. Marine plans are a statutory consideration for public authorities with decision making functions.  At its landward extent, a marine plan will apply up to the mean high water springs mark, which includes the tidal extent of any rivers. As marine plan boundaries extend up to the level of the mean high water spring tides mark, there will be an overlap with terrestrial plans which generally extend to the mean low water springs mark.  A map showing how England's waters have been split into 6 marine plan areas is available on our website. For further information on how to apply the marine plans please visit our Explore Marine Plans service.  Planning documents for areas with a coastal influence may wish to make reference to the MMO's licensing requirements and any relevant marine plans to ensure that necessary regulations are adhered to. All public authorities taking authorisation or enforcement decisions that affect or might affect the UK marine area must do so in accordance with the Marine and Coastal Access Act and the UK Marine Policy Statement unless relevant considerations indicate otherwise. Local authorities may also wish to refer to our online guidance and the Planning Advisory Service soundness self-assessment checklist. If you wish to contact your local marine planning officer you can find their details on our gov uk page	Noted. We will refer to this as required in the next version of the Local plan.	Check how we address this in the Local Plan to see if it needs improving.
General comment	Mr K Lowes	The area needs a logical plan for development – not only housing, but agriculture and water.	Noted. The plan covers agricultural development and water related planning issues. The Broads Plan is also relevant.	No further action.
General comment	Mrs S Lowes	We need no development in PH.	Noted. There is a specific policy for the Potter Heigham Bridge area that guides what can happen in the area.	No further action.
General comment	Mrs S Lowes	We love where we live, but seems it's being destroyed.	Noted. There is a specific policy for the Potter Heigham Bridge area that guides what can happen in the area. We hope this would result in appropriate development that would not ruin the area.	No further action.
General comment	National Grid/Avison Young	National Grid assets within the Plan area Following a review of the above Development Plan Document, we have identified one or more National Grid assets within the Plan area.  Details of National Grid assets are provided below. Gas Transmission Asset Description Gas Transmission Pipeline, route: BACTON TO YELVERTON A plan showing details and locations of National Grid's assets is attached to this letter. Please note that this plan is illustrative only. Please also see attached information outlining further guidance on development close to National Grid assets.	General route of pipeline noted and thanks. Information sent to GIS officer to check our records.	Send rough route of pipe to GIS Officer.
General comment	Sequence UK LTD/Brundall Riverside Estate Association	1.2The Brundall Riverside Estate Association is an elected committee to oversee the general interests of its members, comprising of companies and individuals who own land/property within the Riverside Estate area. Primarily boatyards, marinas and other businesses and a number of private residential and holiday homes.	Background information noted.	No further action.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)	Organisation	Comment	nesponse	Action for flext version of the Local plan
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	1.1 These representations on behalf of the Somerleyton Estate discuss the opportunity for the new Local Plan to support the improvement of the marina and boatyard in Somerleyton village. The Estate's long-term aim is to secure the viable future of the boatyard and marina, to support a range of different types of moorings in the marina and to bring the boatyard with its buildings up to date. The facilities are old and there is the potential for the facility to better support the local tourism industry. With a larger marina the boatyard, which is an important local business, can flourish supporting local businesses and crafts connected with the water.  1.2 We would welcome the chance to discuss if this is something that the Local Plan could support in all or in part. We would like to understand how the Local Plan could support the proposals for example using a site allocation or by using non site-specific development management policies. It is likely that any applications or developments would be done over a period of time as funds allow so supportive Local Plan policies would be welcome.  1.3 The background is that the marina came up for sale in 2012 and was bought by the Estate. The Estate has had a longstanding policy of supporting traditional local businesses in the area. The marina has long been an active part of Somerleyton village, providing a boatyard, serving local boats and moorings. For 10 years, the  Estate has run a successful boatyard and around 120 moorings at the marina. The Estate has been paying off the borrowings needed to purchase the marina and is now able to invest in, and improve the marina, as long as the investment produces a sensible return.  1.4 Improvements to the marina would support the boatyard. The boatyard is housed in a building which is nearing the end of its useful life. In order to replace the building with a similar sized structure, the marina and boatyard need to be able to fund the new building which will be a significant cost. Keeping the boatyard going will maintain an im		Will meet operator on site.
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	have been held with a company working with local reed.  1.5 The marina basin could be expanded into an area of adjacent reed bed to the south. Work is underway to carefully study the ecology of the reed bed and understand how to create new reed bed nearby if required, or to enhance other reed beds on the Estate. The Estate has some 32 hectares of reed bed already providing a good opportunity for mitigation.  1.6 Elsewhere, the Somerleyton Estate is rewilding hundreds of hectares of farmland as part of a project started by Hugh Somerleyton and he is committed to ensuring there is no loss of biodiversity as a result of this project. Mill House Ecology are advising the Estate.  1.7 The following sections set out more detail on the proposal. We would welcome the chance to discuss this in more detail with the Broads Authority.	Background information noted. Aspirations for the boatyard noted.	Will meet operator on site.
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	2.1 The Somerleyton marina and boatyard are located between Somerleyton village and the River Waveney. The Lowestoft railway line runs to the south and west. The site is accessed via a roadway that leads from the village at the junction of Slugs Lane and The Street.  2.2 The vehicular access leads down a slope to the edge of the marina and boatyard and enters the marina at a car parking area. To the south east of the car park are welfare facilities for the owners of the boats. To the south of the marina is a building of around 775 square metres, and a smaller building of around 90 square metres which are the base for the boatyard. Around these buildings are outside storage areas for boats.  2.3 A channel containing moorings and a slipway runs from the buildings in a westerly direction to where it opens out to the north. At this point there is a basin that can accommodate around 105 boats to the north of the channel. Further west from this channel, is the entrance to the River Waveney. A vehicular access runs alongside the southern part of the channel, and along the northern part of the channel, before running around the north of the mooring basin.	Context of the Marina noted.	No further action.
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	2.4 To the west of the marina is the River Waveney. The river is around 40 metres wide at the entrance to the marina. To the north along the river there are moorings alongside the river. To the south, the river goes under the swing bridge for the Lowestoft Railway Line.  2.5 To the north and east of the marina is the village of Somerleyton with homes and the Dukes Head Pub. To the south, is a single house and woodland and to the west is woodland and farmland.  2.6 To the south of the existing marina basin, is an area of reed bed which extends to the railway line.  2.7 The only planning application at the marina in the last 5 years was for 5 floating pontoon moorings, and has the reference BA/2018/0220/FUL.	Context of the Marina noted.	No further action.
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	Background to the Marina, Boatyard and the Somerleyton Estate  2.8 There are a diverse range of activities on the Somerleyton Estate. The marina and boatyard were bought by the Estate in 2012 after it was put up for sale by its previous owners who were the holiday company TUI. The Estate bought the business because it wanted to ensure that it remained viable, and remained an important amenity for the local area. The Estate has a long history of investing in local businesses including the Dukes Head Pub in Somerleyton. The Pub has been run by the Estate to ensure that it continued as a village amenity. The Estate owns and runs the Fritton Lake Resort and Fritton Arms Pub which are a high-quality holiday resort. The Estate has a large farming business, and a portfolio of properties that are let to local people. The Grade II* Somerleyton Hall and Gardens are owned and maintained by the Estate and are open to visitors.  2.9 The Estate has embarked on a significant rewilding project. Rewilding is a process which encourages landowners and occupiers to make changes to how they use the environment for the benefit of nature and ecosystems. It is an initiative which can be engaged in at a range of scales (from domestic gardens to large estates of land), but the aim is to use land in less intensive ways, so that nature can colonise and coexist with other uses, to enable the flourishing of wild nature on its own terms.	Context of the Marina noted.	No further action.

Planning Committee, 31 March 2023, agenda item number 10

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	2.10 Examples of rewilding include:  *IllProtecting, expanding and connecting ancient woodlands to enable a diverse range of wildlife to establish and disperse, and increasing carbon storage;  *IllReducing high populations of grazing animals to help trees and other vegetation grow;  *IllRemoving fishing pressure and creating proper marine protection to stop dredging and bottom trawling so that sea life can recover and flourish;  *IllRestoring wetlands and introducing beavers to boost biodiversity, store carbon and help flood prevention;  *IllBringing back missing species to plug crucial gaps in the ecosystem, and re-forge key relationships between species (for example, between predators and prey and scavengers);  *IllRemoving dams so that fish can move freely, and the forces of erosions and deposition are allowed to reestablish themselves;  *IllReconnecting rivers with floodplains, restoring their natural course to slow the flow, easing flooding and creating habitats for fish and other aquatic and wetland wildlife;  *IllConnecting up habitats and providing wildlife bridges so wildlife can move and disperse naturally, helping them adapt to climate change and build resilience.;  *IllSetting aside large areas for nature so that nature can truly flourish on its own terms, maximising biodiversity, carbon storage and essential eco benefits; and  *IllCreating a wildlife-friendly garden and helping wildlife move through it to help nature on a smaller scale.	Context of the Marina noted.	No further action.
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	2.11 On the Somerleyton Estate, Hugh Somerleyton has been pioneering in raising awareness of this project and has been aiming to recover nature by rewilding the lowland habitats of Norfolk and Suffolk. The project includes 400 hectares of land and began in 2016. As such, it is a large-scale project, which aims to facilitate the rewilding of lowland, mixed woodland, lakes and ponds, grassland and meadow and heathlands and shrub habitats. Key species introduced have included Exmoor Ponies, Welsh Black Cattle, Large Black Pigs, Mouflon and Water Buffalo. A key part of the rewilding project is enabling extensive grazing for these animals and natural regeneration. As such, the Estate has taken the decision to move away from intensive sheep grazing and traditional management to a rewilding approach, enabling extensive low-density cattle grazing instead. A reduction in sheep grazing was essential to allow natural processes more of a free reign on the site. More diverse, functional grazing animals were introduced to the project area to create species diversity and wood pasture habitats. In addition, some manual removal of non-native invasive species and fences was required.  2.12 This project is part of the wider Wild East project, aimed to promote nature recovery across the region and return 20% of land to nature.	Context of the Marina noted.	No further action.
General comment	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	2.13 The various businesses on the Estate have been built up or created in order to provide an income that maintains Somerleyton Hall, to provide local employment and to keep local skills alive such as those needed in livestock farming and boat building. The businesses are run with a strong environmental focus.  2.14 The ambition for the marina and boatyard is to support local boat building and local crafts and businesses. A larger marina would support the boatyard.  2.15 The aim of the Estate is to improve the marina and boatyard so that it can:  •IIIDeliver a wider range of moorings supporting the local tourism industry;  •IIISecure the long-term future of the boatyard and marina;  •IIISecure local jobs in traditional marine industries;  •IIIIBe a catalyst for local businesses and crafts connected with the water; and	Aspirations for the Marina noted.	No further action.
General comment	Water Management Alliance	•IllEnable the replacement of the existing boatyard building on a like for like basis.  I can confirm that we have no comments at this time.	Noted.	No further action.
General comment	Broads Society	Environmental impacts threaten the survival of businesses, including boatyards, dwellings and access by the public for recreational and well being activities. A high-level plan is required that supports the mitigation of the environmental effects with a collaborative effort between Authorities, Town and Parish Councils, Businesses and residents.	Noted. The Broads Plan is the key document for the Broads. There are also other plans and strategies that relate to the Broads Plan like the Local Plan and Sustainable Tourism Strategy for example.	No further action.
General comment	Brooms Boats	Environmental impacts threaten the survival of businesses, including boatyards, dwellings and access by the public for recreational and well being activities. A high-level plan is required that supports the mitigation of the environmental effects with a collaborative effort between Authorities, Town Councils, Businesses and residents.	Noted. The Broads Plan is the key document for the Broads. There are also other plans and strategies that relate to the Broads Plan like the Local Plan and Sustainable Tourism Strategy for example.	No further action.
Heritage	Luke Paterson	1. Restoring heritage – Dilham water mill as education centre/hostel.	Officers will contact the respondent to go and visit him.	Contact and meet respondent.
HRA HRA	Historic England  Norfolk Wildlife Trust	Support.  With regard to the potential impacts of air pollution on designated sites, and the criteria for screening them in to further HRA work in the next draft of the HRA, we recommend that in addition to Natural England's AADT threshold criteria (quoted for example in HRA section 5.3.13) regarding levels of traffic, that further consideration is given to the potential for lower levels of traffic to result in a Likely Significant Effect (LSE) requiring progression to the Appropriate Assessment (AA) stage. We have recently been consulted on several cases elsewhere in the county where Natural England's AADT threshold wouldn't be met, but the data from APIS shows that the existing baseline emissions of nitrogen are either close to or already exceeding critical loads/levels. In such cases, the sensitivity of those designated sites are clearly far more susceptible to adverse effects from much smaller increases in emissions. Whilst we haven't had the opportunity to review the APIS data for any of the sites in the HRA prior to submitting our comments, we would recommend as a precaution, that any sites close to or already exceeding their critical loads/levels are automatically screened in for AA regardless of the	Comment noted and will be passed on to HRA consultants.  Comment noted and will be passed on to HRA consultants.	Pass on to HRA consultants.  Pass on to HRA consultants.
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	vehicle number threshold.  There is no logic in transferring 10 of the existing moorings to residential as the boatyard has always had residential moorings without any restrictions.	Permission is required for residential moorings. If you wish for residential moorings at your site, you need to apply for planning permission.	No further action.
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	The SSSI site is a distance up the river and any impact from the boatyard is unlikely to have any impact so a habitats regulations assessment should not be required.	It would be for a suitably qualified HRA consultant to undertake the assessment and come to that conclusion or not. Please note that since the original policy was put in place, if the site were to be allocated, a tariff of around £180 would need to be paid per boat due to recreational impacts on protected sites. So the HRA issue is still relevant.	Continue with reference to need for HRA if site continues to be allocated.

Part of document				
(numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	There is no restriction on boat lengths at the moment and the one third policy for using the navigable river will apply. The Vessel Dimension act 1995 restricts the beam to 3.8 meters on the Chet so this is relevant.	Under the Vessel Dimension Bylaws, 1995 vessels navigation on the Chet are restricted to 3.8m beam and 14m length (unless exempt, see byelaws for full details). Vessels larger than these dimensions may navigate on the Chet providing written permission is sought from the Authority up to 7 days in advance and on no more than 4 occasions in one year.  With regards to mooring in the navigation area, the Navigation Byelaws, 1995 (part 5) sets out the full requirements. There is no reference to 'a third' in the Byelaws. Vessels mooring abreast must not extend into the channel more that 10m or a quarter of the channel, whichever is the less. Vessels must not be moored in a way which impedes the clear and free passage of any other vessel or otherwise obstruct the navigation of a waterway or channel. The byelaws do not apply outside of the Navigation area, for example in a marina.	No further action.
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	Despite much effort it has not been possible to engage Highways or Anglia Water so this should be excluded as a condition but recognize they will have an opportunity to respond upon an application.	As part of any pre-application enquiry (which is for free), we can provide contact details if you wish. AWS and Norfolk Highways are key stakeholders and their involvement in the application process is essential. If this site is to continue to be allocated, the requirement will remain. We recognise that some organisations may charge for application advice, but the policy requirements are still valid and the policy raises important considerations that need addressing.	None
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	The quay headings have been upgraded.	Noted. If the site is put forward through the call for sites, a site visit will be undertaken and it may be that such a requirement could be removed from the policy.	Check quality of quay heading if continue to allocate the site.
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	The BA does not have any authority or examples to insist on a management plan and cannot make this a condition.	There is a guide that has been adopted by the Broads Authority which will be of assistance. We consider a management plan important and so this requirement is likely to be continued. Indeed, other applicants for residential moorings have provided a management plan.	Continue with requirement for management plan.
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	Page 229 of the plan 2015 to 2036 advises that the Environment Agency would have the flood zone evaluated for the flood defence work by Summer 2021. Has this been done as part of the site that would be ideal for residential moorings is flood zone 3.	The new modelling has been delayed and could be ready in 2024 or 2025. That being said, residential moorings are in the body of water by their very nature and are deemed generally acceptable, but you will see at the end of the supporting text of the residential moorings policy, DM37, we talk about requirements for residential moorings in terms of flood risk.	
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	The Marina has just been served with enforcement notice on 2 static caravans and the justification for these are as follows together with a response. <note about="" and="" are="" as="" enforcement="" in="" included="" is="" lod1="" not="" notice="" of="" other="" parts="" relevant="" representation="" responded="" some="" text="" that="" the="" these="" they="" thrust="" to="" which="">.</note>	Noted. This enforcement notice is with regards to two static caravans that do not have permission. This allocation (LOD1), if continued, is for residential moorings that, even though allocated, need planning permission.	None
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	Can you please clarify why any application will not receive the same objections from the planning department as the likelihood of any residential boats are likely to not comply with these policies.	LOD1 and the residential moorings policy DM37 set out what is required for a residential moorings scheme to be permitted. If a residential mooring scheme comes in and meets those criteria, it is likely it will be permitted.	None
LOD1	Ray Hollocks	This email is sent on behalf of the property owner and the tenants << names removed>> may have a different approach and may wish to comply with the restrictions under policy LOD1. We will have no objections if they do proceed. They wish to keep the option for 10 residential moorings and we will decide upon receiving your response.	Noted.	No further action
Minerals and Waste	Norfolk County Council	Norfolk County Council in its capacity as the Mineral Planning Authority considers that in terms of mineral planning the Issues and Options document correctly address these issues in the context of the Broads Authority Executive Area.	Support noted.	No further action
Minerals and Waste	Norfolk County Council	The Mineral Planning Authority currently has the Publication version of the Norfolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan review undergoing the Pre-submission representations period, which is available at: Norfolk County Council - Minerals and Waste Local Plan: Pre-Submission Publication (oc2.uk). Following the conclusion of this it is intended to submit the Minerals and Waste Local Plan to the Secretary of State, for the Examination in Public to take place in 2023.	Noted.	No further action
Minerals and Waste	Norfolk County Council	Norfolk County Council in its capacity as the Mineral Planning Authority welcomes the inclusion of the references to mineral planning within the Issues and Options document. In particular the reference that Norfolk County Council is the county planning authority for the Norfolk part of the Broads and that the Council's responsibilities include minerals and waste planning, is welcomed.	Support noted.	No further action
Moorings	Luke Paterson	3. The provision of extra moorings in Dilham, the Staite is quite busy and its hard to turn around when busy I would like to help address this.	Suggestion has been passed on to Waterways and Access Officer who will look into it as he works on the Integrated Access Strategy in 2023	Pass on to Waterways and Access Officer.
Navigation	Mr K Lowes	To promote the Broads and income from tourism, more moorings need to be provided and perhaps an inquiry into the size of boats which dominate the existing ones which in effect has created a wild mooring habitat which is go good to the hospitality sector as people eat and drink supplies from supermarket.	Comment noted and will be passed on to officers who work with	Pass on to colleagues at the BA for consideration
Nutrient Neutrality	Luke Paterson	5.I would like to put land forward for phosphate mitigation and Biodiversity net gain (BNG).	Offer noted. Natural England have indicated a call for sites as part of their mitigation scheme in the New Year. We will keep an eye out for that and will aim to tell the respondent about it. We have got in touch with the lead on mitigation work for the Norfolk mitigation scheme about this offer and have put them in touch with the respondent.	Tell respondent when Natural England call for Sites starts. Liaise with lead for the Norfolk mitigation scheme.
Planning obligations	Norfolk County Council	Norfolk County Council's Planning Obligation Standards should be referred to in the emerging local plan.	Noted. We will cross refer to Norfolk and Suffolk Planning Obligation Standards.	Cross refer to NCC and SCC Planning Obligation Standards.

Part of document (numbers denote the	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
question number)				
Renewable energy - solar	Mrs S Lowes	Solar panels on roofs (not fields) but not in areas of natural beauty, where possible.	Noted. We will consider this comment as we work up the renewable energy policy for the Preferred Options version.	Consider position on solar.
Renewable energy - solar	Norfolk Wildlife Trust	whilst we are supportive of increased use of renewable energy given the benefits to climate change mitigation that it brings, we are also aware of the sensitive designated sites that cover much of the plan area and the need to ensure that any renewable energy allocations do not result in adverse impacts on protected habitats and species.	Comment noted.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
Residential dwellings	Ray Hollocks	We applied last time for the Berney and Beauchamp to be included for development.  Can you clarify if you are just looking for sites for residential moorings or does it include land based occupation. In every discussion we have with planning they refuse any opportunity for residential on the following.  1. Flood zone 3. As mentioned in the other email both sites have had major flood defence work which has not been evaluated by EA. Will this stop any consideration of these sites.  2. All policies require development in sites that are within existing communities. Beauchamp is considered rural by planning but is in fact on a bus route and access to all amenities. The Berney is a specialised site attractive to a certain type of the community. Will these facts stop consideration at stage 1.  3. There is a policy being implemented in the Norwich Greater plan to allow 3 residential units in every village in Norfolk in order to assist rural regeneration. Beauchamp and Berney are both villages without the likelihood of other developments so would the BA apply this policy.  Any development at the Beauchamp and Berney are supported by all the objectives of the 1988 Broads Act and the majority of the policies under the planning Policy 2015 to 2036.  If you can give us answers that any application for inclusion in suitable sites will be considered despite the Planning Departments use of irrespective policies to deny a fair application.	If you wish to put these sites in for consideration then that is up to you. Their location has not changed, so they may not be supported. In terms of flood risk, the approach taken in planning is to consider the flood risk without flood defences.  In terms of access to facilities, the call for sites asks questions about this, so if you wish to put the sites in for consideration, you can put your thoughts in to answer that question.  And in terms of what GNLP does, they are a different local planning authority and may have the need and justification to take certain approaches although the two sites in question are not located in villages. We are not aware of the 3 dwelling approach by the GNLP - we are aware of the South Norfolk Council Village Clusters Local Plan.	No further action.
Residential Moorings	Ray Hollocks	Is it still he case that the BA have to have the 63 residential moorings to exclude it from any Government imposed housing demands.	We need to address the need for residential moorings. As per the Issues and Options document, the need is not for 63, it is for 48 residential moorings. Our Call for Sites was also for residential moorings.	No further action.
SA	East Suffolk Council	Overall, East Suffolk Council welcomes the Sustainability Appraisal and considers it to provide clear and comprehensive consideration of the key Sustainability issues affecting the Broads Authority area.	Support noted.	No further action.
SA	East Suffolk Council	The baseline chapter acts as a comprehensive overview of the existing environmental, economic and social characteristics of the area. We welcome acknowledgement of the emerging Census data and commitment to reflecting the latest data releases in future SA work. As per our comments on the SA Scoping report, there may be value in clarifying that where 2011 census data has been used this refers to 'Waveney' which no longer exists as a local authority. While overall the baseline is considered comprehensive, the Broads Authority may want to consider expanding the data in relation to health. Currently the health topic is only covered with self-reported health status which means this does not provide a sufficient evidence base for identifying key health challenges.	Comments and suggestions noted. We will consider these are we produce the Preferred Options SA.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
SA	East Suffolk Council	We have reviewed the Literature Review and consider that there may be value in reviewing the following additional documents in future iterations of the SA:  •IllEast Suffolk Sustainable Construction SPD;  •IllEast Suffolk Cycling and Walking Strategy;  •IllBuilding for a Healthy Life (https://www.designforhomes.org/project/building-for-life/)  •IllSuffolk Design: Streets Guide (https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/planning-waste-and-environment/planning-and-development-advice/suffolk-design-guide-for-residential-areas/)	We will review these documents as we produce the Preferred Options SA.	Review these documents.
SA	East Suffolk Council	East Suffolk Council consider that the Sustainability Objectives reflect the identified characteristics, baseline data, and SWOT analysis set out in the Issues and Options document. We welcome the amendments made in response to our comments on the Scoping Report. Within the specific wording of the objectives, we have the following suggestions:  •IIIENV3- consider adding specific reference to habitat restoration and creation  •IIIENV11- consider adding specific reference Dark Skies as part of the objective, although we note and welcome that it forms part of the decision making criteria against a number of the objectives  •IIISOC1- as per comment above, this objective could benefit from more baseline data in relation to health	Comments and suggestions noted. We will consider these are we produce the Preferred Options SA.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
SA	East Suffolk Council	Subject to the comments above, East Suffolk Council consider that the Sustainability Framework in Appendix 4 represents an appropriate mechanism for assessing the Plan against the identified SA objectives. Against the specific wording of the criteria, we have the following suggestions/ comments:  •IIIENV5  oconsider adding criteria/ question relating to solar shade/solar gain and mitigating/adapting to overheating oconsider adding adaptable and flexible design of buildings  oConsider adding support for nature based solutions over hardscape (SuDS, attenuation, screening, etc.), where relevant  •IIIENV10  oENV10 is worded slightly differently in the appendix to the main body (p. 6)  oConsider adding criteria in relation to the efficient use of land in sustainable locations for higher density development	Comments and suggestions noted. We will consider these are we produce the Preferred Options SA.	Consider this comment as produce Preferred Options version of the Local Plan.
		oConsider adding criteria in relation housing design that promotes good space standards		

Planning Committee, 31 March 2023, agenda item number 10

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	Organisation	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
Saline incursion	Mr K Lowes	Rivers are being affected by saline incursions. We are losing fish and the tourist fisherman that support the local economy i.e. hotels. Pubs, restaurants, holiday lets. It needs sorting.	We are aware of the saline incursion as a result of salt surges and low river flows. In a way this has always happened in the Broads, however with climate change these effects are expected to worsen. Apart for the general actions to combat climate change, the Broadland Futures Initiative are now working to agree a framework for future flood risk management that better copes with our changing climate and rising sea level. The focus will be on what happens from the mid-2020s onwards, and we need to start planning now to secure support and make well-informed decisions. We are working with farmers and land managers to talk about land subsidence and the impact of excessive land drainage on the whole ecosystem of the Broads. We have in the past few weeks hosted two presentations and discussions at our partnership meetings the Broads Angling Services Group. We provide support to salinity monitoring network in the Broads by purchase of new monitoring equipment. The Broads Authority is also working with the Environment Agency to look at a salinity model to understand how different water and land management options may affect the salt levels in the Broads rivers system. The Broads Authority rangers support the Environment Agency with fish rescues as required.	No further action for Local Plan.
Site	Brooms Boats	Wish for site to be updated and improved. CALL FOR SITE FORM <b>NOT</b> FILLED OUT	Respondent's wishes for the sites noted. We will arrange to meet the site promoter and talk through their plans.	Meet site promoter
Site	Brundall Gardens	Wish for site to be updated and improved. CALL FOR SITE FORM FILLED OUT	Respondent's wishes for the sites noted. We will look into the proposals and arrange to meet the site promoter and talk through their plans.	Meet site promoter
Site	Principal Planning/Crown Point Estate	This submission promotes a location on Whitlingham Lane as a site that would benefit from an allocation under the Sites Allocated for Change approach for Class E. CALL FOR SITE FORM <b>NOT</b> FILLED OUT	Respondent's wishes for the sites noted. We will look into the proposals and arrange to meet the site promoter and talk through their plans.	Meet site promoter
Site	Somerleyton Marina/Evolution Planning	3.1A possible improvement to is to create a new marina basin to the south of the existing basin. The access point would be through the existing marina bank southwards into an area of reed bed to the south of the existing basin. An access into the new basin from the existing marina avoids the need to have a new access directly into the River Waveney, and disruption to boat traffic.  3.2Subject to the findings of an ecological appraisal which is underway the loss of reed bed could be compensated for with the creation of new reed bed or by the improvement of existing reed beds on the Estate. The Estate has 32 hectares of reed bed and has already been involved in the creation of reed bed elsewhere on its land. The new reed bed, and other biodiversity measures, could be designed to ensure that there is no loss of biodiversity.  3.3The existing boatyard building is nearing the end of its useful life and will require investment in order to sustain the yard for the long term. A new building would be sustained by a greater range of boats. The Estate would like to see the building supporting small local businesses connected with the water and local crafts. The key to achieving this is a thriving marina. The existing boatyard building would be replaced on a like for like basis and would be provided with better facilities. This way there would be no visual impact from the replacement.  3.4The expansion of the marina will help develop a more diverse range of moorings including short term moorings to meet the local demand identified in the Broads Local Plan. The Estate would like to develop the 10 residential moorings allocated in the current Local Plan in the next few years in order to generate income to support the improvement of the marina and boatyard.  CALL FOR SITE FORM FILLED OUT FOR RESIDENTIAL MOORINGS. CALL FOR SITES FORM FOR THE REST OF THE SITE NOT FILLED OUT.	Respondent's wishes for the sites noted. We will look into the	Meet site promoter
Site	Walsingham Planning/Greene King Brewing	We write with reference to their landholding on Station Road, Hoveton and to confirm Green King's support for identification of the site as a redevelopment plot/ site allocated for change within the emerging plan. CALL FOR SITE FORM FILLED OUT	Respondent's wishes for the sites noted. We will look into the proposals and arrange to meet the site promoter and talk through their plans.	Meet site promoter
SP5	Historic England	We support the current strategic level policy which seeks to protect and enhance the historic environment. We welcome the reference within the policy itself to setting, archaeology, waterlogged heritage, and heritage at risk. We are also welcome the term historic environment being used. The historic environment is considered the most appropriate term to use as a topic heading as it encompasses all aspects of heritage, for example the tangible heritage assets and less tangible cultural heritage, and both designated and non-designated heritage assets.	Noted	No further action.
Table 7, page 77	Wroxham Parish Council	Wroxham doesn't have any shops or pubs in the BA boundary. There is only a florist and a garage in Wroxham (BDC) and no pubs.	Noted, but there are shops over the river in Hoveton. This is about access to shops and facilities regardless of what town or village they are in.	No further action.
Transport	Mrs S Lowes	Due to increase in traffic on rural roads, many deer have been run over due to their habitat being lost.	Comments noted. Will be passed on to Norfolk County Council contact as they are the Highways Authority.	Pass on to Norfolk County Council
Transport	Mrs S Lowes	In PH, we have tourists who will not remain in Herbert Woods yard because of the traffic noise!	Comments noted. Will be passed on to Norfolk County Council contact as they are the Highways Authority.	Pass on to Norfolk County Council
Transport	Mrs S Lowes	PH was a quiet village but traffic has dramatically increased and speeding is a problem with through traffic. Traffic calming is a necessity.	Comments noted. Will be passed on to Norfolk County Council contact as they are the Highways Authority.	Pass on to Norfolk County Council
Transport	Norfolk County Council	The County Council will need to be consulted on the sites submitted through the call for sites process in due course.	Noted and yes, we will consult you.	Consult Norfolk and Suffolk Highways on sites.
Transport	Norfolk County Council	The Local Plan transport policy should reference the County Council's Local Transport Plan 4.	Noted and we will do.	Refer to Norfolk and Suffolk Local Transport Plans.

Part of document (numbers denote the question number)	· ·	Comment	Response	Action for next version of the Local plan
Water	Mrs S Lowes	Less homes – less water use!	We currently require homes to be designed to 110l/h/d water use	No further action other than look into the potential for water use of less than 110l/h/d.
Your part	Mr K Lowes	In terms of 'your part of the Broads', I expect to see a gradual increase in house building. I expect villages to fill in the spaces between then and I expect the Broadlands villages will lose their appeal to tourists. I expect the whole of Norfolk to develop and eventually eat itself to its detriment. The more concrete you put down, the less the water has anywhere to go. See the marsh behind Herbert Woods.	1	No further action.