

Planning Committee

04 December 2020

Agenda item number 11

Consultation responses

Report by Planning Policy Officer

Summary

This report informs the Committee of the officer's proposed response to planning policy consultations received recently, and invites members' comments and guidance.

Recommendation

To note the report and endorse the nature of the proposed response.

1. Introduction

- 1.1. Appendix 1 shows selected planning policy consultation documents received by the Authority since the last Planning Committee meeting, together with the officer's proposed response.
- 1.2. The Committee's comments, guidance and endorsement are invited.

Author: Natalie Beal

Date of report: 17 November 2020

Appendix 1 – Planning Policy consultations received

Appendix 1 – Planning Policy consultations received

Organisation: East Suffolk Council

Document: Draft Recreational Disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy Supplementary Planning Document.

<https://eastsuffolk.inconsult.uk/consult.ti/DraftRAMSSPD2020/consultationHome>

Due date: 30 November 2020

Status: Draft

Proposed level: Planning Committee endorsed

Notes

East Suffolk Council (ESC) is seeking views on a new Supplementary Planning Document to address the issue of mitigating the impact of new housing development on protected habitat sites.

The Suffolk Coast Recreation Disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy is a partnership between East Suffolk Council, Ipswich Borough Council and Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Council. Its aim is to reduce the impact of increased levels of recreational use on Habitat Sites (also often called European Sites), due to new residential development in the Suffolk Coast area, and to provide a simple, coordinated way for developers to deliver mitigation for their developments.

The draft Supplementary Planning Document summarises the requirements of Suffolk Coast Recreation Disturbance Avoidance and Mitigation Strategy, including the per-dwelling tariff, and provides a framework for implementing those provisions.

Please note that part of the Broads Authority falls within the zones. We are liaising with East Suffolk Council about whether the charge should be something that is relevant to the Authority.

Proposed response

2.4, paragraph underneath the table – are additional measures required as well as the tariff? It is not clear as written.

3.1 – regarding extensions and annexes not being charged the tariff, if more people will be inhabiting a changed dwelling, why does this not count? It seems that the tariff relates to dwellings and not people. Why is that?

What about residential moorings and gypsy and traveller sites? Do they pay the tariff?

3.2 – what about permission in principle? That may become more of a prominent way of gaining permission if the Changes to the Current Planning System consultation ideas are taken forward.

3.7 – what is the strategy document referred to? It is not clear.